#### ACCEPTED VERSION

Kate L. Sanders, Arne R. Rasmussen, Mumpuni, Johan Elmberg, Anslem de Silva, Michael L. Guinea and Michael S.Y. Lee

Recent rapid speciation and ecomorph divergence in Indo-Australian sea snakes Molecular Ecology, 2013; 22(10):2742-2759

© 2013 Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

Which has been published in final form at <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12291">http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mec.12291</a>

#### **PERMISSIONS**

http://olabout.wiley.com/WileyCDA/Section/id-828037.html

#### **Funder Policies**

Australian Research Council (ARC) and National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC)

#### **Green open access**

For ARC funded authors, the accepted version of the article will be made freely available on Wiley Online Library after a 12 month embargo period (starting with first publication online), in accordance with the Public Access Plan. Through CHORUS, ARC's public access interface will also link directly to the publicly available article on Wiley Online Library.

ARC and NHMRC funded authors may self-archive the accepted version of their article after a 12-month embargo period (starting with first publication online) in an open access institutional repository. If articles are made open access following payment of an article publication fee, it is not necessary to archive the accepted version of the article, but the metadata must be available in the institutional repository with a link to the final, published article on Wiley Online Library.

1 October 2019

Title: Recent rapid speciation and ecomorph divergence in Indo-Australian sea snakes Running title: Ecomorph evolution and speciation in sea snakes Kate L. Sanders<sup>1</sup>, Arne R. Rasmussen<sup>2</sup>, Mumpuni<sup>3</sup>, Johan Elmberg<sup>4</sup>, Anslem de Silva<sup>5</sup>, Michael L. Guinea<sup>6</sup>, Michael S.Y. Lee<sup>7</sup> <sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Adelaide, South Australia 5000, Australia. Email: kate.sanders@adelaide.edu.au <sup>2</sup>The Royal Danish Academy of Fine Arts, Schools of Architecture, Design and Conservation, Esplanaden 34, DK-1263, Copenhagen K., Denmark. Email: arr@kons.dk <sup>3</sup>Museum Zoologi Bogor, Puslit Biologi-LIPI, Cibinong, Indonesia. Email: sancoyomumpuni@yahoo.com <sup>4</sup>Division of Natural Sciences, Kristianstad University, SE-291 88 Kristianstad, Sweden. Email: Johan.Elmberg@hkr.se <sup>5</sup> 15/1 Dolosbage Road, Gampola, Sri Lanka. Email: kalds@sltnet.lk <sup>6</sup>School of Science and Primary Industries, Charles Darwin University, Darwin NT 0909, Australia. Email: Michael.Guinea@cdu.edu.au <sup>7</sup> Earth Sciences Section, South Australian Museum, North Terrace, Adelaide 5000, Australia. Email: Mike.Lee@samuseum.sa.gov.au 

## Abstract

The viviparous sea snakes (Hydrophiinae) are a young radiation comprising at least 62
species that display spectacular morphological diversity and high levels of local sympatry. To
shed light on the mechanisms underlying sea snake diversification, we investigated recent
speciation and eco-morphological differentiation in a clade of four nominal species with
overlapping ranges in Southeast Asia and Australia. Analyses of morphology and stomach
contents identified the presence of two distinct ecomorphs: a 'macrocephalic' ecomorph that
reaches >2m in length, has a large head, and feeds on crevice-dwelling eels and gobies; and a
'microcephalic' ecomorph that rarely exceeds 1m in length, has a small head and narrow fore-
body, and hunts snake eels in burrows. Individual assignment based on newly developed
microsatellites separated 52 co-distributed specimens into four significantly differentiated
clusters corresponding to morphological species designations, indicating limited recent gene flow
and progress towards speciation. A coalescent species tree (based on mitochondrial and nuclear
sequences) and isolation-migration model (mitochondrial and microsatellite markers) suggest
between one and three transitions between ecomorphs within the last ~1.2 million to ~840,000
years. In particular, the large-headed 'eastern' population of <i>H. cyanocinctus</i> and small-headed
H. melanocephalus appear to have diverged very recently and rapidly, resulting in major
phenotypic differences and restriction of gene flow in sympatry. These results highlight the
viviparous sea snakes as a promising system for speciation studies in the marine environment.
Key words: marine speciation, ecomorph evolution, sea snake, <i>Hydrophis</i> , Southeast Asia,

Australia

#### Introduction

Ecological speciation occurs when barriers to gene flow arise as a direct correlate of adaptation to divergent resource environments (Funk 1998; Schluter 2000). Evidence of this process has been found in a range of natural systems: reproductive isolation has been attributed to divergent selection on nuptial coloration in cichlid fish (e.g. Seehausen et al. 2008), host choice in phytophagous insects (Feder et al. 1994; Nosil et al. 2002), feeding morphology in Galapagos finches (Grant 1986; 1993) and stickleback fishes (Schluter 1994; Rundle et al. 2000), and mimetic wing patterns in butterflies (Jiggins 2008). These studies and laboratory experiments using *Drosophila* and yeast (Rice & Hostert 1993; Dettman et al. 2007) have shown that reproductive barriers can evolve remarkably quickly in response to divergent selection, with speciation intervals in the range of tens of generations to hundreds of thousands of years (Hendry et al. 2007).

Such rapid bursts of ecologically driven speciation are frequently linked to adaptive radiation, where a single lineage rapidly diversifies into an array of ecomorphologically differentiated and often co-existing species (Schluter 2000). However, a preponderance of ecological speciation has been found in only a few model adaptive radiations (Schluter 2001), such as lacustrine fishes (e.g. Schliewen et al. 1994; Østbye et al. 2006). Moreover, recent studies have emphasised that speciation during adaptive radiation is often non-ecological (Rundell and Price 2009; Losos & Mahler 2010): the archipelago model of adaptive radiation primarily implicates allopatry, which can be either accompanied or followed by ecological differentiation facilitating co-existence (e.g. Grant & Grant 2008). Identifying and distinguishing the relative

roles of ecological and non-ecological speciation drivers is especially challenging for radiations with poorly constrained biogeographic histories, such as is typical in the marine environment. However, powerful evidence of ecological speciation can be found if a particular ecomorph independently and repeatedly evolves reproductive isolation in response to similar selection pressures (Funk 1998). Selection is implicated in these cases because a replicated response to similar environments is unlikely to be due to neutral processes such as genetic drift and geographical founder effects.

The focus of this study is a unique adaptive radiation of marine snakes. The 62 species of viviparous sea snakes (Hydrophiinae) share a terrestrial ancestor only ~6-13 million years ago, yet exhibit spectacular morphological diversity and high levels of local sympatry in shallow marine ecosystems throughout the Indo-West Pacific (Sanders et al. 2008; Lukoschek et al. 2011; Rasmussen et al. 2011a; Sanders et al. 2012). Sea snake assemblages typically comprise one or two dietary generalists and up to seven specialists including fish egg eaters and predators on catfishes, frogfishes, gobies or crevice-sheltering reef fish (McCosker 1975; Glodek & Voris 1982; Voris & Voris 1983). Particularly conspicuous are 'microcephalic' forms adapted to hunt eels in burrows, having very small heads and narrow fore-bodies that rarely exceed half to onequarter of the girth of the hind body (Voris 1977; Voris & Voris 1983). Remarkably, microcephaly has evolved at least eight (but potentially as many as 14) times in the *Hydrophis* group, a clade that has undergone exceptionally rapid diversification in the last ~3.5 million years and accounts for ~80% of (extant) sea snake species richness (Voris 1977; Lukoschek & Keogh 2006; Sanders et al. 2010; Sanders et al. 2012). The microcephalic ecomorph is not represented in any other sea snake lineage (Aipysurus, Emydocephalus, Ephalophis, Hydrelaps and

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

Parahydrophis) and none of these heavily exploits burrowing eel prey (Voris & Voris 1983). Rapid evolution of head size variation is therefore a likely contributing factor in the explosive speciation in *Hydrophis* group sea snakes. Parallel ecomorph evolution is a common feature of rapid adaptive radiations and has often confounded morphology-based phylogenetic inferences. *Hydrophis* group species have variously been classified in 10 to 16 often paraphyletic or monotypic genera reflecting their complex patterns of phenotypic evolution (Smith 1926; McDowell 1972; Voris 1977; Rasmussen 1997; Rasmussen 2002; Kharin 2004).

