

# **Phenolic Extraction and Juice Expression during White Wine Production**

by

**Simon Jonathan Nordestgaard**

B.E. (Chemical) Honours / B.Ec.

Thesis submitted for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

at

The University of Adelaide  
School of Chemical Engineering

July 2011

## **ABSTRACT**

Phenolic compounds are important constituents of white wine that can impart bitterness and astringency and influence stability and colour. The specific types and concentrations of phenolic compounds vary between the different grape tissues. For example grape skins contain much higher concentrations of phenolics than grape pulp. Consequently, grape processing techniques, particularly the method by which juice is expressed, can influence the phenolic profile of white wines. Concerns of elevated phenolic levels tend to lead to conservative practices such as limiting the time between machine harvesting and winery processing, and the use of relatively expensive batch draining and pressing equipment.

Phenolic extraction during pomace contact, such as might occur after machine harvesting prior to winery processing, was investigated at a controlled laboratory scale. Contact time significantly increased phenolic extraction as did the fraction of broken berries. However, given the uncertainty in the amount of berry breakage in industrial practice, full scale transport trials should be performed by wineries where economic advantage could be gained from relaxing restriction on times between harvesting and winery processing.

Techniques used for white grape juice expression both now and in the past were critically reviewed and winery sampling was performed to develop a practical understanding of operational issues. For the expression of juice from white grapes, pneumatic membrane presses have been increasingly adopted both for pressing and draining. This is principally a product of their ability to express high yields of juice with relatively low levels of phenolics and suspended solids. These devices can be operated in many different manners and quality and productivity is highly dependent on the specific mode of operation. Adaptive programmes based principally on continuous assessment of juice flow rate, and the use of conductivity measurement to monitor skin extraction are important tools that can aid economic optimisation of expression operations.

The principal problem with the pneumatic membrane press is that it is a batch operation with a relatively low throughput. In the longer term, the ideal outcome would be the development of expression equipment that achieved the high throughput and relatively low cost per tonne of continuous inclined drainers and screw presses, while still maintaining the quality obtained with pneumatic membrane presses. Continuous devices that mimic the repeated cycles of compression and crumbling of these batch presses may be one means of achieving this. Exploration of the different continuous screw presses used historically suggests that there still may be room for improvement in these devices. This merits further specific investigation.

Experiments involving repeated cycles of compression and crumbling were performed with a constant rate laboratory apparatus. These experiments demonstrated the importance of bed height, pressing speed, sieve plate design and crumbling on press operation. Solids content appears to be a major

issue in the development of rapid juice expression equipment. One means of achieving appropriately low solids levels, without requiring large devices with large cake beds for juice filtration, may be to maintain the structure of the grape for as long as possible so that juice is filtered as it is expelled from the berry.

## **THESIS DECLARATION**

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Simon Jonathan Nordestgaard and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Simon Jonathan Nordestgaard

05/07/2011

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I wish to thank all organisations and persons who have offered advice or assistance during this work. This includes several wineries and their technical and operating staff.

I thank Australian grape growers and winemakers and the Australian Government for funding via the Grape and Wine Research and Development Corporation.

I thank my academic supervisors; Associate Professor Brian O'Neill, Dr Chris Colby, Dr Elizabeth Waters and Associate Professor Graham Jones.

I also thank The School of Chemical Engineering Workshop staff; Brian Mulcahy, Jason Peak, and Jeffrey Hiorns, for apparatus construction and Ian Cates for his assistance with instrumentation.

Finally, I express my gratitude to my wife Pamela and to my parents for their support and encouragement.

