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Abstract

Even while numerical simulation methods dominate reservoir modeling, the Buckley-Leverett equation provides important insight into the physical processes behind enhanced oil recovery. The interest in a stochastic Buckley-Leverett equation, the subject of this thesis, arises because uncertainty is at the heart of petroleum engineering. Stochastic differential equations, where one modifies a deterministic equation with a stochastic perturbation or where there are stochastic initial conditions, offers one possible way of accounting for this uncertainty. The benefit of examining a stochastic differential equation is that mathematically rigorous results can be obtained concerning the behavior of the solution.

However, the Buckley-Leverett equation belongs to a class of partial differential equations called first order conservation equations. These equations are notoriously difficult to solve because they are non-linear and the solutions frequently involve discontinuities. The fact that the equation is being considered within a stochastic setting adds a further level of complexity. A problem that is already particularly difficult to solve is made even more difficult by introducing a non-deterministic term.

The results of this thesis were obtained by making the fractional flow curve the focus of attention, rather than the relative permeability curves. Reservoir conditions enter the Buckley-Leverett model through the fractional flow function. In order to derive closed form solutions, an analytical expression
for fractional flow is required. In this thesis, emphasis is placed on modeling fractional flow in such a way that most experimental curves can readily be approximated in a straightforward manner, while keeping the problem tractable. Taking this approach, a range of distributional results are obtained concerning the shock front saturation and position over time, breakthrough time, and even recovery efficiency.
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Preface

One of the most distinguished contributors to reservoir engineering, Laurie Dake [44], has made a call: for the revival of fractional flow of water which seems to have ‘gone missing’ since the advent of simulation and, it is argued, is the key to understanding any form of displacement process.\(^1\) This thesis firmly belongs to the “Dakian” school of thought. From the first line until the last page, the emphasis will be on closed form, analytical solutions. The reader will appreciate that solutions of this kind are significantly more difficult to obtain than numerical ones. This approach is taken in the belief that increased insight is thereby gained into the physical processes behind the model.

\(^1\)Dake [43] page 311.
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Nomenclature

$S$ saturation (without subscripts it refers to the displacing fluid), fraction of pore volume
$S_L$ displacing saturation at end of core/reservoir
$S_c$ connate displacing fluid saturation, fraction of pore volume
$S$ average saturation, fraction of pore volume
$S^*$ shock front saturation, fraction of pore volume
$k$ permeability, darcy
$k_r$ relative permeability, fraction
$f$ fractional flow (without subscripts it refers to the displacing fluid), fraction
$\phi$ porosity, fraction
$\mu$ viscosity, cp
$\rho$ density, kg/m$^3$
$p$ pressure, Pa
$P$ oil production, pore volumes
$q_{total}$ total flow rate (oil + water), m$^3$/day
$q_i$ water injection rate, m$^3$/day
$Q_i$ cumulative injected water volume, m$^3$/day
$Q_{dim}$ dimensionless cumulative injected water, in pore volumes

Subscriptes may be added to specify a number of quantities.

1, 2 fluid 1 or 2
$d$, $nd$ displacing or non-displacing fluid
$w$, $nw$ wetting or non-wetting fluid
$w$, $o$, $g$ water, oil or gas (it is clear by context whether water or wetting fluid is intended)
Note on Subscripts and Connate Saturation

It would simplify matters greatly if the entire thesis could be written in terms of a single set of subscripts, but this is impractical. Generally, fluid 1 versus fluid 2 is used to present the flow equations, wetting fluid versus non-wetting is used when discussing relative permeability, and displacing fluid versus non-displacing is used for Buckley-Leverett. Other subscripts are used to be consistent with original sources, or when formulas only apply to specific phases. Throughout the examination of Buckley-Leverett, the initial saturation corresponds to the connate saturation.