June 10 1920
12 H. Egerton Place,
S.W.8

Dear Fisher,

My address this year will deal with but limitation, a subject on which I fear we differ. I am careful to say there are such differences of opinion. I showed what I had written to the Dean as a person with whom I am in general agreement. In my paper I say “as to highly developed sexual passions, it is doubtful if they should be included in the list or not. [eg of natural qualities] tending to encourage or
promote birth limitation] for though they promote all methods of birth limitation, except abstinence, by making abstinence difficult, yet, on the other hand, they stand in the way of such practices by temporarily dictating all thoughts of the future. Then in a note I say: "It seems like the ring of fate that abstinence in marriage is the only method of birth limitation which seems certain to increase the power of this sexual passion in the coming generations." The Dean in his
Comments said this note made him jump! Ought it to have been so? I see no flaw in it yet. I should be obliged by a brief reply.

Yours sincerely,

D. Davises