

Throughput Management for CSMA/CA Networks: IEEE 802.11e Wireless LAN

Shafiqul Karim

*Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy*

in

Electrical and Electronic Engineering

at

The University of Adelaide

(Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences)

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering



November 12, 2012

Contents

Signed Statement	xiii
Acknowledgements	xiv
Dedication	xv
Abstract	xvi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Quality of Service (QoS)	3
1.2 Major Research Contributions	4
1.3 Thesis Structure	6
2 Background	9
2.1 IEEE 802.11: <i>WLAN</i> Architecture	9
2.2 IEEE 802.11: Physical (PHY) Layer	10
2.3 IEEE 802.11 Medium Access Control (MAC)	12
2.3.1 IEEE 802.11: Distributed Coordination Function (<i>DCF</i>)	12
2.3.2 IEEE 802.11: Point Coordination Function (<i>PCF</i>)	19
2.3.3 QoS in Legacy IEEE 802.11 MAC	22
2.4 IEEE 802.11e Medium Access Control (MAC) Quality of Service Enhance- ments	23
2.4.1 IEEE 802.11e: Hybrid Coordination Function (<i>HCF</i>)	23
2.4.2 <i>HCF</i> : Enhanced Distributed Coordination Access (<i>EDCA</i>)	24
2.4.3 <i>HCF</i> : <i>HCF</i> Controlled Channel Access (<i>HCCA</i>)	27
2.4.4 QoS in IEEE 802.11e MAC	29
2.5 Overview of Related Work	30
2.5.1 Experimental Analysis of <i>EDCA</i>	30
2.5.2 Analytical Models	31
2.5.3 Proposed <i>EDCA</i> Implementations	31
2.6 Motivation and Gap Analysis	32

3	Throughput Control	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Throughput Allocation Criterion	37
3.3	Related Work	38
3.3.1	Optimal Configuration of IEEE 802.11e EDCA	39
3.3.2	Optimal Configuration of IEEE 802.11e EDCA for Real-time and Data Traffic	40
3.3.3	<i>HARMONICA</i>	42
3.3.4	<i>Two-level Protection and Guarantee</i> Protocol	44
3.3.5	<i>Dynamic Two-level Protection and Guarantee</i> Protocol	46
3.3.6	Throughput Improvement via Collision Management	47
3.3.7	Alternative Non <i>MAC</i> Layer Approach	49
3.4	Proposed Throughput Allocation Scheme	50
3.4.1	Description of Throughput Allocation Scheme	51
3.5	Performance Evaluations	58
3.5.1	Performance Metrics	59
3.5.2	Simulation Setup	60
3.5.3	Simulation Results	61
3.6	Summary	87
4	Differentiating Uplink and Downlink	90
4.1	Introduction	90
4.2	DL and UL Throughput Anomaly	90
4.3	Extended Throughput Allocation Criterion	91
4.4	Related Work	92
4.5	Extended Throughput Allocation Scheme	96
4.6	Performance Evaluations	103
4.6.1	Performance Metrics	104
4.6.2	Simulation Setup	105
4.6.3	Simulation Results	106
4.7	Summary	128
5	Non-saturated Downlink Traffic	129
5.1	Introduction	129
5.2	Performance Criteria	130
5.3	Components Required in the Control Scheme	130
5.3.1	DL Load Classification Mechanism	131
5.3.2	Dynamic Proportion Control	131

