

09ENS
Y25



Research Thesis

Real Options Valuation for Petroleum Investments

by

Yanhua, Yao

Australian School of Petroleum

Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences

University of Adelaide

for Master Degree of Petroleum Engineering Science

June 2006

Table of Contents

Declaration	3
Acknowledgements	4
Table Of Contents	6
Figures	11
Tables	13
Notations	15
Abstract	17
Chapter 1 Introduction	19
1.1 Research Background	19
1.2 The Problem	20
1.3 The Structure of the Thesis	22
Chapter 2 Investments in the Petroleum Industry	25
2.1 Uncertainties in the E&P industry	25
2.2 Risks in the E&P industry	28
2.3 Flexibilities and Options in E&P Projects	30
2.3.2 Options in E & P Projects	32
2.3.3 Evaluating Flexibility	33
Chapter 3 Background for Real Options Valuation	35
3.1 Introduction	35
3.2 Basic Concepts about Real Options	35
3.2.1 Definition of Real Options	35
3.2.2 Real Options Categories	37
3.3 Project Valuation and Valuation Errors	38
3.4 A Review of Traditional Project Valuation Methods	40
3.4.1 Traditional DCF Method	41
3.4.2 Limitations— A valuation system error rather than a model error	42
3.5 Previous Studies of Real Options Valuation	44
3.6 Real Options Valuation and Decision-making	46
3.7 Real Options Theory	47

3.7.1	Financial Options	47
3.7.1.1	An Introduction to Financial Options	47
3.7.1.2	Option Monetary Value	48
3.7.2	Option Pricing Models	49
3.7.2.1	The Binomial Tree Options Model	50
3.7.2.2	The Black-Scholes European Call Option Model	52
3.7.3	Differentiating Risk Definitions Between Finance and Decision Analysis	53
3.7.4	Differences Between Real Options and Financial Options	54
3.7.5	Flexibility in Real Assets	56
3.8	Modelling Uncertainty in Stochastic Processes	58
3.8.1	Geometric Brownian Motion	59
3.8.2	A Popular Oil Pricing Model – The Mean Reverting Model	60
3.9	Using Monte Carlo Simulation to Determine the Project Volatility	61
3.10	Convenience Yield	62
3.10.1	Definition of Convenience Yield	62
3.10.2	Convenience Yield and Oil price	63
3.10.3	Convenience Yield and Project Cash Flows	64
3.10.4	Convenience Yield and Storage Costs	64
3.10.5	Convenience Yield in Real Options Valuation	65
3.10.6	A Model of Convenience Yield	66
3.11	Risk Neutral Probabilities	69
3.12	Value of Information	70
Chapter 4	Real Options Approaches	71
4.1	Introduction	71
4.1.1	Various Real Options Approaches	71
4.1.2	Introduction to the Borison (2003) Paper	72
4.1.2.1	Real options approaches described in this paper	72
4.1.2.2	Reasons for various real options approaches	72
4.1.3	Other approaches	73
4.2	The Classic Approach	74
4.2.1	Assumptions (no arbitrage, market data)	74
4.2.2	Mechanism	75

- 4.2.2.1 Petroleum Reserves Market Equilibrium 75
- 4.2.2.2 Important Inputs 75
- 4.2.2.3 Developed Reserve Value 75
- 4.2.2.4 Variance 76
- 4.2.2.5 Expected Exploration and Development Costs 76
- 4.2.2.6 The model to Value Real Options 76
- 4.2.3 Conclusion 77

4.3 The Subjective Approach 78

- 4.3.1 Assumptions (no arbitrage, subjective data) 78
- 4.3.2 Mechanism 79
 - 4.3.2.1 Project Value 79
 - 4.3.2.2 Inputs 79
 - 4.3.2.3 Project Return Volatility 80
 - 4.3.2.4 Evaluating Value of Flexibility 80
- 4.3.3 Conclusion 81

4.4 Market Asset Disclaimer (MAD) 83

- 4.4.1 Assumptions 83
- 4.4.2 Four Steps in the Market Asset Disclaimer Approach 84
 - 4.4.2.1 Build Up a Present Value Spreadsheet 84
 - 4.4.2.2 Define Uncertainty Variables 85
 - 4.4.2.3 Binomial Tree 87
 - 4.4.2.3.1 Up and Down Factor 87
 - 4.4.2.3.2 Risk Neutral Probabilities [p, 1-p] 87
 - 4.4.2.4 Evolution of Project Value and Net Option Value 87
- 4.4.3 Conclusion 89

4.5 The Smith Approach 90

- 4.5.1 Assumptions (two types of risks) 90
- 4.5.2 Integration of Decision Analysis and Option Price Techniques 91
- 4.5.3 Three Steps in the Smith Approach 92
 - 4.5.3.1 The Investment Problem in the Incomplete Market 92
 - 4.5.3.2 A Financing Problem in the Complete Market 92
 - 4.5.3.3 Choosing Branch with the Maximum Value 93
- 4.5.4 Price and Production Models 93

