My dear Fisher,

I have been reading the report of my fellow worker, and discussing the problems with a refreshed soul into a good head. He isinclined to agree, but in regard to the number crossing in front of the central post, he wants to know how many of the 2,000,000 in 3 years are simply due to reduce wages in the normal course of events, as from boy to man. Thus be hobee, and I agree, should not count. I said that
Your figures, were based on the birth and death rates, in different social classes, and that their natural rise in wages was not included. I wish you would glance at this part of your address when it comes out, and if my nephew's is a reasonable criterion, I have written #, and if the answer is now given, correct, would you be inclined to send a brief note to the next review, to show the answer?
A nephew in law, a labour super., declares that the rate of earnings in different trades are so different, and that there is so little movement between them, that there is practically no correlation between wages and value or work done. I don't agree, but it is a harder point to answer, as one I am myself trying to deal with.

On quite a different point. There is a beneficial time or advance for an organism toward, and the seed, mutation occur in that direction of the specimen. Take 2 54th mutations, $g$, and $g'$. Of these $g'$ is like the parent, bringing off a fresh mutation toward $g$, in every