By dear Korenchevsky,

First I should like to congratulate you on the good news with which you and your letter. I am sure that those of us who have lived for research for the greater part of our lives can find no happiness in retirement if it means a divorce from the previous research activities. I have often wondered where and with whom I could, when aged 65, offer myself as a voluntary assistant.

Your plan is really a better one, because it allows you what is very important, a personal assistant with whom ideas can be discussed and plans made.

On the first part of your letter, I should of course be glad to discuss any points arising out of the results, either as originally proposed with yourself, or as you now suggest with Drs. Bishop and Mayly. I do, however, want to make it clear that any point on which there is doubt or on which a technical second opinion is thought to be needed
should be picked out for discussion with me as a consultant rather than that I should be asked to peruse and survey the whole mass of material. This indeed I should never do if there were in my mind any doubt, as there constantly is, as to/why and wherefore of the different points of procedure adopted.

Yours sincerely,