## **REFEREED PUBLICATIONS**

1. **Nordestgaard, S.**, Waters, E., Jones, G. and O'Neill, B. (2009) Laboratory studies of white grape compression and its application to winery pressing. In: Proceedings of Chemeca 2009, Engineering our Future: Are we up to the Challenge? 27-30 September 2009, Perth, Australia.
2. **Nordestgaard, S.** and O'Neill, B. (2010) Extraction of phenolic compounds during white grape pomace contact. In: Proceedings of Chemeca 2010, Engineering at the Edge. 26-29 September 2010, Adelaide, Australia.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
THESIS DECLARATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
REFEREED PUBLICATIONS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	2
1.1 Project background	2
1.2 Thesis objective	2
1.3 Thesis structure	2
1.4 Terminology	3
CHAPTER 2: PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS IN WHITE WINE, THEIR ORIGIN AND INFLUENCE ON QUALITY	4
2.1 Phenolic compounds in white wine and their origin	4
2.1.1 Non-flavonoid phenolic compounds	5
2.1.1.1 Hydroxycinnamates	5
2.1.1.2 Hydroxybenzoic acids	5
2.1.1.3 Tyrosol	6
2.1.1.4 Stilbenes	6
2.1.1.5 Hydrolysable tannins	6
2.1.1.6 Volatile phenols	6
2.1.2 Flavonoid phenolic compounds	7
2.1.2.1 Flavan-3-ols and proanthocyanidins	7
2.1.2.2 Flavonols	7
2.1.2.3 Flavanonols	7
2.2 Grape structure and expression related composition	8
2.3 White wine taste and mouthfeel	10
2.3.1 Non-flavonoid phenolic compounds	10
2.3.2 Flavonoid phenolic compounds	11
2.3.3 Complex phenolic oxidation adducts	12
2.4 White wine stability	12
2.5 Conclusions	13
CHAPTER 3: EXTRACTION OF PHENOLIC COMPOUNDS DURING POMACE CONTACT	14
3.1 Materials and methods	14
3.1.1 Grape picking	14
3.1.2 General characterisation	15
3.1.2.1 Grape characterisation	15
3.1.2.2 Juice characterisation	15
3.1.3 Grape component phenolic characterisation	16
3.1.3.1 Solvent extraction	16
3.1.3.2 Phenolic analysis	16
3.1.4 Pomace contact studies	17

3.1.4.1 Complete berry crushing	17
3.1.4.2 Partial berry crushing	17
3.1.4.3 Phenolic analysis	18
3.1.4.4 Regression analysis	18
3.2 Results and discussion	19
3.2.1 General grape and juice characterisation	19
3.2.2 Component phenolic characterisation	20
3.2.3 Berry component and juice phenolic concentration	22
3.2.4 Fundamental processes during pomace contact	24
3.2.5 Pomace contact (complete berry crushing)	26
3.2.6 Pomace contact (partial berry crushing)	33
3.2.7 Yields	38
3.2.8 Analytical techniques	38
3.3 Conclusions	42
CHAPTER 4: JUICE EXPRESSION OBJECTIVES, DESTEMMING, CRUSHING AND DRAINING	44
4.1 Objectives in white juice expression	44
4.2 Destemming and crushing	45
4.3 Non-mechanical treatments to facilitate expression	48
4.4 Draining	49
4.4.1 Batch/static drainers	49
4.4.2 Continuous drainers	54
4.5 Conclusions	58
CHAPTER 5: PRESSING	59
5.1 Batch presses	59
5.1.1 Vertical basket presses	59
5.1.2 Rack and cloth presses	59
5.1.3 Horizontal plate presses	61
5.1.4 Pneumatic presses	68
5.2 Continuous presses	78
5.2.1 Screw presses	78
5.2.2 Belt presses	87
5.2.3 Pneumatic presses	88
5.2.4 Decanter centrifuges	90
5.3 Conclusions	91
CHAPTER 6: ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS IN JUICE EXPRESSION	92
6.1 Batch and continuous equipment	92
6.2 Pneumatic membrane press operation and press cuts	94
6.3 Conclusions	97
CHAPTER 7: WINERY SAMPLING OF JUICE EXPRESSION EQUIPMENT	99
7.1 Materials and methods	99
7.1.1 Juice expression strategies	99
7.1.2 Sampling points	104
7.1.3 Sample analysis	112
7.2 Results and Discussion	114
7.2.1 Phenolic content	115
7.2.2 Conductivity	117
7.2.3 Solids content	121

