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Abstract

Street trees provide cities with a range of social, economic and environmental benefits, with large, mature trees providing the maximum benefits. Street trees can be conceptualized as a form of ‘green infrastructure’, delivering a range of environmental and human services alongside the ‘grey infrastructure’ of conventional engineering services. However street trees face an extremely hostile environment in the city and may struggle to survive and grow. These challenges are exacerbated by ‘unsustainable’ streetscape design and tree planting practices, such as planting trees in undersized tree pits dug in compacted urban soils, and surrounding trees with hard impervious surroundings. These practices often result in declining tree health, reduced tree life spans, increased tree mortality and also conflicts between trees and surrounding infrastructure.

This thesis aims to develop a more sustainable model for urban streetscape design and street tree planting practices in Australian cities, which better integrates the needs of street trees, based on the expert opinions of researchers and practitioners in the field. A mixed-method research strategy was adopted, using both quantitative and qualitative techniques. A detailed literature review of current tree planting practices was undertaken covering the following topics: providing space both above and below ground; providing trees with the resources for growth; and minimizing a range of infrastructure conflicts. The views of a variety of professionals across Australia were then collected using various techniques. These included an Australia-wide online survey of local government practitioners to address the wider picture, and in-depth interviews with practitioners in metropolitan Adelaide, to provide a detailed understanding of the issues. Detailed case studies were also conducted in four Australian capital cities, including interviews with local luminaries, to review current ‘best practice’ techniques and policies for street tree planting.

The outcome of this research is presented as a new paradigm for the more sustainable urban tree planting and management practices, entitled ‘Tree Sensitive Urban Design’ (TSUD). A proposed “Model for TSUD” draws upon the practices identified in the thesis research, and recommends that the management of urban trees should move from an engineering-based approach to streetscape design, to considering street trees as an essential part of the city. The ‘Model for TSUD’ presented includes a set of objectives, planning and design principles, and a set of ‘structural’ and ‘non-structural’ best practices to accommodate street trees.
Declaration

NAME:.......................................................... PROGRAM:...........................................

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

SIGNATURE:...................................................... DATE:.............................................
I wish to acknowledge the guidance and assistance of my principal supervisors in the undertaking of a multi-disciplinary thesis, Dr David Jones of the School of Architecture, and Dr John Jennings of the School of Science. I also wish to thank my external supervisor David Lawry OAM of the Waite Institute and TREENET Inc. for his guidance and inspiration on the needs of urban trees, and for introducing me to his concept of Tree Sensitive Urban Design. I also wish to thank TREENET Inc. for assistance in the development and distribution of survey material.

I also wish to thank the arborists and tree managers in the capital cities that I visited, for providing their time and resources: Ian Shears in Melbourne, Karen Sweeney in Brisbane, Lyndal Plant in Brisbane and David Hammer in Perth. Finally I wish to thank the trees managers in Adelaide who provided me with their time and co-operation in conducting in-depth interviews.