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Chromosome pairing, synapsis, and DNA recombination are three key processes that occur during early meiosis. A previous study of Poor Homologous Synapsis 1 (PHS1) in maize suggested that PHS1 has a role in coordinating these three processes. Here we report the isolation of wheat (Triticum aestivum) PHS1 (TaPHS1), and its expression profile during and after meiosis.

While the TaPHS1 protein has sequence similarity to other plant PHS1/PHS1-like proteins, it also possesses a unique region of oligopeptide repeat units. We show that TaPHS1 interacts with both single- and double-stranded DNA in vitro and provide evidence of the protein region that imparts the DNA-binding ability. Immunolocalisation data from assays conducted using antisera raised against TaPHS1 show that TaPHS1 associates with chromatin during early meiosis, with the signal persisting beyond chromosome synapsis. Furthermore, TaPHS1 does not appear to colocalise with the asynapsis protein (TaASY1) suggesting that these proteins are probably independently coordinated. Significantly, the data from the DNA-binding assays and 3-dimensional immunolocalisation of TaPHS1 during early meiosis indicates that TaPHS1 interacts with DNA, a function not previously observed in either the Arabidopsis or maize PHS1 homologues. As such, these results provide new insight into the function of PHS1 during early meiosis in bread wheat.

1. Introduction

For the majority of sexually reproducing organisms, meiosis is a cellular process required for gamete formation and is composed of one round of DNA replication, followed by two rounds of chromosome division. During meiosis I, a reductional division event leads to the segregation of homologous chromosome pairs, while an equational division during meiosis II leads to the segregation of the sister chromatids.

For the successful juxtaposition of homologous chromosomes, three key processes occur during prophase I, namely, pairing, synapsis, and recombination. Previous studies investigating the molecular mechanisms of homologous chromosome pairing have revealed complex interplay between these three tightly linked processes [1–5].

In allopolyploid organisms such as bread wheat (Triticum aestivum), correct alignment and pairing of homologous chromosomes are complicated by the presence of genetically similar genomes, known as homoeologous genomes. Although bread wheat possesses three homoeologous genomes (termed A, B, and D), meiosis proceeds as if the organism is a diploid, in that pairing only occurs between homologous chromosomes from the same genome ([6–9] and references within). This strict pairing interaction between homologous chromosomes has previously been shown to be controlled by pairing homoeologous (Ph) loci [10, 11]. The most extensively studied of these loci is the Ph1 locus located on the long arm of chromosome 5B. While the molecular mechanism by which the Ph1 locus operates is still subject to intensive research, Ph1 appears to indirectly promote homologous chromosome pairing by suppressing homoeologous chromosome interactions through regulation of the specificity of chromosome interactions at centromeric and telomeric regions [12, 13].
In *ph1* deletion mutants, the chromatin is prematurely and asynchronously remodelled, leading to abnormal chromosome conformation that results in increased interactions between homoeologous chromosomes [13, 14]. These mutants also display other meiotic defects such as the arrest of synapsis during zygotene and the presence of uncorrected multiple axial element associations, which in wild type are normally corrected prior to entry into pachytene [15, 16]. While the deletion region in the *ph1b* mutant is extensive, the *Ph1* locus has recently been refined to an area that contains, among other genes, seven *Cyclin-dependent kinase-like* (*Cdkl*) genes [17, 18].

Our current knowledge of other meiotic genes mostly comes from research on model species such as yeast and Arabidopsis. However, putative homologues of many of these genes have also been identified in the cereals [19]. Some of the early meiotic genes characterised in various plant species include *ASY1* (*ASYnapsis 1*) [20–24], *RAD51* (*RADiation sensitive 51*) [25, 26], and *PHS1* (*Poor Homologous Synapsis 1*) [27, 28]. In wheat, *ASY1* (*TaASY1*) is involved in chromosome synapsis and promotes homologous chromosome pairing during meiosis I [20, 21]. Interestingly, *TaASY1* knockdown mutants have been reported to display defective chromosome characteristics similar to that of the *ph1b* mutant [20]. In the absence of *Ph1*, the expression of *TaASY1* is approximately 20-fold higher compared to wild type while the localisation of its protein product is also affected. This indicates that *TaASY1* is intimately involved in the *Ph1*-dependent control of chromosome pairing in wheat [9, 20].

