

**Cellulose, Stem Strength and the
Endo-(1,4)- β -Glucanase Gene Family
in Barley and Maize.**

By Margaret Buchanan

School of Agriculture Food and Wine

The University of Adelaide

October 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Figures.....	viii
Table of Tables.....	xiii
Table of Equations.....	xv
Declaration.....	xvii
Acknowledgements.....	xix
Abstract.....	xxi
Abbreviations.....	xxiii
Chapter 1	1
From Stalk Lodging to the Endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase Gene Family in Plants: An Overview	
1.1. LODGING IN CEREAL CROPS.....	3
1.2. CELLULOSE.....	7
1.3. THE PLANT CELL WALL	12
1.3.1. Primary cell wall.....	17
1.3.2. Secondary cell walls	17
1.4. THE MONOCOTYLEDON STEM	19
1.5. CELLULOSE AND STEM STRENGTH.....	23
1.6. ENDO-(1,4)-B-GLUCANASES.....	24
1.6.1. Plant endo- (1,4)- β -glucanases	29
1.6.2. Endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases and CBDs.....	29
1.6.3. <i>In vitro</i> substrates	31
1.6.4. Endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases in cell wall breakdown	31
1.6.5. Endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases in plant cell wall synthesis	32
1.7. SUMMARY	36
Chapter 2	41
<i>The Endo-(1,4)-β-glucanase Gene Families in Barley, Maize and Other Cereals</i>	
2.1. INTRODUCTION	43

2.2. METHODS.....	51
2.2.1. Database searches for GH9 endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase sequence data	51
2.2.1.1. <i>Rice, sorghum and Arabidopsis</i>	51
2.2.1.2. <i>Barley</i>	51
2.2.1.3. <i>Maize</i>	51
2.2.2. 3' SMART RACE PCR in barley	52
2.2.2.1. <i>Preparation of RNA</i>	53
2.2.2.2. <i>3' SMART RACE cDNA preparation</i>	53
2.2.2.3. <i>3' SMART RACE PCR</i>	54
2.2.3. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) using Platinum Taq polymerase	54
2.2.4. Observation of nucleic acids by agarose gel electrophoresis	56
2.2.5. Cleaning of PCR products.....	56
2.2.6. DNA Sequencing.....	57
2.2.7. Cloning into the pGEM-T Easy vector	59
2.2.7.1. <i>A-tailing PCR product</i>	59
2.2.7.2. <i>Ligation</i>	59
2.2.7.3. <i>Transformation of DH5α Escherichia coli</i>	59
2.2.7.4. <i>Alkaline lysis plasmid preparation</i>	60
2.2.7.5. <i>Restriction enzyme digest</i>	61
2.2.8. Barley BAC library screen	61
2.2.8.1. <i>Preparation of ³²P labeled DNA Probe</i>	61
2.2.8.2. <i>Probing BAC library filters</i>	63
2.2.8.3. <i>Identification/authentication of positive clones</i>	64
2.2.8.4. <i>Extraction of plasmid from BAC clones</i>	64
2.2.9. Endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase sequence alignment and phylogenetic trees	65
2.2.10. Gene intron and exon structure	65
2.2.11. Mapping.....	66
2.2.12. Measuring pairwise codon-based evolutionary distance....	66
2.2.12.1. <i>Nei and Gojobori measurement of evolutionary distance</i>	67
2.2.12.2. <i>Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood (PAML)</i> <i>codeml</i>	68

