

Collaborative Interdisciplinary Publication
Skills Education:
Implementation and implications in
international science research contexts

Margaret Cargill

B.A. (German and French) University of Sydney

Dip.Ed., University of Sydney

M.Ed (TESOL), University of South Australia

A research portfolio submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Education

School of Education, The University of Adelaide

March 2011

Table of contents

List of figures	i
List of tables	ii
Abstract	v
Signed statement	vii
Acknowledgements	ix
List of abbreviations	x
1 Introduction to the Portfolio	1
1.1 Scene setting: the need for publication skills education in the sciences	1
1.2 Education for getting science research manuscripts published	2
1.2.1 Science research communication: a broader context than (post)graduate education	4
1.3 Strengths and weaknesses of advice authored by expert practitioners	4
1.4 Theoretical framework: Genre analysis, discourse communities and communities of practice.....	8
1.5 A reflective practitioner approach to international and intercultural implementation of educational innovation.....	15
1.6 Overview of portfolio design and study contexts.....	18
1.7 Aims and contexts of the three research projects	20
1.7.1 Project 1– Developing a publishing pedagogy based on interdisciplinary collaboration: Theoretical bases and initial implementation of CIPSE.....	20
1.7.1.1 Aims.....	20
1.7.1.2 Educational context.....	20
1.7.2 Project 2 – Examining interdisciplinary collaborations: possibilities and challenges for CIPSE implementation	21
1.7.2.1 Aims.....	21
1.7.2.2 Educational context.....	21
1.7.3 Project 3 – Investigating contextual factors: Implementing CIPSE for Chinese science researchers at differing career stages.....	22
1.7.3.1 Aims.....	22
1.7.3.2 Educational context.....	22
1.8 Conclusion	23
2 Project 1 – Developing a publishing pedagogy based on interdisciplinary collaboration: Theoretical bases and initial implementation of CIPSE.....	24
2.1 Introduction to Project 1	24
2.2 The Integrated Bridging Program (1995-2005): theory and pedagogy.....	28

2.3	Towards a genre-based, collaborative, paper writing course	35
2.4	Evaluating CIPSE: methods and data collection	47
2.5	Development of research questions from implementation issues.....	49
2.5.1	Interdisciplinary teams in CIPSE	49
2.5.1.1	From ‘informant’ to collaborative team member: role options and contextual determinants	49
2.5.1.2	Issues for collaborative interdisciplinary science/language teams in EFL contexts: CIPSE in China as a case study	51
2.5.2	Contextual factors influencing implementation possibilities: Career stage	52
2.6	Project 1 conclusion	56
3	Project 2 – Interdisciplinary collaborations: possibilities and challenges for CIPSE implementation.....	57
3.1	Introduction to Project 2	57
3.2	Statement of Authorship 1.....	61
3.3	Project 2A.....	63
	Structuring interdisciplinary collaboration to develop research students’ skills for publishing research internationally: Lessons from implementation	63
3.3.1	Abstract	63
3.3.2	Introduction.....	64
3.3.3	Aims.....	65
3.3.4	The CIPSE methodology	66
3.3.4.1	Analysing ‘genre’ – examples of successful articles (led by EAP practitioner)	66
3.3.4.2	Gatekeeper awareness (led by scientist collaborator)	66
3.3.4.3	‘Story’ development (leadership shared).....	67
3.3.5	Results and Discussion: Analysis of the implementation models	67
3.3.5.1	Australian university, mixed EL1 and EAL participants, one-day workshops	68
3.3.5.2	Spanish and Chinese universities, EAL participants, 4-5 day workshops	70
3.3.6	Conclusions and implications.....	74
3.4	Shifting the focus: a case study of an EFL context.....	76
3.5	Statement of Authorship 2.....	77
3.6	Project 2B.....	79
	Educating Chinese scientists to write for international journals: addressing the divide between science and technology education and English language teaching	79
3.6.1	Abstract	79