*PHS1* was first identified in a *Mutator* transposon-mutagenised maize population, with no known homologues in yeast or other nonplant species [27]. While phenotypic analysis of the *phs1-0* mutant by Pawlowski et al. [27] revealed no vegetative defects, meiosis was disrupted resulting in male and female sterility. Transmission electron microscopy of meiotic spreads from *Zmphs1-0* meiocytes revealed significantly reduced levels of synapsis during zygote and improper alignment of the chromosomes in the synapsed regions. Although most of the chromosomes were fully synapsed by late pachytene, the chromosomes were synapsed with multiple partners. Coupled together with results of their fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation (FISH), the data indicated that nonhomologous chromosome synapsis was present in the *Zmphs1-0* mutant.

FISH results from recent work by Ronceret et al. [28] on the Arabidopsis homologue of *PHS1* (*AtPHS1*) showed that *PHS1* appears to function in a similar manner in different species independent of genome size and complexity. Chromosome axis formation and installation of the synaptonemal complex components in both wild type and *phs1* mutant cells of Arabidopsis and maize appeared similar albeit with *ZYP1*, a transverse filament protein, loading being delayed in some instances [28]. Immunolocalisation in Arabidopsis and maize revealed that *PHS1* is located within the cytoplasm during the early stages of meiosis with some nuclei clustered along the nuclear envelope during zygote. In some instances, a few foci are present in the nucleus during pachytene. With a reduced number of RAD50 foci observed in the nuclei of the *phs1* mutants, Ronceret and colleagues [28] concluded that *PHS1* regulates meiotic recombination and chromosome pairing by regulating the transport of RAD50, a protein which is required during double-strand break processing, into the nucleus.

Here, we report the extensive analysis of the *PHS1* protein in bread wheat, providing evidence that shows *TaPHS1* possesses DNA-binding capabilities even though no known DNA-binding domains were identified *in silico*. Our data also show that *PHS1* is upregulated in the *ph1b* bread wheat mutant when compared to wild type, and that *TaPHS1* is associated with chromatin and is present on the nucleolar periphery during the early stages of meiosis as indicated through immunolocalisation analysis using an antibody that was raised against the full-length wheat *PHS1* protein.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Plant Material

Hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cv. Chinese Spring plants and a Chinese Spring mutant lacking the *Ph1* locus (*ph1b*) were grown in a glasshouse with a 16/8 h photoperiod at 23°C. Harvesting and staging of meiotic anthers from both wild type and mutant plants, for quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR) and fluorescence immunolocalisation, were conducted as per Boden et al. [20]. Whole meiotic spike tissue was collected for the isolation and amplification of the gDNA and cDNA *Triticum aestivum PHS1* (*TaPHS1*) sequences.

#### 2.2. RNA Isolation and cDNA Synthesis

Collected tissues for RNA isolation were initially ground in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Gibco-BRL, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration was determined using a Nanodrop and 2.1. Plant Material.
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Primers (see Table S1 of the supplementary material available online at doi:10.1155/2011/514398) for isolating the *TaPHS1* ORF were designed using the *OsPHS1* sequence (LOC_Os06g27860, MSU Rice Genome Annotation database—http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu) identified through a TIGR rice expressed sequence tag (EST) BLAST search (accessed 21st October 2008).

