
TOWARD OPTICAL ARCHITECTURES IN RADAR SYSTEM DESIGN FOR ULTRALIGHT UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES

Alexander Sharp

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Engineering Science

The University of Adelaide

2009

Date: April 11th 2011

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering

The University of Adelaide

ABSTRACT

A thesis is presented on the deployment of radar systems on ultra light unmanned aerial vehicles which are compact and lightweight. Deployment upon a small frame presents significant problems due to the size, weight and power (SWaP) requirements of components and which are addressed in this work. Traditional pulsed radar is inadequate due to these considerations and alternatively, a frequency modulated continuous wave (FMCW) radar architecture is proposed. Optical systems, due to their light weight, bandwidth and resistance to interference are a possible implementation for the radar front end. Optical architecture implementations suffer from low signal to noise ratio and low spurious free dynamic range. Employment of an optical architecture for a narrow band radar would be inefficient and its performance would be substandard. Instead a focus on a wide bandwidth is pursued. The advantages of deploying a wide bandwidth, frequency agile radar are its resistance to jamming and the receiver's usefulness as a radar warning receiver. An investigation into optical systems discovers an optical architecture that is highly resistant to environmental changes making it robust, easy to maintain and inexpensive to deploy. A COTS based beam forming array is designed within the optical system. The beam former allows for multiple apertures and multiple beams, a feature previously limited to expensive, complex array architectures. The author presents a COTS based solution for optical mixing, which is both wideband and exploits the linearity of phase modulated optical paths to provide linear mixing. The topology suffers some degradation due to the inherent environmental sensitivity of phase modulated optical fibres. The author presents a means to stabilize the output of the optical mixer, making it suitable for deployment upon an operational platform. The technique can be miniaturized as a new form of optical modulator. This optical front end can be integrated into a full, microwave to digital-at-IF radar. This breakthrough allows the use of millimeter wave carrier frequencies with low IF topologies for digital receivers. Using a low IF eliminates the problems of data overflow and Nyquist sampling limits inherent in available electronic analog to digital converters. Wideband antennas are designed that are compact, light weight and are built from rugged materials. These antennas are simulated to show a 2 – 40+ GHz bandwidth. A series of lower bandwidth 2 -25 GHz antennas are fabricated and the parameters which enable wide bandwidth operation are investigated. The difficulty employing antennas in an array is the creation of grating lobes, through separation of the antennas. The application of medical research in ultrasound shows that an efficient beam former without grating lobes can be created by the use of two different apertures. A Vernier array of two antennas is proposed which increases the effective antenna aperture by a factor of four. A linear 8 port antenna array at the transmitter and an 8 port array at

the receiver becomes a 64 port linear antenna array for the radar, with consequent affect upon the beam width at half power of the array radiation pattern.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	4
NOTE.....	8
LIST OF FIGURES	8
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	16
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY	17
1 INTRODUCTION	20
1.1 AIM.....	20
1.2 ULTRA LIGHT UAVS	21
1.3 COMPARISONS WITH CONVENTIONAL RADARS	22
1.4 RADAR AND ARRAYS.....	23
1.5 THESIS OUTLINE	28
REFERENCES	31
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	34
2.1 INTRODUCTION	34
2.2 ELECTRO-OPTIC MODULATION	37
2.3 RADAR ARRAY TECHNOLOGY	44
2.4 THE PERFORMANCE LIMITS ON OPTICAL LINKS	59
2.5 OPTICAL MODULATION OF AN IF SIGNAL onto a carrier frequency	78
2.6 OPTICAL LO GENERATION.....	92

REFERENCES	94
3 OPTICAL ARCHITECTURE FOR HETERODYNE RADAR	108
3.1 OPTICAL RADAR LINKS	108
4 ANTENNA SYSTEMS FOR ULTRA LIGHTS	120
4.1 OVERVIEW.....	120
4.2 INTRODUCTION	120
4.3 ANALYSIS OF THE VIVALDI ANTENNA	122
4.4 PHYSICAL ANTENNA CHARACTERISTICS	124
4.5 ANTENNA ARRAYS FOR ULTRA LIGHT RADAR	179
4.6 EXTREMELY HIGH FREQUENCY ARRAYS FOR RADAR AND ESM SYSTEMS	183
4.7 RUGGEDIZED ARRAYS FOR PLATFORM DEPLOYMENT	192
4.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	198
REFERENCES	199
5 SPARSE MATRIX ARRAYS	202
5.1 OVERVIEW ¹	202
5.2 INTRODUCTION	202
5.3 MATHEMATICAL IMPLEMENTATION.....	205
5.4 IMPLICATIONS ON SIGNAL CHARACTERISTICS.....	211
5.5 FUTURE WORK	213
5.6 CONCLUSION	213
REFERENCES	214
6 PHASE MODULATED LINKS PART I.....	218

