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NSAID-associated intestinal toxicity has several manifestations including increased mucosal permeability, inflammation and ulceration, and in severe cases, bowel perforation [5]. Clinically evident features include anaemia, bleeding, mucosal diaphragms, strictures, and chronic bowel inflammation [6]. Serious injury to the small intestine (SI) has been estimated to account for one-third of all NSAID-associated complications [6].

The pathogenesis of NSAID-enteropathy is proposed to commence via a direct intestinal insult together with its enterohepatic and consequent systemic effects [3, 5, 7]. Entrance of the acidic NSAID into enterocytes induces...
severe stress in the endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria leading to cell death [3, 8]. An increase in mucosal permeability follows, which facilitates the translocation and action of luminal factors such as bile acids, dietary macro-molecules, components of pancreatic juice, and bacteria, thereby activating the inflammatory cascade [8, 9]. Within the mucosa, tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) expression promotes autoregulation and expression of other proinflammatory cytokines including interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and IL-6 [10]. Furthermore, neutrophils are recruited and infiltrated at the area of ulceration [3, 5, 10].

Commonly prescribed NSAIDs include Aspirin, Ibuprofen, Naproxen, Ketoprofen, and Indomethacin [4]. The enzyme, cyclooxygenase (COX), which exists as two isoforms, is the target for NSAID action. COX converts arachidonic acid (AA) to prostaglandin G2 (PGG2), the common precursor of major prostanoids which include PGD2, PGE2, prostacyclin (PGI2), and thromboxane (TXA2) [11]. The two isoforms of COX generally have distinct functions; COX-1 derived PGs maintain mucosal integrity whereas COX-2 is rapidly induced in response to proinflammatory stimuli leading to PG production at inflammatory sites [12].

Historically, GI injury has been primarily associated with nonselective COX-2 inhibitors. On the basis of these findings, selective COX-2 inhibitors including Celecoxib and Meloxicam were developed. These were initially reported to elicit analgesic properties accompanied by minimal GI inflammatory side effects [13]. However, a number of recent reports have suggested that the incidence of jejunal and ileal injury induced by chronic use of selective COX-2 inhibitors may be as high as nonselective therapies [5, 14–16]. The development of new therapies is therefore imperative to ameliorate the side effects of NSAID use, particularly in patients undergoing long-term NSAID-treatment.

Favorable effects of diets high in n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) have been well documented in inflammatory conditions including rheumatoid arthritis [17] and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) [18]. Cell culture studies with n-3 PUFAs have shown inhibition of COX-2 production and proinflammatory cytokines including TNF-α, interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-6, IL-8, and IL-12 [19–21]. Furthermore, animal studies with marine oils rich in n-3 PUFAs support the in vitro observations. For example, Fish Oil reduced levels of TNF-α, IL-12, and IL-1β in a mouse model of IBD [22]. Recently, attention has been directed towards animal-derived oils with high levels of PUFAs, such as the oil derived from the Australian ratite bird, the Emu (Dromaius novaehollandiae) [23, 24].

Indigenous Australians first used Emu Oil to facilitate wound healing, pain alleviation and treatment of inflamed joints [23, 25, 26]. Topical application of Emu Oil decreased levels of TNF-α and IL-1α in a mouse model of adjuvant-induced inflammation [27]. These cytokines are involved in the pathogenesis of NSAID-enteropathy [5]. Abimosleh et al. [24] reported that orally administered Emu Oil improved selected parameters associated with the manifestation of experimental IBD in rats. Furthermore, Lindsay et al. [28] demonstrated that Emu Oil reduced ileal myeloperoxidase activity indicative of neutrophil infiltration and improved mucosal architecture in a rat model of intestinal mucositis.

Therefore, we hypothesized that orally administered Emu Oil would protect against Indomethacin-induced enteropathy in rats.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Experimental Procedures

2.1.1. Animal Studies. Throughout acclimatization and the experimental period, male Sprague Dawley rats (140–170 g) were individually housed in metabolism cages (Tecniplast, PA, USA) at room temperature with a light:dark cycle of 12 hours. All rats were given ad libitum access to food (standard 18% casein-based diet [29]) and water, and were acclimatized for 2 days prior to experimentation. All animal studies were conducted in compliance with the Australian Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals and were approved by the Animal Ethics Committees of the Children, Youth and Women's Health Service and The University of Adelaide.