Each PCR contained 100 ng cDNA, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.2 μM primers, and 1 U FastStart high-fidelity *Taq* polymerase (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) in 25 μL of 1 × high-fidelity buffer supplemented with 1 × GC-RICH solution (Roche). PCR products were cloned into pCR8/GW/TOPO (Invitrogen) for DNA sequencing. Primers (see Table S1) for RNA isolation were initially ground in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent (Gibco-BRL, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration was determined using a Nanodrop and 2.1. Plant Material.
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Each PCR contained 100 ng cDNA, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 0.2 μM primers, and 1 U FastStart high-fidelity *Taq* polymerase (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) in 25 μL of 1 × high-fidelity buffer supplemented with 1 × GC-RICH solution (Roche). PCR products were cloned into pCR8/GW/TOPO (Invitrogen) for DNA sequencing. Sequencing PCR and capillary separation were conducted using the same methods as described earlier except that GW1 and GW2 primers were used (see Table S1). Secondary sets of primers were designed on the sequenced products to specifically amplify the *TaPHS1* ORF. Amplification and sequencing were repeated as above. PCR cycling
parameters were denatured at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 96°C for 30 s, Tm°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 75 s, with a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min (see Table S1 for Tm of primer sets). The assigned NCBI accession number for TaPHS1 is GQ851928.

2.4. Bioinformatics Analysis. DNA sequence alignments and comparisons were conducted with AlignX and Contig Express (Informax, VNTI Advance, Version 11, Frederick, MC, USA) software programs. VNTI software was also used to predict the molecular weight and pl of the protein. To predict the cellular localisation of TaPHS1, SignalP 3.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) [29] and WoLF PSORT (http://wolfpsort.org/) [30] were used, while detection for conserved domains was performed using the NCBI Conserved Domain Search Tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi), InterPro Scan (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/InterProScan/), and Pfam 23.0 (http://pfam.janelia.org/).

Amino acid alignments and comparisons of full-length PHS1 sequences (obtained from various BLAST searches using the NCBI, TIGR, and PredictProtein (http://www.predictprotein.org/; [31]) databases) and subsequent construction of the phylogenetic tree (neighbour-joining method) [32] were completed using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software (version 4.0) [33]. Default parameters were used except for the following: the pair-wise deletion option was used, the internal branch test bootstrap value was set at 10,000 resamplings, and the model setting was amino acid, Poisson correction with predicted gamma parameters set at 2.0. Accession numbers of the sequences used were, Ta [GenBank: GQ851928], Sb [TIGR EST assemblies: TA33290_4558], Zm [GenBank: NP_001141750]; Os [MSU Rice Genome Annotation: LOC_Os06g27860]; At [GenBank: NP_172541], Pt [UniProtKB: B9HUT7_PPOTR], Vv [UniProtKB: A7QY03_VITV1], and Rc [UniProtKB: B9SPJ5_RICCO]. To determine whether the level of divergence between TaPHS1 and OsPHS1 was significant, a Tajima's Relative Rate Test [34] with the parameters set at 2.0. Accession numbers of the sequences used were, Ta [GenBank: GQ851928], Sb [TIGR EST assemblies: TA33290_4558], Zm [GenBank: NP_001141750]; Os [MSU Rice Genome Annotation: LOC_Os06g27860]; At [GenBank: NP_172541], Pt [UniProtKB: B9HUT7_PPOTR], Vv [UniProtKB: A7QY03_VITV1], and Rc [UniProtKB: B9SPJ5_RICCO]. To determine whether the level of divergence between TaPHS1 and OsPHS1 was significant, a Tajima's Relative Rate Test [34] with the ArPHS1 sequence as an outgroup was conducted (with one degree of freedom and a significance value of P < 0.05).

2.5. Southern Blot Hybridisation. A 371 bp fragment of the TaPHS1 gene was used as the template for the synthesis of an α-32P dCTP labelled probe that was hybridised to a Chinese Spring nullisomic-tetrasomic membrane as per Lloyd et al. [35]. Autoradiography films were developed using an AGFA CP1000 Developer (AGFA, Nunawading, VIC, Australia). For in silico mapping experimental procedures refer to supplementary material.

2.6. Q-PCR. Q-PCR was conducted in triplicate according to Crismani et al. [36]. Amplification of products was completed using gene-specific Q-PCR primers (see Table S1). The optimal acquisition temperature for TaPHS1 was 80°C. Normalisation of the expression data was performed against three control genes (actin, GAPDH, and cyclophilin) as per Crismani et al. [36].