2.2.12.3. <i>Calculating substitution rates, time since separation and mutation rates</i>	69
2.3. RESULTS	71
2.3.1. Sequence identification and gene structure.....	71
2.3.1.1. <i>Rice, Sorghum and Arabidopsis endo-(1,4)-β-glucanase gene identification</i>	71
2.3.1.2. <i>Barley sequence identification</i>	71
2.3.1.3. <i>Maize endo-(1,4)-β-glucanase gene sequence identification</i>	81
2.3.2. Endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase amino acid sequence alignment.....	83
2.3.2.1. <i>Endo-(1,4)-β-glucanase sub-families</i>	83
2.3.2.2. <i>The endo-(1,4)-β-glucanase family tree</i>	85
2.3.3. Intron and exon gene structure.....	89
2.3.4. In silico mapping of the endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase gene family in maize, sorghum and rice.....	95
2.3.4.1. <i>In silico mapping of endo-(1,4)-β-glucanase genes in maize</i>	96
2.3.4.2. <i>In silico mapping of rice and sorghum endo-(1,4)-β-glucanase genes and gene synteny with maize</i>	96
2.3.5. Synonymous substitution and evolutionary distance	104
2.3.5.1. <i>Synonymous substitution rates for maize:sorghum orthologues</i>	104
2.3.5.2. <i>Synonymous substitution rates between barley and rice orthologues</i>	105
2.3.5.3. <i>Comparison of K_S of barley and rice with maize and sorghum orthologues</i>	109
2.3.5.4. <i>Synonymous substitution rates between some maize genes</i>	113
2.3.5.5. <i>Mutation rates since separation</i>	113
2.4. DISCUSSION.....	115
2.5. SUMMARY	124
Chapter 3	127
<i>A Transcriptional Analysis of Endo-(1,4)-β-glucanase Genes in Barley and Maize</i>	
3.1. INTRODUCTION	129
3.1.1. Development of the cereal stem.....	129

3.1.2.	Transcriptional analysis.....	134
3.2.	METHODS.....	139
3.2.1.	Barley Base AffyChip data search.....	139
3.2.2.	Maize MPSS database search.....	139
3.2.3.	Plant tissue preparation for QPCR.....	139
3.2.3.1.	<i>Barley plant tissue series</i>	139
3.2.3.2.	<i>Barley stem series</i>	140
3.2.3.3.	<i>Maize stem series</i>	143
3.2.4.	Real-time Quantitative PCR (QPCR).....	144
3.2.4.1.	<i>Production of cDNA</i>	144
3.2.4.2.	<i>Primer design</i>	145
3.2.4.3.	<i>QPCR</i>	145
3.2.4.4.	<i>Co-transcription analysis</i>	146
3.3.	RESULTS.....	147
3.3.1.	Barley.....	147
3.3.1.1.	<i>PLEXdb Affymetrix microchip data</i>	147
3.3.1.2.	<i>QPCR transcription across a barley developmental tissue series</i>	147
3.3.1.3.	<i>QPCR across a barley stem series</i>	154
3.3.1.4.	<i>Correlation of endo-1,4-β-glucanase gene transcription in barley tissues</i>	157
3.3.1.5.	<i>Correlation of transcript of the endo-1,4-β-glucanases with other cell wall genes</i>	157
3.3.2.	Maize.....	161
3.3.2.1.	<i>Maize MPSS</i>	161
3.3.2.2.	<i>QPCR in a maize stem developmental tissue series</i>	165
3.3.2.3.	<i>Correlation of gene transcription in maize tissues</i>	173
3.4.	DISCUSSION.....	177
3.5.	SUMMARY.....	191
Chapter 4	195
<i>Heterologous Expression of Endo-(1,4)-β-glucanases in <u>Escherichia coli</u> and <u>Pichia pastoris</u></i>		
4.1.	INTRODUCTION.....	197
4.2.	METHODS.....	205

