13 June 1933.

Dr. J. Wishart, F.R.S.E.,
School of Agriculture,
CAMBRIDGE.

Dear Wishart:

Thanks for the log tables which I return herewith. A small point which occurred to me in looking over the differences is that I expect to find the differences in the same line from 0 to 9 divided by 90, unless you have evidently used some other convention. Between 9 and 0 I suppose the reader would either take proportional parts or the mean of the differences given for the two lines. Whatever convention is used, however, it should be noted and explained.

I enclose also 7-figure frame for an extended table of $z$. The missing values in the last column should I think be obtained from (even) interpolating the odd values. I forget why there are four missing values in the first column, these do not affect interpolation, but it would be as well to get them in. I will try later to recover the 5 per cent. material. Values for 16, 18, 21 could be interpolated as easily for 7, 9, 10, 11; and as we are only printing 4 or 5 figures in each table this would make a better double page (18 columns than
the present 15 columns).

I have scarcely the vaguest ideas about the B.A. I must see Mrs. Nicholson about it. I may cut it altogether, as it is a waste of everyone's time to get up and talk for the sake of talking.

The Department at University College looks like being the Hell of a job to pull together, but one can but try.

Yours sincerely,