4.5.5 Conclusion 94

4.6. The Luenberger Approach 96

4.6.1 Assumptions (Dual decision trees) 96

4.6.2 The Mechanism 96

4.6.2.1 Private uncertainty 97

4.6.2.2 Market Uncertainty 97

4.6.2.3 Project Value Model 97

4.6.2.4 Time Discrete Decision Tree 98

4.6.3 Conclusion 98

Chapter 5 Case Studies 99

5.1 Case 1: Reservoir Management Project 99

5.1.1 Introduction 99

5.1.2 Project Background 100

5.1.3 Reservoir Management Program 104

5.1.4 Identification of the Option: 104

5.1.5 Uncertainty in the Project 105

5.1.5.1 Gas Price Uncertainty 105

5.1.5.2 Technical Uncertainty 107

5.1.6 Risk and Uncertainty Analysis with the DCF Valuation 113

5.1.7 Real Options Valuation Analysis—Valuing Flexibility 115

5.1.8 Case Solution and Comparison of the 5 Real Options Approaches 117

5.1.9 Real Investment Result 118

5.1.10 Valuation Errors in the Traditional DCF Method 118

5.1.11 Technical Uncertainty and Value of Information 118

5.2 Liquid Gas Distribution Project 119

5.2.1 Case 2 Description 119

5.2.1.1 Project Background 119

5.2.1.2 Strategy 1: Three-phase Liquid Gas Project 120

5.2.1.3 Strategy 2: Two-phase Liquid Gas Project 124

5.2.2 Case 2 Decision Tree 125

5.2.3 Parameters and Variables of the Project 127

5.2.4 Identification of Real Options 128

5.2.5 Risk and Uncertainty in the Project	129
5.2.5.1 World Oil Price Uncertainty	130
5.2.5.2 Costs Uncertainty and Gas Sale Price Uncertainty	133
5.2.5.3 Sales Volume Uncertainty	133
5.2.6 Risk and Uncertainty Analysis Using the Traditional DCF Method	134
5.2.7 Real Option Valuation – Valuing Flexibility	137
5.2.8 Case Solutions and Comparisons for Five Real Options Approaches	139
5.2.8.1 The Classical Approach	139
5.2.8.2 The Subjective Approach	140
5.2.8.3 The MAD Approach	141
5.2.8.4 The Smith Approach	142
5.2.8.5 The Luenberger Approach	144
5.2.9 Summary	146
Chapter 6: Conclusion and Recommendation	148
6.1 Conclusion	148
6.2 Recommendation	150
References	152
Appendix A: Fetkovich Decline Curve Analysis Features	160
Appendix B: Li-Horne Model Decline Analysis Models for Production Forecasting	163
Appendix C: Real Option Equations for Case 1	165
C-3 The Classical Approach	171
C-4 The Subjective Approach	173
C-5 The Market Asset Disclaimer	175
C-6 The Smith Approach	178
C-7 The Luenberger Approach	181

Abstract

In many instances, oil companies struggle with decisions pertaining to petroleum investment. The difficulty partially stems from the uncertainties in many of the inherent variables. Furthermore, conventional investment methods often fail to properly identify available opportunities.

As commonly acknowledged, traditional valuation methods such as Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) and Net Present Value (NPV) analyses are unable to properly portray investment opportunities. Due to large uncertainties and hence risk in Petroleum Exploration and Production (E & P), investors are gradually turning to a more dynamic approach to investment decisions.

Real Options Valuation involves a methodology for evaluating the value of an opportunity, leading to a strategic decision in an uncertain environment. Based on academic research in finance and business management, Real Options Valuation may be extended from option-pricing tools of the finance sector to that of evaluating E & P projects. In other words, although Real Options thinking has been widely accepted and used in some cases, the wider use of the Real Options approach is still a “hot” debate in the petroleum industry.

A permissible definition of “Real Options” may lead to inconsistencies among Real Options approaches. As such, Real Options may be defined as a company having a right, not an obligation, to invest in a future opportunity. The opportunity may involve technical aspects or may be purely commercial in nature. In all cases a quantitative approach is required. In the work by Borison (2003) and Bratvold et al (2005), the authors have listed five Real Option methodologies: the Classic approach, the Subjective approach, the Market Disclaimer approach

(MAD), the Smith approach, and the Luenberger approach. A comparative analysis of these Real Option approaches is presented in this thesis.

In comparing the above-mentioned Real Options approaches, it is apparent that two types of uncertainties may be considered: technical and market. In the study presented, two petroleum cases are considered: a technical uncertainty dominated case and a market uncertainty dominated case. The technical uncertainty dominated case is related to reservoir management. The market uncertainty dominated case involves a Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) distribution project. The case studies presented demonstrate the functionality of the five Real Options approaches.

This research is multi-disciplinary in nature, integrating the finance option theory with petroleum engineering projects, as well as project management. As such, it is shown that the petroleum industry could benefit from using Real Options Valuation in their investment strategy, thus improving petroleum business performance.