4.2.1.	Expression of endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes in <i>E. coli</i>	205
4.2.1.1.	<i>Expression vectors and E. coli strains</i>	205
4.2.1.2.	<i>pET-DEST42</i>	205
4.2.1.3.	<i>pTOOL7</i>	208
4.2.1.4.	<i>BL21 star</i>	208
4.2.1.5.	<i>Origami (DE3)</i>	208
4.2.1.6.	<i>Origami B (DE3)</i>	209
4.2.1.7.	<i>Amplification and cloning of gene products</i>	209
4.2.1.8.	<i>HvCEL1</i>	209
4.2.1.9.	<i>HvCEL3</i>	211
4.2.1.10.	<i>HvCEL11</i>	211
4.2.1.11.	<i>Expression of endo-(1,4)-β-glucanases in E. coli</i>	212
4.2.2.	Expression of endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases in <i>Pichia pastoris</i> ..	213
4.2.2.1.	<i>pPICZα</i>	213
4.2.2.2.	<i>HvCEL1</i>	214
4.2.2.3.	<i>HvCEL3</i>	215
4.2.2.4.	<i>Transformation of P. pastoris strain SMD1168H by electroporation</i>	218
4.2.2.5.	<i>Heterologous expression in P. pastoris</i>	220
4.2.2.6.	<i>Protein expression in P. pastoris</i>	220
4.2.2.7.	<i>Sample analysis by dot blot</i>	221
4.2.2.8.	<i>Protein purification</i>	222
4.2.3.	SDS PAGE	223
4.2.4.	Staining gels with Coomassie Blue.....	225
4.2.5.	Western Blot analysis	225
4.2.6.	Viscometric cellulase assay.....	226
4.3.	RESULTS	231
4.3.1.	Heterologous expression in <i>E. coli</i>	231
4.3.1.1.	<i>Expression in the pET-DEST42 expression vector</i>	231
4.3.1.2.	<i>Expression in the pTOOL7 vector</i>	231
4.3.1.3.	<i>HvCEL1 in pTOOL7</i>	234
4.3.1.4.	<i>HvCEL1, HvCEL3 and HvCEL11 in pTOOL7</i>	234
4.3.2.	Protein expression in <i>P. pastoris</i>	237
4.4.	DISCUSSION.....	243

4.5. SUMMARY	250
Chapter 5	253
<i>Transformation of Barley with Endo-(1,4)-β-glucanase cDNAs</i>	
5.1. INTRODUCTION	255
5.2. METHODS.....	259
5.2.1. Amplification and cloning into the pMDC32 vector.....	259
5.2.1.1. <i>Amplification of the barley cDNA sequence</i>	259
5.2.1.2. <i>TA Cloning into the pCR8/GW/TOPO vector</i>	261
5.2.1.3. <i>Transformation of DH5α E. coli cells</i>	263
5.2.1.4. <i>Recombination from pCR8/GW/TOPO into pMDC32</i> ...	263
5.2.1.5. <i>Transformation of barley embryos with the pMDC32 vector</i>	265
5.2.2. Growth of the transformed plants	266
5.2.3. Genomic DNA preparation	266
5.2.3.1. <i>Phenol/chloroform/iso-amyl alcohol extraction</i>	266
5.2.3.2. <i>Extract-N-Amp</i>	267
5.2.4. Southern Blotting.....	267
5.2.5. Quantitative Real Time PCR	268
5.2.6. Stem strength analysis	269
5.2.7. Cellulose analysis	271
5.2.8. Microscopy	272
5.2.9. Statistical Analysis	273
5.3. RESULTS	275
5.3.1. Cloning into pMDC32 and transformation into barley	275
5.3.1.1. <i>HvCEL1</i>	275
5.3.1.2. <i>HvCEL5</i>	275
5.3.2. Analysis of T ₀ transgenic barley plants	276
5.3.2.1. <i>Phenotype</i>	276
5.3.2.2. <i>Southern Blotting</i>	276
5.3.2.3. <i>Transcript Analysis</i>	276
5.3.2.4. <i>Stem and leaf strength and cellulose analyses</i>	282
5.3.3. Analysis of the <i>HvCEL1</i> T ₁ barley plants.....	287
5.3.3.1. <i>Selection of null segregants and QPCR</i>	288
5.3.3.2. <i>Phenotype of the T₁ plants</i>	291

5.3.3.3.	<i>Stem strength and cellulose analysis of the T₁ plants..</i>	298
5.3.3.4.	<i>Bright field microscopy of stems of T₁ plants</i>	303
5.3.3.5.	<i>Epidermal glue impressions.....</i>	306
5.4.	DISCUSSION.....	317
5.5.	SUMMARY	329
Chapter 6	333
	<i>Summary and Future Directions</i>	
	BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	343
	APPENDIX A	367
	APPENDIX B	370
	APPENDIX C	373
	APPENDIX D	393
	APPENDIX E	397
	APPENDIX F	400
	APPENDIX G.....	403
	APPENDIX H.....	405

