

**Systematic and palaeobiological
implications of postcranial morphology
in the Diprotodontidae (Marsupialia)**

Aaron B. Camens

**School of Earth and Environmental Sciences
Discipline of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
The University of Adelaide
South Australia**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy at the University of Adelaide**

February 2010

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Aaron Camens and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Publications in this thesis include:

Camens, A. B. and Wells, R.T. 2009. Diprotodontid footprints from the Pliocene of Central Australia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **29**: 863-869. Copyright held by Taylor and Francis.

Camens, A. B. and Wells, R.T. 2010. Palaeobiology of *Euowenia grata* (Marsupialia: Diprotodontinae) and its presence in Northern South Australia. *Journal of Mammalian Evolution* **17**: 3-19, DOI 10.1007/s10914-009-9121-2. Copyright held by Springer.

.....
Aaron Camens

Table of Contents

CHAPTER 1	Introduction	1
1.1	Introduction to the Diprotodontidae	1
1.2	Systematic relationships in the Diprotodontidae	2
1.2.1	Sub-family incertae sedis	3
1.2.1.1	<i>Nototherium</i>	3
1.2.1.2	<i>Sthenomerus</i>	5
1.2.2	Sub-family Diprotodontinae	5
1.2.2.1	<i>Ngapakaldia</i>	5
1.2.2.2	<i>Pitikantia</i>	6
1.2.2.3	<i>Bematherium</i>	6
1.2.2.4	<i>Pyramios</i>	7
1.2.2.5	<i>Euowenia</i>	7
1.2.2.6	<i>Meniscolophus</i>	8
1.2.2.7	<i>Euryzygoma</i>	8
1.2.2.8	<i>Diarcodon</i>	8
1.2.2.9	<i>Diprotodon</i>	9
1.2.3	Sub-family Zygomaturinae	11
1.2.3.1	<i>Raemeotherium</i>	11
1.2.3.2	<i>Silvabestius</i>	12
1.2.3.3	<i>Alkwertatherium</i>	12
1.2.3.4	<i>Nimbadon</i>	13
1.2.3.5	<i>Neohelos</i>	13
1.2.3.6	<i>Plaisiodon</i>	14
1.2.3.7	<i>Kolopsis</i>	14
1.2.3.8	<i>Kolopsoides</i>	15
1.2.3.9	<i>Maokopia</i>	15
1.2.3.10	<i>Hulitherium</i>	16
1.2.3.11	<i>Zygomaturus</i>	16
1.3	Diprotodontid palaeobiology	18
1.3.1	Sub-family Diprotodontinae	18
1.3.1.1	<i>Ngapakaldia</i>	18
1.3.1.2	<i>Pitikantia</i>	19
1.3.1.3	<i>Pyramios</i>	19
1.3.1.4	<i>Meniscolophus</i>	19
1.3.1.5	<i>Euowenia</i>	19
1.3.1.6	<i>Euryzygoma</i>	19
1.3.1.7	<i>Diprotodon</i>	20
1.3.2	Sub-family Zygomaturinae	23
1.3.2.1	<i>Raemeotherium</i>	23
1.3.2.2	<i>Silvabestius</i>	23
1.3.2.3	<i>Alkwertatherium</i>	23
1.3.2.4	<i>Nimbadon</i>	23
1.3.2.5	<i>Neohelos</i>	23
1.3.2.6	<i>Plaisiodon</i>	24
1.3.2.7	<i>Kolopsis</i>	24