6.1 INTRODUCTION	218
6.2 PHASE MODULATION	218
6.3 THE MATHEMATICS OF PHASE MODULATION	221
6.4 PM TO IM CONVERSION	223
6.5 A SAGNAC LOOP INTENSITY MODULATOR	225
6.6 CONCLUSION	232
REFERENCES	234
7 PHASE MODULATED LINKS PART II	237
7.1 OVERVIEW.....	237
7.2 THE APPLICATION OF PHASE MODULATION TO OPTICAL MIXING.....	237
7.3 SAGNAC LOOP OPTICAL MIXING	238
7.4 MIXING PORT ISOLATION.....	239
7.5 PHASE MODULATION AND PHOTONIC ESA ARRAYS	239
7.6 SIMULATIONS	240
7.7 THE TEST SETUP	254
7.8 CONCLUSION	281
REFERENCES	282
8 SUMMARY	286
8.1 THE STATE OF CURRENT RESEARCH	286
8.2 FUTURE RESEARCH.....	286
8.3 CRITIQUE	286
8.4 CONCLUSION	287

APPENDIX A.....	2
DOD UAV ROADMAP	2

NOTE

Appendix A is a brief excerpt from the US DoD roadmap for UAVS 2005 – 2030

For clarity and ease of use by the reader, references are included in each chapter. The list of figures, being further precipitate is below. Figures are incremented in each section

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1 A DIGITAL RADAR CONCEPT, SHOWING RECEIVER IF DIGITIZATION. DOES NOT SHOW BEAM FORMING TECHNIQUE	26
FIGURE 1-2 A TYPICAL RECEIVED RADAR PULSE. (A) THE IF WAVEFORM, (B) THE I AND Q OUTPUT	26
FIGURE 1-3 THE POWER OUTPUT FROM THE PULSE OF FIGURE 1.2	27
FIGURE 2-1 ILLUSTRATION OF A QUARTER WAVE PLATE AND BIREFRINGENT CRYSTAL INSERTED BETWEEN TWO OFFSET LINEAR POLARIZERS.	41
FIGURE 2.2.3-1 (A) DISPERSION ACROSS A 60 KM FIBRE LINK [2.2.39] (B) A DIAGRAM OF A WDM LINK USING FIBRE AS A DISPERSIVE MEDIUM	47
FIGURE 2.2.3-2 (A) A DIAGRAM OF A WDM LINK USING A MCFBG AS COMPENSATION FOR A DISPERSIVE FIBRE LINK [2.2.39] (B) DIAGRAM OF A 50 ITU CHANNEL MCFBG [2.2.39]	48
FIGURE 2.2.3-3 DIAGRAM OF ONE INDIVIDUAL CHANNEL WITH DISPERSION CHARACTERISTIC AND GROUP DELAY RIPPLE	49
FIGURE 2.2.3-4 (A) DIAGRAM OF A HIGHLY LINEAR 9 CHANNEL MCFBG DESIGNED BY TERAXION FOR A 40 GHZ ARRAY	49
FIGURE 2.2.3-5 (A) A DUAL WDM MCFBG LINK (B) A 1:N WDM MCFBG LINK (C) THE 3BEAM STARING ARRAY	50
FIGURE 2.2.3-6 (A) A WDM LINK SHOWING THE TOPOLOGY OF AN MCFBG BEAMFORMER USING DFB LASERS (B) THE BEAM PATTERN FROM THE LINK AT 12 GHZ, 16 GHZ AND 18 GHZ	53
FIGURE 2.2.3-7 A NON LINEAR ELECTRO-OPTIC ANTENNA	55
FIGURE 2.2.3-8 A PHOTONIC CRYSTAL USED AS A ROTMANS LENS IN A PHOTONIC BEAMFORMER	55
FIGURE 2.2.3-9 THE OUTPUT OF AN ASE FROM THE DATASHEET	56
FIGURE 2.2.3-10 (A) SHOWS A VLSI SET UP [2.2.21] (B) SHOWS A CLOSE UP OF THE OPTO-VLSI PROCESSOR AND (C) AND (D) SHOW A DIAGRAM OF THE MODE OF OPERATION.	57
FIGURE 2.2.3-11 AN OPTICAL ELECTRICAL SIGMA DELTA SUBSAMPLING ADC	58
FIGURE 2.4.2-1 AN EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT MODEL FOR AN OPTICAL LINK [2.5.1].	67
FIGURE 2.4.5-1 NOISE POWER AGAINST INSTANTANEOUS BANDWIDTH.	79

