Dear Professor Fisher,

I am so glad that you have written to the Times. Many thanks for letting me see this copy.

I hope that my letter will not attempt to suggest for the discussion as they did me. They said that my only had one column now for letters and that mine would fill almost the whole of it; would I reduce it by one third? Actually I reduced it by one quarter and got away with it.

I think it will be useful if you should put this to your readers, but it might interest you to know how it strikes a reader. I don’t think they can really be spared, but under necessity I should be inclined to the view that the second paragraph could be reduced to one sentence, which would include the point in the last sentence of it (you would then have to assume some background if understood in the reader); and that the reference to propaganda, though most important, could be omitted.
will least harm. Then you would end at "furnace" on the top of the 3 and proceed straight to the next paragraph. A fact which I believe impeded...

I apologize for not having your letter for you; but I am so anxious that the letter should appear and appreciate that you might feel "they must have it as it is a matter of" — a very proper attitude, but one which in view of the importance of the letter I hope you would not on consideration adopt.

But perhaps all my fears are groundless.

For yours,

R.F. Harrad.