2.7. Protein Analysis. The TaPHS1 insert within the pCR8/GW/TOPO vector was cloned into a pDEST17 expression plasmid (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's LR clonase protocol. BL-21 A1 E. coli were transformed with the pDEST17-TaPHS1 ORF vector, and protein production was induced with 0.4% L-(+)-arabinose (w/v) (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Production of four partial TaPHS1 peptides corresponding to the four conserved regions identified in this study were also performed as described above using DNA inserts encoding these regions. Protein isolation and purification were performed using nickel-nitritoltriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) beads (Qiagen, Clifton, VIC, Australia) according to the manufacturer’s extraction protocols. Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was performed using NuPAGE Novex 4–12% Bis-Tris 7 cm mini-gels (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Staining and destaining of gels were performed as previously reported [37].

The identity of the recombinant TaPHS1 protein was confirmed by ion trap liquid chromatography-electrospray ionisation tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). Gel slices containing the recombinant TaPHS1 protein were washed with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate, dried, rehydrated with 100 mM ammonium carbonate, and subjected to in-gel tryptic digestion. LC-MS/MS of the digested peptides was then conducted as reported by March et al. [38].

2.8. Polyclonal Antibody Production. Full-length recombinant TaPHS1 protein was dissolved in 1 × PBS (10 μg μL−1), added with an equivalent amount of Freund’s complete adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich), and used for primary immunisation of two rats via subcutaneous injection. Three subsequent immunisations were administered in three-week intervals, with Freund's incomplete adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich) added to the dissolved antigen in 1 × PBS. All immunisation doses contained 200 μg of TaPHS1 antigen. Immune sera was collected 10.5 weeks after the first injection. Specificity of the TaPHS1 antiserum was confirmed using western analysis (see supplementary material; Figures S1 and S2).

2.9. Competitive DNA-Binding Assay. Recombinant full-length TaPHS1 and the four partial peptides extracted under native conditions were quantified using the Bradford assay [39]. Competitive DNA-binding assays were conducted as described by Pezza et al. [40] with modifications as per Khoo et al. [41]. The DNA-binding abilities of TaPHS1 and its partial peptides were tested with ΦX174 circular single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) (virion) (30 μM per nucleotide) (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA, USA) and ΦX174 linear double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) (RFI form PstI-digested) (15 μM per base pair) (New England Biolabs).

2.10. Fluorescence Immunolocalisation. Fluorescence immunolocalisation of TaASY1 and TaPHS1 was performed as per
Franklin et al. [42] and Boden et al. [20] with the following changes: anthers were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde and cells permeabilised for 3 h. For detecting the localisation pattern of TaPHS1, a rat anti-TaPHS1 antisera (1:100) and an AlexaFluor 488 conjugated donkey anti-rat antibody (1:50; Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) were used. Optical sections (90–120 per nucleus) of meiocytes were collected using a Leica TCS SP5 Spectral Scanning Confocal Microscope (Leica Microsystems, http://www.leica-microsystems.com/) equipped with an oil immersion HCX Plan Apochromat 63 × /1.4 lens, a 405 nm pulsed laser, and an Argon laser using an excitation wavelength of 468 nm. Images were processed using Leica Application Suite Advanced Fluorescence (LAS-AF; version 1.8.2, build 1465, Leica Microsystems) software to generate maximum intensity projections of each nucleus.