TABLE 2.5.1-1 ELECTRONIC MIXER PERFORMANCE FIGURES, FOR EXISTING DEVICES	85
FIGURE 2.5.2-1 SERIES SEPARATE MZIM PHASED ARRAY ARCHITECTURE FOR RADAR TRANSMISSION.	87
FIGURE 2.5.2-2 SERIES SEPARATE MZ PHASED ARRAY ARCHITECTURE WITH MULTIPLE INDEPENDENT WAVEFORM GENERATION.	87
FIGURE 2.5.2-3 MZIM OPTICAL SINGLE SIDEBAND WITH HARMONIC GENERATION AND FIXED OPTICAL BIAS.	89
FIGURE 2.5.2-4 A SERIES MZIM SAGNAC LOOP RECEIVER WITH HARMONIC GENERATION, OPTICAL SINGLE SIDEBAND AND MINIMUM TRANSMISSION BIASING.	90
FIGURE 2.5.2-5 AN UP CONVERSION INTENSITY MODULATED LINK THAT HAS BOTH THE GENERATED LOCAL OSCILLATOR AND THE IF SIGNAL OPTICALLY SINGLE SIDEBAND.	91
FIGURE 2.5.2-6 SERIES SEPARATE MZIM ARCHITECTURE WITH COHERENT TRANSMISSION AND OSSB IF.	93
FIGURE 2.5.2-7 BETTS' FILTERED OSSB ARCHITECTURE WITH NON COHERENT MIXING.	95
FIGURE 3.1-1 PM DIRECT GENERATION OF A LOCAL OSCILLATOR SIGNAL.	114
FIGURE 3.1-2 A DIAGRAM OF THE SAGNAC LOOP CONFIGURATION	117
FIGURE 3.1-3 A PHYSICAL MODEL OF THE BIAS UNIT IN THE SAGNAC LOOP	118
FIGURE 3.1-4 THE FIBRE COLLIMATOR	119
FIGURE 3.1-5 THE TRANSMIT ARCHITECTURE FOR THE FMCW RADAR. NOTE: THE LO OUTPUT TO THE ANTENNAS IS ALSO DELAYED WITH AN MCFBG.NOTE THERE IS AN ERROR IN THIS FIGURE AS THE SPLITTER IS NOT NECESSARY WITH THE CIRCULATOR.	122
FIGURE 3.1-6 THE RECEIVE ARCHITECTURE FOR THE FMCW RADAR. NOTE: THE LO HAS BEEN TRANSFERRED FROM THE TRANSMITTER AND CONVERTED BACK TO AN ELECTRICAL SIGNAL	124
FIGURE 4-1 AN IMPULSE RESPONSE OF A 2- 20 GHZ WIDEBAND ANTENNA MODELED IN CST MICROWAVE STUDIO	127
FIGURE 4-2 (A) THE COMMON DIFFRACTION SOURCE (B) A MODIFIED POINT SOURCE (C) SIMULATION OF THE E FIELD. THE WAVE IS CLEARLY SHOWN RADIATING FROM THE OUTSIDE EDGE OF THE ANTENNA.	129
TABLE 4-1 ANTENNA PARAMETERS OF FIGURE 2. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN MM.	131
FIGURE 4-3 ANTIPODAL VIVALDI WITH FEED	131

