

# **Grape Sourced Bioactives: A Potential New Treatment Strategy for Intestinal Mucositis and Colon Cancer**

BY

*Ker Yeaw Cheah (Amy)*

BSc (Biotechnology), BSc (Hons)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

11<sup>th</sup> November 2011

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine

Faculty of Sciences

University of Adelaide



---



---

# Table of Contents

<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>xi</b>
<b>Declaration</b> .....	<b>xvi</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>xvii</b>
<b>Conference presentations arising from this thesis</b> .....	<b>xix</b>
<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>xx</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Grape seed proanthocyanidins and intestinal health: Bioavailability, mechanism of action and safety dosage</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.0 Abstract .....	2
1.1 Introduction .....	3
1.2 Grape seed sourced proanthocyanidins .....	5
1.3 Isolation and identification of PA .....	5
1.4 Bioavailability of GSE PAs .....	6
1.5 Biological activities of GSE in the intestine .....	7
1.5.1 GSE and colorectal cancer .....	8
1.5.2 GSE and prevention of intestinal mucositis .....	9
1.5.3 GSE and prevention of colonic injury .....	10
1.5.4 GSE and protection against gastric ulceration.....	11
1.5.5 GSE metabolites and promotion of colonic health .....	12
1.6 Safe dose and toxicity .....	12
1.7 Conclusions .....	13
1.8 Aims and Hypotheses.....	20
1.8.1 Aims .....	20
1.8.2 Hypotheses .....	20
<b>Chapter 2 Grape seed extract dose-responsively decreased acute inflammation and improved mucosal morphology in a rat model of mucositis</b> .....	<b>21</b>
2.0 Abstract .....	22
2.1 Introduction .....	23
2.2 Materials and methods .....	25
2.2.1 Grape seed extract (GSE) preparation .....	25
2.2.2 Animal studies .....	25
2.2.3 <sup>13</sup> C-sucrose breath test (SBT) .....	26
2.2.4 Myeloperoxidase (MPO) assay .....	27

---

---

2.2.5	Histological analysis.....	27
2.2.6	Statistical analyses.....	28
2.3	Results.....	29
2.3.1	Daily metabolic parameters and bodyweight.....	29
2.3.2	Visceral organs.....	29
2.3.3	Sucrose breath test (SBT).....	29
2.3.4	Myeloperoxidase activity (MPO).....	30
2.3.5	Overall disease severity scores.....	30
2.3.6	Villus height and crypt depth.....	31
2.4	Discussion.....	32
<b>Chapter 3</b>	<b>Potential chemopreventative effects of dietary polyphenols on colorectal cancer cells.....</b>	<b>47</b>
3.0	Abstract.....	48
3.1	Introduction.....	49
3.2	Materials and methods.....	51
3.2.1	Instrumentation.....	51
3.2.2	Material.....	51
3.2.3	Grape seed extract (GSE).....	51
3.2.4	Phloroglucinolysis.....	51
3.2.5	Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay.....	52
3.2.6	Cell culture.....	52
3.2.7	Cell proliferation activity.....	53
3.2.8	Statistical analysis.....	53
3.3	Results.....	54
3.3.1	Proanthocyanidin profile of GSE.....	54
3.3.2	Antioxidant levels of polyphenols.....	54
3.3.3	Effects of 5-FU on proliferative activity of Caco-2 cells.....	54
3.3.4	Effects of GSE and 5-FU on Caco-2 cell proliferative activity.....	55
3.3.5	Combination of other polyphenols with 5-FU and effects on Caco-2 proliferation.....	55
3.4	Discussion.....	57
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>Grape-derived proanthocyanidin fractions and effects on colon cancer cells.....</b>	<b>65</b>
4.0	Abstract.....	66
4.1	Introduction.....	67
4.2	Methods and Materials.....	69
4.2.1	Instrumentation.....	69
4.2.2	Grape sampling and preparation.....	69