3. Results

3.1. PHS1 Is Highly Similar across Plant Species, and in Wheat It Encodes a Predicted Protein Product with a Unique Oligopeptide Repeat Sequence. PCR amplification from whole meiotic spike cDNA using the primers listed in Table S1 (Additional Information File 1) resulted in the isolation of TaPHS1 which has a 1071 bp ORF. This encodes a 357 amino acid protein with a predicted molecular weight of 38.958 kDa and a pI of 5.23. Despite no nuclear localisation signal (NLS) peptides being detected within the TaPHS1 amino acid sequence using SignalP 3.0, WoLF PSORT analysis predicted that TaPHS1 is most likely to be located within the cell nucleus. Using AlignX, comparative amino acid sequence analysis of full-length annotated PHS1, or PHS1-like proteins obtained through database searches (refer to Methods) showed that TaPHS1 shared relatively high levels of sequence identity with its homologues in other species (Sorghum bicolor [SbPHS1]—53.6%, Zea mays [ZmPHS1]—51.2%, Oryza sativa [OsPHS1]—41.4%, and Arabidopsis thaliana [AtPHS1-like]—21.5%). We propose that there are four prominent regions within the PHS1 amino acid sequence that are well conserved (Figure 1(a)). While a portion of Region 2 (corresponding to amino acid positions 99–145 of TaPHS1) was previously identified to contain two conserved domains [27], interspecies comparisons made in the current study suggest that this conserved region can now be extended by 11 amino acid residues toward the N-termini of PHS1 proteins in monocot species (Figure 1(a), dashed line). In addition, there is a short region of oligopeptide repeats from position 242 to 265 [YSGFPEGYSGFPEGYSGFPEGYSYG] unique to TaPHS1 (Figure 1(a), boxed feature).

To assess the phylogenetic relationships between the five homologues shown in Figure 1(a), a neighbour-joining tree was constructed using the full-length amino acid sequences (Figure 1(b)). As expected, Arabidopsis is the most divergent, while sorghum and maize share a higher degree of similarity with one another. Although wheat and rice fall within the same cluster, the internal branch length difference between the two species suggests that a significant level of sequence divergence has occurred. This significant level of sequence divergence was confirmed by a Tajima’s Relative Rate Test ($P = 0.00015$ with one degree of freedom). To determine whether other PHS1 sequences could be identified in the public databases, the more sensitive Hidden Markov Model (HMM) and MaxHom functions of the Predict Protein program were used. Three additional sequences were identified that were similar to TaPHS1 and all from dicot species, namely, poplar (Populus trichocarpa) (E-value: 7E−97), grape (Vitis vinifera) (E-value: 7E−91), and castor oil (Ricinus communis) (E-value: 2E−97). The addition of these three sequences to the phylogenetic analysis shows that they cluster with Arabidopsis, the only other dicot species (Figure 1(c)).

Southern blot analysis showed that TaPHS1 is located on chromosome group 7, with a copy on each of the three genomes (Figure 2). In silico, mapping revealed that TaPHS1 is likely to reside on the short arm of this chromosome group (Bin 7A58-0.45-0.59, data not shown). To determine this, rice genetic markers that are located close to OsPHS1 (on rice chromosome 6) were used to screen wheat deletion bins. One marker previously bin-mapped to wheat chromosome group 7 [GenBank: BE404111.1] was identified to be approximately 35 kb from OsPHS1.

3.2. TaPHS1 Interacts with DNA and Is Expressed during Meiosis. Previously reported homology searches using the maize PHS1 protein revealed low levels of sequence similarity with two families of fungal helicases, possibly indicating that PHS1 may interact with DNA [27]. Indeed, in silico, amino acid analysis of TaPHS1 revealed that Region 1 contains two S/TPXX motifs (TPPP: amino acid positions 46 to 49 and SPAA: amino acid positions 71 to 74), which have previously been reported to bind DNA [45]. To determine whether TaPHS1 interacts with DNA, a competitive DNA-binding assay using recombinant TaPHS1 extracted under native conditions was conducted (Figure 3(a)). Interactions occurred with both single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) and double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). Interestingly, TaPHS1 appears to have a higher affinity for ssDNA with retardation of the ssDNA species within the gel matrix being more obvious even when equivalent amounts of ss- and dsDNA are present. Although TaPHS1 also interacts with dsDNA, the retardation of the dsDNA species only occurs at higher concentrations of TaPHS1. Competitive DNA binding assays using partial TaPHS1 peptides corresponding to the four prominent conserved regions identified in this study revealed that only Region 1 possesses DNA-binding capabilities (Figures 3(b)–3(e)) and appears to have a higher affinity for ssDNA compared to dsDNA.