FIGURE 4-4 THE OVERLAPPED S11 OF THE MEASURED AND SIMULATED VALUES OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA	132
FIGURE 4-5 (A) THE S11 OF THE MEASURED VALUES OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA (B) THE S11 OF THE SIMULATED VALUES OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA	133
FIGURE 4-6 THE S11 OF THE SIMULATED VALUES OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA ON A POLAR CHART	134
FIGURE 4-7 (A) THE SIMULATED GAIN VALUES OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA (B) THE SIMULATED GAIN VALUES OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA AT THE FREQUENCY POINTS OF THE MEASURED ETS LINDGREN QUADRIDGE HORN (C) THE MEASURED GAIN VALUES OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA AT THE SAME POINTS	135
FIGURE 4-8 (A) THE MEASURED GAIN VALUES OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA AGAINST THE SAME ANECHOIC CHAMBER MEASUREMENTS FROM THE ETS LINDGREN QUADRIDGE HORN (B) THE MEASURED GAIN VALUES OF THE COMPARISON ANTENNA AT THE SAME POINTS	137
FIGURE 4-9 (A) THE E WAVE IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENTS (B) AND THE H WAVE IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENTS OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA TAKEN AT THE FEED POINT (C) THE E WAVE IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENTS (D) AND THE H WAVE IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENTS OF A HIGH POWER ALUMINIUM ANTENNA TAKEN AT THE FEED POINT	140
FIGURE 4-10 (A) A 200X300MM VIVALDI ANTENNA USING AN RO4003 (ROGERS CORPORATION) SUBSTRATE (B) THE SIMULATED REFLECTION COEFFICIENT OF THE RO4003 ANTENNA (C) THE MEASURED REFLECTION COEFFICIENT OF THE RO4003 ANTENNA (D) THE S11 OF THE HIGHER DIELECTRIC ANTENNA OF THE SAME SIZE AND DEPTH IN RO3010 (E) THE S11 OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA.	143
FIGURE 4-10-1 UPPER THE ANTENNA PATTERN OF AN 8 PORT LINEAR ARRAY OF THE 200X 300MM ANTENNA AT 2.45 GHZ (B) AT 9.8 GHZ	144
FIGURE 4-11 (A) SHOWS THE GAIN CURVE OF THE RO4003 ANTENNA SIMULATED UPON CST MICROWAVE (B) SHOWS THE SIMULATED GAIN CURVE OF THE FRP 4.2 ANTENNA (C) SHOWS THE GAIN CURVE OF THE RO4003 ANTENNA MEASURED (1) SHOWS THE GAIN CURVE OF THE FRP 4.2 ANTENNA MEASURED (2) (C) SHOWS THE GAIN CURVE OF THE RO3006 ANTENNA MEASURED AND SIMULATED (D) SHOWS THE GAIN CURVE OF THE RO3010 ANTENNA MEASURED AND SIMULATED	147
FIGURE 4-12 (A) ANTENNA BEAM PATTERN @ 9.9 GHZ FOR RO3003. (B) ANTENNA BEAM PATTERN @ 9.9 GHZ FOR RO3010.	148
FIGURE 4-13 CST GAIN CURVE COMPARING ANTENNAS WITH DIELECTRIC OF RO3003 (1) AND RO3010 (2)	149
FIGURE 4-14 (A) RADIATED ANTENNA PATTERN ON SUBSTRATE RO3003 ANTENNA AT 9.8 GHZ (B) RADIATED ANTENNA PATTERN ON SUBSTRATE RO3010 ANTENNA AT 9.8 GHZ	150
FIGURE 4 -15 (A) GAIN OF THE PRIMARY ANTENNA WITH WIDTH 135 MM. (B) GAIN OF THE SAME ANTENNA WITH WIDTH 80MM. ALL OTHER PARAMETERS ARE THE SAME (C) SHOWS THE 80 MM ANTENNA.	152