7.2.4 Operational observations	126
7.3 Conclusions	126
CHAPTER 8: EXPRESSION TECHNIQUES IN OTHER INDUSTRIES	127
8.1 Red wine production	127
8.2 Waste treatment sludge dewatering	127
8.3 Apple juice production	128
8.4 Oilseed processing	132
8.5 Sugar cane processing	135
8.6 Conclusions	139
CHAPTER 9: FUNDAMENTAL STUDIES OF GRAPE JUICE EXPRESSION	140
9.1 Materials and methods	140
9.1.1 Laboratory pressing apparatus	140
9.1.2 Experimental technique	142
9.1.3 Sample analysis	143
9.2 Results and discussion	144
9.2.1 General observations	144
9.2.2 Crumbling	147
9.2.3 Bed height	149
9.2.4 Pressing speed and sieve plate design	151
9.2.5 Whole or crushed berries	153
9.2.6 Constant rate and constant pressure compression	156
9.2.7 Analytical implications for drainer/press development, optimisation and control	158
9.3 Conclusions	160
CHAPTER 10: KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	162
10.1 Harvesting and transport prior to winery processing	162
10.2 Operation of existing juice expression equipment	162
10.3 Development of new juice expression equipment	163
REFERENCES	166
APPENDIX A: ADDITIONAL DATA FOR CHAPTER 3	179
APPENDIX B: ADDITIONAL DATA FOR CHAPTER 7	184
APPENDIX C: ADDITIONAL DATA FOR CHAPTER 9	188

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Simplified white table wine production process with a summary of thesis coverage	1
Figure 2.1: Flavonoid ring system with ring and position labels	4
Figure 2.2: Structure of selected non-flavonoid phenolic compounds	6
Figure 2.3: Structure of selected flavonoid phenolic compounds	8
Figure 2.4: Longitudinal section of one side of a grape berry showing its constituent tissues	10
Figure 3.1: (a) Draining plate and (b) simple ram	15
Figure 3.2: Grape component extract spectra (component concentration, with and without PVPP treatment)	21
Figure 3.3: Seed and skin extract spectra (berry concentration, with and without PVPP treatment)	22
Figure 3.4: Spectra of phenolic standards (100 mg/L)	22
Figure 3.5: Influence of pomace contact (100% crushed, 50 mg/kg SO <sub>2</sub> , approximately 12 °C) on spectra for different lots	23
Figure 3.6: Influence of crushing on constituents for pomace contact	25
Figure 3.7: Cellular representation of a section of skin with pulp attached submerged in the already expressed bulk juice	26
Figure 3.8: Extraction kinetics for Barossa Valley Chardonnay (100% crushed, Replicate 2)	29
Figure 3.9: Extraction kinetics for Eden Valley Riesling (100% crushed)	31
Figure 3.10: Extraction kinetics for Langhorne Creek Chardonnay (50 mg/kg SO <sub>2</sub> , Replicate 1)	34
Figure 3.11: Extraction kinetics for Eden Valley Riesling (50 mg/kg SO <sub>2</sub> )	36
Figure 3.12: Spectra after treatment of juice samples with 100 g/L PVPP (Samples from pomace contact experiments, 100% crushed, 50 mg/kg SO <sub>2</sub> , approximately 23 °C)	41
Figure 4.1: Destemmer paddles (view from stem-exit end)	47
Figure 4.2: Destemmer cage (view from outside)	47
Figure 4.3: Crusher rollers	48
Figure 4.4: MacKenzie static type separator	50
Figure 4.5: Potter drainer/fermenter	51
Figure 4.6: MacKenzie carbon dioxide pressure separator	52
Figure 4.7: Miller 20-ton twin screw pressure drainer	53
Figure 4.8: Winery Systems juice separator	53
Figure 4.9: Pera Elite Tank	54
Figure 4.10: Rotating cylindrical draining screen prior to press basket loading	56
Figure 4.11: Inclined drainer in conjunction with a screw press	56
Figure 4.12: Static anti-return device on a partially disassembled inclined drainer	57
Figure 4.13: Inclined drainer feed hopper with brushes on screw thread	57
Figure 5.1: Vertical basket press	60
Figure 5.2: Rack and cloth press	61
Figure 5.3: Vaslin internal spindle press with two moving compression plates	63
Figure 5.4: Willmes WHA external spindle press with one moving compression plate	64
Figure 5.5: Bucher hydraulic press with one moving compression plate	64

