

Cell Biology of the Interaction
between
Listeria monocytogenes
and *Colpoda* spp.

Rethish Raghu Nadhanan, B.Sc. (Hons) (Adelaide)

A thesis submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy
School of Molecular and Biomedical Science
Faculty of Sciences,
The University of Adelaide
Adelaide, South Australia, Australia

(December, 2012)

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Literature Review	1
1.1 Introduction to <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	1
1.2 Listeriosis.....	2
1.2.1 Listeriosis in Humans.....	3
1.2.2 Listeriosis in Animals.....	4
1.3 Pathophysiology of <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	5
1.3.1 Virulence Factors of <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	5
1.3.2 Invasion of Mammalian Cells by <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	7
1.4 Is there an Environmental Reservoir for <i>L. monocytogenes</i> ?	7
1.5 Interactions between Bacteria and Protozoa	8
1.6 Protozoa as Model Organisms for Study of Pathogenesis	10
1.7 Interactions between Pathogenic Bacteria and Protozoa	11
1.7.1 Interactions between Gram negative Pathogens and Protozoa.....	12
1.7.2 Interactions between Gram positive Pathogens and Protozoa	19
1.8 Summary	23
1.9 Hypotheses and Aims	24
1.9.1 Hypothesis 1	24
1.9.2 Hypothesis 2.....	25
1.9.3 Hypothesis 3.....	25
1.10 References.....	26
Chapter 2: Co-culture of <i>Colpoda</i> spp. with planktonic and biofilm bacteria	44
2.1 Abstract.....	44
2.2 Introduction.....	45
2.3 Materials and Methods.....	48
2.3.1 Ciliates and Culture Conditions	48
2.3.2 Isolation of <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5 from a Food processing Environment	48
2.3.3 Bacteria and Culture Conditions	50
2.3.4 Co-culture of <i>Colpoda</i> and Bacteria in Liquid Medium	51
2.3.5 Phagocytosis inhibition assay.....	51

2.3.6 Biofilm Grazing Experiments	52
2.3.7 Haemolysis assay	53
2.3.8 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	53
2.3.9 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).....	53
2.3.10 Statistical analysis	54
2.4 Results.....	54
2.4.1 Isolation and identification of a ciliate isolate from a food processing environment	54
2.4.2 Co-culture of <i>Colpoda</i> RR and MLS-5 with bacteria	56
2.4.3 Inhibition of <i>Colpoda</i> Phagocytosis prevents Uptake of Bacterial Cells.....	58
2.4.4 TEM of Co-cultures of <i>Colpoda</i> RR and MLS-5 with Bacteria.	59
2.4.5 Co-culture of <i>Colpoda</i> RR and activated charcoal-cultured <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8.....	61
2.4.6 Survival of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 within <i>Colpoda</i> RR Cysts.....	62
2.4.7 Biofilm grazing by <i>Colpoda</i> RR and MLS-5	63
2.5 Discussion.....	66
2.5.1 <i>Colpoda</i> kill Intra-ciliate <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	68
2.5.2 Differential processing of Gram positive and Gram negative Cells	73
2.5.3 <i>L. monocytogenes</i> Cells associated with <i>Colpoda</i> Cysts.....	74
2.5.4 Interaction of <i>Colpoda</i> with Biofilm Cultures of <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	75
2.5.5 Conclusions	76
2.6 Acknowledgements.....	77
2.7 References.....	78

Chapter 3: Host Cell Processes Required for Inactivation of *L. monocytogenes* 113

3.1 Abstract.....	113
3.2 Introduction.....	114
3.3 Experimental Procedures	115
3.3.1 Organisms and Culture Conditions	115
3.3.2 Co-culture Assays	116
3.3.3 Enumeration of Intracellular Bacteria	116

