

The Thai social capital as a social determinant of oral health

Sutee Suksudaj

School of Dentistry

The University of Adelaide

Submitted as a requirement for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Dentistry

30th September 2010

Contents

CONTENTS.....	II
TABLES.....	VII
FIGURES.....	XI
APPENDICES.....	XII
RESEARCH ABSTRACT.....	XIII
DECLARATION.....	XV
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	XVI
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. The characteristics of northeast Thai rural communities.....	1
1.2 Social capital as a concept for community development.....	2
1.2.1 Definition of social capital.....	3
1.2.1 The mechanism of social capital.....	4
1.2.3 The modification of the social capital definition in Thai's context.....	5
1.2.4 The relevant social concepts of Thai development and the Inpaeng network.....	5
1.3 Health/Oral health system in Thailand.....	8
1.3.1 Health service.....	8
1.3.2 Health policy.....	8
1.3.3 Oral health status in the Thai population.....	9
1.3.4 The determinants of oral health: studies in Thai population.....	10
1.3.5 Oral health-related quality of life and studies in Thai communities.....	11
1.4 Social capital as a social determinant of health/oral health.....	13
1.4.1 Social capital as a determinant of health.....	13
1.4.2 Social capital as a determinant of oral health.....	15
1.4.3 The pathway of Thai social capital and oral health: research conceptual framework.....	18

1.5 Conclusion: the research knowledge gap and research objectives	19
 CHAPTER 2 : QUALITATIVE STUDY METHOD AND RESULTS	21
2.1 Introduction	21
2.1.1 Background of Inpaeng network.....	21
2.1.1.1 History.....	21
2.1.1.2 Inpaeng's concepts and missions.....	23
2.2 Findings	28
2.2.1 The Inpaeng perspective of health and happiness	28
2.2.2 The Health service utility	32
2.2.3 The Social capital of the Inpaeng network.....	43
2.2.4 Survival, Sufficiency, Sustainability as Inpaeng's social capital.....	44
2.2.4.1 Survival.....	44
2.2.4.2 Sufficiency	48
2.2.4.3 Sustainability.....	51
2.2.5 Summary of findings from qualitative research	54
2.3 The transcription of the qualitative findings to the questionnaire	54
 CHAPTER 3 : RESEARCH METHOD.....	57
3.1 Study design	57
3.2 Research sampling	57
3.2.1 Sampling frame	57
Stage I: Tambons were categorised by period of Inpaeng membership registration	58
Stage II: Tambons were selected by using a stratified sampling technique	58
Stage III: From selected Tambons, stratify households into Inpaeng and non-Inpaeng households	59
Stage IV: Randomised and selected non-Inpaeng households and people	60
Sample size determination	61
3.3 Data collection planning	61
3.3.1 Protocol development	61
3.3.2 Inclusion/exclusion criteria.....	61
3.4 Participants appointment and locations for research data collection.....	62
3.5 Information sheet and Informed consent sheet	62

3.6 Ethical implications and approvals	62
3.7 ResearchID coding system.....	63
3.8 Data collection methods	63
3.8.1 The Questionnaire	63
3.8.2 Questionnaire items and scales	64
3.8.3 Questionnaire validation	68
3.8.4 Oral epidemiological examinations	69
3.8.5 Examiner training and reliability	69
3.8.6 Infection control	69
3.8.7 Examination instruments	70
3.8.8 Removable Denture assessment	70
3.8.9 Oral clinical assessment	70
3.8.9.1 Oral mucosal tissue assessment	70
3.8.9.2 Plaque, calculus and gingival index examination	70
3.8.9.3 Tooth Status	71
3.8.9.4 Periodontal destruction	71
3.10 Completing the examination and discharging the study participant.....	71
3.11 Computer data entry.....	71
3.12 Participants' confidentiality	72
3.13 Data Cleaning.....	72
3.15 Data Analysis	73
3.15.1 Variables	73
3.15.2 Data analysis	76
CHAPTER 4 : QUANTITATIVE STUDY RESULTS	78
4.1 Part I Characteristics of the research participants	78
4.1.1 Response Tampon.....	78
4.1.2 Response Rate	79
4.1.3 Response bias	80
4.1.4 Examiner reliability	81
4.1.5 The Management of Thai social capital variables	81
4.1.6 Characteristics of research participants	84
4.1.6.1 Demographics	84