Quantitative real-time PCR (Q-PCR) profiling of TaPHS1 in wild type Chinese Spring shows that it has low transcript abundance during meiosis (Figure 4). Although TaPHS1 is expressed in wheat anther tissue throughout all stages of meiosis examined and beyond, statistical analysis suggests that expression is higher during premeiotic interphase and immature pollen. Between the pooled stages of leptotene-pachytene and diplotene-anaphase I, there is no statistically significant difference in TaPHS1 expression. Given that Boden et al. [20] demonstrated that the TaASY1
transcript was significantly upregulated in a \textit{ph1b} background when compared to wild type (approximately 20-fold), we also investigated transcription levels of \textit{TaPHS1} in the \textit{ph1b} mutant. While not as dramatic as that reported for \textit{TaASY1} in Boden et al. [20], \textit{TaPHS1} was also upregulated in the \textit{ph1b} mutant when compared to wild type but by between 1.5-fold (premeiosis) and 2-fold (leptotene-pachytene)(Figure 4).
In silico, the presence of the copies of \( \text{TaPHS1} \) is tetrasomically compensated. The more intense band seen in each lane indicates the absence of a band which represents the presence of a dicot \( \text{PHS1} \) being relatively well-conserved when compared to monocot homologues. How- ever, the search identified \( \text{OsPHS1} \) as the most significantly similar match to both \( \text{TaPHS1} \) and \( \text{ZnPHS1} \) at the nucleic acid level. Secondly, the in silico mapping identified a rice marker on rice chromosome 6 approximately 35 kb away from \( \text{OsPHS1} \) that is syntenic to a marker that has been bimapped to the short arm of wheat chromosome 7. Finally, a significant variance between the amino acid sequences of protein equivalents involved in meiosis has been documented across different organisms, even though their function may be conserved (see [6] and references within).

The importance of the aforementioned oligopeptide repeat units unique to \( \text{TaPHS1} \) remains to be determined. These repeats could be either three tandem hepta-peptide units \( \text{YSGFPEG} \) that span positions 242 to 262 or a series of alternating tripeptide \( \text{YSG} \) and tetrapeptide \( \text{FPEG} \) units that span positions 242 to 265. Comprehensive in silico database searches using amino acids 242 to 265 resulted in no significantly similar matches with any repeats reported to date. As single oligopeptide units, the tripeptides, tetra-peptides, and heptapeptides are relatively short and may therefore not form any independent structural units. However, when arranged successively, these oligopeptide units may form a regular repeating structure within \( \text{TaPHS1} \), as has previously been reported in other proteins [47]. Based on work previously conducted by Yoder et al. [48], the glutamic acid (E) and glycine (G) residues on the end of the hepta-peptide unit \( \text{YSGFPEG} \) could represent turn residues that link the heptapeptides together, allowing the units to stack on
**Figure 3:** TaPHS1 interacts with DNA in vitro. An *E. coli* BL21 cell line containing the pDEST17-*TaPHS1* ORF construct was induced with IPTG (1 mM total concentration) to heterologously produce His-tagged TaPHS1 protein. Total cellular protein was extracted under native conditions, and the His-tagged TaPHS1 was isolated and purified using nickel affinity chromatography. Total cellular protein from the same cell line which was not induced was also extracted and treated identically to be used as the negative control. DNA-binding ability was only observed in assays conducted using the full-length TaPHS1 and the Region 1 peptide, indicating that Region 1 possesses a novel/uncharacterised DNA-binding domain where two S/TPXX putative DNA-binding motifs are located. Using competitive DNA-binding assays with equivalent amounts of single- and double-stranded DNA, TaPHS1 preferentially binds single-stranded DNA (ssDNA). This is evidenced by the increased retardation of the ssDNA species through the gel matrix with increasing concentrations of TaPHS1 that caused more ssDNA to be bounded by TaPHS1. At higher concentrations, TaPHS1 also interacts with double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). (a) Full-length TaPHS1, (b) Region 1 peptide, (c) Region 2 peptide, (d) Region 3 peptide, and (e) Region 4 peptide. Competitive DNA-binding assays performed with the induced samples containing the purified TaPHS1 protein are on the left and noninduced controls are on the right. μM: protein concentration, L: Ladder.