1.3.2.8 <i>Kolopsoides</i>	24
1.3.2.9 <i>Maokopia</i>	24
1.3.2.10 <i>Hulitherium</i>	25
1.3.2.11 <i>Zygomaturus</i>	25
1.4 Thesis aims	27
1.5 References	28
1.6 Appendix 1	35
CHAPTER 2 Camens, A.B., Wells, R.T. (2010). Palaeobiology of <i>Euowenia grata</i> (Marsupialia: Diprotodontinae) and its presence in northern South Australia. <i>Journal of Mammalian Evolution</i> . DOI 10.1007/s10914-009-9121-2.	37
CHAPTER 3 Camens, A.B., Wells, R.T. (2009). Diprotodontid footprints from the Pliocene of Central Australia. <i>Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology</i> 29 , 863-869.	55
CHAPTER 4 Camens, A.B., Carey, S.P., Cupper, M.L., Grün, R., Hellstrom, J.C., McKnight, S.W., McLennan, I., Trusler, P. and Aubert, M. (to be submitted) Megamarsupial palaeobiology revealed from fossil trackways of the Victorian Volcanic Plains, Australia. <i>Quaternary Science Reviews</i> .	63
CHAPTER 5 Diprotodontid functional morphology and palaeobiology inferred from postcranial anatomy.	116
5.1 Introduction	116
5.2 Methods	119
5.2.1 Included taxa	119
5.2.2 Abbreviations	119
5.2.2.1 Institutions	119
5.2.2.2 Hind limb abbreviations	120
5.2.2.3 Fore limb abbreviations	121
5.2.3 Measurements	121
5.3 Results	
5.3 Morphological descriptions	122
5.3.1 Subfamily Diprotodontinae	122
5.3.1.1 <i>Ngapakaldia</i>	122
5.3.1.1.1 Morphology of the hindlimb	122
5.3.1.1.2 Morphology of the forelimb	129
5.3.1.2 <i>Euowenia</i>	136
5.3.1.2.1 Morphology of the hindlimb	136
5.3.1.2.2 Morphology of the forelimb	142
5.3.1.3 <i>Diprotodon</i>	147
5.3.1.3.1 Morphology of the hindlimb	147
5.3.1.3.2 Morphology of the forelimb	155
5.3.2 Subfamily Zygomaturinae	162
5.3.2.1 <i>Nimbadon</i>	162
5.3.2.1.1 Morphology of the hindlimb	162
5.3.2.1.2 Morphology of the forelimb	168
5.3.2.2 <i>Neohelos</i>	176
5.3.2.2.1 Morphology of the hindlimb	176
5.3.2.2.2 Morphology of the forelimb	181
5.3.2.3 <i>Plaisiodon</i>	185

5.3.2.3.1 Morphology of the hindlimb	185
5.3.2.3.2 Morphology of the forelimb	191
5.3.2.4 <i>Kolopsis</i>	195
5.3.2.4.1 Morphology of the hindlimb	195
5.3.2.4.2 Morphology of the forelimb	200
5.3.2.5 <i>Zygomaturus</i>	204
5.3.2.5.1 Morphology of the hindlimb	204
5.3.2.5.2 Morphology of the forelimb	212
5.4 Discussion	219
5.4 Functional morphological and palaeobiological interpretations	219
5.4.1 Sub-family Diprotodontinae	221
5.4.1.1 <i>Ngapakaldia</i>	221
5.4.1.1.1 Hindlimb morphological implications	221
5.4.1.1.2 Forelimb morphological implications	223
5.4.1.2 <i>Euowenia</i>	226
5.4.1.2.1 Hindlimb morphological implications	226
5.4.1.2.2 Forelimb morphological implications	227
5.4.1.3 <i>Diprotodon</i>	229
5.4.1.3.1 Hindlimb morphological implications	229
5.4.1.3.2 Forelimb morphological implications	233
5.4.2 Sub-family Zygomaturinae	237
5.4.2.1 <i>Nimbadon</i>	237
5.4.2.1.1 Hindlimb morphological implications	237
5.4.2.1.2 Forelimb morphological implications	239
5.4.2.2 <i>Neohelos</i>	242
5.4.2.2.1 Hindlimb morphological implications	242
5.4.2.2.2 Forelimb morphological implications	244
5.4.2.3 <i>Plaisiodon</i>	246
5.4.2.3.1 Hindlimb morphological implications	246
5.4.2.3.2 Forelimb morphological implications	247
5.4.2.4 <i>Kolopsis</i>	248
5.4.2.4.1 Hindlimb morphological implications	248
5.4.2.4.2 Forelimb morphological implications	250
5.4.2.5 <i>Zygomaturus</i>	252
5.4.2.5.1 Hindlimb morphological implications	252
5.4.2.5.2 Forelimb morphological implications	254
5.5 Conclusion	257
5.6 References	259
5.7 Appendices	269
5.7.1 Appendix 1: List of non-diprotodontid specimens used	269
5.8 Figures	271
CHAPTER 6: A phylogeny of the Diprotodontidae (Marsupialia) based on postcranial morphology.	295
6.1 Introduction	295
6.1.1 Interfamilial relationships	296
6.1.2 Intrafamilial relationships	299
6.1.3 Postcrania in the Diprotodontidae	302
6.2 Methods	303