Figure 5.6: Ropes in a Willmes ABC press	65
Figure 5.7: Rings and chains in a Vaslin 3.2 m <sup>3</sup> press	65
Figure 5.8: Operation of Vaslin-style internal spindle press with two moving compression plates and a ring and chain system	66
Figure 5.9: Pressure profile for pressing of whole clusters of Sauvignon Blanc grapes in manual mode with a Vaslin 22 VT internal spindle press with two moving compression plates and rotating spindle	66
Figure 5.10: Vaslin press with annular doors	66
Figure 5.11: Vaslin CEP 250S press	67
Figure 5.12: Vaslin CEP press operation	67
Figure 5.13: Hypothesised variable degree of expression in horizontal plate press (conceptual only)	67
Figure 5.14: Willmes pneumatic press	72
Figure 5.15: Internal view of a Bucher-Vaslin XP320 membrane press (membrane retracted)	72
Figure 5.16: Drainage channels in a Bucher-Vaslin XP320 membrane press	73
Figure 5.17: Three-dimensional drainage system for Bucher-(Vaslin) presses	73
Figure 5.18: Vertical central stainless steel juice channels in a Willmes press	74
Figure 5.19: Vertical central flexi-drain juice channels in a Willmes press	74
Figure 5.20: Generalised pneumatic press arrangements	75
Figure 5.21: Standard press programme (conceptual only)	76
Figure 5.22: Sequential press programme (conceptual only)	76
Figure 5.23: Internal view of a Bucher-Vaslin XP320 membrane press showing axial filling inlet (membrane retracted)	77
Figure 5.24: Spiral elements set under retracted press membrane to convey pressed pomace to exit door(s) during emptying by tank rotation	77
Figure 5.25: Colin screw press with twin end on end counter-rotating screws	81
Figure 5.26: Screw press toothed obturator wheel	81
Figure 5.27: Mabile screw press c. 1929	82
Figure 5.28: Selected screw presses (partial circular protrusions at the end of the hopper, likely conceal toothed anti-return wheels, not at same scale)	82
Figure 5.29: Coq Bi-valve continuous screw press	83
Figure 5.30: Marzola PAP100 continuous screw press	83
Figure 5.31: First screw section of Marzola PAP1000 continuous screw press	84
Figure 5.32: Second screw section of Marzola PAP1000 continuous screw press	84
Figure 5.33: Anti-return device of Marzola PAP1000 continuous screw press	85
Figure 5.34: Partially assembled Marzola PAP1000 continuous screw press with anti-return device installed	85
Figure 5.35: Overhead view of a hopper of an empty Marzola PAP1000 continuous screw press	86
Figure 5.36: Marzola PAP1000 continuous screw press screens viewed from (a) inside and (b) outside the chamber	86
Figure 5.37: Rietz/Vincent Cushion Cone continuous screw press	87
Figure 5.38: Sernagiotto NOLM belt press	88
Figure 5.39: MacKenzie pneumatic press	89
Figure 5.40: Siprem continuous membrane press	90