top of each other. This series of oligopeptides may therefore impart a slightly different structure and possibly function for TaPHS1 when compared to the rest of the PHS1/PHS1-like proteins that lack these oligopeptide repeat units. Alternatively, these heptapeptides could have a role similar to the hepta-peptide repeats in the C-terminal domain of the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II, which act as a binding scaffold for protein partners (reviewed by [49]).

In contrast to the two conserved regions (termed CR1 and CR2 by Ronceret et al. in [28]) previously described for PHS1 [27], we have shown the presence of four conserved regions (termed Region 1 to 4) within the PHS1 amino acid sequences investigated in this study; with Regions 2 and 4 corresponding with the previously identified CR1 and CR2. Discrepancies in the lengths of the conserved regions identified in the two studies are most likely artefacts of the different alignment algorithms used, in addition to the fact that only full-length annotated transcripts of PHS1 were used in this study. The results of the DNA-binding assays in this study (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)) and the immunolocalisation of TaPHS1 to chromatin (Figure 5) show that TaPHS1 does have DNA-binding capabilities. In the presence of equivalent
amounts of ssDNA and dsDNA, TaPHS1 appears to preferentially bind ssDNA in vitro but will also bind dsDNA when the protein is present at higher concentrations. Furthermore, we have shown that Region 1 appears to be responsible for the DNA-binding ability (Figure 3(b)). Although the retardation of the DNA is less significant, this can be attributed to the reduced size of the Region 1 partial peptide in comparison to that of the full-length TaPHS1 protein (5.854 kD versus 38,958 kD). Other regions within TaPHS1 may also be required to further enhance the DNA-binding capabilities of Region 1. Bioinformatics analysis of the TaPHS1 protein revealed two S/TPXX DNA-binding motifs previously identified by Suzuki [45] are located within Region 1 (TPPP: amino acid positions 46 to 49; SPAA: amino acid positions 71 to 74), possibly indicating that Region 1 may be a novel DNA interaction domain. Furthermore, our immunolocalisation data suggests that TaPHS1 is closely associated with chromatin (and therefore dsDNA) in vivo during early meiosis.

Although the Q-PCR profiling suggests TaPHS1 is a low-abundance transcript in the cell, some significant differences were detected during the stages examined, as well as between the wild type Chinese Spring and ph1b mutant. While the same general trend of expression is observed in both wild type and the ph1b mutant, TaPHS1 is upregulated in the mutant background by approximately 1.5-fold in pre-meiosis, 2-fold in both leptotene-pachytene and diplotene-anaphase I, and 1.5-fold in immature pollen. This 2-fold increase in expression during early meiosis may suggest that Ph1 locus could directly or indirectly affect TaPHS1.

The immunolocalisation results showing TaPHS1 forms diffuse tracts with punctate foci that associate with wheat chromatin are in contrast to the localisation patterns of PHS1 in both maize and Arabidopsis where ZmPHS1 and ArPHS1 form granules within the cytoplasm and not in the nucleus during leptotene and zygote. In maize, PHS1 was observed to cluster around the nuclear membrane in a small proportion of maize meiocytes during the peak of ZmPHS1 accumulation at zygote while a few ZmPHS1 foci were present in the nucleus during late zygote. Unlike maize, no such observations were seen with the Arabidopsis PHS1 homologue. This difference in the localisation profile of TaPHS1 compared to its homologues in Arabidopsis and maize may suggest that TaPHS1 instead has a direct role in chromatin interactions.