4.1.6.2 Dental health utilisation, dental health behaviour and general health characteristics.....	87
4.1.6.3 Accessibility to health care service and mode of self-care treatment.....	88
4.1.6.4 Financial characteristics	90
4.1.6.5 Self-rated oral health/ health variables	91
4.1.7 Thai social capital of the participants	92
4.1.7.1 Thai Social capital score	92
4.1.7.2 Survival score	92
4.1.7.3 Sufficiency score	93
4.1.7.4 Sustainability.....	94
4.1.7.5 Social groups and self-production score	96
4.2 Part II: Prevalence of oral diseases, oral health-related quality of life and the determinants of oral health.....	97
4.2.1 Tooth Loss	97
4.2.2 Dental decay	98
4.2.3 Root Decay	99
4.2.4 Tooth restoration	99
4.2.5 Severity of dental decay experience: DMFT	99
4.2.6 Bivariate analysis of dental caries experience	102
4.3 Summary of the bivariate analysis of caries experiences	120
4.4 Multivariate analysis of tooth decay, tooth loss and caries experience (D, M and DMFT)	120
4.5 Summary of findings regarding caries experience	125
4.6 Periodontal disease.....	126
4.6.1 Bivariate analysis of periodontal disease.....	129
4.6.2 Multivariate analysis of participants' attachment loss	138
4.6.3 Summary of findings regarding periodontal status	141
4.7 Oral health-related quality of life	142
4.7.1 Bivariate analysis of Oral Health Related Quality of Life	144
4.7.2 Bivariate summary of oral health-related quality of life.....	153
4.7.3 Multivariate analysis of participants' oral health-related quality of life	153
4.8 Contextual influence to the outcome variables.....	155
4.9 Chapter conclusion	157

CHAPTER 5 : DISCUSSION.....	159
5.1 The research objectives and findings discussion	159
5.2 Final conceptual framework.....	160
5.3 Method discussion: Research's strength and weakness	162
5.3.1 The research strength	162
5.3.2 The research weakness	163
5.3.2.1 Examiner	163
5.3.2.2 Missing data	164
5.3.2.3 Questionnaire design	164
5.4 Findings discussion.....	166
5.5 Contribution of this research to the field of community oral health	171
REFERENCES	174
APPENDICES	187

Tables

Table 3.1: Results from stage I sampling.....	59
Table 3.2: Result from stage IV sampling.	60
Table 3.3: Tambon coding.....	63
Table 3.4: The research variables	74
Table 3.5: The research variables (cont.)	75
Table 4.1: Participants and percent of participation this research study categorised by Tambon	79
Table 4.2: Distribution of research participants by Inpaeng membership	79
Table 4.3: Proportion of Inpaeng participants and mean years of membership categorised by Tambon ...	80
Table 4.4: Demographic characteristics categorised by Inpaeng membership	81
Table 4.5: Factor analysis of 20 Thai social capital variables (display only factor loading>0.4).....	83
Table 4.6: Demographic characteristics of sample	85
Table 4.7: Demographic characteristics by age group	86
Table 4.8: Dental health/health variables.....	88
Table 4.9: Health care service accessibility	89
Table 4.10: Self-care; herbal medicine and Thai traditional massage.....	89
Table 4.11: Financial characteristics	90
Table 4.12: Self-rated health, oral health/perceived social status	91
Table 4.13: Univariate statistics of Survival, Sufficiency and Sustainability scores.....	92
Table 4.14: Percent distribution of answer in each Survival score question.....	93
Table 4.15: Survival score categorisation.....	93
Table 4.16: Percent distribution of answer in each Sufficiency score question.	94
Table 4.17: Sufficiency score categorisation	94
Table 4.18: Percent distribution of answer in each Sustainability score question.	95
Table 4.19: Sustainability score categorisation	95
Table 4.20: Univariate statistics number of group membership	96
Table 4.21: Self-production score items distribution	97