TABLE OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Lodging in cereals.....	4
Figure 1-2: Chemical composition of cellulose.....	6
Figure 1-3: Cellulose synthesis rosettes in the plasma membrane.....	8
Figure 1-4: Proposed mechanism of cellulose production.....	8
Figure 1-5: Models of type I and type II cell walls.....	10
Figure 1-6: Different levels of substitution of the glucuronoarabinoxylans.....	11
Figure 1-7: Structural chemistry of a small section of a (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan molecule.....	11
Figure 1-8: The phylogeny of the CESA and CSL families of genes.....	14
Figure 1-9: Evidence of (1,3;1,4)- β -glucans in secondary cell walls in a barley leaf.....	15
Figure 1-10: Shows two plant cells with intervening section of the plant cell wall at maturity.....	16
Figure 1-11: Cellulose orientation in primary and secondary cell walls.....	18
Figure 1-12: Transverse sections of a barley stem.....	20
Figure 1-13: Transverse section of a maize stem.....	21
Figure 1-14: Two mechanisms of action for hydrolytic cleavage used by glycosyl hydrolase enzymes.....	26
Figure 1-15: Endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases protein structure.....	27
Figure 1-16: Protein structure of the three GH9 endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase sub-families.....	30
Figure 1-17: Phenotype associated with <i>Arabidopsis kor1-2</i> T-DNA insertion mutant.....	34
Figure 1-18: Phenotype of the rice T-DNA insertion mutant of <i>OsGlu1</i>	34
Figure 2-1: Synteny linkage analysis for cereals.....	44
Figure 2-2: 0.7ug 1 kb Plus ladder.....	55
Figure 2-3: A diagram of the pGEM-T Easy vector.....	58
Figure 2-4: Results of barley sequence identification.....	75
Figure 2-5: Barley 3' SMART RACE.....	77
Figure 2-6: Two examples of the use of row and column pooling to locate clones from positive PCR amplification.....	80
Figure 2-7: A parsimonious phylogenetic tree of cereals and <i>Arabidopsis</i>	86

Figure 2-8: A parsimonious tree showing maize homoeologues with sorghum orthologues.	88
Figure 2-9: The positions of the intron splice sites on an amino acid alignment of five representative maize endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases.	91
Figure 2-10: Intron/exon structure for GH9A sub-family and clade B1h	93
Figure 2-11: Intron/exon structure for CBD sub-family GH9C and clade B3.	94
Figure 2-12: The approximate chromosome regions of the endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes in maize.	99
Figure 2-13: Map positions of maize, rice and sorghum for the endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase orthologues.....	101
Figure 2-14: Codon based evolutionary distances for maize and sorghum genes.	106
Figure 2-15: Codon based evolutionary distances for barley and rice genes.	110
Figure 2-16: Orthologous gene pairs for maize:maize, maize:sorghum and barley:rice.	112
Figure 2-17: The ratio of number of synonymous sites to total sites plotted against K_s	112
Figure 3-1: Anatomy and development of a monocotyledon plant stem.	130
Figure 3-2: Maize internode elongation over a 10 day period.	131
Figure 3-3: Flow chart to describe MPSS library production.	135
Figure 3-4: Sloop barley stem internodes with leaves removed to show the stages of development used for the barley stem series.....	141
Figure 3-5: A B73 maize variety internode as prepared for the maize stem tissue series.	142
Figure 3-6: PLEXdb Affymetrix transcript data for endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes in barley variety Morex.	149
Figure 3-7: QPCR levels of 12 endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes across the developmental tissue series.	151
Figure 3-8: Transcript profiles for the endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes across the barley tissue developmental series.	152
Figure 3-9: Transcript profiles for endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes across the barley tissue developmental series.	153
Figure 3-10: Transcript profiles of endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes across a barley stem tissue series.	155