---

4.2.3	Preparation and extraction of seed proanthocyanidins (PAs).....	69
4.2.4	Acid catalysis of PA in the presence of excess phloroglucinol (phloroglucinolysis).....	71
4.2.5	Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC).....	71
4.2.6	Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assay .....	72
4.2.7	Cell preparation and experimental treatment.....	72
4.2.8	MTT assay .....	72
4.2.9	Statistical analysis .....	73
4.3	Results .....	74
4.3.1	Characterization of PA fractions .....	74
4.3.2	Antioxidant capacity of seed fractions .....	75
4.3.3	Effect of seed fractions on Caco-2 cell proliferation.....	75
4.3.4	The synergistic effect of isolated seed fractions and 5-FU on Caco-2 proliferation .....	75
4.4	Discussion .....	77
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Comparison of grape seed polyphenolic content and antioxidant activity in five different varieties of wine grapes.....</b>	<b>87</b>
5.0	Abstract .....	88
5.1	Introduction .....	89
5.2	Methods and materials .....	92
5.2.1	Instrumentation.....	92
5.2.2	Chemicals .....	92
5.2.3	Grape seeds preparation and extraction of seed proanthocyanidins (PAs) .....	92
5.2.4	Quantification of polyphenolic content in grape seeds .....	93
5.2.5	Total flavan-3-ol content in grape seeds .....	93
5.2.6	Grape seed PA compositional information by phloroglucinolysis.....	94
5.2.7	Antioxidant activities of grape seeds.....	94
5.2.8	Statistical analysis .....	94
5.3	Results.....	96
5.3.1	Polyphenol content of grape seed.....	96
5.3.2	Total flavan-3-ol content .....	96
5.3.3	Proanthocyanidins profile of grape seeds.....	96
5.3.4	Antioxidant activity of grape seeds .....	97
5.3.5	Principal component analysis (PCA).....	98
5.4	Discussion .....	99
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>Discussion .....</b>	<b>111</b>
6.1	Discussion .....	112
6.2	Future directions.....	119

---

6.3	Conclusions.....	120
<b>Chapter 7</b>	<b>References.....</b>	<b>121</b>

**Abbreviations**

°C	Celsius Degrees
AIF	Apoptosis Inducing Factor
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
bwt	Bodyweight
CD90	Cumulative dose at 90 min
cm	Centimetre
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Dioxide
DMACA	p-Dimethylaminocinnamaldehyde
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium
DMSO	Dimethylsulfoxide
DP	Degree of Polymerization
DPBS	Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffer Saline
DSS	Dextran Sulphate Sodium
EGCG	Epigallocatechin Gallate
FC	Folin-Ciocalteu
FCS	Fetal Calf Serum
FRAP	Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power
g	Gram
GA	Gallic Acid
g/kg	Gram per Kilogram
g/L	Grams per Litre
GPC	Gel Permeation Chromatography
GSE	Grape Seed Extract

h	Hour
HPLC	High Pressure Liquid Chromatography
IBD	Inflammatory Bowel Disease
IC <sub>50</sub>	50% Inhibitory Concentration
IL-1 $\beta$	Interleukin-1 Beta
IL-2	Interleukin-2
IL-4	Interleukin-4
IL-6	Interleukin-6
IRMS	Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometry
JI	Junction of Jejunum and Ileum
kg	Kilograms
KJ	Kilojoules
L	Litre
LD <sub>50</sub>	Lethal Dose 50%
mDP	Mean Degree of Polymerization
mg	Milligram
mg/kg	Milligram per Kilogram
mg/L	Milligram per Litre
min	Minute
mL	Millilitre
mM	Millimolar
MTT	3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide)
MTX	Methotrexate
MPO	Myeloperoxidase
NF- $\kappa$ B	Nuclear Factor-kappa B

ODS	Overall Histological Disease Severity Score
PA	Proanthocyanidin
PARP	Poly-ADP-Ribose Polymerase
pH	Measurement of Acidity
PI3-K	Phosphoinositide 3-Kinase
QTY	Quantity
$r^2$	Coefficient of Determination
ROS	Reactive Oxygen Species
RP-HPLC	Reverse Phase High Liquid Pressure Chromatography
SBT	Sucrose Breath Test
SEM	Standard Error of Mean
TNBS	2,4,6-Trinitrobenzene Sulfonic Acid
TNF- $\alpha$	Tumour Necrosis Factor-Alpha
TPTZ	Tri[2-pyridyl]-s-triazine
U	Units
wt	Weight
v/v	Volume per Volume
w/v	Weight per Volume
5-FU	5-Fluorouracil
$\mu\text{g}$	Microgram
$\mu\text{g/mL}$	Microgram per Millilitre
$\mu\text{L}$	Microlitre
$\mu\text{m}$	Micrometre
$\mu\text{M}$	Micromolar



**List of Tables**

**Table 1.1** Current published studies on GSE treatment of colon cancer.....15

**Table 2.1** Nutrition and chemical profile of GSE.....36

**Table 2.2** The histological scoring criteria for assessment of the mucosa, submucosa and muscularis externa. The scoring comprised of 11 criteria where each criteria were scored between 0 (normal) to 3 (damaged) to give a maximum damage score of 33 for each intestinal region.....37