Figure 5.41: Westfalia decanter centrifuge	91
Figure 7.1: Simplified flow diagram of juice expression at Winery A	101
Figure 7.2: Simplified flow diagram of juice expression using Process 1 at Winery B	102
Figure 7.3: Simplified flow diagram of juice expression using Process 2 at Winery B	103
Figure 7.4: Draining section at feed to destemmer-crusher	105
Figure 7.5: Underside of draining section at feed to destemmer-crusher (drain hole to buffer tank shown)	105
Figure 7.6: Buffer tank for destemmer-crusher run-off	106
Figure 7.7: Centrifugal pump for pumping out destemmer-crusher run-off buffer (sampling location marked)	106
Figure 7.8: Miller 800 mm inclined drainer	107
Figure 7.9: Inclined drainer screens (juice dripping onto plastic drip sheets)	107
Figure 7.10: Underside view of inclined drainer (sampling location marked)	108
Figure 7.11: Coq 800 mm Bi-valve continuous screw press	108
Figure 7.12: Winery A continuous screw press sampling locations	109
Figure 7.13: Miller static drainers (door of drainer #5 opening to evacuate pomace onto screw-conveyors)	109
Figure 7.14: Velo PMC550 membrane press	110
Figure 7.15: Two of the buffer tanks into which static drainer juice or membrane press juice flows	110
Figure 7.16: Sample tap immediately downstream of static drainer and membrane press buffer tanks	111
Figure 7.17: Marzola PAP1000 continuous screw presses	111
Figure 7.18: Rotary screens servicing 2 screw presses (Winery B - Process 1 sampling locations marked)	112
Figure 7.19: Stainless steel strainer and PP funnel for preliminary bulk solids removal	113
Figure 7.20: Spin test tubes for maximum (a) 3%, (b) 6%, and (c) 10% solids content	113
Figure 7.21: Juice sample spectra from Winery A and Winery B – Process 1	117
Figure 7.22: $A_{280}$ along the length of the screw press at winery A	117
Figure 7.23: Conductivity along the length of the screw press at Winery A	119
Figure 7.24: Conductivity with respect to yield and time during sampling of a membrane press at Winery C while axial filling, draining and pressing	120
Figure 7.25: Relationship between conductivity and $A_{280}$ for juice samples collected during winery sampling	120
Figure 7.26: Solids content along the length of the screw press at Winery A	123
Figure 7.27: Microscopy (100 ×) for Winery A samples	124
Figure 7.28: Microscopy (100 ×) for Winery B – Process 1 samples	125
Figure 7.29: Microscopy (100 ×) for Winery B – Process 2 samples	125
Figure 8.1: Bucher HPX 5005iP horizontal hydraulic single plate press with cloth covered drainage cores and schematic of operating principle	129
Figure 8.2: Jones Pressmaster vertical screw press	131
Figure 8.3: Ensink belt press for apple juice production	131
Figure 8.4: Flottweg belt press for apple juice production	132