6.2.1	Included taxa	303
6.2.2	Institutions	304
6.2.3	Abbreviations	304
6.2.3.1	Hind limb abbreviations	304
6.2.3.2	Fore limb abbreviations	305
6.2.4	Phylogenetic analyses	306
6.2.5	Character description	309
6.2.5.1	Characters relating to the hindlimbs	310
6.2.5.1.1	The Pes	310
6.2.5.1.2	Characters relating to bones of the hind limb	321
6.2.5.2	Forelimb characters used in cladistic analysis	325
6.2.5.2.1	The Manus	325
6.2.5.2.2	Characters relating to the forelimb bones	332
6.3	Results	337
6.3	Phylogenetic analysis	337
6.3.1	Hindlimb character set only	337
6.3.1.1	Intrafamilial analyses	337
6.3.1.2	Interfamilial analyses	343
6.3.1.3	Character states related to functional grouping	354
6.3.2	Forelimb character set only	357
6.3.2.1	Intrafamilial analyses	357
6.3.2.2	Interfamilial analyses	363
6.3.2.3	Character states related to functional grouping	378
6.3.2.4	Character states related to phylogenetic grouping	381
6.3.3	Combined analyses (forelimb and hindlimb)	384
6.3.3.1	Intrafamilial analyses	384
6.3.3.2	Interfamilial analyses	385
6.3.4	Comparison to forelimb-only and hindlimb-only analyses	388
6.4	Discussion	389
6.4	Phylogenetic implications of postcranial anatomy	389
6.4.1	Hindlimb analyses	379
6.4.2	Forelimb analyses	391
6.5	Conclusion	398
6.6	References	399
6.7	Appendices	409
6.7.1	Appendix 1: List of non-diprotodontid specimens used	409
6.7.2	Appendix 2: Character matrix	410
6.7.3	Appendix 3: Supporting characters for various nodes	410
6.8	Figures	421
CHAPTER 7: General Discussion		454
7.1	Thesis summary and aims	454
7.2	Project findings	454
7.2.1	The functional morphology of the hindlimb of <i>E. grata</i>	454
7.2.2	Trackways: Pliocene diprotodontid footprints from the Lake Eyre Basin	455
7.2.3	Trackways: a Pleistocene megafaunal footprint assemblage from the Victorian Volcanic Plains	456
7.2.4	Functional and phylogenetic analysis of diprotodontid hindlimb and forelimb anatomy	457
7.3	Future Directions	459
7.3.1	Trace fossils	459
7.3.2	Functional and phylogenetic analysis of the Diprotodontidae	460
7.3.2.1	Functional analyses	460

7.3.2.2 Phylogenetic analyses	461
7.3.2.3 Molecular analyses	461
7.4 References	462

Abstract

This thesis is focused on the information that can be gained from examining diprotodontid forelimb and hindlimb anatomy in a morphological, functional, palaeobiological, and phylogenetic context. This research is complemented through a study of diprotodontid fossil trackways, allowing testing of the hypotheses developed through study of skeletal anatomy, as well as providing insights into the palaeobiology of taxa that are not evident through study of skeletal anatomy.

The discovery of an articulated hindlimb of *Euowenia grata*, from the Warburton River, allowed an investigation of the functional capabilities of this taxon (Chapter 2). It is shown that the development of the graviportal limb morphology exemplified by *Diprotodon optatum* was already well underway during the early Pliocene in *E. grata*. It is also suggested that the hindlimb morphology of *E. grata* is indicative of the ability to rear up against trees. In addition, the pedal digits of Pliocene diprotodontines demonstrate a similar degree of reduction to that seen later Pleistocene taxa such as *D. optatum*.

Pliocene trackways from the Warburton River in central Australia, representing the oldest known Australian mammal trackways, are described in Chapter 3. The track-maker is identified as *Euowenia grata*, and the six individual trackways indicate gregarious behaviour in this species. Similarities in trackway proportions and pad morphology demonstrate an affinity with *D. optatum*.

Australia's most speciose and best preserved fossil mammal trackway site is described in Chapter 4. The trackways provide information about palaeoecological species associations. Individual trackways at the site demonstrate that *D. optatum* had the ability to vary trackway gauge without significantly varying speed. The trackways also record important new information about manual and pedal pad morphology.

Functional morphological analyses of the hindlimb and forelimb anatomy of diprotodontids are presented in Chapter 5. These investigations suggested that the plesiomorphic diprotodontids *Ngapakaldia* and *Nimbadon* may have been scansorial. This is more remarkable in the latter, given that all other Miocene diprotodontid taxa studied demonstrate distinct adaptations towards a terrestrial lifestyle. It was also found that the limbs of the Plio-Pleistocene diprotodontines (*Euowenia* and *Diprotodon*) may have been restricted to a locomotory role, whereas the limbs of the Pleistocene

zygomaturine, *Zygomaturus*, were probably adapted for a wider range of functions including: moving over soft substrates, scratch-digging, defence and sexual competition.