3.6.2	Introduction	79
3.6.3	Mismatches between writing competence and the publishing task	81
3.6.4	Problematic issues with current policy initiatives	83
3.6.5	Current approaches for helping scientist authors get their papers accepted ..	84
3.6.6	An imported pedagogy: how useful in Chinese contexts?	85
3.6.7	Compartmentalization of disciplines in Chinese higher education.....	90
3.6.8	ESP/EAP in China.....	92
3.6.9	Issues for moving towards collaborative training approaches.....	93
3.6.10	Building bridges from both sides of the divide?	95
3.7	Project 2 conclusion: Interdisciplinary collaboration in CIPSE	97
4	Project 3 - Investigating contextual factors: Implementing CIPSE for Chinese science researchers at differing career stages.....	99
4.1	Introduction to Project 3	99
4.2	Statement of Authorship 3	103
4.3	Project 3A.....	105
	Identifying and addressing challenges to international publication success for EFL science researchers: Implementing an integrated training package in China.....	105
4.3.1	Introduction	105
4.3.2	Issues and challenges identified by Chinese workshop participants 2007-2009	111
4.3.2.1	Data collection and analysis.....	111
4.3.2.2	Issues and challenges highlighted in participants' pre-workshop goals	112
4.3.2.3	Issues and challenges highlighted in post-workshop evaluations	115
4.3.3	Effectiveness of CIPSE workshops in enhancing confidence in scientist authors	117
4.3.4	Senior scientists assisting others: the Kunming workshop	119
4.3.4.1	Issues and challenges for senior scientists assisting others.....	120
4.3.5	Strategies and practices of scientists for assisting novice authors	123
4.3.6	Conclusions	126
4.3.7	Acknowledgements	129
4.3.8	Endnote	129
4.4	Shifting the focus from scientists' work environments to university training contexts.....	130
4.5	Project 3B – Preparing EFL science graduate students to publish research articles internationally: How much can applied linguists do prior to research experience?	132
4.5.1	Abstract.....	132
4.5.2	Introduction.....	132

4.5.3	Study context: an externally funded project in a Chinese graduate university	135
4.5.4	Methodology.....	137
4.5.4.1	Action research framework.....	137
4.5.4.2	Data collection and analysis.....	139
4.5.5	Findings and analysis.....	140
4.5.5.1	2006: Sticking close to what worked before	141
4.5.5.2	2007: Trying to fix everything at once.....	148
4.5.5.3	2008: Pulling back to a minimalist approach	156
4.5.5.4	2009: Aiming for sustainability and student engagement	158
4.5.6	Discussion and conclusions	160
4.6	Project 3 conclusions	168
5	Contribution to the field and prospects for future development.....	171
5.1	Addressing the problem of publication skills development in the sciences.....	171
5.2	Collaborative teams in CIPSE	174
5.3	CIPSE implementation in science contexts: the challenge of marketing	177
5.3.1	A descriptor scale matrix	179
5.3.1.1	Client training goals.....	179
5.3.1.2	Trainee research experience.....	181
5.3.1.3	Training program type	183
5.3.1.4	English language context	184
5.4	Conclusion	186
6	Appendix: Table of contents of <i>Writing scientific research articles: Strategy and steps</i> (Cargill & O'Connor 2009).....	189
7	References	193

List of figures

- Figure 2.1. A communication network of intersecting genres for an IBP group for research post-graduates (adapted from Paltridge (1998, p. 16). Used with permission (Cargill, et al., 2001, p. 86) 33
- Figure 4.1. Visual representation of a frequency analysis of terms appearing in **participant goals** for four CIPSE training workshops (n=129), prepared using Wordle software following merging of singular and plural versions of nouns..... 114
- Figure 4.2. Visual representation of a frequency analysis of terms appearing in participant responses as **most useful features** of four CIPSE training workshops (n=129), prepared using Wordle software following merging of singular and plural versions of nouns..... 118
- Figure 4.3. Visual representation of 2006 responses: 'What were the three most useful things in the course for you?' A frequency analysis of terms appearing in student open-ended responses (n=77) prepared using Advanced Wordle software following exclusion of terms judged less informative: paper (71 instances), article (49), scientific (52) and write/writing (47/32). 144
- Figure 4.4. Visual representation of 2006 responses: 'What could be changed or improved to make the course more useful to Chinese research students in future?'. A frequency analysis of terms appearing in student open-ended responses (n=77), prepared using Advanced Wordle software following exclusion of terms judged less informative: students (27 instances), maybe (17), think (30), paper (20), one (9) and class/workshop/course (29/14/16). 145
- Figure 4.5. Abstract of a group manuscript submitted at end of 2007 course showing features responding to task requirements..... 154
- Figure 5.1: Variation in degree of value added by including scientist presenters in CIPSE training, in relation to three training context descriptor scales. 184
- Figure 5.2: Variation in the added value of including an appropriately trained ESP teacher in CIPSE training, in relation to a descriptor scale for the English language context of the training 186

