Dear Sister,

Many thanks for your letter, which was forwarded to me here. I am taking a week's holiday in Devon (returning to Oxford next Thursday).

Last Wednesday, before leaving Oxford, I sent you the completed butterfly paper: except for the summary, which I did not like to add till the details respecting the mathematical analysis were complete. I don't know if has reached you yet,
for I gather from the enclosed letter from Stevens that it was opened at your laboratory and, I expect, is waiting for you there (re-reading, it seems to have been sent to you).

I think the best thing would be for me to come up and see you in regard to the details of the paper. On my return, I will suggest some details. I know how busy you are but, if your own analysis does not require much more detailed work, it would aid us if you could include that—. If you are too pressed, perhaps I could do some work in the back part of my notes writing up from your data. Your own writing would be greatly preferable. Can we hope for the judge to have your name on the paper—
If you think it - write while?

I am glad you think the
viability... work not attempting - so
it would be enjoyable to write as.

No I had not a 1/1 expectation
in mind. Rather, a known number
of eggs of the two forms: wild
type (recassie) and melanic
(heliconvates) hatched up together
in good and bad (e.g. over-
crowded) conditions. There is no
visible difference till the second
stage for (though melanic larvae,
controlled by different genes, are known)
normally
the melanin affects only the adult rats. This would be better than
departures from a 1:1 expectation, would it not?

I look forward to talking
this over too.

Many thanks,

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

It seems best to stand on Shinn's letter,
so you will see how the land lies.