3.3.4 Inhibitors of Vacuolar Acidification, Phagosome-lysosome Fusion and Proteases.....	116
3.3.5 Fluorescence microscopy	118
3.3.6 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM).....	118
3.3.7 Statistical Analysis	118
3.4 Results.....	119
3.4.1 Co-cultures of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 and <i>Colpoda</i> RR.....	119
3.4.2 Fluorescence Microscopy of Phagosome-lysosome Fusion and Vacuolar Acidification within <i>Colpoda</i> RR	119
3.4.3 Inhibition of Phagosome-Lysosome Fusion inhibits killing of DRDC8.....	120
3.4.4 Bafilomycin and Monensin inhibit Vacuole Acidification in <i>Colpoda</i> RR	121
3.4.5 Protease Inhibitors limit killing of DRDC8 within Phagolysosomes	122
3.4.6 L-NMMA-induced inhibition of Ciliate Nitric Oxide Production	123
3.4.7 Superoxide Production by <i>Colpoda</i> RR.....	123
3.5 Discussion.....	124
3.5.1 Phagosome-lysosome Fusion mediates killing of <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	124
3.5.2 Proteases assist killing of <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	127
3.5.3 <i>L. monocytogenes</i> is insensitive to Nitric Oxide	128
3.5.4 Superoxides	129
3.5.5 Inhibitor mediated uptake of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> by <i>Colpoda</i>	130
3.5.6 Conclusions	131
3.6 References.....	133

Chapter 4: Secretion of *L. monocytogenes* within Ciliate-derived Faecal Pellets ... 151

4.1 Abstract.....	151
4.2 Introduction.....	152
4.3 Experimental Procedures	154
4.3.1 Organisms and Culture Conditions	154
4.3.2 Co-culture Assays	154
4.3.3 Biocide Treatment.....	156
4.3.4 Fluorescence Microscopy.....	156
4.3.5 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM).....	156

4.3.6 Statistical Analysis	157
4.4 Results.....	157
4.4.1 Production of Faecal Pellets by <i>Colpoda</i> ciliates.....	157
4.4.2 <i>Colpoda</i> secrete Faecal Pellets that contain <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8	158
4.4.3 Viability of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> within Faecal Pellets	159
4.4.1 <i>L. monocytogenes</i> within Faecal Pellets are protected from killing by Gentamycin and Chlorine	160
4.5 Discussion.....	161
4.6 Acknowledgments.....	166
4.7 References.....	166
Chapter 5: General Discussion	182
5.1 Significance and Future Directions.....	186
5.2 Conclusions.....	187
5.3 References.....	189
Appendices	191

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Pathophysiology of <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	41
Figure 1.2: Steps in the invasion of cells and intracellular spread by <i>L. monocytogenes</i> . ..	42
Figure 1.3: Possible outcomes in bacteria-protozoa interactions.	43
Figure 2.1: PCR amplification of DNA encoding protozoan 18S rRNA.	86
Figure 2.2: Maximum likelihood tree for <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5.....	87
Figure 2.3: Counts of viable ciliates during co-culture with <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8. ..	90
Figure 2.4: Inhibition of phagocytosis of DRDC8 and <i>B. subtilis</i> by <i>Colpoda</i> RR.	91
Figure 2.5: Inhibition of phagocytosis of <i>E. coli</i> and <i>S. Typhimurium</i> by <i>Colpoda</i> RR.....	92
Figure 2.6: Inhibition of phagocytosis of DRDC8 by <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5.....	93
Figure 2.7: Fluorescent microscopy of phagocytosis inhibition of <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5.....	94
Figure 2.8: TEM of <i>Colpoda</i> RR co-cultured with <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 or <i>S. Typhimurium</i> C5.	95
Figure 2.9: TEM of <i>Colpoda</i> RR co-cultured with <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 or <i>B. subtilis</i>	96
Figure 2.10: TEM of <i>Colpoda</i> RR co-cultured with <i>E. coli</i> DH5 α or <i>S. Typhimurium</i> C5.	97
Figure 2.11: TEM of <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5 co-cultured with <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8.	98
Figure 2.12: Listeriolysin expression by <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8.	99
Figure 2.13: Counts of intra-ciliate viable activated charcoal-cultured <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 within <i>Colpoda</i> RR.	100
Figure 2.14: TEM of <i>Colpoda</i> RR co-cultured with activated charcoal-cultured <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8.	101
Figure 2.15: Viable counts of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 in encystment medium.....	102
Figure 2.16: TEM of encysted <i>Colpoda</i> RR following co-culture with DRDC8.....	103
Figure 2.17: Biofilm biomass of different strains of bacteria at 72 h post inoculation.	104
Figure 2.18: Biofilm biomass and counts of viable <i>Colpoda</i> RR following grazing.	105
Figure 2.19: Biofilm biomass and counts of <i>Colpoda</i> RR grazing on bacterial biofilms.	106
Figure 2.20: Biofilm biomass and counts of <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5 grazing on bacterial biofilms.	107
Figure 2.21: Biofilm biomass and counts of viable <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5 following grazing..	108
Figure 2.22: Growth of <i>Colpoda</i> RR and MLS-5 on biofilms of DRDC8.....	109