Rats were randomly assigned to four groups (n = 10/group): Group 1: Water + Water, Group 2: Indomethacin + Water, Group 3: Indomethacin + Olive Oil, and Group 4: Indomethacin + Emu Oil. Water, Olive Oil, or Emu Oil (0.5 mL) was administered once daily (morning) via oro-gastric gavage from days 0 to 12. Between days 5 and 12, rats were administered Water (0.5 mL) or Indomethacin (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA; 8 mg/kg) via oro-gastric gavage (4 hours after morning gavage).

The dose of Indomethacin (8 mg/kg) was determined from a pilot study using male Sprague Dawley rats, which was performed immediately prior to the current study. Indomethacin dosages (6 mg/kg, 8 mg/kg, and 10 mg/kg) were tested and 8 mg/kg was deemed to be the most appropriate for the current investigation, based upon metabolic parameters, disease activity index (DAI), and kill data.

2.1.2. Emu Oil and Olive Oil Preparation. Commercially available Emu Oil, sourced from Emus farmed in Northeastern South Australia, was prepared utilizing specific methodologies developed for Technology Investment Corporation by Emu Tracks (Marleston, Adelaide, South Australia). Briefly, these processes involved the rendering and filtration of Emu adipose tissue, with appropriate considerations for delivery of quality assurance and product consistency. Fatty acid analysis of Emu Oil and Olive Oil was carried out using gas chromatography, as described previously [30] (Table 1). Commercially available Olive Oil (Conga Foods, Spain) was selected as the control oil due to its high level of oleic acid; similar to that of Emu Oil. The Olive Oil control was included to determine any nonspecific oil-related effects for subsequent comparison with Emu Oil. Both Emu Oil and Olive Oil were individually stored at 4°C in 50 mL opaque containers.
overnight fast, a baseline breath sample was collected at and to ensure safety of Emu Oil [33]. Briefly, following an noninvasive assessment of the functional status of SI health previously [31, 32]. Overall condition was determined by which was totaled to achieve an overall DAI, as described

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acid</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Emu</th>
<th>Olive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16:0</td>
<td>Palmitic acid</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:1n-7</td>
<td>Palmitoleic acid</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:0</td>
<td>Stearic acid</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:1n-9</td>
<td>Oleic acid</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>73.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:2n-6</td>
<td>Linoleic acid</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:3n-3</td>
<td>(\alpha)-Linolenic acid</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA</td>
<td></td>
<td>53.4</td>
<td>74.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UFA:SFA ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Daily Metabolic Data and Disease Activity Index. Body weight, food and water intake, and fecal and urine output were monitored and measured daily. The severity of NSAID-induced enteropathy was assessed in a blinded fashion daily, using a DAI, which scored body weight loss, rectal bleeding, stool consistency, and overall general condition of the animal, increasing in severity on a scale of 0–3 for each parameter, which was totaled to achieve an overall DAI, as described previously [31, 32]. Overall condition was determined by (1) mobility/agility: healthy rats are considered quite active, whereas rats affected by Indomethacin characteristic become very weak and feeble, sitting hunched with very little movement and (2) fur: healthy rats are well-groomed with fur flat to the body, whereas rats administered Indomethacin become scruffy in appearance, with ruffled fur.

2.3. \(^{13}\)C-Sucrose Breath Test. Immediately prior to kill (day 12), the \(^{13}\)C-sucrose breath test (SBT) was performed as a noninvasive assessment of the functional status of SI health and to ensure safety of Emu Oil [33]. Briefly, following an overnight fast, a baseline breath sample was collected at \(t = 0\). Rats were then gavaged with 1 mL of a 25% \(^{13}\)C-labeled sucrose solution (BDH, Merck Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia); breath samples were collected every 15 minutes for 120 minutes, and samples were analyzed for \(^{13}\)CO\(_2\) concentration using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Europa Scientific, Crewe, UK) [34]. Data were expressed as mean percentage cumulative dose of \(^{13}\)C recovered at 90 minutes after sucrose administration (%CD90). This provides an indirect indication of the rate at which sucrose is cleaved by the enzyme sucrase in the SI, and therefore, the level of sucrase present on SI enterocytes (brush border).