In this paper, we investigate recent eco-morphological diversification and speciation in four closely-related *Hydrophis* species with overlapping ranges in Southeast Asia and Australia (Fig. 1). Hydrophis cyanocinctus reaches >2m in total length, is heavy-bodied with a large head and similar girths at neck and hind-body ('macrocephalic'), and preys on crevice-sheltering eels and gobies, whereas H. coggeri, H. melanocephalus and H. parviceps all rarely exceed 1.2m in total length and are typical microcephalic species that feed near-exclusively on snake eels in burrows. Initial mitochondrial sampling of these species revealed shallow relationships and lack of reciprocal monophyly between macro- and microcephalic forms and among putative species (this study), suggesting very recent speciation and/or ongoing gene-flow. Body size is thought to be a primary cue for mate recognition in viviparous sea snakes (Shine 2005) so that ecomorph transitions associated with diet might also promote reproductive isolation in sympatry via assortative mating (e.g. Podos 2001). Hydrophis melanocephalus is fully sympatric with H. cyanocinctus in the north-eastern part of the latter species' range in Vietnam, China, Taiwan and Japan. Hydrophis coggeri was until recently considered an allopatric population of H. melanocephalus and overlaps with H. cyanocinctus in the south: Borneo, Sulawesi and northern

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

Australia, extending to New Caledonia and Fiji. The third microcephalic species in the present study, *H. parviceps*, is known from only five specimens collected in South Vietnam (Rasmussen et al. 2012), where it is sympatric with both *H. melanocephalus* and *H. cyanocinctus*. A broad phylogenetic sampling of *Hydrophis* group sea snakes robustly recovered a clade of *H. cyanocinctus*, *H. coggeri* and *H. parviceps* (Sanders et al. 2012); here we show that *H. melanocephalus* is nested inside the latter grouping, confirming that all four species in the present study form a clade.

We analysed phenotypic and genetic variation in each of these species using morphology, microsatellite markers, and mitochondrial and nuclear sequences, and integrated new and published diet records. These data were used to: i) Assess correspondence between taxonomic, genetic and phenotypic groupings, ii) Infer the number and direction of evolutionary changes between macro- and microcephalic ecomorphs, and iii) Test whether reproductive segregation occurs among ecomorphs and/or among recognised species. Together these inferences were used to assess a possible role for ecological specialisation in promoting speciation in this complex of sea snakes.

#### Methods

#### Sampling

Sea snakes were obtained by the authors during collecting trips to Indonesia, Vietnam, Thailand, Sri Lanka and Australia between 1998 and 2010. Most specimens were obtained opportunistically from fisheries by-catch. Vouchers were fixed in formalin and deposited in museum collections. DNA tissues (liver and muscle biopsies) were sampled for 58 individuals

spanning most of each species' geographic range. Standard protocols were used to extract genomic DNA (Puregene™ DNA Isolation Tissue Kit, Gentra Systems). Mitochondrial sequence fragments of *H. melanocephalus* from Japan and *H. cyanocinctus* from Thailand were obtained from GenBank. Specimen localities, voucher numbers and GenBank accessions for samples used in molecular analyses are given in Table S1. Table S2 shows numbers of specimens included in genetic, morphological and diet analyses for each species and locality.

#### Morphological analyses

Morphological data were collected for 122 museum and field-collected specimens representing the four species (42 *Hydrophis melanocephalus*, 45 *H. coggeri*, 30 *H. cyanocinctus*, and five *H. parviceps*). We examined four ecologically significant traits involving body size and proportions, in addition to nine taxonomically important scalation and colour pattern characters used to delimit the four species (Smith 1926; Rasmussen et al. 2011b). Morphometric characters (recorded to the nearest 1.0mm using string and a ruler) were: body length measured from snout to vent (SVL), tail length from vent to tip of the tail, girth at the neck, and girth at 0.75 SVL. Scale counts were the number of scale rows at the neck and at midbody (measured using the number of ventrals following Voris (1977)), the number of ventral scales following Smith (1926), number of supralabials, and number of sublabials. Colour pattern characters were number of bands on the body and number of bands on the tail. After excluding sub-adults, gravid females and specimens with stomach and gut contents, a bivariate plot was used to assess variation in relative girth (girth at 0.75 SVL: girth at neck) and SVL among species and ecomorphs. Adults were identified by large, non-flaccid testes in males and thickened oviducts and/or visible

vitellogenic follicles in females. Interspecific differences in relative girth were tested statistically in Excel using single-factor ANOVA analyses on log-transformed ratios of girth at 0.75 SVL versus girth at neck for *H. cyanocinctus*, *H. coggeri* and *H. melanocephalus* (*H. parviceps* was excluded due to low sample size). Multiple comparisons were controlled for using a Bonferroni-corrected alpha (of 0.05 divided by 3).

#### Diet data

New and published diet data were collated and summarised for adult specimens of the four species. Specimens collected during fieldtrips were dissected to examine stomach contents, where possible these were identified to family level by relevant experts in our institutions.

Additional diet data were obtained from the literature (Voris 1972; McCosker 1975; Glodek & Voris 1982; Voris & Voris 1983 and references therein; Fry et al. 2001; Lobo 2006).

Interspecific diet differences were tested for *H. cyanocinctus*, *H. coggeri* and *H. melanocephalus* in Excel using a chi-square test for a 3 x 3 contingency table of counts for the three diet categories recorded for these species: gobies, crevice eels (moray and conger eels) and burrowing eels (snake and worm eels) (see diet results below). Single prey items were recorded with the exception of one *H. cyanocinctus* specimen that contained two gobies. Multiple comparisons were controlled for using a Bonferroni-corrected alpha (of 0.05 divided by 3).

#### Microsatellite analysis

Twelve microsatellite loci were developed for this study using perfect repeats from next generation shotgun data (Sanders & Gardner 2012). Genotype profiles were generated for the

four nominal species using Multiplex-Ready Technology, with capillary electrophoresis outsourced to the Australian Genomic Research Facility in Adelaide, Australia. Allele sizes were determined against a Genescan 500 Liz size standard using the Applied Biosystems programs GeneMapper 4.0 and PeakScanner 1.0. Each locus was tested for deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium using GenePop 4.0 (Rousset 2008). MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was used to identify null alleles, large allele dropout and stuttering errors.

Several genetic distance measures are available for microsatellite data (Goldstein et al., 1995). At inter-specific levels, stepwise-like mutations are expected to contribute significantly to microsatellite variation so that allele-size based measures of differentiation (such as R<sub>ST</sub>) might perform better than allele-identity based measures (such as F<sub>ST</sub>), which fail to increase linearly with time since divergence (Goldstein & Pollock 1997; Hardy et al. 2003). We used two approaches to investigate whether stepwise mutations are likely to have contributed to interspecific differentiation in our data. First, we used SPAGEDI 1.3 (Hardy & Vekemans 2002) to generate 20,000 allele size permutations and perform a one-tailed test to assess whether observed R<sub>ST</sub> values between all possible species pairs were significantly higher than permuted R<sub>ST</sub> values. We then used the analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) framework in Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010) to investigate whether measures including (R<sub>ST</sub>) or excluding (F<sub>ST</sub>) allele-size variation explain a larger proportion of microsatellite variance among species.

#### Microsatellite population structure and individual assignment

To investigate whether microsatellite population structure corresponds to nominal species and/or divergent phenotypes, we used the individual-based Bayesian clustering approach implemented in STRUCTURE 2.3 (http://pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu). This method probabilistically assigns individuals to ancestral populations based on their genotypes by minimising deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium and linkage equilibrium (Pritchard et al. 2000). Admixture (Q) is estimated for each individual from each of K ancestral population clusters, where K is specified in advance (see below). All runs were done using the admixture model (allowing individuals to have ancestry in multiple populations), with independent allele frequencies and no a priori population classifications. Default parameter settings were used with a burnin step of 1,000,000 followed by 1,000,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) iterations. Ten runs per different K were performed for K = 1 to K = 5; these were averaged using CLUMPP 1.1.2 (Jakobsson & Rosenberg 2007) as very small differences in log likelihood were observed at each value of K. We used STRUCTURE Harvester (http://taylor0.biology.ucla.edu/structureHarvester/) to estimate the most likely number of clusters based on i) the K value with the peak posterior probability distribution, and ii) likelihood ratio tests performed on the log-likelihood of the data for each value of K (Pr(X|K) (Pritchard et al. 2000). STRUCTURE results were plotted using Distruct 1.1 (Rosenberg 2004). Arlequin 3.5 (Excoffier & Lischer 2010) was used to calculate R<sub>ST</sub> (Slatkin 1995) and F<sub>ST</sub> (Weir & Cockerham 1984) values between taxonomic and geographic groups, with tests for significant differentiation performed via 1000 random permutations of the data.

221

222

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

#### Mitochondrial and nuclear sequencing

~1100 base pairs of the mitochondrial cytochrome b (cytb) gene was amplified for
52 individuals using forward primer L14910 (5'- GAC CTG TGA TMT GAA AAA CCA YCG
TTG T -3') and reverse primer H16064 (5'- CTT TGG TTT ACA AGA ACA ATG CTT TA -3')
(Burbrink et al. 2000). To provide additional independent loci for species tree inference, two
nuclear markers were sequenced for 21 individuals (5 eastern and 3 western Hydrophis
cyanocinctus, 5 H. melanocephalus, 6 H. coggeri and 2 H. parviceps), with the same individuals
sampled across nuclear and mitochondrial markers. Nuclear loci were G1888 (402bp) and G1894
(429bp); these non-coding anonymous markers were selected from shotgun sequencing (see
Bertozzi et al. 2012) and were amplified using forward primer G1888 (5'-CAG GGC CTT GCC
TTG TGC CA-3') and reverse primer G1889 (5'-ACC TCT GCG CAC TAT GAC TCT TGA-
3'), and forward G1894 (5'- ACC CTT TCA GTC ACA GGT CTG CT-3') and reverse G1895
(5'- GAG CGA AAC AGG GAG TTA TCC AAG C-3'). For all markers, PCR was carried out in
$25\mu L$ volumes using HotMaster reagents (Perkin Elmer/Applied Biosystems) and double-
stranded sequencing was outsourced to the Australian Genome Research Facility Ltd (AGRF) in
Adelaide, Australia. Sequences were checked for ambiguities, and alignments were assembled
from consensus sequences of forward and reverse reads using Geneious Pro v5.1.7 (Drummond
et al. 2010). Pairwise distances among mitochondrial clades were calculated for sequence data
using the Species Delimitation plugin for Geneious (Masters et al. 2011).