**Table 2.3** Effects of increasing doses of GSE (mg/kg) on cumulative body weight change, food and water intake, urine and faecal output in saline-injected rats over day 3-9 (A) and in 5-FU injected rats from day 10-12 (B).....38

**Table 2.4** Effect of increasing doses of GSE on organ weights of female Dark Agouti rats 72 h after 5-FU or saline injection.....40

**Table 2.5** Effects of increasing GSE doses on gastrointestinal organ weights and lengths of female Dark Agouti rats 72 h after 5-FU or saline injection.....41

**Table 4.1** Solvent systems used to fractionate proanthocyanidins from grape seeds.....81

**Table 4.2** The chemical profiles of GSE and its isolated fractions characterized by phloroglucinolysis and GPC.....82

**Table 4.3** The chemical profile of immature and mature fractions characterized by phloroglucinolysis and GPC.....83

**Table 5.1** The chemical profile of seed extracts PAs characterised by phloroglucinolysis.....106

---



---

**List of Figures**

**Figure 1.1** Molecular structures of flavanoid subgroups which include flavones (e.g. apigenin and chrysin), flavonol (e.g. quercetin and myricetin), flavanone (e.g. butin and sterubin), flavan-3-ol (e.g. catechin and epicatechin), flavanonol (e.g. taxifolin and aromadedrin) and anthocyanidins (e.g. cyanidin and malvidin). Figure adapted from [1]...16

**Figure 1.2** Molecular structures of flavan-3-ol: monomers (Catechin), dimers and trimers where PA oligomers are linked either between C4-C6 linkage or the C4-C8 linkage. Figure adapted from [2].....17

**Figure 1.3** Molecular structures of aromatic acid metabolites detected in human urine after consumption of PA rich foods. diHPP, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylpropionic acid; *m*HPP, *m*-dihydroxyphenylpropionic acid; FE, Ferulic acid; diHPAs, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid; *m*HPAs, *m*-hydroxyphenylacetic acid; PAc, phenylacetic acid; VA, vanillic acid; *m*HBA, *m*-hydroxybenzoic acid; *p*HBA, *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid; *p*HHA, *p*-hydroxyhippuric acid; HA, Hippuric acid. Figure adapted from [3].....18

**Figure 1.4** Metabolic pathway of catechin degradation by colonic microflora into lower molecular weight aromatic acid. Figure adapted from [2].....19

**Figure 2.1** Effects of GSE on small intestinal sucrase activity assessed by the sucrose breath test on Day 12 (72 h after 5-FU or saline injection). Data expressed as mean (%CD90) ± SEM. \*\*\* indicates  $P < 0.001$  compared to Water + Saline.....42

- 
- Figure 2.2** Effects of GSE on Myeloperoxidase (MPO) activity in the jejunum (A), JI (B) and ileum (C) 72 h after either saline or 5-FU injection. Data are expressed as mean (MPO units/g tissue)  $\pm$  SEM. \* indicates  $P < 0.05$ , \*\* indicates  $P < 0.01$  and \*\*\* indicates  $P < 0.001$  compared to rats receiving water and saline injection. ## indicates  $P < 0.01$  compared to rats receiving water and 5-FU injection.....43
- Figure 2.3** Effects of GSE on histological severity scores in the jejunum 72 h after either saline or 5-FU injection. The box plots represent the range of disease severity score and the horizontal lines represent the median disease severity score. \*\* indicates  $P < 0.01$  compared to Water + Saline. ## indicates  $P < 0.01$  compared to Water + 5-FU.....44
- Figure 2.4** Effects of GSE on villus height and crypt depth in the jejunum (A), JI (B) and Ileum (C) 72 h after either saline or 5-FU injection. Data are expressed as mean ( $\mu\text{m}$ )  $\pm$  SEM. \* indicates  $P < 0.05$ , \*\* indicates  $P < 0.01$  and \*\*\* indicates  $P < 0.001$  compare to Water + Saline. # indicates  $P < 0.05$  compared to Water + 5-FU.....45
- Figure 2.5** Effects of GSE on mucosal thickness in the jejunum(A), JI (B) and Ileum (C) 72 h after either saline or 5-FU injection. Data are expressed as mean ( $\mu\text{m}$ )  $\pm$  SEM. \* indicates  $P < 0.05$ , \*\* indicates  $P < 0.01$  and \*\*\* indicates  $P < 0.001$ . # indicates  $P < 0.05$  compared to Water + 5-FU.....46
- Figure 3.1** Molecular structures of the dietary polyphenolic compounds examined in this study. The figure shows catechin (A), proanthocyanidin (B), EGCG (C), GA (D), and resveratrol (E).....60

