Dear Dr. Fisher,

The 19th century after did not accept that article on the cause of our falling population. I have given up the idea of publishing it anywhere. As I wrote to you, religious people simply will not accept the finality inherent in heredity. They feel that man can and must take superior to his heritage.

I saw a letter from you written in, I think, the Spectator, appealing for funds for a central clearing-house of information on human heredity and one sending $1.00 a very modest contribution. I hope you will get the necessary money.
I do not doubt for a moment that
mum money is required. But when
you ask what will render
incalculably more effective all other
philanthropic endeavours, I can't
be wrong. Your may take a
home to a fond, but you can't force
him to drink. In nearly 80 years
Darwin's demonstration of the inheritance
pervous qualities, the possibility of
selection in making great change in
domestic animals, plants has been
before a public which he thoroughly
accepted his teaching a perfect
animal but in all its life, in the
and its social services has acted
directly contrary thereto. And to those who don’t look below the surface it would seem that the onus lies on those right in this. For this reason I am inclined to despair of any improvement in the human race through conscious selection. It is difficult indeed for people who can see the immense amount of variation among the members of our (old fashioned) family to have any faith in human himself in selection.

On the other hand, the idea that real improvement could be brought about...
by selection among the species.

This theory has been derived in me

[Signature: P. F. F. Tyson]