2.4. Tissue Collection. On day 12, rats were sacrificed by CO\(_2\) asphyxiation followed by cervical dislocation. The GI tract was removed, measured, emptied of contents, and weighed. Furthermore, the SI tract (jejunum, jejunum-ileum junction [J1], and the ileum) was opened for intestinal damage severity assessment. Segments of the SI tract (4 cm) were collected at approximately 10% (jejunum) and 90% (ileum) of the total SI length and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for biochemical analysis. Samples were stored at \(-80^\circ\)C until prepared for analysis by homogenization in 10 mM phosphate buffer. The remaining visceral organs (thymus, lungs, heart, liver, kidneys, and spleen) were weighed and discarded.

2.5. Intestinal Damage Severity Scoring. Intestinal damage severity (IDS) was assessed utilizing a quantitative scoring system based on thirteen parameters. Opened intestinal sections (jejunum, J1 and ileum) were inspected and quantified for erythema (small: <2 cm, large: \(\geq 2\) cm; Figure 1b), mild hemorrhage (small: <2 cm, large: \(\geq 2\) cm; Figure 1b), severe hemorrhage (small: <2 cm, large: \(\geq 2\) cm; Figure 1c), single ulcers (small: <0.5 cm, medium: \(< 0.5\) cm, large: \(\geq 0.5\) cm; Figure 1d), cluster of ulcers (small: <4, large: \(\geq 5\); Figure 1e) and perforations (small: <0.5 cm, large: \(\geq 0.5\) cm; Figure 1f), in which number, size, and location were recorded on a schematic intestinal diagram during kill. Assessment was performed in a blinded fashion using a dissecting microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Raw parameter data were totaled to achieve an overall score for each intestinal section from each rat.

2.6. Biochemical Analysis

2.6.1. Myeloperoxidase Activity. Myeloperoxidase (MPO) levels in the SI were determined as an indicator of neutrophil infiltration, and hence, acute inflammation, using techniques described by Howarth et al. [35]. Thawed, homogenised samples were centrifuged at 13,000 g for 12 minutes, after which the supernatant was discarded, and the tissue homogenate was resuspended in 200 \(\mu\)L of 0.5% hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide buffer, a detergent (Sigma Chemicals, Sydney, Australia). After vortexing for 2 minutes, samples were again centrifuged at 13,000 g for 2 minutes. Background, negative and positive control samples (50 \(\mu\)L) and the supernatants of each test sample were then aliquoted into duplicate wells of a microtiter 96-well plate. Following the addition of a reaction solution (200 \(\mu\)L to each well; 4.2 mg of O-dianisidine dihydrochloride reagent, 12.5 \(\mu\)L \(H_2O_2\), 2.5 mL potassium phosphate buffer [pH 6.0], 22.5 mL distilled water) the change in absorbance was measured at 450 nm at 1 minute intervals for 15 minutes with a spectrophotometer (Victor X4 Multilabel Reader, Perkin Elmer, Singapore). Data were expressed as units of MPO per gram of tissue.

2.7. Statistical Analyses. Statistical comparisons were conducted using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). All data sets were tested for normality of distribution using the Shapiro-Wilk statistic. Metabolic data were analysed using a one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test to compare groups during each period, prior to (days 0–4) and during (days 5–12) Indomethacin administration. SBT, visceral and gastrointestinal organ weights and lengths, intestinal damage severity, and MPO activity were analysed using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test.
parametric data were expressed as mean with their standard errors. DAI comparisons between groups each day were made using a Kruskal Wallis test with Mann-Whitney U tests and expressed as median (range). For all analyses, $P < 0.05$ was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Daily Metabolic Data. During the period prior to commencement of Indomethacin administration (days 0–4), Olive Oil and Emu Oil did not significantly affect body weight gain, total food and water intake, and total urine output ($P > 0.05$; Table 2) compared to normal, healthy controls. However, Olive Oil significantly reduced total fecal output in normal rats, compared to normal controls (25%; days 0–4; $P < 0.05$).