Figure 2.23: SEM of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 biofilm.	110
Figure 2.24: Effect of DNase I on <i>L. monocytogenes</i> biofilm biomass.....	111
Figure 2.25: Toxicity of biofilm supernatants for <i>Colpoda</i> ciliates.	112
Figure 3.1: TEM of <i>Colpoda</i> RR co-cultured with <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 at 25°C. .	141
Figure 3.2: Phagosome-lysosome fusion and vacuolar acidification within <i>Colpoda</i> RR.	142
Figure 3.3: Phagosome-lysosome fusion within <i>Colpoda</i> RR.	143
Figure 3.4: NH ₄ Cl inhibits phagosome-lysosome fusion of <i>Colpoda</i> RR.....	144
Figure 3.5: Bafilomycin A1 inhibits vacuolar acidification in <i>Colpoda</i> RR.....	145
Figure 3.6: Monensin inhibits vacuolar acidification of <i>Colpoda</i> RR.	146
Figure 3.7: Protease inhibitors affect survival of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 within <i>Colpoda</i> RR.	147
Figure 3.8: L-NMMA inhibition of NO production by <i>Colpoda</i> RR.....	149
Figure 3.9: Intracellular superoxide production by <i>Colpoda</i> RR.	150
Figure 4.1: TEM of a faecal pellet secreted by <i>Colpoda</i> RR co-cultured with <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8.	170
Figure 4.2: TEM of a faecal pellet secreted by <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5 co-cultured with <i>L.</i> <i>monocytogenes</i> DRDC8.....	171
Figure 4.3: Faecal pellets from co-culture of <i>Colpoda</i> RR with <i>S. Typhimurium</i> C5.	172
Figure 4.4: Microscopy of GFP-expressing <i>L. monocytogenes</i> ADGA cells within faecal pellets secreted by <i>Colpoda</i> RR.....	173
Figure 4.5: Counts of <i>Colpoda</i> RR, faecal pellets and pellet-located bacteria.....	174
Figure 4.6: Counts of <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5, faecal pellets and pellet-located bacteria.	175
Figure 4.7: Estimates of bacterial cells per faecal pellet secreted by <i>Colpoda</i> RR and MLS-5.....	176
Figure 4.8: Viability of bacterial cells within secreted faecal pellets.....	177
Figure 4.9: XTT assay of faecal pellets expelled from <i>Colpoda</i> RR.	178
Figure 4.10: XTT assay of faecal pellets expelled from <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5.	179

List of Tables

Table 1.1 Pathogenic human bacterial species associated with free-living protozoa.....	40
Table 2.1: Growth of <i>Colpoda</i> RR in co-culture with different bacteria.	88
Table 2.2: Growth of <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5 in co-cultures at 25°C with different bacteria	89
Table 3.1: Nitrite production by <i>Colpoda</i> RR	148
Table 4.1: Resistance of <i>Colpoda</i> RR pellet-located <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 to treatment with gentamycin and NaOCl.	180
Table 4.2: Resistance of <i>Colpoda</i> MLS-5 pellet-located <i>L. monocytogenes</i> DRDC8 to treatment with gentamycin and NaOCl.	181

Abstract

Intracellular bacterial pathogens, such as *Listeria monocytogenes*, survive and multiply within mammalian cells. However, interaction with protozoans in the external environment may protect this pathogen from harsh conditions. In particular, if *L. monocytogenes* is able to survive within these protozoans, these cellular hosts may act as a vehicle that links contamination of food processing environments to contamination of foods. Though *Colpoda* ciliates are a very common type of protozoa, current knowledge on the interaction between intracellular bacterial pathogens and *Colpoda* at cellular level is limited. The interaction of *L. monocytogenes* and *Colpoda* ciliates was investigated in the present study.