Figure 3-11: Transcript profiles of endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes across a barley stem tissue series.	156
Figure 3-12: Correlations between several genes analysed across the barley tissue and stem developmental series.	159
Figure 3-13: Graphs showing co-transcription patterns for the endo-1,4- β -glucanase gene family and other cell wall related families.	163
Figure 3-14: MPSS transcript data for stalk tissues in maize.	168
Figure 3-15: Transcript patterns for <i>ZmCEL3</i> , <i>ZmCEL11</i> <i>ZmCEL14</i>	170
Figure 3-16: QPCR transcripts for <i>ZmCEL12</i> in the stem series.	170
Figure 3-17: QPCR transcripts for <i>ZmCEL1</i> and <i>ZmCEL18</i>	171
Figure 3-18: Maize stem tissue series QPCR profiles for for <i>ZmCEL2</i> , <i>ZmCEL7</i> , <i>ZmCEL10</i> , <i>ZmCEL19</i> and <i>ZmCEL20</i>	172
Figure 3-19: Graphs showing relative transcript levels for maize genes in the stem series.	174
Figure 3-20: Shows a schematic representation of the life of a cell with the maize genes actively transcribed at each stage of development.	189
Figure 4-1: The amino acid alignment of the termite <i>Nasutitermes takasagoensis</i> and two barley HvCEL1 constructs.	202
Figure 4-2: The termite <i>Nasutitermes takasagoensis</i> GH9 cellulase enzyme.	203
Figure 4-3: The pET-DEST42 expression vector.	206
Figure 4-4: The pTOOL7 expression vector.	207
Figure 4-5: The pPICZ α A eukaryote expression vector.	216
Figure 4-6: The “transfer sandwich”.	228
Figure 4-7: Ostwald viscometer used to measure relative viscosity of liquids.	229
Figure 4-8: Coomassie stained gel and western blot for HvCEL1 in the pET-DEST42.	232
Figure 4-9: Coomassie stained gels and western blot analysis of HvCEL1 in the pTOOL7 vector in Origami (DE3) cells.	233
Figure 4-10: Coomassie stained gel and Western blot of HvCEL1, 3 and 11 in pTOOL7.	235
Figure 4-11: The Coomassie stain and Western blot of HvCEL11_CBD/pTOOL7 in <i>E. coli</i>	236
Figure 4-12: Coomassie stain and Western blot expression of HvCEL1 and HvCEL3 in <i>Pichia pastoris</i> for six days.	239

Figure 4-13: Coomassie stain and western blot for expression of HvCEL1 in <i>Pichia pastoris</i>	240
Figure 5-1: The linearised pCR8/GW/TOPO vector prior to cloning.....	262
Figure 5-2: The pMDC32 vector.....	264
Figure 5-3: Measuring leaf and stem material using an Instron machine.	270
Figure 5-4: Southern blot for <i>HvCEL1</i> (G235) T0 transformed barley plants.	277
Figure 5-5: QPCR on <i>HvCEL1</i> (G235) transformed barley lines.	279
Figure 5-6: Relative levels of endogenous and transgene transcripts for <i>HvCEL5</i> (G234).....	281
Figure 5-7: Agarose separation gel following amplification of the hygromycin gene to confirm the presence of the <i>HvCEL5</i> (G234) TDNA.	281
Figure 5-8: Combined results of the stem strength and stem cellulose content from <i>HvCEL1</i> and <i>HvCEL5</i> transgenic plants.....	283
Figure 5-9: Correlation between flexural load and both stem dry weight and cellulose levels for <i>HvCEL1</i> (G235).	284
Figure 5-10: A graph of the correlation between flexural load and internode diameter.	285
Figure 5-11: The results of the average leaf pulls for <i>HvCEL5</i> (G234) and <i>HvCEL1</i> (G235).	286
Figure 5-12: QPCR results for <i>HvCEL1</i> T1 plants.....	290
Figure 5-13: Photographs of <i>HvCEL1</i> T1 plants at 2 months.....	293
Figure 5-14: Height of the <i>HvCEL1</i> T1 plants at 2 months.	294
Figure 5-15: Average leaf height of <i>HvCEL1</i> T1 plants at 2 months.....	294
Figure 5-16: T1 plants at 5 months.	295
Figure 5-17: Adventitious root production.....	296
Figure 5-18: Graphs of <i>HvCEL1</i> T1 plants showing flexural load to break, % cellulose, mg cellulose per cm stem and stem diameter.....	299
Figure 5-19: Correlations between various stem parameters measured on the <i>HvCEL1</i> T1 transgenic plants.	301
Figure 5-20: <i>HvCEL1</i> G235 T1 plants showing cellulose levels and internode length with respect to phenotype.	302
Figure 5-21: Bright field microscopy of Toluidine Blue stained cross-sections of the second internode below the peduncle.	305
Figure 5-22: Toluidine blue staining of a barley stem.	307