Table 4.22: Distribution of tooth loss from all causes	97
Table 4.23: Descriptive univariate statistics of Tooth Missing due to dental decay	98
Table 4.24: Descriptive univariate statistics of Coronal Dental Decay	98
Table 4.25: Descriptive Univariate Statistics of Root Decay	99
Table 4.26: Descriptive Univariate Statistics of Filled tooth	99
Table 4.27: Descriptive univariate statistics of DMFT	100
Table 4.28: Crude bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and their demographic characteristics	103
Table 4.29: Age adjusted, ANOVA bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and their demographic characteristics	104
Table 4.30: Crude bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and their social capital ..	106
Table 4.31: Age adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and their social capital	107
Table 4.32: Crude bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and their financial characteristics	109
Table 4.33: Age adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and their financial characteristics	110
Table 4.34: Crude bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and oral health behaviour/oral health service utilisation	112
Table 4.35: Age adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and oral health behaviour/oral health service utilisation	113
Table 4.36: Crude bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and general health	115
Table 4.37: Age adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and general health	116
Table 4.38: Crude bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and self-rated variables ..	118
Table 4.39: Age adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' caries experience and self-rated variables	119
Table 4.40: Fully-adjusted estimation for factors associated with participants' tooth decay	121
Table 4.41: Fully-adjusted estimation for factors associated with participants' tooth loss	122
Table 4.42: Fully-adjusted estimation for factors associated with participants' caries experience: DMFT	124
Table 4.43: Summary of caries experience from multivariate analysis.....	126

Table 4.44: The distribution of CAL $\geq$ 4mms by tooth position	127
Table 4.45: The distribution of PD $\geq$ 5mms by tooth position	127
Table 4.46: Univariate statistics of CAL	127
Table 4.47: Univariate statistics of PD	128
Table 4.48: Crude and age adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' demographics and periodontal status.....	130
Table 4.50: Crude and age adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' financial status and periodontal status.....	133
Table 4.51: Crude and age adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' oral health variables and periodontal status.....	134
Table 4.52: Crude and age adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' general health and periodontal status.....	136
Table 4.53: Crude and age-adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of self-rated variables and participants' periodontal status.....	137
Table 4.54: Fully adjusted estimation for variables associated with participants' clinical attachment loss	139
Table 4.55: Fully-adjusted estimation for variables associated with participants' periodontal pocket depth	140
Table 4.56: Summary of periodontal status from multivariate analysis	141
Table 4.57: Prevalence, extent and severity of impacts	142
Table 4.58: Univariate statistics for OHIP-14 Severity	142
Table 4.59: OHIP-14 response to items	143
Table 4.60: Crude and age-adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' social impact of oral health and their demographics	145
Table 4.61: Crude and age-adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' social impact of oral health and their financial status.....	146
Table 4.62: Crude and age-adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' social impact of oral health and their oral health behaviour/ oral health service utilisation	148
Table 4.63: Crude and age-adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' social impact of oral health and their general health.....	149
Table 4.64: Crude and age-adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' social impact of oral health and their self-rated variables	150
Table 4.65: Crude and age-adjusted bivariate ANOVA analysis of participants' social impact of oral health and their social capital	152

Table 4.66: Fully-adjusted estimation for variables associated with participants' oral health-related quality of life: Survival as the main predictor variable	154
Table 4.67: The intra-class correlation of main outcome variables and community level	155
Table 4.69: Fully-adjusted estimation for variables, including contextual variable associated with participants' oral health-related quality of life	156
Table 4.70: Summary of findings from multivariate analysis	158
Table 71: Standard precautions for infection control in health care settings	197

Figures

Figure 1.1: The research conceptual framework	18
Figure 2.1: The distribution of Inpaeng members by Tambon location (picture from Google map)	25
Figure 3.1: Four stage sampling design used in this study	58
Figure 4.1: Boxplot of participants' social capital score	96
Figure 4.2: Boxplot of participants' caries experience	100
Figure 4.3: Upper Tooth decay experience distribution by tooth number.....	101
Figure 4.4: Lower Tooth decay experience distribution by tooth number.....	101
Figure 4.5: Boxplot of participants' periodontal condition.....	128
Figure 4.6: Boxplot of participants' OHIP severity score	144
Figure 5.1: Adjusted conceptual framework after the research findings	160

Appendices

Appendix I Protocol for Oral Epidemiological Examinations

Appendix II Information sheet and consent forms

Appendix III Research questionnaire

Research Abstract

Background: A Strategy to promote social capital has been included in the Thai government's economic and social development plan since 2007. According to the plan, social capital covered the traditional definition of institutional and social networks, the structure of relationships and norms of reciprocity. In addition, it also included all non-financial capital such as individual characteristics, local wisdom and physical environment. Several studies, conducted in the industrialized countries, found social capital to be a determinant of health/oral health. However, no studies systematically explored the nature of social capital in Thai rural communities and its relationship to oral health.

