3rd May, 1957.

Dear Kimura,

I have looked through your typescript with interest, and believe it should be acceptable for *Heredity*. I should like, however, to add to the summary a short explication in accordance with your last two letters in case it should be thought, as it might be from your wording as it now stands, that your conclusions differed from mine, and was not an explicit reformulation of effectively identical conclusions. The added words I suggest are:

"It is the reformulation of the results published by Fisher in 1930 under the title "The Fundamental Theorem of Natural Selection", and in no way differs from it in substance. $\Theta$ corresponds with $\lambda$ of Fisher's treatment (*Annals of Eugenics*, Vol.11, pp.53-63, 1941)."

I wonder if you know Kitagawa, whom I had the pleasure of meeting recently in India? If so, I should be glad if you will convey to him my kind regards and my expectation to meet him again in Stockholm, where he may like to see a paper I have recently given to the Biometric Society on statements of a sub-probabilistic kind.

Sincerely yours,
It is the reformulation of the results published by Fisher in 1930 under the title "The Fundamental Theorem of Natural Selection", and in no way differs from it in substance. \( \theta \) corresponds with \( \lambda \) of Fisher's treatment (Annals of Eugenics Vol.11, pp.53-63, 1941).