Figure 5-23: Toluidine blue staining of stem cross-sections highlighting sclerenchymal tissues in the T1 barley plants. 309

Figure 5-24: Examples of super-glue impressions of the external surface of stem internodes. 310

Figure 5-25: (opposite page) Graphed results of measured parameters for *HvCEL1* T1 plants that were selected for further analysis..... 313

Figure 5-26: Relative cellulose and transcript data for the T1 *HvCEL1* plants. 321

TABLE OF TABLES

Table 2-1:	Orthologous genes between maize, barley, sorghum and rice.	72
Table 2-2:	Pooling clones of a barley BAC library.....	78
Table 2-3:	Sub-families of the endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases in cereals and <i>Arabidopsis</i>	82
Table 2-4:	Specific introns associated with maize genes.....	92
Table 2-5:	Maize endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase gene map information.....	97
Table 2-6:	Maize endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes with the map position of their respective sorghum and rice orthologues.	102
Table 3-1:	Barley transcript correlations for some genes in the tissue series and stem series.....	160
Table 3-2:	Correlation coefficients of the endo-1,4- β -glucanase family with other genes involved in synthesis of cell walls.....	164
Table 3-3:	MPSS tag sequences and approximate position of tag in the ORF.	166
Table 3-4:	MPSS transcript data for maize genes in the 12 core tissues.	167
Table 3-5:	Nomenclature used for the various tissues and stages of internode development for the maize stem tissue series.....	169
Table 3-6:	Transcript patterns for endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes in barley and maize.....	179
Table 3-7:	A comparison of transcript between barley and maize orthologues.	185
Table 4-1:	Primer sequences used for amplification of cDNAs.	210
Table 4-2:	Predicted total length and weight of expressed proteins.	210
Table 4-3:	Primers used to amplify sequences for cloning into pPICZ α	216
Table 4-4:	Digest rates for NEB and Super Duper buffers.	217
Table 5-1:	Matrix for amplification of <i>HvCEL1</i> and <i>HvCEL5</i> cDNAs.	260
Table 5-2:	A summary of the Southern blot analysis for <i>HvCEL1</i>	278
Table 5-3:	Primers for QPCR.....	279
Table 5-4:	Results of QPCR analysis on T ₁ generation of the <i>HvCEL1</i> plants.	289
Table 5-5:	A summary table of the results of the analysis of the T ₁ <i>HvCEL1</i> plants.....	292

Table 5-6: Epidermal cell and internode parameters of selected <i>HvCELI</i> G235 T ₁ plants.	311
Table 5-7: A selected sample of <i>HvCELI</i> transgenic plants demonstrating the relationship between measured parameters.	315

TABLE OF EQUATIONS

Equation 2-1:	$p_S = S_d/S$	68
Equation 2-2:	$p_N = N_d/N$	68
Equation 2-3:	$d_S = -3/4 \log_e(1-4/3p_S)$	68
Equation 2-4:	$d_N = -3/4 \log_e(1-4/3p_N)$	68
Equation 2-5:	$K_S = (d_{ZO} + d_{SO}) / (2 \times 2 \times 50 \times 10^6)$	70
Equation 2-6:	$K_S = (d_{HZ} + d_{SZ}) / (2 \times 2 \times 50 \times 10^6)$	70
Equation 2-7:	$\omega = d_N/d_S$	70

DECLARATION

I, Margaret Buchanan, certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people assisted me during the course of this project. Firstly, I must acknowledge my two supervisors, Professor Geoffrey Fincher and Dr Rachel Burton. Their enthusiasm was unequalled and their support well measured. From Geoff I learned about project design and the importance of skilled writing. He also encouraged me to focus on the importance of moving forward in a focussed manner. Rachel had an ever optimistic approach to all aspects of the project and day to day support. She also provided the barley developmental cDNA library used as part of the QPCR analysis.