5.3.3	Buffer Occupancy Monitor	132
5.4	Importance of the Three Necessary Components	133
5.4.1	Utilizing DL Load Classification Information	133
5.4.2	Introducing Dynamic Proportion Control	152
5.4.3	Utilizing Buffer Occupancy Level Information	157
5.5	Summary	170
6	Control of the Downlink	171
6.1	Introduction	171
6.2	Important Modifications and Enhancements	172
6.2.1	Modifications	172
6.2.2	Enhancements	178
6.3	Performance Evaluation	180
6.3.1	Performance Metrics	180
6.3.2	Simulation Setup	180
6.3.3	Simulation Results	183
6.4	Summary	196
7	Uplink Traffic Saturation Detection	197
7.1	Introduction	197
7.2	Detection Scheme Requirements	197
7.3	Available Network Statistics in the <i>AP</i>	198
7.3.1	Throughput Load Analysis in the Literature	199
7.3.2	Idle Time Analysis in the Literature	201
7.3.3	Transmission and Collision Probability Analysis in the Literature	202
7.4	Proposed UL Detection Scheme	203
7.4.1	Description	203
7.5	Comparison with Ground Truth	209
7.5.1	Description of Ground Truth Evaluation	210
7.5.2	Method for Comparison	210
7.5.3	Network Simulation Configuration	211
7.5.4	Comparison of Results	211
7.6	Summary	221
8	Control of the Uplink	222
8.1	Introduction	222
8.2	Performance Criteria	223
8.3	UL Based Control	223

8.3.1	Overall UL Traffic Load Control Classification	224
8.3.2	UL <i>AC</i> Based Traffic Load Control Classification	225
8.3.3	UL Traffic Load Stability Classification	227
8.3.4	UL Control Scheme Actions	228
8.4	Interaction with the DL Control	237
8.5	Performance Evaluation	237
8.5.1	Performance Metrics	239
8.5.2	Simulation Setup	242
8.5.3	Simulation Results	245
8.6	Summary	261
9	Performance Analysis	262
9.1	Introduction	262
9.2	Performance Evaluations	263
9.2.1	Performance Metrics	266
9.2.2	Simulation Setup	268
9.2.3	Simulation Results	269
9.3	Summary	283
10	Conclusion and Future Work	284
10.1	Summary	284
10.2	Potential Research Extensions	288
10.2.1	Active Station Information and Management	288
10.2.2	Cross Layer Interaction	289
10.2.3	Buffer Size Minimization	289
10.2.4	Practical Validation of <i>Control Scheme-3</i>	289
	Acronyms and Abbreviations	290
	Bibliography	292

List of Tables

2.4.1	Mapping between User Priority (UP) and Access Category (<i>AC</i>)	24
2.4.2	Default IEEE 802.11e <i>EDCA Parameter Set</i> values	27

3.5.1	MAC and PHY Parameters for IEEE 802.11b	61
3.5.2	Number of active stations over Time	63
3.5.3	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	67
3.5.4	Averaged Simulation Results.	68
3.5.5	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	68
3.5.6	Averaged Simulation Results.	71
3.5.7	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	71
3.5.8	<i>WLAN TCP</i> Transmission time and Throughput Information.	73
3.5.9	Averaged Simulation Results.	79
3.5.10	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	80
3.5.11	Averaged Simulation Results.	81
3.5.12	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	81
3.5.13	Averaged Simulation Results.	85
3.5.14	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	85
3.5.15	Averaged Simulation Results.	87
4.5.1	Effects on Throughput Proportion Allocation.	102
4.6.1	Average Results for Different Internal DL <i>AC1*</i> and UL <i>AC1</i> Throughput Proportion Allocations.	112
4.6.2	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	112
4.6.3	Average Results for Different DL to UL Throughput Proportion Allocations.	118
4.6.4	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	118
4.6.5	Number of active <i>AC1</i> and <i>AC0</i> Flows over Time.	119
4.6.6	Average Results for Various Throughput Proportion Allocations.	123
4.6.7	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	123
4.6.8	Average Result for [50;50;50] Throughput Proportion Allocation.	127
4.6.9	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	127
6.3.1	Average Results for Various Throughput Proportion Allocations.	195
6.3.2	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	195
7.5.1	Fixed <i>CW</i> Parameter Set Configuration.	211
8.3.1	Overall UL Based Control - Conditions for Ignoring a Recorded <i>DL_Assist</i>	231
8.3.2	Internal UL Based Control - Conditions for Favouring UL <i>AC1</i> or <i>AC0</i> .	233
8.3.3	Internal UL Based Control - Overwrite Operations, when the UL traffic load stability classification reports <i>Control_Target</i> or <i>Unstable1</i>	234
8.3.4	Overall UL Based Control - Required Observations	235
8.4.1	DL Based Control - Specified Actions.	239