- 
- 
- Figure 3.2** Total antioxidant capacity of polyphenols (GSE, EGCG, GA, resveratrol, catechin and ascorbic acid) measured by FRAP assay. Data are expressed as means  $\pm$  SEM of 3 independent experiments. Bar data not sharing the same letter are significantly different  $P < 0.05$ .....61
- Figure 3.3** Proliferative activity of Caco-2 cells treated with 5-FU for either 24 or 48 h, assessed by MTT assay. Data are expressed as percent of cell proliferation relative to proliferation of untreated controls. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM of 2-3 independent experiments.....62
- Figure 3.4** Combination effects of GSE ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) and 5-FU ( $\mu\text{M}$ ) on proliferation of Caco-2 cells for either 24 (A) or 48 h (B). Data are expressed as percent of cell proliferation relative to untreated controls. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM of 4 independent experiments. Bar data not sharing the same letter is significantly different  $P < 0.05$ .....63
- Figure 3.5** Combination effects of 5-FU and EGCG (A), GA (B), resveratrol (C) or catechin (D) on proliferation of Caco-2 cells for 48 h. Data are presented as percent of cell proliferation relative to proliferation of control. Data are presented as means  $\pm$  SEM of 2-3 independent experiments. Bar data not sharing the same letter is significantly different  $P < 0.05$ .....64

- 
- Figure 4.1** Antioxidant activity of the fractions from immature (A) and mature (B) seed extracts measured by FRAP assay. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of 3 independent experiments. Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P < 0.05$ .....84
- Figure 4.2** Proliferation activity of Caco-2 cells after exposure to fractions from immature (A) and mature (B) seed extracts for 72 h. Data are expressed as IC<sub>50</sub> or dose ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) inhibiting cell viability to 50% (mean  $\pm$  SEM) of 3 independent experiments. Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P < 0.05$ .....85
- Figure 4.3** Combination effects of immature (A) and mature (B) fractions (25  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) and 5-FU on Caco-2 cells for 72 h. Data are presented as percent of cell proliferation relative to proliferation of control. Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of 3 independent experiments. Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P < 0.05$ .....86
- Figure 5.1** Folin-Ciocalteu measures of Total polyphenol content of grape seeds sourced from different grape varieties, expressed in catechin (A) and gallic acid (B) equivalents. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of 3 independent experiments. Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P < 0.05$ .....107
- Figure 5.2** DMACA measured of total flavan-3-ol levels in grape seeds from different grape varieties. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of 3 independent experiments. Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P < 0.05$ .....108

---

---

**Figure 5.3** Total antioxidant capacity of grape seeds from different grape varieties, measured by FRAP assay. Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM of 3 independent experiments. Different letters indicate significant difference at  $P < 0.05$ .....109

**Figure 5.4** Principal component analysis of the mean values of each replicate grape seed extract sample for total phenolics and flavan-3-ol levels, FRAP values and PA profile parameters. FC indicates total phenolic measured by Folin-Ciocalteu assay; DMACA indicates total flavan-3-ol measured by DMACA assay; FRAP indicates antioxidant activity; mDP indicated mean degree of polymerization; MC indicates mass conversion of PA in seed extract measured by phloroglucinolysis; -P indicates phloroglucinol adduct of extension subunits; C indicates (+)-catechin; E indicates (-)-Epicatechin and ECG indicates (-)-Epicatechin-3-*O*-gallate.....110

**Declaration**

I, Ker Yeaw Cheah, certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree of diploma in any university of other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University of Adelaide library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed: .....

Date: .....

Ker Yeaw Cheah (Amy)



## **Acknowledgements**

The work presented within this thesis would not have been possible without the contributions of many others. Firstly, I would like to acknowledge the invaluable and expert teaching, encouragement, patience and wisdom of my supervisors, Dr. Sue Bastian, Professor Gordon Howarth and Professor Jim Kennedy. In particular, I would like to express my thanks and gratitude to Dr. Bastian and Professor Howarth for providing me with the opportunity to undertake a PhD and to present and publish the work extensively, providing constructive feedback, unwavering guidance and patience in reading so many drafts.

I would like to thank Professor Jim Kennedy for his valuable guidance on chemical analysis and sharing his knowledge of isolating bioactive compounds from grape seeds. I sincerely thank Dr. Keren Bindon for her technical expertise, enthusiasm, and assistance in all of the chemical analysis of grape seed extracts and reading of my drafts.

I would like to thank all members of the Bastian lab, for their support and company during my PhD candidature. I would like to especially express my gratitude to Sandra Olarte and Trent Johnson for their guidance and support in my statistical analyses using XLSTAT. I would also like to thank Dr. David Jeffery for his assistance in chemical analysis of grape seed proanthocyanidins.