The project was enabled by a collaboration between the University of Adelaide and the DuPont-Pioneer company. Firstly, my thanks go to Scott Tingey of the DuPont-Pioneer company for making my trips to the USA possible. Also, thankyou to Dr Antoni Rafalski for his help with mapping of the maize genes at the DuPont laboratories in Wilmington, Delaware, as well as his interest in the project and strategic comments. Antoni was also instrumental in arranging sequencing of the barley BAC clones. Dr Kanwarpal Dhugga provided invaluable support during my trip to the Pioneer laboratories in Des Moines, Iowa, where he helped with access to, and analysis of the proprietary maize cDNA library and MPSS transcript data.

The members of the Plant Cell Wall group provided practical support on a day to day basis as well as help with specific aspects of the project. More particularly, Jillian Taylor provided invaluable technical support with regard to measuring stem and leaf strength on the Instron machine. Jill also helped with growing maize in the glasshouse. Dr Filomena Pettolino provided me with help with the cellulose analysis protocol. Natalie Kibble helped with completion of the cellulose analyses of the T1 barley stems. Without Dr Qisen Zhang's help with expression and protein extraction, the heterologous expression Chapter would not have been possible. Also providing support with regard to the heterologous expression was Maria Lombardi. Dr Andrew Harvey provided me with early lessons in the use of BLAST tools for sequence searches, the production of phylogenetic trees and gene structure.

All of the QPCR analyses were performed by Neil Shirley to whom I am very grateful. Neil also provided the data for the co-transcription of the endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases with other cell wall genes.

Thanks must go to Dr Rohan Singh and other members of the tissue culture laboratory at the ACPFG for the barley transformation. Thanks must also be extended to Ursula Langridge for her help with growing the resultant transgenic barley plants in the glasshouse and for providing access to the Sloop barley plants used for the stem developmental series.

Acknowledgements

Dr Gwenda Mayo was invaluable in her assistance with the microscopy. Thanks are in order for her technical advice, patience and enthusiasm. Invaluable support was also provided by Jodie Kretschmer with regard to the Southern blot analysis. Dr John Toubia pointed me in the right direction with regard to the evolutionary analysis. My thanks go to Dr Bu-Jun Shi for the loan of the BAC membranes and clones. I am also indebted to Dr Delphine Fleury for technical support with regard to screening the BAC membranes.

ABSTRACT

Failure of the stem to support the grain head in cereals is a serious cause of economic loss to farmers worldwide and increasing the cellulose content of the cell wall has the potential to increase stem strength. The glycosyl hydrolase family 9 endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases are believed to have a role in cellulose synthesis. Plants containing mutants of the KORRIGAN-like endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes have perturbed cell walls and reduced cellulose. Also growth enhancement occurs in poplar when overexpressing a soluble endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase. However, little is known of the gene family in cereals. Examination of the GH9 endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase gene family in barley and maize was undertaken with exploration of the potential biological correlation between cellulase activity and stem strength.

A detailed phylogeny of the endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase family for barley, maize, sorghum, rice and *Arabidopsis* was performed using sequence data from various sources. Notwithstanding that the maize genome contains 29 endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes, including homoeologues and duplicates, the number of genes across the different species only varies between 23 and 25. The phylogenetic tree showed variations in clade structure between the cereals and *Arabidopsis* and indicated some differential gene loss and gain. Where information on map position was available, synteny between the cereals was examined and found to be strong. Along with comparative intron and exon gene structure studies, orthologous genes across barley, maize, sorghum and rice were identified. An evolutionary analysis provided evidence that sorghum was the donor genome in an allotetraploid event in maize that occurred 10-20 million years ago. It was also possible to differentiate between homoeologues resulting from the tetraploidisation of maize and gene duplications.

