



**A Randomised Controlled Trial  
Investigating The Effects Of Nitrogen  
Dioxide In Classrooms On The  
Respiratory Health Of Asthmatic  
Primary School Children.**

**Monika Nitschke**

**Department of Medicine**

**The Queen Elizabeth Hospital**

**Submitted as a PhD thesis at the University of  
Adelaide during November 2002**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1- INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>2.1 Method for literature review .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>2.2 Biological plausibility .....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2.3 Controlled Clinical studies.....</b>	<b>17</b>
2.3.1 Effects on lung function .....	18
2.3.2 Effects on bronchial hyper-responsiveness .....	18
2.3.3 Effects on allergen responsiveness .....	19
2.3.4 Summary of clinical studies .....	20
<b>2.4 Epidemiological studies conducted outdoors .....</b>	<b>21</b>
2.4.1 General Population studies .....	21
2.4.1.1 Cohort studies.....	21
2.4.1.2 Studies including lung function measurements in the general population .....	22
2.4.1.3 Summary of outdoor studies in the general population.....	23
2.4.2 Asthmatic population.....	23
2.4.2.1 Studies including lung function in the asthmatic population .....	23
2.4.2.2 Hospital admissions.....	24
2.4.2.3 Panel studies .....	25
2.4.2.4 Summary of outdoor studies in the asthmatic population .....	27
<b>2.5 Gas appliances exposure studies not measuring NO<sub>2</sub> levels .....</b>	<b>28</b>
2.5.1 General population .....	28
2.5.2 Asthmatic population.....	29
2.5.2.1 Cross-sectional studies .....	29
2.5.2.2 Cohort studies .....	32
<b>2.6 Studies measuring indoor NO<sub>2</sub>.....</b>	<b>33</b>
2.6.1 General population .....	33
2.6.1.1 Cross-sectional studies .....	33
2.6.1.2 Cohort studies .....	34
2.6.2 Asthmatic population.....	36
2.6.2.1 Case-control studies.....	37
2.6.2.2 Cohort studies .....	37
2.6.3 Summary of indoor studies: general population and asthmatics .....	38
<b>2.7 Objective lung measurements and indoor NO<sub>2</sub> exposure .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>2.8 NO<sub>2</sub> exposure measurements - what are the important issues of exposure ascertainment in epidemiological NO<sub>2</sub> studies.....</b>	<b>42</b>

<b>2.9 Conclusion from literature review .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>2.10 Aim, hypotheses and objectives of the proposed study .....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>CHAPTER 3 - PILOT STUDY .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>3.1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>3.2 Aim and objectives of the pilot study .....</b>	<b>56</b>
Objective one: Environmental survey .....	56
Objective two: Protocol development for classroom exposure measurements of NO <sub>2</sub> .....	56
<b>3.3 Methods used in pilot study .....</b>	<b>57</b>
3.3.1 Environmental survey .....	57
3.3.2 Passive diffusion badge monitoring .....	58
3.3.2.1 Chemical analysis .....	58
3.3.2.1 Sampling protocol .....	60
3.3.3 Chemiluminescence monitoring .....	61
3.3.4 Statistical analysis .....	61
<b>3.4 Results .....</b>	<b>64</b>
3.4.1 Objective one: Environmental Survey .....	64
3.4.2 Objective two: NO <sub>2</sub> methodology .....	65
3.4.2.1 Descriptive NO <sub>2</sub> exposure .....	65
3.4.2.2 Comparison of one hourly maximum NO <sub>2</sub> levels with six hourly maximum NO <sub>2</sub> classroom levels. ....	67
3.4.2.3 Agreement between and repeatability of NO <sub>2</sub> measurements .....	67
<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4 - METHODOLOGY FOR MAIN STUDY .....</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>4.1 School selection .....</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>4.2 Randomisation .....</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>4.3 Intervention .....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>4.4 Recruitment and maintenance of the study .....</b>	<b>79</b>
4.4.1 Personnel .....	79
4.4.2 Asthma survey .....	80
4.4.3 Eligibility and consent .....	80
<b>4.5 Demographic and clinical factors .....</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>4.6 Study overview .....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>4.7 Exposure measurements .....</b>	<b>86</b>
4.7.1 Nitrogen dioxide assessment in classrooms .....	86
4.7.2 Nitrogen dioxide exposure assessment in children's homes .....	88