FIGURE 4-16 (A) THE 45MM WIDTH ANTENNA IN A COPLANAR ARRAY (B) THE 80 MM WIDTH ANTENNA IN A COPLANAR ARRAY	153
FIGURE 4-17 (A) THE S11 AND S21 OF THE 80 MM ANTENNA ONE (B) THE S11 AND S21 OF THE 80 MM ANTENNA TWO	154
FIGURE 4-18 PREDICTED GAIN FOR 3 TWO PORT ARRAY ANTENNAS WITH WIDTH 45 MM (RED), 60 MM (GREEN) AND 80 MM (BLUE) USING R3003.	155
FIGURE 4-19 SHOWS A SERIES OF FIGURES FROM THE 80X300 MM ANTENNA STARTING AT 1.25 GHZ (A) AND PROCEEDING TO 18 GHZ. THE FREQUENCY IS DOUBLED BETWEEN EACH (EXCEPT THE ADDITION OF A 12 GHZ FIGURE) THE UPPER FIGURE IN EACH IS THE AZIMUTH PATTERN AND THE LEOWER IS THE ELEVATION PATTERN	160
FIGURE 4-20 **	166
(A) RECTANGULAR CHART AT 2.45 GHZ OF THE SIMULATED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(B) RECTANGULAR CHART AT 2.45 GHZ OF THE MEASURED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(C) POLAR CHART AT 2.45 GHZ OF THE SIMULATED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(D) POLAR CHART AT 2.45 GHZ OF THE MEASURED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(E) RECTANGULAR CHART AT 9.9 GHZ OF THE SIMULATED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(F) RECTANGULAR CHART AT 9.9 GHZ OF THE MEASURED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(G) POLAR CHART AT 9.9 GHZ OF THE SIMULATED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(H) POLAR CHART AT 9.9 GHZ OF THE MEASURED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(I) RECTANGULAR CHART AT 9.9 GHZ OF THE MEASURED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(J) RECTANGULAR CHART AT 18 GHZ OF THE MEASURED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(K) POLAR CHART AT 9.9 GHZ OF THE MEASURED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
(L) POLAR CHART AT 18 GHZ OF THE MEASURED PRIMARY ANTENNA AZIMUTH	166
FIGURE 4-21 (A) THE SIMULATED S11 OF A 60MM ANTENNA (B) THE MEASURED S11 OF A 60 MM ANTENNA (C) THE SIMULATED S11 OF AN 80 MM ANTENNA (D) THE MEASRUED S11 OF AN 80 MM ANTENNA	168

FIGURE 4-21-1 (A) PREDICTED GAIN FOR 3 ANTENNAS WITH WIDTH 60 MM (1), 80 MM (2) AND 135 MM (3) USING R3003. (B) MEASURED GAIN FOR 3 ANTENNAS WITH WIDTH 60 MM (1), 80 MM (2) AND 135 MM (3) USING R3003	169
FIGURE 4-22 (A) THE PRIMARY ANTENNA WITH 10 MM FLARE (B) THE SIMULATED GAIN AND (C) THE MEASURED RESULTS	171
FIGURE 4-23 (A) PREDICTED V MEASURED GAIN FOR 3 ANTENNAS WITH WIDTH 80 MM AND FLARE (1), 10 MM (2) 30 MM AND (3) 40 MM. (B) PREDICTED V MEASURED GAIN FOR 3 ANTENNAS WITH WIDTH 135 MM AND FLARE (1), 10 MM (2) 30 MM AND (3) 60 MM	173
FIGURE 4-24 (A) (B) AND (C) (D) SHOWS THE 10 MM FLARE ANTENNA SIMULATED AND MEASURED GAIN IN RECTANGULAR AND POLAR BEAM PATTERNS AT 9.9 GHZ	175
FIGURE 4-25 (A) (B) ; (C) (D) AND (E) (F) SHOWS THE 40 MM FLARE ANTENNA SIMULATED AND MEASURED GAIN IN AMPLITUDE AT FIXED POINT, RECTANGULAR AND POLAR BEAM PATTERNS AT 9.9 GHZ	178
FIGURE 4-26 (A) (B) ; (C) (D) AND (E) (F) SHOWS THE 60 MM ANTENNA SIMULATED AND MEASURED GAIN IN AMPLITUDE AT FIXED POINT, RECTANGULAR AND POLAR BEAM PATTERNS AT 9.9 GHZ	181
FIGURE 4-27 THE AUTHOR IN THE ANECHOIC CHAMBER	183
FIGURE 4-28 THE ANTENNA ARRAY FACTOR GAIN FOR AN 8 PORT LINEAR ANTENNA ARRAY AT (A)1.25 GHZ (B) 2.45 GHZ (C) 4.95 GHZ (D) 9.8 GHZ (E) 12 GHZ (F) 18 GHZ	186
FIGURE 4-29 (A) THE 4 – 40 GHZ ANTENNA (B) S11 RECTANGULAR (C) S11 POLAR (D) GAIN 2 – 40 GHZ RECTANGULAR (E) 3D POLAR GAIN: 2 GHZ (F) 9.5 GHZ (G) 22 GHZ (H) 32 GHZ (I) 39.5 GHZ	190
FIGURE 4-30 (A) THE 30 – 100 GHZ ANTENNA (B) S11 RECTANGULAR (C) S11 POLAR (D) GAIN 30 – 100 GHZ RECTANGULAR (E) 3D POLAR GAIN : 30 GHZ UPPER AZIMUTH LOWER ELEVATION (F) 3D POLAR GAIN : 50 GHZ UPPER AZIMUTH LOWER ELEVATION (G) 3D POLAR GAIN : 70 GHZ UPPER AZIMUTH LOWER ELEVATION (H) 3D POLAR GAIN : 90 GHZ UPPER AZIMUTH LOWER ELEVATION	195
FIGURE 4-31 (A) THE 4 – 40 GHZ ANTENNA (B) S11 RECTANGULAR (C) S11 POLAR (D) GAIN 2 – 40 GHZ RECTANGULAR (E) 3D POLAR GAIN : 2.5 GHZ (F) POLAR GAIN: 2.5 GHZ (G) ARRAY FACTOR GAIN 2.5 GHZ (H) 3D POLAR GAIN: 24.5 GHZ (I) POLAR GAIN 24.5 GHZ	200
THIS CHAPTER WAS PUBLISHED AS [5.13]	206
FIGURE 5-1 (A) THE TOP SECTION OF THE ANTIPODAL VIVALDI (B) THE BASE SECTION WITH THE DUAL ANTENNA FEED	207
FIGURE 5-2 (A) A 1:1 ARRAY FOR A 32 PORT SYSTEM (B) A P=3 VERNIER ARRAY WITH NO WINDOW (C) A P=4 VERNIER ARRAY WITH NO WINDOW	209
FIGURE 5-3 (A) P=3 VERNIER ARRAY WITH BLACKMAN WINDOW APODIZATION. (B) P=4 VERNIER ARRAY WITH BLACKMAN WINDOW APODIZATION. (C) 32 PORT ARRAY WITH BLACKMAN WINDOW.	211