Body weight was determined as a mean percentage change from the commencement of Indomethacin or water administration (days 5–11). Water-gavaged rats gained approximately 25% body weight from day 5 to 11, whereas Indomethacin administration resulted in significantly reduced weight gain in normal rats compared to normal controls ($P < 0.001$; Table 3). Neither of the oil treatments increased body weight in Indomethacin-treated rats compared to Indomethacin-treated controls ($P > 0.05$; Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Olive Oil</th>
<th>Emu Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (%)</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>14.4 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g)</td>
<td>85.1 ± 1.5</td>
<td>83.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>84.6 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intake (mL)</td>
<td>353.6 ± 38.9</td>
<td>429.4 ± 50.6</td>
<td>425.6 ± 58.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal output (g)</td>
<td>7.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.5*</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine output (mL)</td>
<td>65.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>66.9 ± 6.5</td>
<td>68.2 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $P < 0.05$ compared to Water group.

Data are expressed as mean (% g or mL) ± standard error of the mean.

Indomethacin significantly decreased total food intake (41%) and increased water intake (72%) in normal rats compared to healthy controls during days 5–12 ($P < 0.001$; Table 3). Olive Oil and Emu Oil did not significantly affect total food or water intake in Indomethacin-treated rats compared to Indomethacin controls ($P > 0.05$). Indomethacin significantly decreased (21%; $P < 0.01$) total fecal output during days 5–12 compared to healthy controls, which was subsequently normalized following Olive Oil and Emu Oil treatment ($P < 0.05$; Table 3). Neither Indomethacin nor the
Table 3: Body weight (% change from starting body weight), total food (g) and water (mL) intake and total fecal (g) and urine (mL) output in rats during Indomethacin administration (days 5–12). Rats were gavaged daily with Water, Olive Oil, or Emu Oil (0.5 mL) throughout the experimental period and commenced daily gavage with water or Indomethacin (Indo; 8 mg/kg) on day 5. Rats were fasted overnight on day 11 and therefore day 12 body weight data was not included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Water + Water</th>
<th>Indo + Water</th>
<th>Indo + Olive Oil</th>
<th>Indo + Emu Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (%)</td>
<td>23.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.6 ± 3.3***</td>
<td>6.0 ± 2.4</td>
<td>4.6 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake (g)</td>
<td>132.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td>78.2 ± 4.9***</td>
<td>83.9 ± 4.3</td>
<td>77.9 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water intake (mL)</td>
<td>416 ± 51.3</td>
<td>715.1 ± 72.1**</td>
<td>651.7 ± 53.1</td>
<td>655.9 ± 66.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fecal output (g)</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.6**</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.5^c</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.7^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine output (mL)</td>
<td>104.2 ± 8.5</td>
<td>878 ± 3.1</td>
<td>85.4 ± 6.2</td>
<td>98.8 ± 7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean (± standard error of the mean). ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01 compared to Water + Water; ^P < 0.05 compared to Indomethacin + Water.

Significant increases in duodenum (68%; P < 0.05), SI (48%; P < 0.001), caecum (48%; P < 0.001), and colon (24%; P < 0.05) weights were evident in normal rats administered Indomethacin compared to healthy controls (Table 5). Oil treatments did not significantly affect duodenum, SI, caecum, or colon weights in Indomethacin-treated rats (P > 0.05) compared to Indomethacin controls. There were no significant differences in visceral organ weights including heart, lungs, left kidney, right kidney, and stomach following Indomethacin or oil treatments (P > 0.05; Table 5).

Indomethacin significantly increased (51%) liver weight in normal rats compared to healthy controls (P < 0.001; Table 5). Thymus weight in Indomethacin-treated rats was decreased (55%) compared to healthy controls (P < 0.001; Table 5). Indomethacin significantly increased spleen weight in normal rats compared to healthy controls (88%; P < 0.001; Table 5). Neither Olive Oil nor Emu Oil treatment significantly affected liver, thymus, or spleen weights in rats administered Indomethacin compared to Indomethacin controls (P > 0.05; Table 5).