I wish to thank everyone in the Gastroenterology laboratory at the Children, Youth and Women's Health Service, who helped me in my animal studies. I am grateful to Kerry Lynn for teaching and helping me out with animal trials and histology work. I would also like to

Suzanne Abimosleh for her assistance in the animal trial and for being such great company in my animal trials.

Special thanks go to my family, especially mum and dad, for their love and support throughout my PhD. To my partner, Himawan Harryanto, thank you for your patience and support, and never failing to listen to my nagging and complaints. Also thank you for being my companion for the weekend work during the undertaking and writing up of my PhD.

Lastly, I would like to thank The University of Adelaide for providing me with a scholarship to pursue my PhD studies.

**Conference presentations arising from this thesis**

Abstract:

**KY Cheah**, GS Howarth and SEP Bastian. Grape seed extract: a potential adjunct to chemotherapy?

1. *Australian Society for Medical Research, SA Scientific Meeting, Adelaide, June 2011.*
2. *Australian Gastroenterology Week (AGW), Brisbane, September 2011, Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology 2011: 26(Suppl 4).*

**KY Cheah**, GS Howarth and SEP Bastian. Grape seed extract improves parameters of small intestinal mucositis in a dose responsive manner in rats.

1. *Australian Society for Medical Research, SA Scientific Meeting, Adelaide, June 2010.*
2. *Postgraduate Symposium, School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, The University of Adelaide, September 2010.*
3. *Agriculture, Food and Wine Research Day, The University of Adelaide, October 2010.*
4. *Australian Gastroenterology Week (AGW), Gold Coast, October 2010, Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology 2010; 25(3), A114.*

**Abstract**

Mucositis is a serious condition involving inflammation and ulceration to the lining of gastrointestinal tract that results from cancer chemotherapy. Grape seeds represent a rich source of proanthocyanidins (PAs) which have been reported to be strong antioxidant and chemopreventative agents. This thesis will examine in detail the potential for grape seed PAs to act as novel therapeutic adjunct in cancer treatment.

Previously, Cheah *et al.* (2009) demonstrated that grape seed extract (GSE; 400mg/kg) improved the parameters of intestinal damage in rats with experimentally-induced mucositis. However, its optimal dose and dose responsiveness remained undetermined. In the current study, the effects of increasing GSE doses (400, 600 and 1000mg/kg) on the severity of intestinal mucositis were investigated in a rat model. GSE at higher doses (600 and 1000mg/kg) were more effective than a lower dose (400mg/kg) at ameliorating intestinal injury induced by the chemotherapy agent, 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) in the proximal small intestine. In addition, no deleterious effects of GSE at these doses were apparent in healthy animals.

The promising effects of GSE in a model of mucositis, and its anti-cancer activity, provided the impetus to further investigate its potential impact on the effectiveness of chemotherapy against colon cancer cells. It was decided to characterise the link between the chemical structures of the main polyphenolic compounds within GSE (PAs), and polyphenolic compounds (epigallocatechin, gallic acid (GA), resveratrol and catechin), which have all been reported to display growth inhibition on cancer cells. At a lower dose, GSE (25µg/mL) and GA (10µg/mL) significantly enhanced the capacity for 5-FU to reduce Caco-2 cell proliferation by 20-26%. Treatment with polyphenols alone, (with the exception of

catechin) at higher doses, exerted more potent growth inhibitory activities compared to 5-FU alone.

Due to the protective effects of GSE against mucositis and the positive findings that GSE and GA reduced the viability of colon cancer cells, it was important to identify the bioactive compounds in GSE responsible for these effects. Six different PA fractions, with increasing mean degree of polymerization (mDP), were isolated from Cabernet Sauvignon grape seeds. GSE, which contained a mixture of oligomers and polymers of PAs, was included as a positive control. This study reported that smaller grape seeds PAs (mDP 2-6) were more effective chemotherapeutic agents than 5-FU alone against colon cancer and exerted greater cytotoxic activity on Caco-2 cells than the crude GSE.

As the health promoting properties of grape seeds are attributed to their PA content and GSE used in parts of this study were derived from multiple grape varieties, it was important to determine the PA profiles of grape seeds derived from different varieties and from different provenance. This study reported the difference in polyphenolic content, not only between grape varieties, but also between geographical regions. Despite Chardonnay and Tannat seeds from Nuriotpa had the highest total polyphenol and flavan-3-ol content, they were the most effective antioxidant agents. In conclusion, grape seeds are a rich source of PA (especially mDP 2-6) and may represent promising therapeutic adjuncts to conventional chemotherapy, which ameliorates mucositis.