

29 August 1930.

Dr W. Weinberg,
Hohenstanfenstrasse, 7,
STUTTGART,
Germany.

Dear Dr Weinberg,

Thanks for your letter of about a fortnight since, which arrived during my absence attending the Botanical Congress in Cambridge.

I very much agree with you as to the futility of discussions as to priority. I would further say that it seems to me ^{nearly} merely useless to discuss statistical methods in the abstract apart from the consideration of the data to which they are to be applied. Only when all that is known of the origin and method of collection of the data is brought under consideration can one say that one method is better than another, or even if there is any essential difference between them.

I am sure you will always be honoured abroad, and I hope also in your own country for your pioneer work upon the Mendelian or other interpretation of human data.

Yours sincerely,

P.S. I should be glad to have back the T.S. of the Inverse Probability paper back when you have done with it. R.A.F.