Figure 8.5: Schematic of Flottweg belt press for apple juice production	132
Figure 8.6: Partially disassembled oilseed screw press	134
Figure 8.7: Anderson Super duo Expeller 55 with conditioner	134
Figure 8.8: Traditional three-roll sugar cane mill	137
Figure 8.9: (a) Simple two-roll sugar cane mill model and (b) mill pressure profile	137
Figure 8.10: Sugar cane mill roll surfaces with Messchaert grooves	138
Figure 8.11: Sugar cane Lotus roller	138
Figure 8.12: Bundaberg High Extraction two-roll sugar cane mill	139
Figure 9.1: Laboratory pressing apparatus	141
Figure 9.2: (a) Standard, and (b) alternate sieve plates	142
Figure 9.3: Laboratory pressing apparatus (a) mount, and (b) compression cylinder	142
Figure 9.4: Pressure and juice expression profile during the first press cycle of 1 kg of whole 2009 vintage Barossa Valley Chardonnay grapes at 10 mm/min (with corresponding photos of pomace)	145
Figure 9.5: Representation of part of the cake structure during different stages of compression	146
Figure 9.6: Pressure and juice expression profile during repeated press cycles of 1 kg of whole 2009 vintage Barossa Valley Chardonnay grapes at 10 mm/min	146
Figure 9.7: Yield comparison with and without crumbling for pressing of 1 kg of whole 2009 vintage Barossa Valley Chardonnay grapes at 10 mm/min	148
Figure 9.8: Yield comparison with and without crumbling for pressing of 1 kg of 100% pre-crushed 2009 vintage Barossa Valley Chardonnay grapes at 10 mm/min	149
Figure 9.9: Effect of bed height on solids and phenolic content for whole 2009 vintage Barossa Valley Chardonnay grapes pressed at 10 mm/min	150
Figure 9.10: Effect of bed height and press speed on juice yield for whole 2009 vintage Langhorne Creek Chardonnay grapes	151
Figure 9.11: Effect of pressing speed on solids and phenolic content for 500 g of whole 2009 vintage Langhorne Creek Chardonnay grapes	153
Figure 9.12: effect of pressing speed and sieve plate design on solids and phenolic content for 125 g of whole 2009 vintage Adelaide Hills Chardonnay grapes	153
Figure 9.13: Influence of the fraction of pre-crushed berries on cumulative yield for 1 kg of 2008 vintage Eden Valley Riesling pressed at 10 mm/min	155
Figure 9.14: Effect of pre-crushing on solids and phenolic content for 500 g of 2009 vintage Langhorne Creek Chardonnay grapes pressed at 10 mm/min	155
Figure 9.15: Representation of juice expellation under different regimes	156
Figure 9.16: Effect of juice back-addition on solids and phenolic content for 500 g of pre-crushed 2009 vintage Langhorne Creek Chardonnay grapes pressed at 10 mm/min	156
Figure 9.17: Generalised pressure and juice expression profile under constant rate and constant pressure compression for an individual press cycle	158
Figure 9.18: Relationship between conductivity and $A_{280}$ for juice samples collected during 2008 and 2009 vintage laboratory pressing experiments	159
Figure 9.19: Relationship between pH and $A_{280}$ for juice samples collected during 2008 and 2009 vintage laboratory pressing experiments	160

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Indicative levels of phenolics in young white table wines without oak contact	5
Table 3.1: Berry component mass fractions	19
Table 3.2: Berry diameter and mass	19
Table 3.3: General juice analyses	20
Table 3.4: HPLC analysis of selected juice samples	24
Table 3.5: Regression analysis for Barossa Valley Chardonnay (100% crushed)	30
Table 3.6: Regression analysis for Eden Valley Riesling (100% crushed)	32
Table 3.7: Regression analysis for Langhorne Creek Chardonnay (50 mg/kg SO <sub>2</sub> )	35
Table 3.8: Regression analysis for Eden Valley Riesling (50 mg/kg SO <sub>2</sub> )	37
Table 3.9: Indicative quantification of phenolic levels for Langhorne Creek Chardonnay using regression model (20% crushed, 50 mg/kg SO <sub>2</sub> , 400 L/tonne, 20 °C)	38
Table 3.10: HPLC analysis of juice samples with and without PVPP treatment	42
Table 6.1: Capital cost comparison for batch and continuous expression equipment	94
Table 6.2: Loss in revenue from press cuts prior to the yield where the free-run quality would be detrimentally affected	96
Table 7.1: Winery A analytical results	114
Table 7.2: Winery B – Process 1 analytical results	114
Table 7.3: Winery B – Process 2 analytical results	115
Table 7.4: Coefficient of determination (R <sup>2</sup> ) between A <sub>280</sub> and conductivity for juice samples collected during winery sampling	121
Table 9.1: Analytical results for samples collected during pressing of 1 kg of whole 2009 vintage Barossa Valley Chardonnay grapes at 10 mm/min	147
Table 9.2: Coefficient of determination (R <sup>2</sup> ) between A <sub>280</sub> and conductivity or pH for juice samples collected during 2008 and 2009 vintage laboratory pressing experiments	160