5 April 1933.

Dr. J. Wishart, F.R.S.E.;
School of Agriculture,
CAMBRIDGE.

Dear Wishart:

I do not suppose in the least you realised how much you annoyed me yesterday, by saying in "The Times" that I was working for the Society for Psychical Research. No doubt you meant that members of that Society were as liable to approach me for advice as agronomists or doctors, but your assertion will certainly be understood as meaning that I have accepted employment by that body. I do not know that anything you or I could do will avail to annul the unfortunate impression which your words must have given. I am quite sure you will do anything in your power, if, on consideration, any such step seems worth taking.

With respect to tables I am not awfully keen to encourage people to make tests of significance in the $\eta^2$ or $R^2$ form, as I feel sure they understand best what they are doing if they arrange their work as an Analysis of Variance and this consideration weighs with me most where the literature
is most confusing, as with the correlation ratio and tests of fitness of regression lines.

It would be a matter of no great difficulty to calculate $z$, $e^{2x}$ and $R^2$ for $n_1 = 7, 9, 10, 11$ and of course for any values of $n_2$ which may seem useful. I think though, that the tables for these three quantities should all be similar in their arrangement, so as to emphasise that exactly the same test is being applied in all cases.

Yours sincerely,