Co-cultures of planktonic and biofilm *L. monocytogenes* DRDC8 with *Colpoda* sp. strains RR (isolate of natural environment) and strain MLS-5 (isolate of food processing environment) at 25°C were used to examine this interaction. Bacteriological counts and microscopy (fluorescence and transmission electron microscopy (TEM)) were used to track the fate of internalized *L. monocytogenes* within the ciliates. TEA chloride was used to inhibit phagocytosis to determine if *L. monocytogenes* induce its own uptake into *Colpoda*. Grazing of *Colpoda* on *L. monocytogenes* biofilms and changes in biofilm structures were evaluated by crystal violet assay and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Mechanisms utilized by *Colpoda* RR in killing and degradation of DRDC8 were investigated by using chemical inhibitors of phagosome-lysosome fusion (NH₄Cl), vacuolar acidification (bafilomycin A1 and monensin), proteases (protease inhibitor cocktail) and nitric oxide (L-NMMA). In addition, the ability of *Colpoda* to secrete faecal pellets containing bacteria following co-cultures with DRDC8 was examined.

Co-culture of DRDC8 with *Colpoda* RR and MLS-5 provided direct evidence that these ciliates were able to actively phagocytose and kill planktonic and biofilm forms of DRDC8. *L. monocytogenes* was unable to initiate its own uptake into either *Colpoda* RR or MLS-5 and the level of expression of Listeriolysin O did not influence the outcome of co-culture.. The increase in viable counts of *Colpoda* following feeding with DRDC8 together with a concomitant reduction in viable counts of intra-ciliate DRDC8 within a 4 h period, indicated *Colpoda* used *L. monocytogenes* as a food source. This was confirmed by observations that internalized DRDC8 were confined within tight vacuoles the presence of

large food vacuoles containing many electron-dense bacteria-sized particulates within *Colpoda* cytosol. *Colpoda* RR also effectively phagocytosed and degraded *S. Typhimurium* C5, as well as several non-pathogenic bacteria such as *B. subtilis* and *E. coli* DH5 α .

An important and novel outcome was the observation that induction of encystment of DRDC8-fed *Colpoda* RR, lead to the entrapment of bacterial cells within cyst outer walls and the cytosol. Furthermore, co-cultures of DRDC8 with *Colpoda* RR and MLS-5 resulted in the secretion of faecal pellets containing intact, viable and respiring DRDC8 cells. Bacteriological counts confirmed that faecal pellet-located DRDC8 were resistant to concentrations of gentamycin (up to 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and sodium hypochlorite (up to 10%), that were well above concentrations that are otherwise lethal to suspensions of DRDC8.

Fluorescence microscopy of acidotrophic stains LysosensorTM Blue DND 167 and acridine orange treated *Colpoda* cells showed lysosomes fused with DRDC8-containing vacuoles within *Colpoda* RR. Following treatment of co-cultures with NH₄Cl, bafilomycin A1 and protease inhibitor cocktail, viable intra-ciliate bacterial counts and TEM showed evidence of survival without replication of DRDC8 within inhibitor-treated *Colpoda* RR for up to at 24 h post feeding. These outcomes indicated that *Colpoda* RR employs phagosome-lysosome fusion, vacuole acidification and proteases as mechanisms to kill intra-ciliate *L. monocytogenes*. However, nitric oxide was not involved in the killing of DRDC8 within *Colpoda*.