The presence of substantial TaPHS1 signal within the nucleoli of early-stage meiocytes may indicate that TaPHS1 is sequestered to the nucleolus either for degradation or storage until required as has been shown for other proteins (reviewed by [50, 51]). Hypothetically, should PHS1 act as a direct physical shuttling protein (as indicated but not favoured by Ronceret and colleagues [28]) that transports specific meiotic proteins into the nucleus; it is likely that it may then be sequestered to the nucleolus for degradation or to undergo further posttranslational modifications to mark it for return to the cytoplasm so that PHS1 molecules can be reused. Although our immunolocalisation data shows that both TaPHS1 and TaASY1 are loaded and associated with the chromatin at the same time during early meiosis in bread wheat, they do no colocalise with one another. The association of these two proteins with the chromatin appears to be particularly pronounced during late-zygote to pachytene (Figures 5(e) and 5(f)). Could it be that TaPHS1 is involved in a pachytene check-point mechanism to ensure that only homologous chromosomes have paired and recombined?

Another intriguing result is that the TaPHS1 signal profile appears as faint tracts with punctate foci along regions of the chromatin. Do these punctate foci denote possible recombination sites where TaPHS1 may be loading the recombination machinery? This is plausible as previous reports of recombination proteins including RAD51 [42], RAD50 [28], and MLH3 [52] localise to chromatin as foci as well as the fact that TaPHS1 itself interacts with chromatin. The diffuse tracts of TaPHS1 also suggest a direct role for TaPHS1 in homology searching (in wheat at least) as previously suggested by both Pawlowski and Cande [53] and Ronceret et al. [28]. In the Zmphs1-0 mutant, RAD50 is not localised to the nucleus preventing the assembly of the MRE11-RAD50-NBS1 complex (collectively known as the MRN complex) thus preventing resection of the DSB, which results in failed recruitment of the RAD51 recombination protein [27, 28]. RAD51 has previously been shown to be capable of homology searching and promotes homologous chromosome pairing over regions of DNA several kilobases in length [54, 55]. While the reach of the RAD51/DMC1 homology searching nucleoprotein filaments is limited to only a few kilobases, TaPHS1 molecules that form the diffuse tracts may somehow play a direct role in the homology searching process over longer distances of the chromatin. Additional data substantiating this hypothesis was uncovered by Osman and colleagues [56] when they reported that both ArZYP1 and ZmPHS1 possess regions of peptide sequences that resemble bacterial topoisomerase IV domains. The bacterial topoisomerase IV proteins are members of the topoisomerase type IIA family previously hypothesised to have
Figure 5: TaPHS1 localisation during early meiosis in wild type bread wheat. (a) Telomere bouquet stage, (b) leptotene, (c) early zygotene, (d) zygotene, (e) late zygotene/pachytene transition, (f) pachytene, (g) diplotene. TaPHS1 (green; left panel) localises to 4′-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole- (DAPI-) stained chromatin (blue) as diffuse tracts and/or punctated foci (as seen by viewing the merged + DAPI image). Middle panels show the TaASY1 signal (red), while the panels on the right show merged TaPHS1, TaASY1, and DAPI. Arrowheads (white) represent the nucleolus. Scale bars: 7.5 μm.

potential roles in interhomologue chromosome resolution [57]. This fits well into the hypothesis that TaPHS1 may act as a component of the homology searching mechanism in wheat.

5. Conclusions
In conclusion, the data presented demonstrates that TaPHS1 has an important and possible novel role during the early
stages of wheat meiosis. Data from the DNA-binding assays as well as 3-dimensional immunolocalisation of TaPHS1 during early meiosis in wild type cells indicate that TaPHS1 interacts with DNA, a function not previously observed in the Arabidopsis and maize PHS1 homologues. The localisation signal profile of TaPHS1 may indicate that it is a direct transporter of other meiotic proteins into the nucleus, and that it could have a role in homolog searching. While the role(s) of this protein are yet to be fully understood, we are currently in the process of generating TaPHS1 knockdown and TaPHS1 overexpression mutants to further elucidate the meiotic function in bread wheat.
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