Phylogenetic analyses, based on hindlimb and forelimb morphology (undertaken in Chapter 6), demonstrated that significant homoplasy exists in the postcrania of the Diprotodontidae. Functional groupings arising from these analyses supported the hypothesis that *Ngapakaldia* and *Nimbadon* were scansorial. It was also found that forelimb and hindlimb morphological characters fail to consistently distinguish between the two diprotodontid subfamilies, instead forcing taxa to group based on their locomotory habits. The phylogenetic signal provided here by postcranial morphology consistently placed *Ngapakaldia* outside the other diprotodontids studied, and little or no evidence was found for uniting this taxon with Plio-Pleistocene diprotodontines. Postcranial morphology instead indicated that the most parsimonious phylogeny involves diprotodontines arising from within the Zygomaturinae during the middle Miocene, and suggests that *Ngapakaldia* should occupy a position basal to both diprotodontines and zygomaturines.

Acknowledgements

I would firstly like to thank my supervisors Mike Lee and Rod Wells for their support and guidance during my PhD. They have always been readily accessible and have provided useful input while still allowing me a large degree of freedom in the preparation of my thesis. Mike has maintained his open door policy throughout my PhD and has been readily forthcoming with advice on all things cladistic and has helped me maintain focus. Rod has provided me with many opportunities to experience mammalian palaeontological fieldwork throughout South Australia and his in-depth knowledge of how animals are put together, not to mention his writing coaching skills, have been extremely helpful.

I thank Trevor Worthy for the huge amount of time and effort he has put towards various parts of this project; from assistance in the field, to comments on manuscripts and advice on scientific method, Trevor has been both a friend and mentor and has assisted my development as a scientist.

I especially thank the hordes of volunteers that have assisted in various field excursions. For help with megafaunal excavations at Burra I thank Rod Wells, locals Christine Brinkworth and Harvey Neil, parks representatives Ian Falkenburg and Kate Burton, as well as volunteers Trevor Worthy, Beck Candy, Nic Rawlence, Jamie Wood, Haydn Geraldene, Jo Sullivan, my parents Margaret and Bruce Camens and brother Josh, Ruth Norris, Luke Schulz, Dave Ellis, Carey Burke, Vicki Thomson, Daniel, Simon Ong and Hayley Purcell. For help on the Warburton River I thank Rod Wells, Trevor Worthy, Dick and Vivian Tedford, Cate Burke, Chris Von der Borch, David and Erica Woodgate, Ian Smith and Bob and Sue Tulloch. For assistance in the Lake Frome Basin I thank Rod Wells, Trevor Worthy, Dick Richards, Beck Candy, landowner Alec Wilson and the Sir Mark Mitchell Foundation for funding. For saving me hours of labour with his superior Excel knowledge I thank Julien Soubrier. For assistance with the VVP megafaunal trackways site I thank Stephen Carey, Ian Mclennan, Dave Pickering, the anonymous landowners, Peter Swinkels and his crew of preparators from Museum Victoria

who moulded major sections of the trackways; students, staff and friends of the University of Ballarat and DAP's crew of volunteers who helped lay out the grid, measure the tracks and excavate the skeletal fossils; Matt Gibson of the University of Ballarat who prepared the map of the locality; Steven Bourne (Naracoorte Caves World Heritage Site), Peter Dahlhaus (University of Ballarat), Bernie Joyce (University of Melbourne), John Long (formerly Museum Victoria), Tony Martin (Emory University), Wayne Stephenson (University of Melbourne), Rod Wells (Flinders University), Barbara Triggs and Fons VandenBerg (Geoscience Victoria) for discussion about potential track makers; Peter Trusler for providing foot-pad reconstructions and Matt Cupper, Rainer Grün, John C. Hellstrom, Stafford McKnight and Maxime Aubert for their assistance in dating the site.

My thanks also go to the numerous people who have assisted me in the examination of fossils at various institutions including: David Stemmer, Cath Kemper, Jim McNamara and Neville Pledge (SAM); Scott Hocknull and Gilbert Price (QM); Karen Black, Vera Weisbecker, Anna Gillespie and Mike Archer (UNSW); Robert Jones (AM); Dave Pickering (MV); Peter Murray and Dirk Megirian (NTMAG); Liz Reed and Steve Bourne (Naracoorte Caves); Craig Reid, Lisa Gershwin, Annette Vains and Alice-Ann (QVMAG), Andrew Rozefelds, Carole Hammond and Cobus van Breda (HM); Gavin Prideaux and Rod Wells (FU Palaeontology Collection).

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my partner

Althea Walker-Hallam

without whose constant support

(in more ways than one)

the undertaking of this thesis would not

have been possible

NOTE:

Pagination after page 62 begins again as page 55 in the digital copy and the print copy of the thesis held in the University of Adelaide Library.