The main advantage of mating together eminent persons, in comparison with their selecting their own mates and mating at random, would be the consequent appearance of intellectual giants in the next generation or two. As to ultimate results, approximately the same effect would be produced by eminent persons mating together and producing two additional children and eminent persons mating at random and producing three additional children. It is a nice choice which would be the more costly and troublesome proceeding. It should also be noted that if the selected persons were in consequence of their selection moved out of a more fertile into a less fertile stratum of society, and if their descendants remained in that less fertile stratum, the ultimate result would be dysgenic whatever might be the more immediate consequence. In these circumstances, to create an improved type in perpetuity would necessitate the establishment of a rigid caste system.
I state that the racial advantages of mating together selected couples as compared with allowing each person to select his or her own mate are (1) the immediate effect, or the effects on the next 2 or 3 generations, and (2) the greater results perhaps thus be obtained for the same money, as one stimulates three effects of selected individuals.

Do this so? Say we select a man and a woman in a population of 8000, height being the quality, and they have two children extra. Their height is 9" above the average, and their 2 children 6" each; 12" to be distributed amongst the 8000. Let them mate separately to average mates. They will have children 4½" in above average, will they not?

Or, if they have one child extra each, there will be nine inches to distribute. Thus the same results will be produced by making the selected individuals if mated at random produce 3 children, or if mated together produce 2 children. This seems an odd law, if true. My old note is not strictly accurate. Should I not say the chief racial advantage?