Neither Indomethacin nor oil treatments significantly affected duodenum or colon length (P > 0.05; Table 6). SI length in rats administered Indomethacin was significantly decreased compared to normal controls (41%; P < 0.001; Table 6); however, neither Olive Oil nor Emu Oil affected SI length compared to Indomethacin controls.

3.2. Disease Activity Index (DAI). Indomethacin significantly increased DAI in normal rats on day 10 (median score 1 (range: 0–7); P < 0.01), II (2 (1–7); P < 0.001) and I2 (2 (1–8); P < 0.001) compared to healthy controls (0 (0); Table 4). Oil treatments did not significantly affect DAI in Indomethacin-treated rats compared to Indomethacin controls (P > 0.05).

3.3. 13C-Sucrose Breath Test (SBT). Indomethacin significantly decreased percentage cumulative dose of 13C at 90 minutes (%CD90) compared to healthy controls (56% decrease; P < 0.001; Figure 2). However, both oil treatments failed to significantly increase %CD90 in Indomethacin-administered animals compared to Indomethacin controls (P > 0.05; Figure 2).

3.4. Visceral and Gastrointestinal Organ Weights and Lengths. Organ weights were expressed as a proportion of body weight.

3.5. Intestinal Damage Severity (IDS) Scoring. IDS scores in the jejunum, II, and ileum were significantly greater in rats administered Indomethacin, compared to normal controls (P < 0.001; Figure 3). Neither of the oil treatments decreased the overall IDS in rats administered Indomethacin, compared to Indomethacin controls (P > 0.05; Figure 3).

3.6. Myeloperoxidase (MPO) Activity. Jejunal MPO activity, indicative of acute inflammation, was increased by 195% following Indomethacin administration, compared to healthy controls (P < 0.01; Figure 4(a)). In the jejunum, both Olive Oil and Emu Oil significantly decreased MPO activity in Indomethacin-treated rats compared to Indomethacin controls (64%; P < 0.01). Ileal MPO activity was significantly elevated in normal rats administered Indomethacin compared to healthy controls (104%; P < 0.01; Figure 4(b)). However, in the ileum, only Emu Oil significantly reduced
Table 4: Disease activity index (DAI) of rats gavaged daily with 0.5 mL of Water, Olive Oil, or Emu Oil throughout the experimental period (days 0–12) and administered water or Indomethacin (Indo; 8 mg/kg) daily on day 5. Data are expressed as median disease activity index score (range).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day of trial</th>
<th>Water + Water</th>
<th>Indo + Water</th>
<th>Indo + Olive Oil</th>
<th>Indo + Emu Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0–2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0–6)</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>0 (0–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (0–7)**</td>
<td>1 (0–3)</td>
<td>0 (0–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1–7)**</td>
<td>2 (0–4)</td>
<td>1 (0–5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (1–8)**</td>
<td>3 (0–5)</td>
<td>2 (0–7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**∗∗∗𝑃<0.001, ∗∗𝑃<0.01 compared to Water + Water.

Table 5: Organ weight following adjustment for rat body weight. Rats were gavaged daily with 0.5 mL of Water, Olive Oil, or Emu Oil throughout the experimental period (days 0–12) and administered water or Indomethacin (Indo; 8 mg/kg) daily from day 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
<th>Water + Water</th>
<th>Indo + Water</th>
<th>Indo + Olive Oil</th>
<th>Indo + Emu Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thymus</td>
<td>27 ± 1</td>
<td>12 ± 3***</td>
<td>13 ± 2</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>42 ± 0</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
<td>42 ± 2</td>
<td>40 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lungs</td>
<td>62 ± 3</td>
<td>59 ± 4</td>
<td>61 ± 4</td>
<td>57 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Kidney</td>
<td>40 ± 1</td>
<td>43 ± 1</td>
<td>41 ± 1</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Kidney</td>
<td>44 ± 4</td>
<td>42 ± 1</td>
<td>52 ± 6</td>
<td>42 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>352 ± 9</td>
<td>533 ± 23***</td>
<td>525 ± 25</td>
<td>537 ± 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>30 ± 1</td>
<td>56 ± 5***</td>
<td>58 ± 3</td>
<td>64 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>56 ± 2</td>
<td>66 ± 7</td>
<td>59 ± 11</td>
<td>57 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>22 ± 1</td>
<td>37 ± 5*</td>
<td>49 ± 5</td>
<td>39 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>178 ± 5</td>
<td>263 ± 38***</td>
<td>330 ± 17</td>
<td>270 ± 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caecum</td>
<td>29 ± 1</td>
<td>43 ± 2***</td>
<td>46 ± 3</td>
<td>46 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>38 ± 1</td>
<td>47 ± 1*</td>
<td>50 ± 2</td>
<td>49 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean (% relative to body weight) ± standard error of the mean.