<i>FIGURE 5-4 (A) P=3 WEIGHTED VERNIER ARRAY WITH Z DOMAIN APODIZATION (B) P=4 WEIGHTED VERNIER ARRAY WITH Z DOMAIN APODIZATION</i>	<i>213</i>
<i>FIGURE 5-5 P=3 WEIGHTED VERNIER ARRAY WITH Z DOMAIN APODIZATION MULTIPLIED BY A SUB KERNEL. (B) P=4 WEIGHTED VERNIER ARRAY WITH Z DOMAIN APODIZATION MULTIPLIED BY A SUB KERNEL.</i>	<i>214</i>
<i>FIGURE 5-6 RADIATION PATTERN OF A VIVALDI ANTENNA AT 2.45 GHZ MAIN LOBE MAGNITUDE: 23.3 DBV/M SIDE LOBE LEVEL: -11.1 DB, ANGULAR WIDTH: 66.6 DEG</i>	<i>215</i>
<i>FIGURE 6-1 (A (A)) THE SPECTRUM OUTPUT OF AN INTENSITY MODULATED SIGNAL. (A (B)) A PHASE MODULATED OPTICAL SIGNAL. (B) A MODEL OF A PHASE MODULATOR</i>	<i>221</i>
<i>FIGURE 6-2 THE TRANSFER FUNCTION OF FREQUENCY AGAINST OUTPUT INTENSITY FOR A FBG GRATING FM OPTICAL LINK [6.4].</i>	<i>225</i>
<i>FIGURE 6-3 CHANGE IN OUTPUT FOR A DISTURBANCE 90 M FROM THE LOOP CENTRE.</i>	<i>229</i>
<i>FIGURE 6-4 THE SIMULATED OUTPUT IN DBM FOR VIBRATION AT 500 KHZ AGAINST LOOP LENGTH</i>	<i>230</i>
<i>FIGURE 6.5-1 (UPPER) A METHOD FOR CANCELLING VIBRATION INTERFERENCE IN A SAGNAC LOOP (LOWER) AN ALTERNATIVE SCHEME WHERE THE MODULATOR CAN BE PLACED IN THE EXTENSION TO THE LOOP</i>	<i>232</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-1 (A) A VPI SIMULATED MODEL OF AN IM PHOTONIC LINK. (B) THE SIMULATED TRANSMIT OPTICAL SPECTRUM (C) THE SIMULATED RF SPECTRUM OF AN OVER DRIVEN IM LINK SHOWING HARMONICS (D) THE OUTPUT WAVEFORM OF THE DISTORTED LINK</i>	<i>242</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-2 (A) THE TRANSMITTED RF SPECTRUM OF THE OPTIMAL LINK (B) THE OUTPUT WAVEFORM OF THE OPTIMAL LINK (C) THE TRANSMITTED RF SPECTRUM OF THE LOW POWER LINK (D) THE OUTPUT WAVEFORM OF THE LOW POWER LINK</i>	<i>244</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-3 (A) VPI SIMULATED MODEL OF AN OPM SAGNAC LOOP. (B) THE SIMULATED TRANSMITTED OPTICAL SPECTRUM. (C) THE SIMULATED RECEIVED SIGNAL.</i>	<i>246</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-4 (A) THE TRANSMITTED RF SPECTRUM OF THE OPTIMAL SL LINK (B) THE OUTPUT WAVEFORM OF THE OPTIMAL SL LINK (C) THE TRANSMITTED RF SPECTRUM OF THE LOW POWER SL LINK (D) THE OUTPUT WAVEFORM OF THE LOW POWER SL LINK</i>	<i>248</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-5 THE TRANSMITTED RF SPECTRUM OF THE IDEAL RADAR SIGNAL</i>	<i>249</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-6 THE TRANSMITTED RF SPECTRUM OF THE SAME RADAR SIGNAL ON A MACH ZEHNDER SERIES LINK</i>	<i>249</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-7 THE TRANSMITTED RF SPECTRUM OF THE SAGNAC LOOP TRANSMITTER</i>	<i>250</i>