# Phylogeny and divergence times

The MrBayes v.3.1.2 (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck 2003) plugin for Geneious was used to reconstruct a Bayesian mitochondrial tree using the optimum data partitioning scheme determined using Bayes factors and best-fit models of nucleotide evolution identified using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) in MrModeltest v. 2.3 (Nylander 2004) and PAUP\* 4.0 (Swofford 2002): codon positions 1+2 (GTRig) and codon position 3 (GTRig). Values for model parameters were unlinked across partitions. MCMC analyses were run using default settings and different starting seeds and four chains each. The final analysis was run for 6,000,000 generations and sampled every 1000 generations. The first 30% of sampled trees were excluded as burn-in. Convergence was assessed by examining effective sample sizes (ESS values) and likelihood plots through time in TRACER (Rambaut & Drummond 2007), and by comparing the posterior probabilities from different runs. Kerilia jerdoni was used as an outgroup because there is robust morphological and molecular evidence that this species is closely related to but outside the Hydrophis cyanocinctus complex (Voris 1977; Rasmussen 1997; Sanders et al. 2012). Although mitochondrial markers provide large numbers of polymorphic sites for resolving population and species histories, they are also susceptible to introgression and/or

resolving population and species histories, they are also susceptible to introgression and/or stochastic retention of ancestral polymorphisms, which can confound these inferences. For this reason, we used \*BEAST (Heled and Drummond 2010) in the BEAST 1.7 .1 package (Drummond et al. 2012) to reconstruct a species tree from the mitochondrial and two nuclear loci while accounting for coalescent stochasticity among simultaneously sampled gene trees. \*BEAST requires *a priori* assignment of individuals to putative species, i.e. sufficiently divergent groups of individuals (Heled and Drummond, 2010). Western and eastern *H. cyanocinctus* were assigned as separate putative species due to high levels of divergence at all

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

three loci. Haplotypes shared by eastern *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. melanocephalus* were excluded from the analysis due to likely introgression (see Cytonuclear Discordance). We used a strict clock and applied the substitution rate of 1.65% divergence per lineage per million years estimated for cytochrome b based on a relaxed clock calibrated using age estimates for eight squamate fossils (Sanders and Lee 2008; Sanders et al. 2008). A Yule branching process was used for the species tree prior and default settings were used for all remaining priors, including a Piecewise linear and constant population size model (Heled and Drummond, 2010). We ran the analysis five times with different random starting seeds for 400,000,000 generations and sampled every 5000 generations; convergence of Markov chains was assessed as for the mitochondrial analysis (above). The first 50% of sampled trees were excluded as burn-in and the remaining 40,000 trees were used to generate a maximum credibility species tree for each run in Tree Annotator 1.6.1 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2007).

Finally, we attempted to resolve the polytomy among eastern *H. cyanocinctus*, *H. coggeri* and *H. melanocephalus* by comparing divergence times between *H. melanocephalus* versus *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. melanocephalus* versus *H. coggeri* using a coalescent isolation-with-migration (IM) model implemented in the program IMa (Hey & Nielsen, 2004; Hey & Nielsen, 2007). Whereas IM does not assume reproductive isolation, extensive admixture of distantly related species can confound phylogenetic estimates based on gene/species trees and comparisons of microsatellite distance/diversity. The full demographic IM model was fitted to the 13 microsatellite and mitochondrial loci simultaneously, using a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) approach and applying the Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano (HKY) model for the mitochondrial sequence data and the Stepwise Mutation Model (SMM) for each microsatellite

locus (with alleles first converted into numbers of repeats). Nuclear sequences were excluded due to a lack of inter-specific variation (see Results). After a burn-in period of 1 million steps, we ran the program in M-mode for 10 million steps (with the default sampling of every 100 steps), so that effective sample sizes (ESS) were at least 45 for each parameter. Prior distributions for demographic parameters were set based on posterior distributions from several preliminary runs. The analyses were then run at least five times each using different random number seeds to check for convergence of the Markov chain. Log-likelihood ratio (2LLR) tests were performed on the 16 nested models implemented in IMa (with population, migration and divergence time parameter estimates variously to set to zero, fixed as equal to other parameters, or free to vary). We did not convert the divergence time parameter to an absolute time estimate because a reliable mutation rate is not currently available for our microsatellite markers. An earlier divergence between H. melanocephalus and H. coggeri compared to H. cyanocinctus and H. melanocephalus would support a closer relationship between the latter two species. Pairwise comparisons could not be made for western H. cyanocinctus (microsatellite markers not available) or H. parviceps (molecular sample size of two individuals).

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

#### **Species delimitation**

Due to species-level paraphyly or polyphyly at all three sequence loci (see Results), we attempted to delimit species boundaries using the Bayesian approach implemented in the program BP&P version 2.1 (Rannala and Yang, 2003; Yang and Rannala, 2010). This coalescent based method accommodates the species tree as well as lineage sorting effects but assumes no recent gene flow. Reversible-jump MCMC is used to estimate the posterior distribution of the set of

trees that can be generated by collapsing nodes in a guide tree. We used two alternative guide trees: 1) based on the maximum credibility tree estimated by \*BEAST (Fig. 5) with western H. cyanocinctus as the sister lineage to a clade of eastern H. cyanocinctus and the three microcephalic species; and 2) based on current taxonomy but with western and eastern H. cyanocinctus as separate (sister) species, and H. melanocephalus, H. coggeri and H. parviceps as successive outgroups. The mitochondrial and two nuclear sequence loci were used with the gamma prior G (2, 1000) for the population size parameters ( $\theta$ s) with mean 2/2000 = 0.001. The age of the root in the species tree (tau 0) was assigned the gamma prior G (2, 1000), while the other divergence time parameters were assigned the Dirichlet prior (Yang and Rannala, 2010: equation 2). The heredity parameter was used to assign inheritance scalars of 0.25 and 1.0 to the mitochondrial and nuclear loci, respectively; and the locusrate parameter was used to allow different rates among loci generated from the Dirichlet distribution with  $\alpha = 2$ . To confirm consistency between runs, the analysis was run four times for each guide tree using both speciation delimitation algorithms and different random number seeds. Each MCMC was run for 200,000 generations with a burn-in of 50,000.

324

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

#### **Results**

326

327

328

329

330

325

### Morphological analyses and diet

Fig. 2 shows a bivariate plot of relative girth versus snout to vent length (SVL) in the four species. The three microcephalic species exhibited broadly overlapping distributions. *Hydrophis* coggeri and *H. melanocephalus* showed very similar means for both traits, with SVL rarely

exceeding 1m and hind-body girths typically twice to almost three times the girth of the neck; the three H. parviceps specimens showed a mean SVL of ~1m and mean hind-body girth of approximately three times the girth of the neck. Notably, adult H. coggeri and H. melanocephalus exhibit non-overlapping SVL distributions where they occur in sympatry in Sulawesi (SVL 660-710 and 800-990, respectively; not shown). Hydrophis cyanocinctus specimens formed a separate cluster from all three microcephalic species, with SVL measurements ranging from almost 1m to over 2m (mean 1.45m) and hind-body girths of 1.2 to 1.8 times the girth of the neck (mean 1.5). Relative girths differed significantly between H. cyanocinctus and both H. coggeri and H. melanocephalus after Bonferroni correction (df = 1, p <0.0001), but not between H. coggeri and H. melanocephalus (df = 1, p >0.016).

Hydrophis cyanocinctus was also differentiated from the three microcephalic species by higher counts on the head and body for all scale characters, including marginally overlapping distributions of scale rows at neck and mid-body, and supra- and sub-labial scale counts. The microcephalic species were distinguished from each other by fewer scale rows at the neck in H. parviceps (19-21 versus >23 in H. coggeri and H. melanocephalus), the number of bands on the body (28-35 in H. coggeri, 33-72 in H. melanocephalus and 61-73 in H. parviceps) and the number of bands on the tail (2-5 in H. coggeri, 3-7 in H. melanocephalus and 7-11 in H. parviceps). Means and ranges for all scalation and colour pattern characters are given in Appendix 1.