List of tables

<i>Table 1.1. Comparison of selected books advising novice authors on the writing of scientific research articles.</i>	6
<i>Table 1.2. CIPSE workshops conducted 2000-2009 and publications/portfolio projects analysing data from them. Columns in grey represent publications/manuscripts included in the portfolio.</i>	19
<i>Table 2.1. Summary of Integrated Bridging Program structure (redrawn from Cargill 1996, p.184)</i>	31
<i>Table 2.2. Categories of author-support provider (ASP) able to contribute to international publication outcomes for EAL researchers in EFL contexts (Cargill & O'Connor 2006b, p.81)</i>	54
<i>Table 3.1. Structures by which CIPSE interdisciplinary collaboration was implemented in some science disciplines at the University of Adelaide, 2001-2008</i>	68
<i>Table 3.2. CIPSE interdisciplinary collaboration in International *EAL contexts</i>	72
<i>Table 3.3. Comparison of selected CIPSE workshops in China 2002-7</i>	86
<i>Table 3.4. Mean responses of participants before and after CIPSE workshops in 2* science and 3 ELT contexts when asked 'How confident are you to write a paper in English for international submission?', and 'How confident are you to deal with the international publishing process?' (1=not confident, 7=very confident) *Data not available for Gansu 2002.</i>	88
<i>Table 3.5. Mean response range of participants in 3 science and 3 ELT contexts to evaluative statements about CIPSE workshops on 'Writing a scientific article in English for international publication' (1=strongly agree, 5=strongly disagree).</i>	89
<i>Table 3.6. Percentages of participants in CIPSE workshops in 3 science and 3 ELT contexts who reported too little time spent on 5 listed workshop elements</i>	90
<i>Table 4.1. Details of four CIPSE training events presented in China 2007-2009</i>	110
<i>Table 4.2. Category names used in the analysis of the open-ended data and examples of key-words and phrases used to identify instances of each.</i>	112
<i>Table 4.3. Participant goals by category for four CIPSE training workshops in China, as percentages of responses received.</i>	113
<i>Table 4.4. Most useful features by category for four CIPSE training workshops in China, as percentages of responses received.</i>	115
<i>Table 4.5. Mean increases in self-assessed confidence to write a manuscript in English for international submission, and to deal with the publishing process in English, measured before and after four CIPSE workshops in China (repeated measures on the same individual using a 7-point Likert scale: 1= not confident, 7=very confident).</i>	119
<i>Table 4.6. Participants were asked at the beginning and end of the Kunming workshop how confident they were in their ability to write a scientific article for publication in English, to deal with the publishing process (referees, editors, etc.) for publishing a scientific article in English, to teach others to write such an article, and to teach others to deal with publishing (1 = not at all confident; 7 = very confident, n=24).</i>	120
<i>Table 4.7. Major mentoring/training issues faced by 25 Chinese scientists in helping students and junior colleagues write manuscripts suitable for international publication, categorised using results from a previous analysis of issues identified by manuscript writers themselves.</i>	122
<i>Table 4.8. Mean frequency of use by 23 Chinese scientists of five strategies for assisting students/colleagues with manuscript writing (1=never, 5=always), reported pre-attendance at a CIPSE training workshop, November 2009.</i>	125
<i>Table 4.9. Details of summer-school courses on publication skill development run at GUCAS 2006-9</i>	136
<i>Table 4.10. Student responses to evaluative statements on publishing skills courses 2006-2009. Scores are means (and standard deviations, SD) of responses provided on a 5-point Likert scale (1=strongly agree, 5=strongly disagree).</i>	142

