21 January 1933.

Dr. E.S. Pearson,
Biometric Laboratory,
University College,
Gower Street,
w.C. 1.

Dear Pearson:

Jeffrey's paper was Proc. Roy. Soc., A, 138, pp. 48-55. I think altogether fallacious, through assuming that the probability of the last of three observations lying between the other two should be 1/3 irrespective of the distance apart of the two previous observations, and not merely on the average of all such distances.

The College Authorities certainly have a difficult position to face, and the division of subjects may perhaps be their best solution. To separate them, is, however, rather like undoing what your father had done so much to do. Still the responsibility for any such harm must lie with his successors.

Yours sincerely,