4.7.3 Environmental tobacco smoke.....	89
<b>4.8 Outcome Measurements.....</b>	<b>89</b>
4.8.1 Symptom diaries.....	89
4.8.2 Lung measurements.....	90
4.8.2.1 Personnel.....	90
4.8.2.2 Information For Parents.....	91
4.8.2.3 Methods Of Measurement.....	91
<b>4.9 Statistical analyses.....</b>	<b>97</b>
4.9.1 Adjustment for clustering.....	97
4.9.2 Non clustered (Nn) sample size calculation for asthma symptom rates.....	98
Sample size: 318.....	99
4.9.3 Sample size calculation for objective lung measurements.....	100
4.9.4 Estimation of source population and asthmatic children.....	100
4.9.5 Analysis of lung function results.....	101
4.9.6 Analysis of bronchial hyper-responsiveness.....	102
4.9.6.1 Calculation of PD20FEV <sub>1</sub> .....	102
4.9.6.2 Calculation of DRS.....	103
4.9.7 Statistical analyses of diaries.....	104
<b>CHAPTER 5 - RESULTS FROM RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL ..</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>5.1 Randomisation Outcome.....</b>	<b>107</b>
5.1.1 Participation.....	107
5.1.2 Distribution of baseline variables.....	111
5.1.3 Baseline severity of asthma.....	111
5.1.4 Discussion of baseline characteristics.....	115
<b>5.2 Results from Nitrogen dioxide measurements.....</b>	<b>117</b>
5.2.1 NO <sub>2</sub> concentration in classroom.....	117
5.2.1.1 All NO <sub>2</sub> measurement badges.....	117
5.2.1.2. Mean NO <sub>2</sub> levels at classroom level for participating children.....	119
5.2.1.3 Classroom measurements of NO <sub>2</sub> compared to WHO guidelines.....	121
5.2.2 Outdoor levels of NO <sub>2</sub> .....	123
5.2.3 NO <sub>2</sub> results from children's homes.....	123
5.2.4 Conclusion and implications from NO <sub>2</sub> measurements.....	128
<b>5.3 Results for objective lung measurements.....</b>	<b>130</b>
5.3.1 Participation in lung measurements.....	130
5.3.2 Spirometry results.....	132
5.3.2.1 A priori sample.....	132
5.3.2.2 Extended sample.....	132
5.3.2.3 combined sample.....	133
5.3.2.4 Reversibility.....	135
5.3.3 Bronchial hyper-responsiveness results.....	136
5.3.3.1 A priori sample.....	136
5.3.3.2 Extended group of children and the combined group of children.....	136
5.3.3.3 Severity of BHR.....	137
5.3.4 Summary of objective lung measurements.....	137

<b>5.4 Results from symptom diaries .....</b>	<b>141</b>
5.4.1 Participation in symptom diaries .....	141
5.4.2 Distribution of symptom presence/absence during the study period.....	142
5.4.2.1 A priori sample .....	142
5.4.2.2 Extended sample.....	144
5.4.2.3 Combined sample .....	144
5.4.3 Asthma symptom rates .....	147
5.4.3.1 A priori sample .....	147
5.4.3.2 Extended sample.....	149
5.4.3.3 Combined sample .....	149
5.4.4 Summary of symptom result.....	153
<b>CHAPTER 6 – DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>6.1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>6.2 Randomisation .....</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>6.3 Intervention .....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>6.4 Lung function results.....</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>6.5 Bronchial responsiveness .....</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>6.6 Symptoms results.....</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>6.7 Bias.....</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>6.8 Limitations of the study .....</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>6.9 Extending the findings to other sources of NO<sub>2</sub>.....</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>6.10 Conclusion .....</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>Future studies.....</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>171</b>

# Abstract

## **A Randomised Controlled Trial Investigating The Effects Of Nitrogen Dioxide On Asthmatic Children In Primary School Classrooms**

**(356 words)**

The aim of this study was to determine the effects of a randomised controlled trial of unflued gas heater replacement on asthma in children.

18 schools (134 classrooms) using unflued gas heaters in winter were randomly allocated an intervention of heater replacement with either flued gas heaters (4), or electric heating (4), or remained unflued (10). The main eligibility criteria were (i) doctor diagnosed asthma with (ii) no unflued gas sources at home (a priori sample). The sample was extended to asthmatic children with home gas cooking (extended sample). Participants kept a daily diary of symptoms for 12 weeks in order to establish symptom rates in the intervention and control groups. Lung function and bronchial hyper-responsiveness (BHR) tests were performed at the beginning and end of the study period. Indoor NO<sub>2</sub> was monitored in classrooms and homes during the study period.

Mean NO<sub>2</sub> exposure was significantly lower in intervention schools (15.5 ppb SD:6.6) compared to control schools (47.0 ppb SD:26.8). Mean kitchen NO<sub>2</sub> levels were significantly lower in the a priori sample compared to the extended sample (14.3 ppb CI:10.3-18.3 vs 28.7 ppb CI: 24.1-33.3; p<0.001).

In the a priori sample there were 45 and 73 children in the intervention and control groups respectively, and 43 in each group in the extended sample.

In the a priori sample, difficulty breathing (RR: 0.32; CI: 0.14-0.69), chest tightness (RR: 0.45; CI:0.25-0.81), and asthma attack (RR: 0.39; CI:0.17-0.93) rates were significantly decreased in the intervention group compared to the control group. In the extended group, symptom rates were not significantly different. Mean %predicted FEV<sub>1</sub>% and BHR were similar between intervention and control groups.

Significantly reduced NO<sub>2</sub> levels in classrooms were accompanied by more than a 50% reduction in some asthmatic symptoms in the intervention a priori group. This was not found in the extended sample, likely due to misclassification of exposure associated with home exposure from gas cooking.

Nitrogen dioxide is associated with increased asthma symptoms in children, and replacement of unflued gas heating in schools should become a public health priority for school authorities. Furthermore, the result may implicate unflued gas appliances in environments other than classrooms.