8.4.2	UL Based Control - Specified Actions.	240
8.4.3	Combined DL and UL Based Control - Specified Actions.	241
8.5.1	UL Classifications Numbering Scheme	246
8.5.2	Average Results for Various Throughput Proportion Allocations.	260
8.5.3	Excursion Performance Metrics and Model Throughput Comparison.	260
9.2.1	DL and UL Traffic Load Combination	263
9.2.2	Simulation Specifications	267
9.2.3	[20,80,80] - Configured DL and UL Traffic Load Combination and Ex- pected Proportion Allocations	269
9.2.4	[50,80,80] - Configured DL and UL Traffic Load Combination and Ex- pected Proportion Allocations	270
9.2.5	[80,80,80] - Configured DL and UL Traffic Load Combination and Ex- pected Proportion Allocations	270
9.2.6	Simulation Based Configured DL and UL Traffic Load Combination and Expected Proportion Allocations	271
9.2.7	Control Scheme - Performance Metric Results	281
9.2.8	Reference <i>EDCA</i> Implementation - Performance Metric Results	282

List of Figures

2.3.1	<i>DCF</i> with Basic CSMA/CA	14
2.3.2	<i>DCF</i> Fragmentation Burst Sequence	16
2.3.3	The Hidden Node Problem	17
2.3.4	RTS and CTS Frame Simplified Exchange Process	17
2.3.5	<i>DCF</i> CSMA/CA using RTS/CTS	18
2.3.6	RTS/CTS Fragmentation Burst Sequence	19
2.3.7	Data Transmission Process using <i>PCF</i>	20
2.3.8	CFP <i>foreshortened</i>	21
2.4.1	<i>EDCA</i> Implementation Diagram	25
2.4.2	<i>HCCA</i> Beacon Interval	28
3.4.1	Relationship between ECW_{min1} and ECW_{min0}	56
3.5.1	Network Topology.	62
3.5.2	Throughput Performance - (A) Integer based <i>optimal</i> configuration.	64