Diet items ascertained for 75 individuals showed clear patterns, with only the microcephalic species having a high proportion of snake eels (Ophichthidae). All snake eels identifiable to genus level were *Leiuranus* and *Myrichthys* species; these are nocturnal, inhabit

mucus-lined burrows in sandy substrates, and many mimic the banded colour-patterns of sea snakes and sea kraits to deter predators (hence 'snake' eel) (McCosker et al. 1998). Of the 12 diet records available for *H. cyanocinctus*, 66% had fed on crevice-associated eels in the families Muraenidae (moray eels) and Congridae (conger eels) and 34% on gobies (Gobiodidae and Gobiidae). Of 56 diet records for *H. coggeri*, 94% of individuals had fed on burrowing snake eels (Ophichthidae), 4% on burrowing worm eels (Moringuidae) and 2% on congrid eels. Records from 5 *H. melanocephalus* suggest this species also feeds primarily on snake eels (4 individuals), and occasionally congrids (1 individual). Foraging observations of both *H. coggeri* (McCosker 1975; Heatwole et al. 1978; Guinea 1981) and *H. melanocephalus* (Takahashi 1981) report diurnal individuals successively probing burrows on the sea floor until eels are captured. *Hydrophis parviceps* is known from 5 specimens only, but 2 of these contained stomach contents also identified as snake eels. Diet composition differed significantly between *H. cyanocinctus* and both *H. coggeri* and *H. melanocephalus* (df = 1, p <0.0001), but not between *H. coggeri* and *H. melanocephalus* (df = 1, p >0.016).

#### Microsatellite analysis

Genotype profiles were generated for a total of 52 individuals with two missing loci in five individuals and one missing locus in three individuals. A total of 69 alleles were identified with the number of alleles per locus ranging between 2 and 10 with an average of 5.7. MICRO-CHECKER tests showed the final set of 12 loci to be free from large allele dropout and stuttering errors both when populations were examined together and separately. No significant linkage disequilibrium or deviation from HWE was detected among the 12 loci using GenePop (p <

0.05), although MICRO-CHECKER suggested null alleles might be present at SSM12 in Hydrophis coggeri (frequency = 0.193), and at SSM27 in H. cyanocinctus (frequency = 0.324) (Sanders and Gardner 2012).

All populations of each nominal species were sampled for microsatellites with the exception of Indian Ocean (western) *Hydrophis cyanocinctus* specimens, which were not available at the time of laboratory analysis. Hence, the microsatellite results below refer only to eastern (Southeast Asian and Australian) *H. cyanocinctus*. The allele size permutation test (Hardy et al. 2003) indicated that allele sizes contribute to among population differentiation in at least 4 of the 12 microsatellite loci: observed R<sub>ST</sub> values were significantly higher than permuted R<sub>ST</sub> values for *H. melanocephalus* versus *H. coggeri* in SS8 (p=0.03); *H. cyanocinctus* versus *H. melanocephalus* in SS12 (p=0.05); *H. melanocephalus* versus *H. coggeri* in SS14 (p=0.05), and each of *H. melanocephalus* and *H. coggeri* versus *H. parviceps* in SS25 (p=0.04 and 0.04, respectively). The AMOVA with genetic variation partitioned according to the three species groups (*H. cyanocinctus*, *H. coggeri* and *H. melanocephalus*) described 13.3% of variation among groups based on R<sub>ST</sub> measures (p = 0.01) compared to 8.6% of variation based on F<sub>ST</sub> measures (p = 0.03). Together these results suggest that distance statistics that account for allele size variation are most appropriate for our data.

#### Microsatellite population structure and individual assignment

Multiple STRUCTURE runs with a given value of K led to virtually identical results. Using the full dataset, STRUCTURE Harvester revealed a peak posterior probability of four (K = 4), and a minimum of three (K = 3,  $\Delta$ K = 292.5264), ancestral population clusters. At K=4,

clusters corresponded to the four nominal species irrespective of their geographic origin: H. cyanocinctus from Vietnam clustered with conspecifics from Java and Australia, and were separated from H. parviceps from Vietnam, H. coggeri from Australia and Sulawesi, and H. melanocephalus from Sulawesi and Vietnam (Fig. 3). In this analysis, only one individual showed >25% ancestry from more than one population: the specimen from Sulawesi was identified as H. melanocephalus on the basis of morphology and had shared ancestry between the H. melanocephalus cluster ( $Q = \sim 0.5$ ) and the H. coggeri and H. cyanocinctus clusters ( $Q = \sim 0.25$  each). This individual was excluded from subsequent population genetic distance calculations. At K=3, all H. cyanocinctus plus H. parviceps were distinguished from H. coggeri and H. melanocephalus. Higher K values (K=5-6) failed to extract additional meaningful geographic or taxonomic clusters. Individual assignment thus provides evidence of limited recent introgression among the four geographically overlapping species.

For the 12 microsatellite loci combined, among-species pairwise  $R_{ST}$  and  $F_{ST}$  values were relatively high and significant at p < 0.05 based on 1000 permutations (Table 1). The lowest inter-specific values were found between *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. melanocephalus* ( $R_{ST}$  = 0.114;  $F_{ST}$  = 0.181), with  $R_{ST}$  = 0.317 and  $F_{ST}$  = 0.211 between *H. melanocephalus* and *H. coggeri*, and  $R_{ST}$  = 0.333 and  $F_{ST}$  = 0.297 between *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. coggeri*. Within species distances were  $R_{ST}$  0.061 and  $F_{ST}$  0.041 between *H. cyanocinctus* from Southeast Asia and Australia, and  $R_{ST}$  0.089 and  $F_{ST}$  0.059 between *H. melanocephalus* from Vietnam and Sulawesi.

## Phylogeny and divergence times

The final mitochondrial alignment consisted of 1107 sites for 54 individuals representing 24 haplotypes. The Bayesian majority-rule consensus tree (Fig. 4) did not retrieve monophyly of individuals classified as Hydrophis cyanocinctus and H. melanocephalus. The basal ingroup divergence is between western H. cyanocinctus and a well supported clade (posterior 0.98) containing all other sampled individuals. The latter group comprises 3 main clades: 1) a clade of H. melanocephalus from Sulawesi (posterior 0.99); 2) all H. coggeri from Australia and Sulawesi (posterior 0.99); 3) a grouping of eastern H. cyanocinctus (from Australia and SE Asia), H. melanocephalus (from Sulawesi, Vietnam and Japan) and H. parviceps (posterior 0.96). Within clade 3, the two sampled H. parviceps form sister lineages, although more samples are required for a robust test of monophyly. Neither eastern *H. cyanocinctus* nor the "clade 3" *H.* melanocephalus are monophyletic. A single haplotype is shared by four H. melanocephalus from Vietnam and three *H. cyanocinctus* from Java. The mean corrected (HKY) pairwise divergence between clades 1 and 2 versus 3 is 1.5%; mean within-clade divergence is 0.7% in clade 1, 0.3% in clade 2, and 0.5% in clade 3. A considerably higher divergence of 3.6% is found between the 2 major ingroup clades (western *H. cyanocinctus* versus the clade consisting of eastern *H.* cyanocinctus plus the 3 microcephalic species). The nuclear loci G1894 and G1888 contained 5 and 4 polymorphic sites, respectively. At G1894, eastern H. cyanocinctus, H. coggeri and H. melanocephalus shared two haplotypes, neither of which was found in any other species; H. parviceps was represented by a single unique

haplotype with two fixed substitutions, and western H. cyanocinctus was represented by three

unique haplotypes with one fixed substitution. At G1888, two eastern H. cyanocinctus, one

western H. cyanocinctus and one H. parviceps showed unique haplotypes with single fixed

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

substitutions, and two other haplotypes were shared by eastern *H. cyanocinctus*, *H. coggeri* and *H. melanocephalus*.

\*BEAST analyses of the combined mitochondrial and nuclear sequence data yielded ESS values above 500 for all parameters and species trees that were topologically identical among replicate runs. The maximum credibility species tree (Fig. 5) strongly recovered (pp 1.0) western H. cyanocinctus as sister to a well supported (pp 1. 0) clade of all other ingroup taxa, i.e. eastern H. cyanocinctus plus the three microcephalic species. Eastern H. cyanocinctus and H. melanocephalus were moderately well supported as sister lineages (pp 0.83) and formed a polytomy (pp 0.52) with *H. coggeri* and *H. parviceps*. Relationships among western *H*. cyanocinctus, H. melanocephalus and H. coggeri are evidently driven by the mitochondrial locus (due to low nuclear variation); however, the lack of shared haplotypes and presence of fixed differences separating western H. cyanocinctus and H. parviceps from the remaining taxa provide independent support for the non-monophyly of both macrocephalic (H. cyanocinctus) and microcephalic (H. coggeri, H. parviceps, H. melanocephalus) ecomorphs. Mean divergence time estimates were 840,000 years ago (95% HPD [highest posterior density] 0.4-1.3million) for the root node (western H. cyanocinctus versus all remaining taxa), 220,000 years (95% HPD 120,000-340,000) for the basal divergence of the clade containing eastern H. cyanocinctus and the three microcephalic species, and 80,000 years (95% HPD 100,000-150,000) for the divergence between eastern H. cyanocinctus and its sister taxon H. melanocephalus. These species tree dates are somewhat younger than divergence times based on the mitochondrial rate (3.3% pairwise per million years for cytochrome b), which would imply a root divergence ~1.2 million years ago, and a basal divergence between eastern H. cyanocinctus and the three

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

microcephalic species ~450,000 years ago. Species divergence times based on multilocus coalescent approaches are expected to be younger than gene-tree estimates given that gene tree divergences will pre-date speciation (Edwards & Beerli 2000).

IMa analyses yielded ESS values above 100, unimodal posterior distributions for divergence times and all other demographic parameters, and very concordant results from replicate runs, suggesting good mixing and convergence of the Markov chains. Posterior distributions of the divergence time parameter ( $\mu t$ ) indicated an earlier divergence between Hydrophis melanocephalus and H. coggeri compared to H. melanocephalus and (eastern) H. cyanocinctus (Fig. 6); western H. cyanocinctus was not sampled for microsatellites (see above). ML estimates of  $\mu$ t were 0.9 [90% HPD 0.6-2.2] for H. melanocephalus versus H. coggeri and 0.3 [90% HPD 0.1-0.9] for H. melanocephalus versus H. cyanocinctus. Although the lower 90% HPD interval for H. melanocephalus versus H. coggeri broadly overlapped the upper 90% HPD interval for H. melanocephalus versus H. cyanocinctus, it fell well outside of the ML estimate for the latter divergence. For both species pairs, likelihood ratio tests of nested demographic models strongly rejected models were the two migration parameters (representing gene flow in both directions) were set to zero and all other model parameters were free to vary (2LLR > 200, p < 0.001). Our results suggested similar rates of migration between the two species pairs, with slightly lower rates from H. melanocephalus into H. coggeri ( $m_1 = 1.09$ ) than in the opposite direction ( $m_2 = 2.41$ ), and slightly higher migration rates from H. melanocephalus into H. cyanocinctus into ( $m_1 = 2.55$ ) than in the opposite direction ( $m_2 = 1.91$ ); however, in both analyses we were unable to reject alternative models of equal (but non-zero) migration.

483

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

#### **Species delimitation**

BP&P analyses using the \*BEAST guide tree (Fig. 5) supported separate species status for western *Hydrophis cyanocinctus*, but did not support the recognition of the other lineages (eastern *H. cyanocinctus*, *H. melanocephalus*, *H. coggeri* and *H. parviceps*) as separate species. Both species delimitation algorithms consistently recovered the most prevalent tree (>73%) as having all internal nodes collapsed, while the basal node (western *H. cyanocinctus* versus the rest) was identified with >99% posterior probability. The next most prevalent tree (>19%) showed no nodes collapsed but recovered low posterior support for all internal nodes (posterior probabilities 12-27%). Analyses using the guide tree closest to current taxonomy (eastern and western *H. cyanocinctus* as separate sister species) recovered the most prevalent tree (>62%) as having all nodes collapsed (so that all lineages formed a single species); no nodes collapsed were collapsed in the next most prevalent tree (>36%) but all were recovered with low support (posterior probabilities <37%).

#### **Discussion**

Our results show correspondence between geographically overlapping genomic clusters and morphological species designations, providing evidence of progress towards speciation in the four nominal species. Mitochondrial haplotype sharing between allopatric populations of two species, and coalescent IM and species delimitation analyses, together indicate historical and/or recent introgression (see Cytonuclear discordance below). However, individual assignment using microsatellite data clearly separated the four widespread species into significantly differentiated clusters, irrespective of their sympatric or parapatric distributions at each sampling locality. Only

one hybrid individual was identified (with more than >75% ancestry shared between the two microcephalic species in Sulawesi). This evidence of limited recent gene flow between codistributed species is strongly supported by non-overlapping distributions in morphological traits: in Vietnam and Australia, eastern *H. cyanocinctus* is clearly separated from the three microcephalic species by much larger girth at the neck relative to the hind body; *H. melanocephalus* and *H. parviceps* in Vietnam are distinguished by numbers of scale rows at the neck; in Sulawesi, *H. coggeri* and *H. melanocephalus* are separated by number of bands on the body and body length. Western *H. cyanocinctus* were not sampled for microsatellites but their sister relationship to all other sampled populations, large mitochondrial distance, and fixed nuclear differences, suggest that the eastern form might be a fifth and hitherto overlooked species (the type locality is given as India: Smith 1926). Divergence times estimated using a multilocus coalescent tree and pairwise mitochondrial distances indicate that eastern *H. cyanocinctus* and the three microcephalic species last shared a common ancestor only ~220,000 to 450,000 years ago, while western *H. cyanocinctus* diverged from the latter clade 840,000 to 1.2 million years ago.

#### Cytonuclear discordance

Our mitochondrial and nuclear microsatellite datasets yielded highly discordant patterns. Most notably, eastern *Hydrophis cyanocinctus* and *H. melanocephalus* samples each formed a single microsatellite cluster in individual assignment analyses, but comprised multiple polyphyletic mitochondrial lineages. Such discordance among mitochondrial and nuclear data has been reported for numerous closely related and/or rapidly speciating taxa (see Seehausen 2004) and is typically explained by i) historical hybridisation among mtDNA lineages, coupled with

stochastic loss of haplotypes via genetic drift and ii) incomplete lineage sorting (so that ancestral polymorphisms are retained across multiple lineages). Both processes may have contributed to the cytonuclear discordance reported here for sea snakes. However, the IM models that assumed inter-specific gene flow were a significantly better fit to our data than models with migration parameters set to zero, suggesting an important role for historical introgression (if the discordance was solely due to retention of ancestral polymorphisms, we would expect zero gene flow in the speciation history of these taxa). The failure of the method of Rannala and Yang (2003) to delimit the four nominal species in the present study provides further evidence of historical introgression: this Bayesian method recognises groups that have not experienced recent gene flow and assumes that patterns of species para- and polyphyly and discordance among loci is due to lineage sorting alone (Yang & Rannala 2010). Finally, the mitochondrial haplotype shared by four *H. melanocephalus* from Vietnam and three eastern *H. cyanocinctus* is highly derived (placed at the tips of the tree), which suggests that it was most likely introduced from one species to the other via introgression (e.g. Lawrence et al. 2010). Our findings are consistent with a large number of studies showing introgression between co-distributed species in the early stages of speciation (reviewed in Abbott et al. 2013).

544

545

546

547

548

549

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

#### **Ecomorph origins and evolutionary transitions**

Eastern and western *Hydrophis cyanocinctus* both reach >2m in total length with a large head and similar girths at neck and mid-body, and feed on crevice-dwelling eels and gobies. In contrast, *H. melanocephalus*, *H. coggeri* and *H. parviceps* have small heads and fore-body girths (half to more than one third of the hind-body), reach maximum lengths of up to 1.2m, and all

have a specialist diet of burrowing snake eels (Ophichthidae) which they hunt in their burrows. Species and mitochondrial trees resolve western *H. cyanocinctus* (not sampled in the microsatellite analysis) as basal to a clade comprising eastern *H. cyanocinctus* plus the three microcephalic species. Additionally, *H. belcheri*, the sister lineage of all taxa considered here, and other close relatives (*Kerilia jerdoni*, *H. spiralis*, *H. lapemoides*, *H. viperinus*) are all also macrocephalic (Sanders et al. 2012). These patterns are most consistent with the macrocephalic phenotype represented by *H. cyanocinctus* being ancestral to all three microcephalic species.

If a single shift from macro- to microcephalic phenotypes were to explain the observed diversity patterns, we would expect all microcephalic species to cluster together in the phylogenetic analyses. On the contrary, *H. melanocephalus* (microcephalic) and eastern *H. cyanocinctus* (macrocephalic) displayed the lowest inter-specific R<sub>ST</sub> and F<sub>ST</sub> values, lacked reciprocal monophyly in the mitochondrial tree, and the two species were sister taxa in the multilocus coalescent species tree. These results appear most consistent with separate origins of microcephaly (from an ancestral *H. cyanocinctus* morphotype) in at least *H. coggeri* and *H. melanocephalus*. The alternative scenario of microcephaly evolving only once (in the ancestor of the *H. coggeri*, *H. melanocephalus*, *H. parviceps*, and eastern *H. cyanocinctus* clade), with secondary increase in head size and body length occurring in eastern *H. cyanocinctus*, is also plausible but requires re-evolution of several other morphological traits not obviously correlated with head and body size in eastern *H. cyanocinctus* (Smith 1926; Rasmussen et al. 2011b; Rasmussen and Sanders unpublished data).

An important caveat of using population genetic data to infer relationships is that extensive admixture can cause species to cluster together even if they are not closest relatives.

Thus, our results might alternatively be explained by single origins of microcephaly and macrocephaly with differential gene flow between eastern *H. cyanocinctus* and the three microcephalic species. This scenario cannot be ruled out but is not supported by current species distributions (the range of eastern *H. cyanocinctus* largely encompasses all three microcephalic species) or estimates of historical migration rates and divergence times based on an IM model which does not assume historical reproductive isolation: *H. melanocephalus* shows more recent common ancestry with eastern *H. cyanocinctus* than it does with *H. coggeri* despite similar migration rate estimates for both species pairs. Although our results appear most consistent with repeated shifts from macro- to microcephalic phenotypes, robustly resolving the exact number and pattern of changes will likely require additional genomic and population sampling for these species.

Our inferences on the origin and affinities of *H. parviceps* (the third microcephalic species) are limited by a molecular sample size of only two individuals, yet microsatellite differentiation and fixed substitutions in nuclear sequences clearly separated these specimens from all other sampled populations. Although more sampling is needed, only 5 specimens of this species have been collected in 80 years despite considerable efforts surveying sea snakes within its range in southern Vietnam (Rasmussen et al. 2012).

#### **Evidence for ecological speciation?**

The repeated association between microcephaly and a specialist diet of burrowing snake eels strongly implicates divergent or disruptive selection in driving phenotypic evolution in these species. Sea snakes are superbly 'pre-adapted' to evolve specialisations for exploiting burrowing

eels, having elongate limbless bodies to penetrate burrows and powerful venom with which to subdue large and aggressive prey. The functional prediction is that small heads and narrow forebodies allow microcephalic forms to hunt snake eels by entering their narrow burrows. This association is supported by compelling (albeit often anecdotal) evidence. All eight microcephalic species of *Hydrophis* (including five not considered here) for which diet records are available prey near-exclusively on burrowing eels, and this trophic resource is not heavily exploited by any other phenotype in sea snakes (McCosker 1975; Voris & Voris 1983; Fry et al. 2001). Numerous foraging observations of microcephalic species (including both Hydrophis coggeri and H. melanocephalus studied here) report diurnal individuals successively probing eel burrows on the sea floor until prey is captured (e.g. McCosker 1975; Heatwole et al. 1978; Guinea 1981; Takahashi 1981). Resource competition is thought to be a major driver of ecological divergence, especially if 'open' or underutilized niches are available (e.g. Levene 1953), and these factors are likely to contribute here also. Sea snake assemblages exhibit strong diet partitioning suggestive of past competitive interactions and typically contain single (or occasionally two) burrowing-eel and crevice-eel specialists (Voris & Voris 1983; Fry et al. 2001).

The rapid recent speciation and evolution of dietary specialisations in this group is consistent with ecological speciation driven by selection on trophic morphology. Periods of allopatric divergence, e.g. during the Pleistocene isolation of ocean basins in Southeast Asia (Porter 1989), might also have promoted speciation and ecological differentiation in this system. However, at least a partial role for ecomorph divergence in promoting speciation is indicated by the lower levels of microsatellite genetic structure between geographically disjunct and reciprocally monophyletic mitochondrial clades within species (*R*<sub>ST</sub> 0.061 between Southeast

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

Asian and Australian *Hydrophis cyanocinctus*;  $R_{ST}$  0.089 between *H. melanocephalus* in Vietnam and Sulawesi), compared to higher levels of divergence between ecomorphs in parapatry and sympatry ( $R_{ST}$  >0.114-0.333). In particular, the macrocephalic eastern *H. cyanocinctus* and microcephalic *H. melanocephalus* appear to have diverged very recently and rapidly, resulting in major phenotypic differences and restriction of gene flow in sympatry, but lack of reciprocal monophyly for mitochondrial markers.

Disentangling the relative influence of trophic divergence and non-ecological factors in this system will ultimately require an understanding of the build up of pre- and/or post-zygotic isolating mechanisms. Under divergent selection, assortative mating can lead to reproductive isolation if traits linked to feeding specialisation also affect mate choice (e.g. Schliewen et al. 2001). Body size is thought to be a primary cue for mate recognition in viviparous sea snakes (Shine 2005) and macro- and microcephalic ecomorphs display largely non-overlapping distributions in this trait (Fig. 3). Size-assortative mating would also help to explain the partial reproductive isolation of microcephalic species H. melanocephalus and H. coggeri in Sulawesi, where these species display non-overlapping body size distributions suggesting a possible role for character displacement. Chemoreception is thought to be of secondary importance in mate recognition in sea snakes (Shine 2005) and is similarly linked to diet via prey-tracking. Habitat segregation can also act as a pre-zygotic barrier in the early stages of speciation (e.g. Eroukhmanoff et al. 2011), and might restrict gene flow between macro- and microcephalic ecomorphs if feeding and mating sites coincide (Australian H. cyanocinctus and H. coggeri are found in muddy-bottomed rocky habitats versus sandy inter-reef habitats, respectively: Guinea & Whiting 2005; Sanders, pers. obs.).

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

#### **Conclusions**

Our results highlight the viviparous sea snakes as a promising system for studies of speciation and adaptive radiation in marine environments. We provide integrative evidence of rapid diversification and at least partial reproductive isolation between large-bodied macrocephalic predators on crevice-dwelling fishes and small-bodied microcephalic specialists on burrowing eels (possibly in only a few hundred thousand years). Ecological shifts are mirrored in a wider phylogenetic context across the *Hydrophis* group of sea snakes, where the microcephalic ecomorph has evolved repeatedly many other times and accounts for more than 30% of species richness (at least 15 of 49 described species). Rapid evolution of head size variation is therefore a likely contributing factor in the explosive speciation in this group. Future research should also explore the genetic and ontogenetic basis of phenotype evolution, including the extent to which genomic parallelism underlies rapid diversification, as well as the links between ecomorph divergence and reproductive ecology. However, information on the life history of sea snakes is still very scant and field studies are needed to provide the necessary ecological framework for such inferences.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Indonesia Institute of Sciences (LIPI) and the Department of Wildlife Conservation of Sri Lanka for granting us permission to carry out fieldwork on sea snakes. We also thank the Australian Research Collaboration Service and eResearchSA for access to grid computing resources, and Andrew Amey and Patrick Couper, Ross Sadlier, Ivan Ineich, Colin

McCarthy, and Irvan Sidik for access to museum material in their care. This work is supported by an Australian Research Council grant to KL Sanders and MSY Lee, and by Knud Højgaards Fond, Swedish Orphan International and Danish Research Council (Kulturministeriets Forskningspulje) grants to AR Rasmussen.

Table 1. Microsatellite genetic differentiation among species based on  $R_{\text{ST}}$  (above the diagonal)

and  $F_{ST}$  (below the diagonal). Bold values were significant at p < 0.05 by 1000 permutations of

the data.

	H. cyanocinctus (eastern)	H. coggeri	H. melanocephalus	H. parviceps
H. cyanocinctus (eastern)	-	0.333	0.114	0.132
H. coggeri	0.297	-	0.317	0.389
H. melanocephalus	0.181	0.211	-	0.185

Appendix 1. Mean and range of scale counts and colour pattern characters for the four species examined in the present study. Note that sample sizes for characters differ from the overall sample size per locality and sex.

	H. cyanocinctus (eastern)		H. coggeri		H. melanocephalus		H. parviceps	
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
Ventrals	334.4 (293-369)	345.9 (323-367)	281.5 (271 –	296.5 (223 –	304.3 (229 –	312.2 (248 –	343.6 (340-348)	335 (329-341)
ventrais	n = 14	n = 15	325) $n = 19$	321) $n = 25$	350) $n = 15$	347) n = 26	n = 3	n = 2
Scale rows neck	30.4 (27-35)	30.5 (27-36)	24.4 (23-27)	25.5 (23-28)	24.6 (23-26)	25.2 (23-27)	19.6 (19-21)	21 (21)
neck	n = 14	n = 16	n = 20	n = 25	n = 13	n = 23	n = 3	n = 2
Scale rows mid-body	39.5 (36-43)	41.6 (39-44)	30.6 (30-37)	33 (32-37)	33.8 (29-38)	35.4 (29-39)	32 (31-33)	34 (34)
ma ooay	n = 14	n = 16	n = 20	n = 25	n = 13	n = 23	n = 3	n = 2
	8.3	8.25	6.25	6.5	6.9	6	6.5	7
Supralabials	(8-9) n = 7	(8-9) n = 4	(5.5-7) $n = 4$	(6-7.5) n = 7	(6.5-8) n = 6	n = 1	(6-7) n=2	(7) n=2
	9.7	9.4	7.25	7.9	8	7	7	8
Sublabials	(9-10)	(8-10)	(6.5-8)	(7.5-9.5)	(7.5-9)	n = 1	(6-8)	(8)
	n = 7	n = 4	n = 4	n = 7	n = 6		n=3	n=2
	1.85	1.7	1.9	1.3	1.8	1	1	1
Postoculars	(1.5-2)	(1-2)	(1-2)	(1-2)	(1.5-2)	n = 1	(1)	(1)
	n = 7	n = 4	n = 4	n = 7	n = 6		n = 3	n = 2
_	2	2	1	1.1	1.2	1	1	1
Temporals	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1-2)	(1-2)	n = 1	(1)	(1)
	n = 7	n=4	n=4	n = 7	n = 6	<b>7</b> 0.1	n=3	n=2
Bands on	50.5	53.5	30.2	30	50.9	50.1	69.3	67
body	(35-70)	(40-68)	(28-35)	(25-34)	(33-72)	(33-65)	(68-71)	(61-73)
-	n = 13 $6.2$	n = 12 6.3	$\frac{n=20}{3.2}$	n = 25	n = 13	n = 25 $4.5$	n = 3 $9.3$	n = 2 $7.5$
Bands on	6.2 (5-7)	(4-9)		3.8	4.9			
tail	n = 13	n = 12	(2-5) n = 19	(2-5) n = 25	(3-7) n = 16	(3-5) n = 24	(8-11) n=3	(7-8) n=2

## References

685	Abbott R, Albach D, Ansell S, Arntzen JW, Baird SJE, Bierne N, Boughman J, Brelsford A,
686	Buerkle CA, Buggs R, Butlin RK, Diekmann U, Eroukhmanoff F, Grill, A, Helms Cahan
687	S, Hermansen JS, Hewitt G, Hudson AG, Jiggins C, Jones J, Keller B, Maczewski T,
688	Mallet J, Martinez-Rodriguez P, Most M, Mullen S, Nichols R, Nolte AW, Parisod C,
689	Pfennig K, Rice AM, Ritchie MG, Seifert B, Smadja CM, Stelkens R, Szymura JM,
690	Vainola R, Wolf JBW, Zinner D (2013) Hybridization and speciation. Journal of
691	Evolutionary Biology, doi 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2012.02599.x (in press).
692	Bonnet X, Shine R, Naulleau, G, Thiburce C (2001) Plastic vipers: influence of food intake on
693	the size and shape of Gaboon vipers (Bitis gabonica). Journal of Zoology, 255, 341–351.
694	Burbrink FT, Lawson R, Slowinski JP (2000) Mitochondrial DNA Phylogeography of the
695	polytypic North American Rat Snake (Elaphe obsoleta): A critique of the subspecies
696	concept. Evolution, 54, 2107–2118.
697	Dettman JR, Sirjusingh C, Kohn LM, Anderson JB (2007) Incipient speciation by divergent
698	adaptation and antagonistic epistasis in yeast. Nature, 447(7144), 585–588.
699	Dieringer D, Schlötterer C (2003) Microsatellite Analyser (MSA): a platform independent
700	analysis tool for large microsatellite data sets. Mol. Ecol. Notes 3: 167–169.
701	Drummond A, Ashton B, Buxton S, Cheung M, Cooper A, Heled J, et al (2010) Geneious
702	v5.0.4 http://www.geneious.com.
703	Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A (2012) Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti
704	and the BEAST 1.7. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 29(8), 1969-73.

- Edwards SV, Beerli P (2000) Gene divergence, population divergence, and the variance in coalescent time in phylogeographic studies. Evolution, 54, 1839-1854.
- From Eroukhmanoff F, Hargeby A, Svensson EI (2011) The role of different reproductive barriers
- during phenotypic divergence in isopod ecotypes. Evolution, 65(9), 2631-2640.
- 709 Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: A new series of programs to perform
- population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Molecular Ecology Resources,
- 711 10, 564-567.
- Feder JL, Opp SB, Wlazlo B, Reynolds K, Go W, Spisak S (1994) Host fidelity is an effective
- premating barrier between sympatric races of the apple maggot fly. Proceedings of the
- National Academy of Science USA, 91(17), 7990-4.
- Fry GC, Milton A, Wassenberg TJ (2001) The reproductive biology and diet of sea snake bycatch
- of prawn trawling in northern Australia: characteristics important for assessing the
- 717 impacts on populations. Pacific Conservation Biology, 7, 55-73.
- Funk DJ (1998) Isolating a role for natural selection in speciation: host adaptation and sexual
- 719 isolation in *Neochlamisus bebbianae* leaf beetles. Evolution, 52, 1744-1759.
- Glodek GS, Voris HK (1982) Marine snake diets: prey composition, diversity and overlap.
- 721 Copeia, 1982, 661–666.
- Goldstein DB, Pollock DD (1997) Launching microsatellites: a review of mutation processes and
- method for phylogenetic inference. Journal of Heredity, 88, 335-342.
- Goldstein DB, Linares AR, Cavalli-Sforza L, Feldman MW (1995) An evaluation of genetic
- distances for use with microsatellite loci. Genetics, 139, 463–471.
- Grant PR (1986) Ecology and Evolution of Darwin's Finches. Princeton Univ. Press, New Jersey.

- 727 Grant PR (1993) Hybridization of Darwin's finches on Isla Daphne Major, Galápagos. Philos.
- Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, 340, 127.
- Grant BR, Grant PR (2008) Fission and fusion of Darwin's finch populations. Philosophical
- Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, 363, 2821–2829.
- Guinea ML (1981) The snakes of Fiji. Processings of the fourth international coral reef
- 732 symposium, Manila, Philippines. 2, 581-585.
- Guinea ML, Whiting SD (2005) Insights into the distribution and abundance of sea snakes at
- Ashmore Reef. The Beagle: Records of the Museums and Art Galleries of the Northern
- 735 Territory, Supplement 1, 199-206.
- Hardy OJ, Vekemans X (2002) SPAGeDi: a versatile computer program to analyse spatial
- genetic structure at the individual or population levels. Molecular Ecology Notes, 2, 618-
- 738 620.
- Hardy OJ, Charbonnel N, Fréville H, Heuertz M (2003) Microsatellite allele sizes: a simple test
- to assess their significance on genetic differentiation. Genetics, 163, 1467-1482.
- Heatwole HF, Minton Jr SA, Taylor R, Taylor V (1978) Underwater observations on sea snake
- behaviour. Records of the Australian Museum, 31(18), 737–761.
- Heled J, Drummond A (2010) Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data.
- Molecular Biology and Evolution, 27(3), 570–580.
- Hendry AP, Nosil P, Rieseberg LH (2007) The speed of ecological speciation. Functional
- 746 Ecology, 21, 455–464.

747	Hey J, Nielsen R (2004) Multilocus methods for estimating population sizes, migration rates and
748	divergence time, with applications to the divergence of <i>Drosophila pseudoobscura</i> and <i>D</i> .
749	persimilis. Genetics, 167, 747–760.
750	Hey J, Nielsen R (2007) Integration within the Felsenstein equation for improved Markov chain
751	Monte Carlo methods in population genetics. Proceedings of the National Academy of
752	Science USA, 104, 2785–2790.
753	International Union for Conservation of Nature (2010) In: IUCN 2011. IUCN Red List of
754	Threatened Species. Version 2012.1
755	Jakobsson M, Rosenberg N (2007) CLUMPP: A cluster matching and permutation program for
756	dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure.
757	Bioinformatics, 23, 1801–1806.
758	Jiggins CD (2008) Ecological Speciation in Mimetic Butterflies. BioScience 58: 541-548.
759	Kharin VE (2004) Review of sea snakes of the genus <i>Hydrophis</i> sensu stricto (Serpentes:
760	Hydrophiidae). Russian Journal of Marine Biology, 30, 387-394.
761	Lawrence DM, Kemp BM, Eshleman J, Jantz RL, Snow M, George D, Smith DG (2010)
762	Mitochondrial DNA of Protohistoric Remains of an Arikara Population from South
763	Dakota: Implications for the Macro-Siouan Language Hypothesis. Human Biology, 82, 2.
764	Levene H (1953) Genetic equilibrium when more than one ecological niche is available.
765	American Naturalist, 87, 331–333.
766	Lobo AS (2006) Sea snakes of the Gulf of Mannar Marine national Park. The species and their
767	conservation, Technical report submitted to the Rufford Foundation.

- Losos JB, Mahler DL (2010) Adaptive radiation: the interaction of ecological opportunity,
- adaptation, and speciation. Pp. 381–420 in M. A. Bell, D. J. Futuyma, W. F. Eanes and J.
- 770 S. Levinton, eds. Evolution since Darwin: the first 150 years Sinauer Associates Inc.,
- 771 Sunderland, MA.
- Lukoschek V, Keogh JS (2006) Molecular phylogeny of sea snakes reveals a rapidly diverged
- adaptive radiation. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 89, 523–539.
- Lukoschek V, Keogh JS, Avise JC (2011) Evaluating Fossil Calibrations for Dating Phylogenies
- in Light of Rates of Molecular Evolution: A Comparison of Three Approaches.
- 776 Systematic Biology doi:10.1093/sysbio/syr075
- 777 Masters BC, Fan V, Ross HA (2011) Species delimitation—a geneious plugin for the exploration
- of species boundaries. Molecular Ecology Resources, 11, 154–157.
- 779 McCosker JE (1975) Feeding behavior of Indo-Australian Hydrophiidae. Pp. 217-232 in W. A.
- Dunson, ed. The Biology of Sea Snakes. Univ. Park Press, Baltimore.
- 781 McCosker JE (1998) Eels and Allies. Pp. 87–89 in Paxton, J.R. and W. N. Eschmeyer,
- eds. Encyclopedia of Fishes, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic Press, San Diego.
- McDowell SB (1972) The genera of sea snakes of the Hydrophis group (Serpentes, Elapidae).
- Transactions of the Zoological Society of London, 32, 195–247.
- 785 Michalakis Y, Excoffier L (1996) A generic estimation of population subdivision using distances
- between alleles with special reference for microsatellite loci. Genetics, 142, 1061–1064.
- Nosil P, Crespi BJ, Sandoval CP (2002) Host-plant adaptation drives the parallel evolution of
- reproductive isolation. Nature, 417, 440-443.

789	Nylander JAA (2004) MRMODELTEST v2.2. Program Distributed by the Author. Evolutionary
790	Biology Centre, Uppsala University.
791	Østbye K, Amundsen P-A, Bernatchez L, Klemetsen A, Knudsen R, Kristoffersen R, Næsje TF,
792	Hindar K (2006) Parallel evolution of ecomorphological traits in the European whitefish
793	Coregonus lavaretus (L.) species complex during postglacial times. Molecular Ecology,
794	15, 3983-4001.
795	Phillips BL, Shine R (2006) An invasive species induces rapid adaptive change in a native
796	predator: cane toads and black snakes in Australia. Proceedings of the Royal Society,
797	Series B, 273, 1545–1550.
798	Podos J (2001) Correlated evolution of morphology and vocal signal structure in Darwin's
799	finches. Nature, 409, 185–188.
800	Porter SC (1989) Some geological implications of average Quaternary glacial conditions.
801	Quaternary Research, 32 (3), 245–261
802	Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus
803	genotype data. Genetics, 155, 945–959.
804	Rambaut A, Drummond AJ (2007) Tracer v1.4, Available from <a href="http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer">http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer</a>
805	Rannala B, Yang Z (2003) Bayes estimation of species divergence times and ancestral population
806	sizes using DNA sequences from multiple loci. Genetics, 164, 1645–1656.
807	Rasmussen AR (1997) Systematics of the sea snakes: a critical review. Symposium of the
808	Zoological Society of London, 70, 15–30.

809	Rasmussen AR (2002) Phylogenetic analysis of the "true" aquatic elapid snakes Hydrophiinae
810	(sensu Smith et. al, 1977) indicates two independent radiations to water. Steenstrupia, 27,
811	47-63.
812	Rasmussen AR, Murphy JC, Ompi M, Gibbons JW, Uetz P (2011a) Marine Reptiles. PLOS one
813	6: e27373. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027373.
814	Rasmussen AR, Elmberg J, Gravlund P, Ineich I (2011b) Sea snakes (subfamilies Hydrophiinae
815	and Laticaudinae) in Vietnam: a comprehensive checklist and an updated identification
816	key. Zootaxa, 2894, 1–20.
817	Rasmussen AR, Elmberg J, Sanders KL, Gravlund P (2012) Rediscovery of the rare sea snake
818	Hydrophis parviceps Smith 1935: identification and conservation status. Copeia, 2: 277-
819	283.
820	Rice WR, Hostert EE (1993) Laboratory experiments on speciation: what have we learned in 40
821	years? Evolution, 47, 1637.
822	Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed
823	models. Bioinformatics, 19, 1572-1574.
824	Rosenberg N (2004) DISTRUCT: A program for the graphical display of population structure.
825	Molecular Ecology Notes, 4, 137–138.
826	Rousset F (2008) GenePop'007: a complete re-implementation of the GenePop software for
827	Windows and Linux. Molecular Ecology Resources, 8, 103–106.
828	Rundell RJ, Price TD (2009) Adaptive radiation, nonadaptive radiation, ecological speciation and
829	nonecological speciation. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 24, 394–399.

830	Rundle HD, Nagel L, Boughman JW, Schluter D (2000) Natural selection and parallel speciation
831	in sympatric sticklebacks. Science, 287, 306-308.
832	Sanders KL, Lee MSY (2008) Molecular evidence for a rapid late-Miocene radiation of
833	Australasian venomous snakes (Elapidae, Colubroidea). Molecular Phylogenetics and
834	Evolution, 46, 1165-1173.
835	Sanders KL, Gardner MG (2012) Isolation, via 454 sequencing, characterisation and
836	transferability of twelve microsatellite loci for Hydrophis spiralis, the yellow sea snake
837	(Serpentes: Elapidae). Conservation Genetics Resources, doi 10.1007/s12686-012-9715-5
838	Sanders KL, Lee MSY, Leijs R, Foster R, Keogh JS (2008) Phylogenetic relationships and
839	divergence times of Australasian and marine elapid snakes (Hydrophiinae): mitochondrial
840	and nuclear evidence. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 21, 682–695.
841	Sanders KL, Mumpuni, Lee MSY (2010) Uncoupling ecological innovation and speciation in sea
842	snakes (Elapidae, Hydrophiinae, Hydrophiini). Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 23,
843	2685–2693.
844	Sanders KL, Lee MSY, Mumpuni, Bertozzi T, Rasmussen AR (2012) Multilocus phylogeny and
845	recent rapid radiation of the viviparous sea snakes (Elapidae: Hydrophiinae). Molecular
846	Phylogenetics and Evolution, doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2012.09.021.
847	Schliewen UK, Tautz D, Pääbo S (1994) Sympatric speciation suggested by monophyly of crater
848	lake cichlids. Nature, 368, 629–632
849	Schliewen UK, Rassmann K, Markmann M, Markert JA, Kocher T, et al (2001) Genetic and
850	ecological divergence of a monophyletic cichlid species pair under fully sympatric
851	conditions in Lake Ejagham, Cameroon. Molecular Ecology, 10, 1471–1488.

852	Schluter D (1994) Experimental evidence that competition promotes divergence in adaptive
853	radiation. Science, 266, 798–801.
854	Schluter D (2000) The Ecology of Adaptive Radiation. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
855	Schluter D (2001) Ecology and the origin of species. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 16, 372-
856	380.
857	Seehausen O (2004) Hybridization and adaptive radiation. Trends in Ecology and Evolution,
858	19(4), 198-207
859	Seehausen O, Terai Y, Magalhaes IS, Carleton KL, Mrosso HDJ, Miyagi R, van der Sluijs I,
860	Schneider MV, Maan ME, Tachida H, Imai H, Okada N (2008) Speciation through
861	sensory drive in cichlid fish. Nature, 455(7213), 620-U23.
862	Shine R (2005) All at sea: aquatic life modifies mate-recognition modalities in sea snakes
863	(Emydocephalus annulatus, Hydrophiidae). Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology, 57,
864	591-598.
865	Slatkin M (1995) A measure of population subdivision based on microsatellite allele frequencies.
866	Genetics, 139, 457-462.
867	Smith MA (1926) Monograph of the sea-snakes (Hydrophiidae). Printed by order of the Trustees
868	of the British museum (Natural History), London.
869	Swofford DL (2002) PAUP* 4.0: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods).
870	Beta version 4.0b4a. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
871	Takahashi H (1981) The feeding behaviour of the sea snake, Hydrophis melanocephalus. The
872	Snake, 13, 158-159.

873	van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson W, Wills D, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER: software for
874	identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Molecular Ecology
875	Notes, 4, 535–538.
876	Voris HK (1972) The role of sea snakes (Hydrophiidae) in the trophic structure of coastal ocean
877	communities. Journal of the Marine Biology Association of India, 14, 429-442.
878	Voris HK (1977) A phylogeny of the sea snakes (Hydrophiidae). Fieldiana Zoology, 70, 79–169.
879	Voris HK, Voris HH (1983) Feeding strategies in marine snakes: an analysis of evolutionary,
880	morphological, behavioural and ecological relationships. American Zoologist, 23, 411-
881	425.
882	Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure.
883	Evolution, 38, 1358–1370.
884	Yang Z, Rannala B (2010) Bayesian species delimitation using multilocus sequence data.
885	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 107, 9264–9269.
886	
887	
888	
889	
890	
891	
892	
893	
894	

Figure 1. Distributions of species in the present study (blue = *H. cyanocinctus*; green = *H. melanocephalus*; orange = *H. parviceps*; red = *H. coggeri*) based on species occurrence data modified from the IUCN Red List (International Union for Conservation of Nature, 2010) and mapped using the Atlas of Living Australia (<a href="http://www.ala.org.au/">http://www.ala.org.au/</a>) application. Sampling sites for molecular analyses are indicated using arrows.

Figure 2. Bivariate plot of relative girth versus snout to vent length (SVL) in the four studied *Hydrophis* species. Relative girth is measured as girth at 0.75 SVL: girth at the neck. Blue = *H. cyanocinctus*; green = *H. melanocephalus*; orange = *H. parviceps*; red = *H. coggeri*. Males and females are shown as closed and open symbols, respectively. Species means are marked with plus (+) symbols. Sub-adults, gravid females, and specimens containing stomach and gut contents are excluded.

Figure 3. STRUCTURE plot based on microsatellite data for 50 individuals at K=4. Each individual is represented by a vertical line divided into coloured segments representing their inferred ancestry in four ancestral clusters (K). The y-axis shows the % of each individual's membership in the cluster of corresponding to that colour: Blue = eastern (Southeast Asian and

Australian) *Hydrophis cyanocinctus*; green = *H. melanocephalus*; orange = *H. parviceps*; red = *H. coggeri*.

Figure 4. MrBayes all compatible consensus of 4,000 post burn-in trees for the four *Hydrophis* species sampled in this study (*Kerilia jerdoni* outgroup not shown) based on mitochondrial cytochrome b. Node support values above 75% are shown. The asterisk (\*) denotes the haplotype shared by eastern *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. melanocephalus*. Black = western (Indian Ocean) *H. cyanocinctus*; blue = eastern (Southeast Asian and Australian) *H. cyanocinctus*; green = *H. melanocephalus*; orange = *H. parviceps*; red = *H. coggeri*.

Figure 5. \*BEAST species tree based on mitochondrial and two nuclear sequences showing transitions between macro- and microcepablic ecomorphs. Node labels indicate posterior probabilities. Timescale is in millions of years before present. Representative images of body proportions and colour pattern are shown for each species.

Figure 6. Posterior probability distributions of the divergence time parameter for *Hydrophis melanocephalus* versus *H. cyanocinctus* and *H. melanocephalus* versus *H. coggeri*, estimated by fitting an isolation-with-migration (IM) model to 13 microsatellite and mitochondrial loci.