FIGURE 7-8 (A) THE RECEIVED RF SPECTRUM OF THE SERIES MODULATOR LINK (B) THE SAME SPECTRUM WITH A 1 GHZ BANDWIDTH FILTER APPLIED	251
FIGURE 7-9 (A) THE RECEIVED RF SPECTRUM OF THE SAGNAC LOOP LINK (B) THE SAME SPECTRUM WITH A 1 GHZ BANDWIDTH FILTER	252
FIGURE 7-10 (A) PRODUCT SHEET FOR THE INTENSITY MODULATOR (B) PRODUCT SHEET FOR THE PHASE MODULATOR (C) IM DATA SHEET (D) PM DATA SHEET	255
FIGURE 7-11 A SINGLE MZIM LINK SHOWING THE HARMONICS AT LOW FREQUENCY (A) 1 GHZ (B) DELTA 2 GHZ (C) 3 GHZ (D) 4.5 GHZ PLUS DELTA AT 9 GHZ (E) 9 GHZ (F) 11 GHZ	258
FIGURE 7-12 A SERIES MZIM LINK (A) 1 GHZ AND 2 GHZ AT -10 DBM (B) 1 GHZ AND 2 GHZ AT 0 DBM (C) 1 GHZ AND 3 GHZ (D) 1 GHZ AND 7 GHZ (E) 1 GHZ AND 7 GHZ DELTA (F) 1 GHZ AND 9 GHZ (G) 1 GHZ AND 11 GHZ DELTA (H) 1 GHZ AND 11	263
FIGURE 7-13 THE OPTICAL TEST BENCH SET UP.	264
FIGURE 7-14 A CLOSE UP OF THE FREE SPACE TEST BENCH	265
FIGURE 7-15 (A) A DIAGRAM OF THE ARCHITECTURE OF THE TEST SET UP. NO MCFBG WAS USED IN THE LAB SET UP AND ONLY ONE ANTENNA SECTION NOT FOUR AS SHOWN. (B) A DIAGRAM OF THE MODIFIED ARCHITECTURE OF THE TEST SET UP. NO MCFBG WAS USED IN THE LAB SET UP AND ONLY ONE ANTENNA SECTION NOT FOUR AS SHOWN.	267
FIGURE 7-16 (A) THE 700 MHZ TONE AFTER OPTICAL MODULATION ON THE SPECTRUM ANALYSER DISPLAY (B) THE OUTPUT DIRECTLY FROM THE SIGNAL GENERATOR TO THE SPECTRUM ANALYSER (C) THE RECEIVED SIGNAL ON AN AGILENT 1 GHZ DSO IN MULTISHOT MODE (D) THE SIGNAL CAPTURED IN A SINGLE SHOT WITH FFT SUPERIMPOSED	270
FIGURE 7-17 (A) THE 900 MHZ TONE ON THE SPECTRUM ANALYSER DISPLAY. (B) THE HARMONICS ON THE SIGNAL GENERATOR (C) THE SIGNAL CAPTURED IN A SINGLE SHOT WITH FFT SUPERIMPOSED	271
FIGURE 7-18 THE MIXED SIGNAL TONE AT 1600 MHZ ON THE SPECTRUM ANALYSER. CAREFUL COMPARISON OF THE HARMONICS REVEALS THAT THE MIXED TONE DOES NOT SHOW DISTORTION.	272
FIGURE 7-19 THE MIXED OUTPUT SIGNALS OF A SECOND TEST (A) THE OUTPUT OF THE ARCHITECTURE OF FIGURE 7-15 (A) (B) THE OUTPUT WHEN BOTH PHASE MODULATORS WERE PLACED INSIDE THE SAGNAC LOOP.	273
FIGURE 7-20 A TWO TONE TEST SIGNAL WITH 0 DBM INPUT	274
FIGURE 7-21 A TWO TONE TEST INPUT WITH 4 DBM INPUT	275
FIGURE 7-22 THE OUTPUT FROM A – 70 DB INPUT RF SIGNAL WITH POST AMPLIFICATION.	276
FIGURE 7-23 AN OUTPUT OF A DOUBLE SIDEBAND MIXED SIGNAL AT 11 GHZ	277