<i>Table 4.11: Percentage of participants indicating that the amount of time spent on listed aspects of the publication skills course in 2006-2009 was too little (L), right (R), or too much (M).</i>	<i>143</i>
<i>Table 4.12: Mean changes in self-assessed confidence before and after attending a publication skill development course at GUCAS 2006-9. Confidence was assessed on a 7-point Likert scale (1=not confident, 7=very confident) and changes represent repeated measures on the same individual.</i>	<i>143</i>
<i>Table 4.13: 2007 course structure leading to production of a group-written research article based on survey data collected during the course.</i>	<i>149</i>
<i>Table 4.14: The four focuses of the 2009 course 'Preparing to write a science article for international publication'.</i>	<i>159</i>
<i>Table 4.15: Factors differentiating CAS research institute-based and GUCAS participants in publication skill training programs</i>	<i>161</i>
<i>Table 5.1. Pre-requisite skills for the production of a potentially publishable article in English, in relation to the collated list of referee criteria for science articles published in Cargill and O'Connor (2009, p. 16). Highlights indicate items requiring/benefitting from input from experts in the relevant science discipline/s.</i>	<i>181</i>

Abstract

This portfolio of three research projects addresses at an educational level the increasing pressure on scientists internationally to publish research in highly-ranked, peer-reviewed journals, and thus in English. Building on a tradition of collaboration between language- and content-based expertise in English for Specific/Academic Purposes, the portfolio examines the contribution of a pedagogical approach dubbed Collaborative Interdisciplinary Publication Skills Education (CIPSE) for teaching novice scientist authors who use English as a first or additional language.

Project 1 examines CIPSE development from its antecedents in content-based learning and genre analysis, culminating in the production of a teaching text/website package *Writing Scientific Research Articles: Strategy and Steps* (WSRA) by a collaborative team of the candidate, an applied linguist, and a publishing, refereeing scientist. The aim was to redress the incomplete coverage of existing approaches to produce a resource accessible to novice authors of all language backgrounds and to teachers/mentors within both science and language contexts. The research questions driving Projects 2 and 3 emerged from initial implementation of CIPSE, and were addressed by analyzing evaluative data from selected implementation sites.

Project 2 investigates interdisciplinary teams for publication skills development. Part A, framed within the constructs of interdisciplinary higher education, demonstrates that the CIPSE structure, led by an applied linguist working with interdisciplinary collaborators as appropriate/available in each presentation context, was effective at all levels of collaboration. It was important that CIPSE outcomes were 'core business' for collaborators, and a need was identified for terminology that intersects with the agendas of those with power to implement. Part B, framed within English for Specific Purposes, focuses on challenges to interdisciplinary collaboration in China. Recommended strategies for developing collaboration between Chinese scientists and English-language professionals, rather than foreign visitors, include institutional support for collaboration, and training to enhance the ability of English professionals to present themselves as bringing valuable expertise to publication skills education.

Project 3 investigates CIPSE effectiveness for Chinese scientists at different career stages. Part A, addressing academic writing instruction, highlights challenges to publication success for EFL (English as a Foreign Language) science researchers as identified by CIPSE workshop participants. Introducing the WSRA package to Chinese scientists who train/mentor students resulted in significantly increased confidence both to write/publish their own articles and to teach others, and a shift in the training methods deemed appropriate. Part B analyses a 4-cycle action research study at

the Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 2006-9, to investigate use of CIPSE in an EFL university with early-candidature students from mixed disciplines. The resulting adapted, CIPSE-based course shows potential for use by Chinese teachers.

