
Dear Gott,

I think if I were you I should cut out what I have done and leave your opinions as to order of preference where they were. The point of what I have done was not, of course, to give an independent estimate of palatability differing from that of the hornets or from your counts of hornet frequencies, but to see at what values your experiments could be made most constant. I did not suppose that they could be made absolutely constant or that the data would be free from the many other factors in your enumeration, but I fear that in defending your own opinion against the slightly discrepant opinion that I have formed you may be tempted to tear the reputation of your data to pieces. Certainly I shall have no reason to take offence and shall not be tempted to do so if you take no notice whatever of the values I have arrived at.
You might like to see, and please return, the frequency distribution of my figures on logarithmic scale, so that equal distances represent equivalent differences of relative palatability. A single grade on the scale represents a difference in palatability of approximately two to three.

Yours sincerely,