All values × 10^{-2}. **∗∗∗𝑃<0.001, ∗∗𝑃<0.01 compared to Water + Water.

4. Discussion

NSAIDs have been widely used to achieve analgesic, antinociceptive, and anti-inflammatory effects; however, a major limitation of NSAID usage has been related to GI side effects. Emu Oil has been reported to reduce the severity of experimentally induced inflammatory GI disorders including IBD [24] and chemotherapy-induced intestinal mucositis [28]. The current study indicated that Emu Oil reduced SI neutrophil infiltration and normalized total fecal output in a rat model of Indomethacin-induced enteropathy. Furthermore, Emu Oil treatment significantly increased liver and spleen weight and decreased thymus weight relative to Indomethacin controls. However, Emu Oil treatment failed to improve most other parameters associated with Indomethacin-induced SI damage.

In the current study, an 8 mg/kg Indomethacin dose was employed, resulting in clinical manifestations which included significantly decreased food intake accompanied by weight loss and reduced fecal output and increased water intake, with no changes in urine output. Furthermore, elevated DAI, reduced brush border sucrase activity, as assessed indirectly by the SBT and elevated IDS were observed. In a study by Kamil et al. [2], rats were administered Indomethacin daily at 6 mg/kg for 7 days to induce enteropathy. Interestingly, no clinical signs of enteropathy were observed, highlighting the clinical significance of minor dosage increases of Indomethacin. Clinical manifestations of Indomethacin-enteropathy were not improved by Olive Oil or Emu Oil treatment. Moreover, fecal output was increased following oil treatment relative to Indomethacin controls, consistent with the study by Abimosleh et al. [24] in a rat model of IBD. This may have represented a normalization of fecal output compared to Indomethacin controls. Alternatively, increased water intake coincident with the absence of changes in urine output may have indicated water loss in stools and subsequent dehydration.

In the current study, Indomethacin decreased SI length, a phenomenon reported to be a compensatory mechanism in response to intestinal damage to increase mucosal surface area [36] and potentially protect the intestine from pathogenic invasion. Furthermore, Indomethacin increased duodenum, SI, and colonic weight. Although not quantified, these weight increases may have represented mucosal,
submucosal or muscularis hyperemia and oedema, potentially combined with inflammatory cell infiltration and the associated exudate. This would likely cause an increase in GI weight. Emu Oil did not improve Indomethacin-enteropathy manifestations associated with intestinal weights.

Recent studies have hypothesized that neutrophil activation is an important primary event preceding Indomethacin-induced SI injury [37]. Fukumoto et al. [10] demonstrated that tissue-associated neutrophil accumulation, assessed by MPO activity, and keratinocyte chemotactant (KC) mRNA expression, involved in chemotaxis and neutrophil activation, were significantly increased in Indomethacin-treated SI mucosa [10]. Furthermore, MPO levels and KC mRNA expression were reduced in TNF-α-deficient mice treated with Indomethacin compared to wild-type. This indicated a protective role of TNF-α deficiency in decreasing Indomethacin-induced SI mucosal injury [10]. In the current study, Indomethacin increased MPO activity in the jejunum and ileum. Both Olive Oil and Emu Oil treatment significantly decreased MPO activity, indicative of neutrophil infiltration, in the Indomethacin-damaged jejunum. Importantly, only Emu Oil decreased MPO levels in the ileum, relative to Indomethacin controls. Emu Oil has previously been shown to reduce levels of TNF-α in a croton-oil induced model of auricular swelling [27]. Therefore, the observed decrease in MPO levels following Emu Oil administration in the current study may have been the result of suppressed TNF-α expression.