Taken together, the three projects provide a theorised basis and practical steps for building effective training regimes for publication skill development in a wide range of science research contexts. Overall findings are summarised as a matrix of descriptor scales for analysing training contexts to identify cost-effective levels of collaboration: client training goals, trainee research experience, training program type, and English language context. The portfolio findings thus contribute to knowledge of interdisciplinary collaboration in education and context-sensitive implementation of educational innovation.

Signed statement

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Margaret Cargill and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Published work included in this thesis:

Cargill, M., & O'Connor, P. (2010). Structuring interdisciplinary collaboration to develop HDR students' skills for publishing research internationally: Lessons from implementation. In M. Davies, M. Devlin & M. Tight (Eds.), *Interdisciplinary Higher Education: Perspectives and Practicalities. International Perspectives on Higher Education Research Volume 5* (pp. 279-292). Bingley, UK: Emerald Group Publishing Ltd.

Signed:

Date:

Acknowledgements

I begin by acknowledging the Kaurna people of South Australia, the original custodians of the land on which this portfolio of research was written.

In line with the keywords of its title, Collaborative Interdisciplinary Publication Skills Education (CIPSE), the process by which I produced this research portfolio was highly collaborative, interdisciplinary and educative. I thank my supervisory team for the impressive way in which they modelled and participated in this process. My principal supervisor, Dr Robert Matthews, from the School of Education, joined an existing collaboration at the start of my candidature, and supported with great sensitivity and wisdom my induction into the School and the particularities of the professional doctorate, and my efforts to turn a mass of data into an appropriately coherent research contribution for this context. My co-supervisor was Dr Patrick O'Connor, latterly of the School of Agriculture, Food and Wine following a restructure of the Faculty of Sciences. Patrick had been my principal scientist collaborator since 2000 in the development and implementation of CIPSE, particularly in China. I thank him warmly, in particular for his gentle but insistent way of prompting me, over many excellent Chinese dinners and late-night nips of scotch, to move in previously unconsidered directions.

Many colleagues supported the work and contributed significantly to my learning during the process of completing this research. I thank my colleagues within the Integrated Bridging Program team, and especially Dr Kate Cadman; colleagues in the action research teaching in China, and especially Professor F. Andrew Smith and Karen Adams; Dr Margaret Secombe from the School of Education; scientist colleagues in the Soils Group on the Waite Campus, and especially Professor Sally Smith and Drs Annie McNeill, Murray Unkovich and Ron Smernik; Dr Sally Burgess and her colleagues in Tenerife; and in China many supportive and helpful contributors, including Jinghua Cao, Professor Yongguan Zhu and Dr Airon Li of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), Professor Gong Peng and Yu Peng of the Graduate University of CAS, and Dr Yongyan Li of Hong Kong University. I also wish to thank the participants in CIPSE workshops in many places, from whom I have learned so much about contexts, purposes, learning and teaching.

I gratefully acknowledge funding support from BHP-Billiton through their relationship with CAS and its Graduate University, overseen by Professor Xiangbin Zhu; and from the *New Phytologist* Trust (UK) through its chairperson, Professor Ian Alexander.

On the home front, I also warmly thank my husband, Colin, whose willingness to run things and listen when I wanted to talk has given me both the space and the personal support I needed to complete.

List of abbreviations

AdTAT	Adelaide Text Analysis Tool (concordancing software)
ALL	Academic Language and Learning
CAS	Chinese Academy of Sciences
CGIAR	Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research
CIPSE	Collaborative Interdisciplinary Publication Skills Education
EAL	English as an Additional Language
EAP	English for Academic Purposes
EFL	English as a Foreign Language
EL1	English as a First Language
ESL	English as a Second Language
ESP	English for Specific Purposes
FLD	Foreign Languages Department (of GUCAS)
GUCAS	Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences
NNEST	Non-Native English-Speaking Teacher (Liu, 2007)
NEST	Native English-Speaking Teacher (Liu, 2007)
OD	Other Disciplines
PWSAIP	Preparing to Write a Science Article for International Publication
SFL	Systemic Functional Linguistics
SCI	Science Citation Index (Thompson Reuters)
TESOL	Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages