

**RESOLVING CONCEPTUAL CONFUSION AND  
QUANTIFYING CROSS-TAXA PATTERNS OF  
'DENSITY DEPENDENCE' IN POPULATION ECOLOGY**

**Salvador Herrando-Pérez**

**APRIL 2012**

**Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

SCHOOL OF EARTH AND  
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES



**THE UNIVERSITY  
*of* ADELAIDE**



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>FOREWORD .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1—BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>13</b>
1.1    History in short.....	14
1.2    Trends of use.....	15
1.3    A survey of experts .....	19
1.4    Research plan.....	23
1.5    Aims .....	25
<b>CHAPTER 2—TERMINOLOGY .....</b>	<b>26</b>
1.6    Title .....	26
1.7    Abstract:.....	26
1.8    Key words.....	26
1.9    Introduction .....	28
1.10   The concept, not the term .....	29
1.11   Genesis of the modern vocabulary.....	37
1.12   Reasons for terminological inconsistency.....	50
1.13   Simple rules of nomenclature .....	56
1.14   Density feedback and regulation are not the same.....	59
1.15   Conclusions .....	64
<b>CHAPTER 3—LIFE HISTORY .....</b>	<b>66</b>
1.16   Title .....	66
1.17   Abstract .....	66
1.18   Key words.....	66
1.19   Introduction .....	68
1.20   Methods .....	70
1.21   Results.....	75
1.22   Discussion .....	81
1.23   Conclusions .....	82
<b>CHAPTER 4—CLIMATE.....</b>	<b>84</b>
1.24   Title .....	84
1.25   Abstract .....	84
1.26   Key words.....	84
1.27   Introduction .....	86
1.28   Methods .....	87
1.29   Results.....	91
1.30   Discussion .....	94
1.31   Further directions.....	96

<b>CHAPTER 5—DEMOGRAPHIC RATES .....</b>	<b>98</b>
1.32    Title.....	98
1.33    Abstract.....	98
1.34    Key words.....	98
1.35    Introduction .....	100
1.36    Methods .....	101
1.37    Results .....	104
1.38    Discussion.....	111
1.39    Conclusions .....	115
<b>CHAPTER 6—CONCLUDING REMARKS .....</b>	<b>120</b>
1.40    Advocacy for phenomenological models .....	120
1.41    Regulation overshadows density dependence .....	124
1.42    A terminological advance for ecology.....	128
1.43    Final statement .....	131
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>APPENDIX A1 - HISTORICAL ACCOUNT .....</b>	<b>167</b>
1.44    Chronicle of density dependence .....	167
1.45    Biogeographical notes on Nicholson and Andrewartha .....	173
<b>APPENDIX A2 - QUESTIONNAIRE.....</b>	<b>175</b>
1.46    Statements .....	175
1.47    Respondents .....	177
1.48    Comments on population limitation .....	178
1.49    Quotes on population regulation.....	179
<b>APPENDIX A3 - TERMINOLOGY: EXAMPLES AND COMMITTEE..</b>	<b>180</b>
1.50    Examples of proposed terminology .....	180
1.51    ESA committee on ecological nomenclature .....	181
<b>APPENDIX A4 - LIFE HISTORY: DATA.....</b>	<b>186</b>
1.52    Base data .....	186
1.53    GPDD caveats .....	187
1.54    Criteria for data selection.....	188
1.55    High-quality data subset.....	189
<b>APPENDIX A5 - LIFE HISTORY: MORE RESULTS .....</b>	<b>191</b>
1.56    Single-species population models .....	191
1.57    Model support and effect sizes .....	192
1.58    Examination of measurement error .....	199
<b>APPENDIX A6 - DEMOGRAPHIC RATES: DATA.....</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>APPENDIX A7 - DEMOGRAPHIC RATES: MORE RESULTS .....</b>	<b>210</b>
1.59    Frequencies of compensatory and depensatory feedbacks.	210
1.60    Model support.....	212

# ABSTRACT

---

**Density dependence** represents a causal relationship between the size of a population and at least one of its measurable demographic rates. It encapsulates the demographic and evolutionary role of a range of social and trophic mechanisms (e.g., cannibalism, competition, cooperation, parasitism, predation), whose effects on crowding and extinction are themselves modified by the population's abundance (**density feedback**). The concept is applied in conservation and management to assess critical matters such as harvest quotas, pest/invasion control and thresholds of extinction. I review the use of density dependence in ecology.

I quantify a temporal increase in the number of ecological papers examining this concept across an augmenting number of study taxa, and little consensus around the meaning of density dependence and associated concepts in a questionnaire survey among 136 ecologists (**Chapter 1**). Next, I revise the vocabulary of density dependence in a historical context, finding more than 60 terms, many of which are polysemous, synonymous, or grounded in opinionated statements; I name five unequivocal qualifiers of density feedback (compensatory, delayed compensatory, overcompensatory, depensatory/Allee effect) linked to known population phenomena (stability, cycles, chaos, decline), and dissect the semantic differences between density dependence and population regulation (**Chapter 2**).

Using empirical methods, I show that the strength of density feedback increases with the pace of

species' life histories (**Chapter 3**), yet is only negligibly correlated with coarse climatic gradients (**Chapter 4**). These results suggest that broad life-history information can assist management and conservation actions when detailed demographic data are unavailable; and that many demographic processes might operate at spatial scales specific to populations, not species.

Subsequently, I provide the first empirical cross-taxa demonstration that density effects on single fertility/survival rates (components) have weak association with feedback at the population level —a phenomenon I call 'ensemble' density feedback (**Chapter 5**). The major implication is that population processes can buffer variation in demographic rates, and management/conservation can be misled when based only on component density feedbacks.

In my corollary discussion (**Chapter 6**), I advocate for phenomenological models to characterise long-term population trends, argue that better integration of temporal and spatial demography could circumvent ongoing semantic conundrums, and highlight the need for a code of ecological nomenclature. Stronger emphasis on the comprehension, mathematical description and application of density feedback through ecological disciplines, from students to seasoned academics, is absolutely necessary for ecology to become one of the most influential branches of modern science, a tool of knowledge for improving societal and environmental well-being.

Statement of originality

# STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

---

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 168. I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Salvador Herrando-Pérez

Adelaide, 10 June 2012

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

---

## I DEDICATE MY PhD TO:

**Alicia Pérez Edo, my mother, and Salvador Herrando Cabedo, my father.** Over the years, mum and dad managed to bridge the generation gap in the perception that research work follows an unstructured use of time, and yet it is a genuine way of making a living. They have been an inspiration for me to be passionate about what truly matters in life.

**Clara Inia Herrando Andrés, my daughter.** Clara had to see her *Papi* leave their home country for five years. Notwithstanding our separation and harsh external circumstances, our bond has developed and matured. I look forward to our reunion, the end of our financial constraints, and an exciting future of shared experiences.

**Corey Bradshaw, my principal supervisor.** Corey backed me to win an international scholarship, thus overcoming my previous decade of endeavours to realise a PhD. Through my study, he has provided guidance and unconditional support on academic or personal matters, helped me build confidence in my professional development, and facilitated the orientation of my career after my PhD. Besides being an extraordinary thinker and a “rough guy”, Corey is a gentleman, someone I can trust.

## I AM ALSO GRATEFUL TO:

**My family Alicia María Herrando Pérez, Jorge José Arrufat, Celia José Herrando, and Adrián José Herrando,** who always stood by me, no matter the distance, how or why.

**Barry Brook, my second supervisor,** who shaped the way in which I now think and write scientific information.

**Steven Delean, my third supervisor,** who fuelled my learning of statistical programming and modelling — one of my main PhD achievements.

**Jane Copeland, Richard Russell, Greg Smith, and Patricia Anderson,** who assisted me through many administrative, personal and financial crossroads. They are examples of world-class professionals, precious to a university community.

**Ruby Frittman and Victor Burt, Judith Giraldo, and Karen Smagala,** who made me feel part of their family homes in Australia.

**Elisabeth Pearse,** who made me feel loved.

## Acknowledgements

### THESIS CONTRIBUTORS

I appreciate the following colleagues, friends and scholars who, along with my supervisors (Corey, Barry, Steven), contributed to different sections and revisions of my thesis: Proof reading — **Alan Berryman, Clive McMahon, Kirk Winemiller, Luděk Berec, Michael Murphy, Nerissa Haby, Norman Owen-Smith, Per Lundberg, Rob Freckleton, Stephen Gregory and Tim Coulson.** Pilot questionnaire survey (Chapter 1) — **Mike Kendall, Sammy de Grave and Sidney Holt.** Questionnaire respondents (Chapter 1; acknowledged in Appendix A2; Table 19). Manuscript endorsement: **Lord Robert May** (Chapter 2). Data contributors (Chapters 4 and 5; acknowledged in Appendix A6, Table 31). Thesis examiners: **Alexandre Millon and Mauricio Lima.**

### GLOBAL ECOLOGY LAB

Being part of a research group channelled my professional and social integration in the University of Adelaide, and Australia as a whole. I list here the names of past and present members so that their identities are permanently linked to this thesis: **Alex Swanson, Ana Martins Sequeira, Barry Brook, Bert Harris, Camille Mellin, Corey Bradshaw, Damien Fordham, Dandong Zheng, David Turner, Donna Harris, Elisabeth Smee, Francis Clark, Graeme Hastwell, Guangfang Su, Hang Dao, Jetzabel Gross, Lee Heard, Lochran Traill, Manzur Ashraf, Michael Stead, Michael Watts, Nathan Clisby, Nerissa Haby, Phill Cassey, Sanghyun Hong, Siobhan de Little, Stephen Gregory, Steven Delean, Thomas Prowse, Thomas Wanger and Xingli Giam.** I appreciate the friendly, supportive communication I had with Ana, Jetzabel, Lochran, Nerissa and Stephen.

### RESOURCES

Bibliography might be taken as a given but constitutes the very skeleton of research work. After conducting research and lecturing in developed and developing countries with limited resources, my access to the outstanding resources of the **Barr Smith Library** (connected to all University of Adelaide libraries) felt like living in a paradise of information — three mouse clicks to get a paper online from *all* key journals in my field, and an impressive collection of books spanning back the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries, including *all* the earliest, major ecological works by Elton, Fisher and the like. I congratulate the University of Adelaide for investing in such a vast and comprehensive bank of literature.

### FINANCES

I appreciate vital funding from the **Australian Research Council, Charles Darwin University, The University of Adelaide, Walter and Dorothy Duncan Trust, my friend Ismael Forcada Bagant and my parents.** Their inputs constituted my sole source of income

that I needed to cover subsistence costs, a family pension, and two annual trips to my home country to be with my loved ones. I strongly encourage those managing the living-expenses stipend linked to International Postgraduate Research Scholarships in Australia (currently ~AU\$450 per week) to seriously consider increasing this value to a decent figure. Additional support would benefit the well-being of international postgraduates and help them realise their academic excellence. On the contrary, recent regulation expanding quotas of paid work for international postgraduate students further threatens full dedication to our professional priority during our period of training: our Masters and PhDs.

### **ACCOMMODATION**

The financial difficulty that I have experienced during the course of my PhD forced me into a nomadic lifestyle, following short-term rentals and house-sitting in numerous suburbs as follows: [Northern Territory] North Flinders International House, Wanguri, Nightcliff; [South Australia] Plympton, Unley, North Adelaide, Saint Peters, Adelaide (South West), Mile End, West Lakes, Wayville, Stirling, Adelaide (South), Urrbrae, Adelaide (South East), Mansfield Park, Modbury, Largs Bay, Waterfall Gully, Coromandel Valley, Glandore, Bellevue Heights, Athelston, and Ashton. Along the way, I am fondly grateful to those who generously offered their homes at no cost, namely: **Clive McMahon, Derek Hamer, Donna Harris and Stephen Gregory, Judith Giraldo, Karah Wertz and Corey Bradshaw, Karen Smagala, Karen Wood and Brian Rayner, Lochran Traill, Nerissa Haby, Nunung Lehmann, Phill Cassey, Rubby Frittman and Victor Burt, and Rosa Ana Jaco.**

### **PEOPLE**

One travels to a new country and gets attached to people in many different ways, so my last acknowledgment goes to those with whom I spent rewarding moments outside academia (some have already been acknowledged above): **Aixa Rivera, Andrew Barker, Claire Webb, Hugo Salcedo, Jennifer Mackay, João Monteiro, Mohamed Elgendi, and especially Pablo Elizondo and Pilar Preciado.**

# FOREWORD

---

*“At school he [Albert Einstein] was bored, intimidated, shy, and withdrawn...”*

*The science class you wish you ever had (Brody & Brody 1998)*

I have bolstered my research skills through a PhD with a strong mathematical component, and the challenge has been of such magnitude that I feel compelled to reflect on how I managed to succeed.

From the time I did my undergraduate degree in Spain in the 1980s, universities have transformed into a form of business enterprise, where money is attracted not only through student recruitment, but also by benchmarking other universities, nationally and globally. In the growth of such capitalisation of education, I would like to think that one day someone will forge an indicator to measure the extent to which the delivery of education unleashes (or constraints) creativity, and this indicator become a universal beacon of education quality.

*Standards* come to mind. It is appalling that, since their earliest training, students are encouraged into a learning method that encourages storing information, and their academic merit is scored on how well they regurgitate that information in an exam. More than a century ago, Chamberlain (1890) made the cogent distinction between an ‘acquisitive study’ “...to follow by close imitation the processes of previous thinkers, or to acquire by memorising the results of their investigations”; and a ‘creative study’ “...to discover new truth, or to make new combinations of truth, or at least to develop an individualised aggregation of truth. The endeavour is to think for one’s self, whether the thinking lies wholly in the fields of previous thought or not”. The acquisitive style of education pervades primary, secondary, and tertiary education — I have seen or experienced it in Australia, Colombia, England, Mexico, Peru and Spain. Along the way, teachers and venues change, but students keep memorising theoretical content that will sooner or later fall into oblivion, with no benefit to individuals or society: a colossal waste of resources! This vicious machinery is sustained by reward and punishment in convoluted manners, denouncing the pedagogical void to inspire creative thinking. For instance, one of my primary-school teachers had boys seated in class by a ‘ranking of intelligence’. So one could lose the first seat if the classmate in the second seat answered a question correctly, which the up-to-then ‘most intelligent’ had failed to hit. The competition to occupy the first seat and shame to sit in the last one are metaphors for our atrocious modern societies and academic institutions. How many Beethovens, Christies, Einsteins or Van Goghs

(all documented dyslexic geniuses) might have been mocked and ruined in the rear seats of their classes.

One aftermath of the acquisitive style of education within the biological sciences relates to statistics. Numerical skills, to measure quantities and their uncertainty, have become the cornerstone of modern science. And statistics are intimately linked to creativity because analysing data is one of the core activities to think, construct, evidence and communicate new ideas. Regrettably, mathematical training is in a precarious state in our field, due to a disconnection between the quantitative nature of ecology and the skills of mentors and students (Ellison & Dennis 2010). Johnson *et al.* (2001) have eloquently argued that ‘wildlifers’ who either lack or master statistical knowledge always walk on safe ground; because the former rely on experts to do their analyses, whilst the latter work out their numerical riddles by themselves (**Figure 1**). In contrast, the vast majority of scholars, across all levels of expertise, dwells in a statistical limbo prone to ill application, inference and/or reporting. Poor statistical training explains why most biologists that reach a postgraduate level are faced by a stunning contradiction: **Their hosting institutions expect them to have a solid mathematical background which, nonetheless, they most often never received.** I say ‘expect’ because, upon postgraduate enrolment, no procedure is generally in place to directly gauge an individual’s practical skills with the methodological requirements of their intended projects.

---

**Figure 1.** Relationship between how well biologists use statistical methods (performance) relative to how much statistical expertise they have (knowledge). Performance is understood as one minus the probability of making a fatal statistical mistake (modified from Johnson *et al.* 2001).

---

NOTE:

This figure/table/image has been removed  
to comply with copyright regulations.  
It is included in the print copy of the thesis  
held by the University of Adelaide Library.

## Foreword

When the postgraduate researcher has had little statistical training, his/her study is doomed to drift into a do-it-yourself crusade. Some postgraduates will pretend to oversee their statistical weakness until they have collected their very final datum before entering into a state of acute concern when the time has come for wrestling with understanding and analysing their data. For others (I am one of them), such concern is chronic and they combat it by allocating resources and time to fill their statistical gap (e.g., courses, books), which can only ever be filled minimally given the demanding workload of a Masters or PhD. Fortunately, many postgraduates will survive the malady of numbers and get their degrees, although their struggle will simply perpetuate the demise of numerical training through the chain of education providers. I think that **a student can be intellectually dull or brilliant but, if he/she works hard, an adequate provision of statistical training and mentorship is the sole responsibility of the education providers.** Universities could have stringent criteria to accept only students with top statistical proficiency — but, as mentioned before, there would be too few who fitted the bill. The alternative, and most humane option, is *simply* delivering the training that is missing through the entire process of education. Excellent universities and excellent schools can only provide the best of their societal services, not in isolation but as parts of an excellent holistic education; that is a system that integrates all the phases of academic formation since the child sees a number or a letter for the first time until the adult might become a Nobel laureate.

As I finalise this foreword, my mind pounds ‘science is a point of view’, so we are not born with it and it requires dedicated learning... and teaching.

Salvador Herrando-Pérez  
Mawson Building, room G39