Crapps's C due, Frievs Riv. Sunus. 3/4/33

Dear Feisbi,

It is very good of you to trouble yourself so much about my free will. I fear we must conclude that we cannot cross our thoughts, one to the other, and this makes me suspect I must have a blind spot in my mental eye. But it does not much matter, as I have no thought of publishing. I came across this sentence in Bohe's writing in Nature yesterday, "I think we all agree with Newton that the real basis of science is the conviction that nature under the same conditions will always exhibit the same regularities." The reason, I think, that present events are rigidly connected with past events. Some great souls think that nature is indeterminate, but that I cannot agree, and am glad to find some on my side; it the Euclid, which burn.

If there are 150 suicides this year, I believe that in the same circumstances exactly there must be 150 next year; I think it is for ye to show care, when similar present events show different, subsequent events, because of free will; and, if you cannot, free will anyhow come for very little, instead of being the most important thing in the whole world, as I hold, when in my libertarian mood. But it is no good wasting your time any more. I have been interested for long in this question. Ever since I wrote a rough draft of what I wrote
in Eugenic Reform. pp 510 x 511, and showed it to a clever lady professor of philosophy at Bedford College, who gave it more or less her blessing. But we had better close this book, or we shall go on for ever.

I guess I shall send you what I have written about the uniformity of villain characters before long. After that I hope I shall not trouble you much more!

Yours sincerely,

L. Darwin.