Dear Todd,

A while ago Nature sent me a controversial letter from a Major Hume not unlike the sort of criticism which appeared in The New Scientist, volume 4, page 440, on July 17th. I wrote to the editors that I had a good deal of further data capable of refuting the obvious criticism on which these writers seem to rest and rely, and that I could write again a longer letter embodying these new data, if they so desired.

I have now received the enclosed, which I hope you will return to me and which I am sending so that you may know the position. I propose to write the article they suggest embodying some of Eliot Slater's data and pointing out its relevance. There would be also, I think, an opportunity within the total of 1500 words suggested of summarizing the paper of Todd and Mason, or at least the main points it contributes, and advance information as to where it will appear. Let me know what you think.

Sincerely yours,

Enc.