Both *Colpoda* strains used, actively phagocytosed and killed *L. monocytogenes*. *L. monocytogenes* were unable to escape the ciliate phagocytic vacuole and establish an intracellular lifestyle within *Colpoda*. This conclusion is in stark contrast to observations of the fate of *L. monocytogenes* cells within mammalian cells in which these bacteria escape into the cytosol in a Listeriolysin O dependent manner and then spread from cell to cell. However, the release of *Colpoda*-derived faecal pellets containing viable *L. monocytogenes*, indicated that these encapsulated forms of bacteria may provide a reservoir and a mechanism for transmission of pathogens. Considering that a faecal pellet-location endows bacteria with resistance to disinfectants and cleaning agents used in food manufacturing and preparation facilities, this may explain why *L. monocytogenes* is difficult to eradicate from food processing facilities.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Rethish Raghu Nadhanan and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Rethish Raghu Nadhanan

Thursday, December 6, 2012

Abbreviations

A ₅₇₀	absorbance at 570 nm
bp	base pairs
°C	degrees Celsius
CFU	colony forming units
d	days
DMSO	dimethylsulphoxide
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
eDNA	extracellular DNA
g	relative centrifugal force
g L ⁻¹	grams per litre
h	hour/s
Kb	kilobase/s
kg	kilogram/s
L	litre/s
LB	Luria Bertani broth
LEM	Listeria Enrichment Medium
L-NMMA	N(G)-monomethyl-L-arginine
M	molar
µg	microgram/s
µL	microlitre/s
mg	milligram/s
min	minute/s
mL	millilitre/s
mM	millimolar
moi	multiplicity of infection
MQ	Milli-Q water
NaOCl	sodium hypochlorite
NBT	nitro blue tetrazolium
OD	optical density

O/N	overnight
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
RT	room temperature
SEM	scanning electron microscopy / micrograph
sp. / spp.	species
TAE	tris-acetate EDTA buffer
TEA chloride	tetraethylammonium chloride
TEM	transmission electron microscopy / micrograph
TTSS	type three secretion system
vol	volume/s
v/v	volume per volume
w/v	weight per volume
XTT	2,3-Bis-(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium-5-carboxanilide

Acknowledgements

Let us be grateful to people who make us happy; they are the charming gardeners who make our souls blossom.

Marcel Proust

Praise the bridge that carried you over.

George Colman

First and foremost, I would like to thank my parents and twin sister Rethi for their infinite and unconditional care and support. This entire work is for them.

I am truly indebted and thankful to my supervisor, Dr. Connor Thomas for the opportunity he has provided me throughout my study. His supervision, excellent guidance, encouragement, patience, great help and support have been really appreciated.

I also thank my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Renato Morona for his helpful advice and support throughout my study.

I owe sincere and earnest thanks to Lyn Waterhouse and Ruth-Ellen Williams of Adelaide Microscopy for their immense patience and great assistance in helping me produce excellent electron micrographs for this study.

I also wish to greatly thank past and present members of Thomas lab whom I have worked with throughout the period of my study, in particular, Shih-Hsun, Caroline Yeoh and Ann Le. It has been a great pleasure sharing this experience with the three of you. Your company, advice, support and friendship will be remembered always.

Also thanks to my friend Julien for his technical expertise and encouragements.

Big thanks to Resh Singh (Dent lab), Rachelle Babb, Jennifer Chan and Josyane Lau (Al Sharifi lab) for your great company and friendship. Life in the lab has been very much more fun with the four of you around.

I also would like to express my gratitude to Garry Penney, Anita Lapina and members of Morona lab (Mabel Lum and Min Yan) for their help (technical or otherwise), and Dr. Lindsay Dent, Dr. Stephen Kidd, Prof. Shaun McColl (Postgraduate Coordinator) and Jennifer Peters for their help and advice. I also thank Dr. Claudia Trapetti (Paton lab) for advice on the biofilm experiments.

I also thank the School of Molecular and Biomedical Science for the travel award that allowed me to present my work at the 14th International Symposium on Microbial Ecology in Copenhagen, Denmark.

Finally, I would like to thank The University of Adelaide for awarding me the Adelaide Graduate Research Scholarship.

Rethish Raghu