PUFAs influence cytokine production, lymphocyte proliferation, and macrophage activation state through modulation of AA (common n-6 PUFAs) metabolism [38]. n-3 PUFAs replace AA from phospholipid membranes, thereby reducing the availability of AA to COX and thus reducing the production of PGs involved in the inflammatory cascade such as the 2-series eicosanoids. Furthermore, n-3 PUFAs can be directly metabolized by COX, resulting in the production of anti-inflammatory 3-series eicosanoids [38]. Cell culture studies with n-3 PUFAs eicosapentanoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) have been shown to inhibit lipopolysaccharide-induced production of COX-2, and proinflammatory cytokines including TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, and IL-12 in endothelial cells [19, 20]. Furthermore, animal feeding studies with Fish Oil, a source of EPA and DHA, supported in vitro observations of decreased cytokine production [22, 39, 40]. Further studies of Emu Oil could benefit from a complete time-course of cytokine profiling to identify potential effects on proinflammatory cytokine antagonism.

Liver weight was significantly increased in the current study following Indomethacin (8 mg/kg), compared to normal controls. In a previous rat model of Indomethacin-enteropathy, daily administration of Indomethacin at 6 mg/kg did not result in significant liver weight changes. The impact of Indomethacin on liver weight therefore appears to be dose-dependent as Illic et al. [41], consistent with the current findings, demonstrated liver weight increases in male albino Wistar rats injected daily for three days with 12.5 mg/kg of diclofenac (NSAID). The authors observed pronounced parenchymal necrosis, elevated eosinophilic hepatocytes, extensive microvesicular steatosis, and sinusoidal dilatation [41]. Moreover, TNF-α, directly linked to the pathogenesis of enteropathy, binds to TNF-RI cell death receptors leading to hepatocyte apoptosis followed by accumulation of neutrophils [42]. Future studies would benefit from liver enzyme analyses including gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase (GGT), alanine aminotransaminase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and bilirubin, which elevate in NSAID-induced toxicity.
controls. Abimosleh et al. [24] examined liver weight in healthy Sprague Dawley rats, which was not significantly affected by Olive Oil or Emu Oil. This indicated that the current findings were directly related to Indomethacin administration with no effect of oil administration. Valenzuela et al. [46] demonstrated that n-3 long-chain PUFA supplementation induced an antioxidant response preventing liver steatosis in mice fed a high fat diet. This was further supported by a study in which Fish Oil in combination with Indomethacin decreased the severity of liver steatosis in a mouse model of familial hypercholesterolemia [38]. Based upon the n-3 PUFAs present in Emu Oil and its reported antioxidant activity [47], liver steatosis seems less likely in the current study. However, a high n-6/n-3 PUFA ratio has been implicated in nonalcoholic FA liver disease [48] and therefore it would be beneficial to determine the effects of FA ratio and n-9 FA levels on liver function in future studies.

Thymus weight was significantly decreased following administration of 8 mg/kg Indomethacin in the current study, relative to normal controls. Kamil et al. [2] did not report any thymus weight changes using 6 mg/kg of oral Indomethacin. However, a single subcutaneous injection of Indomethacin at 35 mg/kg significantly decreased thymus weight in rats after three days in a study by Filaretova et al. [36], consistent with the current study. Furthermore, the increased spleen weight evident following Indomethacin administration in the current study should be pursued in relation to the thymus weight decreases. Such studies could determine the contribution of T (CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$) and B lymphocyte upregulation or suppression in the thymus and spleen, respectively. However, neither Olive Oil nor Emu Oil significantly affected thymus or spleen weight.

5. Conclusions

In summary, when administered once daily to rats, Emu Oil significantly reduced acute inflammatory activity associated with Indomethacin-induced enteropathy. However, although encouraging, it appears likely that increased dose and/or frequency of administration will need to be explored in order to achieve demonstrable clinical benefit in the setting of NSAID-enteropathy.
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