<i>FIGURE 7-24 THE UPPER SIDEBAND SHOWING NO SPURS DOWN TO – 110 DBM NOISE FLOOR</i>	<i>277</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-25 MIXING A 5 GHZ INPUT RADIO FREQUENCY SIGNAL WITH A 4 GHZ “LO”.</i>	<i>278</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-26 THE DOWN CONVERTED 1 GHZ SIGNAL.</i>	<i>278</i>
<i>FIGURE 7-27 THE RECEIVE ARCHITECTURE FOR THE FMCW RADAR.</i>	<i>280</i>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the input of Dr Bevan Bates, for the wisdom and experience contained therein and the Defence Science and Technology Organization for financial support during the time of research and writing. Dr Bates support was invaluable throughout the time of the research, in particular for his perceptive comments on the concepts presented and for many hours spent in assisting the writer's presentation skills, the writer being naïve to the process of technical writing.

The author would like to thank Tim Priest from the Defence Science and Technology Organization for providing valuable insight into the optical concepts and assistance in the set up and testing of the optical link at the University of Adelaide and at DSTO. Tim was a big supporter of the optical development and it is unfortunate that damage to the components, prevented us from attaining even better results.

The author would like to thank Ross Kyrianiou for assistance with analysis and presentation of the Vivaldi antenna data and for his encouraging support, when challenging the traditional viewpoint on Vivaldi antennas and dielectric substrates.

The parties are acknowledged as co authors on the pertinent papers.

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

Low Noise Wideband Optical Mixing and Optical Up-Conversion

Architecture.

Alexander N. Sharp , Bevan D. Bates*†*

**School of EEE, The University of Adelaide, SA 5005 Australia*

†Defence Science and Technology Organisation, Edinburgh SA 5111, Australia

Vivaldi Antennas : Wideband Radar Antennas

Simulation and Reality

Alexander N. Sharp , Bevan D. Bates*†*

**School of EEE, The University of Adelaide, SA 5005 Australia*

†Defence Science and Technology Organisation, Edinburgh SA 5111, Australia

Sparse Array Systems for Ultralite UAV Radar

Alexander N. Sharp , Bevan D. Bates*†*

**School of EEE, The University of Adelaide, SA 5005 Australia*

†Defence Science and Technology Organisation, Edinburgh SA 5111, Australia

Email: {alexander.sharp, bevan.bates}@adelaide.edu.au

© The Institution of Engineering and Technology

Michael Faraday House

Six Hills Way. Stevenage

Hertfordshire

SG12AY

UK

Tel: +44 (0) 01438 765647 Fax: +44 (0) 01438 765659