A transcript analysis for barley and maize was performed and indicated that some members of the endo- β -1,4-D-glucanase gene family are transcribed across a wide range of tissues while other genes are specific to one tissue. Furthermore, there are strong correlations between several members of the endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase family at the transcriptional level. Similar correlations were also shown to exist between members of the endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase family and other genes known to be involved in cell wall synthesis. This data also suggested that evolutionary conservation of transcription exists between orthologues in barley and maize.

Analysis of protein activity in barley was undertaken on plants that had been transformed with endo-(1,4)- β -glucanase genes using the 35s overexpression promoter. Stem strength of these transgenic plants was found to be positively correlated with cellulose levels. Plants of the T₁ generation showed strong phenotypic variation. A number of plants were dwarfed and had much reduced

levels of cellulose. It was speculated that gene inactivation of both transgene and the endogenous gene was producing „knockdown” plants. On the other hand, some overexpressing plants had higher levels of cellulose than the control plants, however, this was not strongly correlated with stronger stems.

Heterologous expression of barley endo-(1,4)- β -glucanases in microbial systems was attempted. In *E.coli* large amounts of protein were produced, but it was largely insoluble and inactive.

ABBREVIATIONS

AGRF	Australian Genome Research Facility
aa	Amino acid(s)
At	<i>Arabidopsis</i>
AX	arabinoxylan
BAC	bacterial artificial chromosome
BAC	bacterial artificial chromosome
BLAST	basic local alignment search tool
BMGY	Buffered glycerol complex medium
BMMY	buffered methanol complex medium
bp	base pairs
CAZy	carbohydrate active enzyme database
CBD	cellulose binding domain
CD	catalytic domain
cDNA	complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CesA	cellulose synthase
cpm	cycles per minute
Cps	counts per second
Csl	cellulose synthase-like
DAP	days after pollination
DE	developing embryo
DMSO	dimethyl sulphoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxyribonucleic acids: deoxyadenosine triphosphate, deoxycytosine triphosphate, deoxythymidine triphosphate and deoxyguanine triphosphate
ECB	European corn borer
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
ER	endoplasmic reticulum
ESTs	expressed sequence tags
GAX	galacturonoarabinoxylan
GH9	glycosyl hydrolase family 9
GSP	gene specific primer
GUS	B-glucuronidase
Hv	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>
IPTG	isopropyl-thio-galactopyranoside
Ks	synonymous changes per synonymous site per year
LB	Luria broth
MLG	mixed linked glucans
MPSS	massively parallel signature sequencing
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
mRNA	messenger RNA
Mya	million years ago

Abbreviations

NCBI	National Centre for Biotechnology Information
Ni-NTA	Nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid
Ns	non-synonymous changes per synonymous site per year
NUP	nested universal primer
ORF	open reading frame
Os	<i>Oryza sativa</i>
PAGE	polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PMSF	phenylmethanesulphonyl fluoride
ppm	parts per million
QPCR	real time quantitative polymerase chain reaction
RE	restriction enzyme
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RT	room temperature
Sb	<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
SIGNAL	Salk Institute Genomic analysis Laboratory
SMART	<u>s</u> witching <u>m</u> echanism <u>a</u> t the 3' end of <u>R</u> NA <u>t</u> ranscript <u>r</u> apid
RACE	<u>a</u> mplification of <u>c</u> DNA <u>e</u> nds
SSC	Sodium chloride/sodium citrate
TAE	Tris-Acetate-EDTA
TBS	Tris buffered saline
TE	Tris-EDTA
TIGR	The Institute of Genomic Research
tRNA	transfer RNA
UDP	uridine di-phosphate
UPM	universal primer mix
UTR	untranslated region
XET/XTH	xyloglucan endotransglycosylase/hydrolase
XG	xyloglucan
Zm	<i>Zea mays</i>