Objectives: This research aimed to explore social capital of Thai rural communities, focusing on its role in oral health. Researchers also wanted to test if the well-established determinants (such as socioeconomic status, smoking, oral health care utilization) could determine oral health of the Thai rural people.

Methods: An integrated qualitative-quantitative approach was implemented. An ethnographic study in several north-eastern communities was undertaken to identify the locally specific social capital variables. Those variables were transformed into a questionnaire, then asked in the quantitative phase of the study. The questionnaire also explored oral health related quality of life (OHIP-14), oral health behaviours, mental health, socioeconomic status and demographics. Clinical oral health examinations, collecting caries experience (DMFT) and clinical attachment loss (CAL), were carried out. 650 participants, 35-80 years old, living in six sub-districts or Tambon (Thai: ตำบล) of Sakonnakhon, north eastern Thailand participated in this study.

Results: The ethnographic study found that Thai rural social capital comprises tangible and intangible assets that contribute to people's *Survival* from financial constraints, their lifestyle of "living in moderation" (*Sufficiency*) and the presence of a *Sustainable* community environment. The quantitative study found 1) non-linear relationship between Thai social capital and oral disease as well as oral health related the quality of life; 2) age was the strongest predictor of oral diseases; and 3) conventional risk factors were associated with oral diseases, oral health-related quality of life e.g.

socioeconomic status associated with caries experience, smoking associated periodontitis, and oral health care utilization associated with oral health-related quality of life.

The research contributions: 1) Social capital is a determinant of health/oral health in contemporary Thai rural communities. 2) By incorporating the concept of locally and culturally-appropriate research study, it is the very first community dentistry research, done in Thailand that used the qualitative-quantitative integration approach. 3) It confirmed the influence of major risk factors of oral diseases in Thai rural population. 4) It was the first study to use a Thai version of OHIP-14 to explore oral health related quality of life in Thai population.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Sutee Suksudaj and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

.....

Sutee Suksudaj

Date 30 September 2010

Acknowledgments

I am most grateful to my supervisors, Professor Gary Slade, Associate Professor Kaye Roberts-Thomson and Dr James Taylor for teaching me to be an independent researcher, showing me that the academic life is honorable, and inspiring me to work hard for the benefit of the people.

My best thanks are also for Dr Seri Phongphit whose works influence most people in the field of social development. Seri's brilliant notion of 3Ss (Survival Sufficiency and Sufficiency) is the fundamental of this research: Thai social capital measurement.

Without the assistance of the following Inpaeng leaders, this research could not be done: Yongyut Trinuchakorn, Thawatchai Kunwong, Watcharaporn Kudwongkaew, Kasaporn Promhakul. I would like to show my appreciation to Dr. Suksamai Sompong and many Sakonnakhon health care workers who supported and helped me during the period of my research data collection in Sakonnakhon.

My best regards to Professor A John Spencer, Associate Professor David Brennan, Dr Loc G Do, Dr Lisa Jamieson, Dr Jane Harford, Dr Gloria Majia, Dr Diep Ha, Dr Kelly Jones, Dr Pingzhou Liu, Dr Archana Pradhan, Judy F Stewart, Dana Teusner for their kindnesses and their intellectual supports. Best regards to A Silvana Varveggio for her professional works on administrating financial issue for my research. My great appreciations also are for Alison McLean and Lorna Lucas who guided me through the language barrier and helping me on my thesis proofreading and editing. My dearest friends who are always helping me on everything Sue Gardner, Jenny Miller, Katrina Plastow, Eleanor Parker, Clinton Kempster, Kostas Kapellas, and David Harley.

My sincere thanks go to Thammasat University for providing me the PhD scholarship. I also would like to thank Dr Prathip Pantumvanit, Dr Yupin Songpaisan and Dr Piya Siriphand for their supports that enabling me to pursue the higher degree by research at the University of Adelaide.

Sutee Suksudaj