My dear Fraser Roberts,

Thanks for letting me see your letter. Just now I am much discouraged about writing to Nature at all as their letters are so badly printed and will be continuous error in our pamphlet collections. What you say is always interesting, in spite of the fact that I am not much inclined to agree with your suggestions.

(a) I don't believe that mere mutation pressure, as Wright calls it, is ever effectual in producing evolutionary changes. If African and European populations have been subjected to European reproductions for 50000 generations, it seems a good deal, still the mutation rates could have been quite exceptional.

(b) You probably noticed that Pace and Mourant had shown that the chimpanzee "gene" is not the same as the human "γ".

(c) The nature and attraction of selection exerted by haemolytic disease in the existing human upon seems to me quite unknown. Certainly nothing of the kind has been demonstrated.

(d) We don't yet know enough about the frequency of the elementary antigen in non-European races and I think we can't know much until
English samples from any district have been tested with $\delta$.

Yours sincerely,