3.5.3	Throughput Performance - (B) Exponential value based <i>optimal</i> configuration.	65
3.5.4	Throughput Performance - (C) Proposed allocation scheme configuration.	66
3.5.5	Throughput Performance - 80% <i>AC1</i> Throughput Allocation.	68
3.5.6	Throughput Performance - 67% <i>AC1</i> Throughput Allocation.	69
3.5.7	Throughput Performance - Incorrect starting point (x_0) recovery.	70
3.5.8	Throughput Performance - <i>TCP/FTP</i> traffic sources.	74
3.5.9	TCP Congestion Window - (A) <i>AC1</i> Wireless Client Stations and (B) <i>AC0</i> Wireless Client Stations.	75
3.5.10	Throughput Performance - <i>TCP-ACK</i> Transmissions.	75
3.5.11	Throughput Performance - <i>TCP/FTP</i> traffic sources - <i>HCCA</i> Transmission <i>TCP-ACK</i>	77
3.5.12	TCP Congestion Window - (A) <i>AC1</i> Wireless Client Stations and (B) <i>AC0</i> Wireless Client Stations.	78
3.5.13	Throughput Performance - <i>TCP-ACK</i> Transmissions - <i>HCCA</i> Transmission <i>TCP-ACK</i>	78
3.5.14	Throughput Performance - Heterogeneous traffic and Multi-rate sources.	80
3.5.15	Throughput Performance - <i>HCCA Real-time</i> traffic.	82
3.5.16	Throughput Performance - Influence of <i>HCCA Real-time</i> traffic.	83
3.5.17	Throughput Performance - <i>TCP-ACK</i> Transmissions.	83
3.5.18	TCP Congestion Window - (A) <i>AC1</i> Wireless Client Stations and (B) <i>AC0</i> Wireless Client Stations.	84
3.5.19	Throughput Performance - Combined UL and DL <i>AC1</i> and <i>AC0</i> traffic.	87
3.5.20	Throughput Performance - Individual UL and DL traffic.	88
4.6.1	Basic Network Topology.	105
4.6.2	<i>Stage - 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): <i>DL</i> = 50%, (B): (<i>AC1*</i>) = 80% and (C): (<i>AC1</i>) = 80%.	109
4.6.3	<i>Stage - 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): <i>DL</i> = 50%, (B): (<i>AC1*</i>) = 67% and (C): (<i>AC1</i>) = 67%.	110
4.6.4	<i>Stage - 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): <i>DL</i> = 50%, (B): (<i>AC1*</i>) = 50% and (C): (<i>AC1</i>) = 50%.	111
4.6.5	<i>Stage - 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): <i>DL</i> = 80%, (B): (<i>AC1*</i>) = 80% and (C): (<i>AC1</i>) = 80%.	116
4.6.6	<i>Stage - 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): <i>DL</i> = 20%, (B): (<i>AC1*</i>) = 80% and (C): (<i>AC1</i>) = 80%.	117
4.6.7	<i>Stage - 2</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): <i>DL</i> = 80%, (B): (<i>AC1*</i>) = 80% and (C): (<i>AC1</i>) = 80%.	121

4.6.8	<i>Stage - 2</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 50\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 70\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 60\%$	122
4.6.9	Updated Network Topology.	124
4.6.10	Throughput Performance - (A): 50% - DL and UL Traffic (Highlighted 14%), (B): $(AC1^*) = 50\%$ Throughput Proportion Allocation and (C): $(AC1) = 50\%$ Throughput Proportion Allocation.	126
5.4.1	Network Configuration - Scenario 1: DL $AC1^*$ and $AC0^*$ Saturated Load	135
5.4.2	<i>Scenario 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 80\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	137
5.4.3	<i>Scenario 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 80\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	138
5.4.4	<i>Scenario 1</i> , DL Trace Log - (A): DL Network Load Classification Regime and (B): <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window	139
5.4.5	Network Configuration - Scenario 2: DL $AC1^*$ Non-saturated Load and $AC0^*$ Saturated Load	140
5.4.6	<i>Scenario 2</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 80\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	142
5.4.7	<i>Scenario 2</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 80\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	143
5.4.8	<i>Scenario 2</i> , DL Trace Log - (A): DL Network Load Classification Regime and (B): <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window	144
5.4.9	Network Configuration - Scenario 3: DL $AC1^*$ and $AC0^*$ Non-saturated Load	145
5.4.10	<i>Scenario 3</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 80\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	148
5.4.11	<i>Scenario 3</i> , DL Trace Log - (A): DL Network Load Classification Regime and (B): <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window	149
5.4.12	<i>Scenario 3</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 45\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	150
5.4.13	<i>Scenario 3</i> , DL Trace Log - (A): DL Network Load Classification Regime and (B): <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window	151
5.4.14	<i>Scenario 3</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 80\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	155
5.4.15	<i>Scenario 3</i> , DL Trace Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime and (C): <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window	156
5.4.16	<i>Scenario 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 80\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	159

5.4.17	<i>Scenario 1</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): TCP Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	160
5.4.18	<i>Scenario 2</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): DL = 80%, (B): (AC1*) = 80% and (C): (AC1) = 80%	162
5.4.19	<i>Scenario 2</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): TCP Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	163
5.4.20	<i>Scenario 2</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): DL = 80%, (B): (AC1*) = 80% and (C): (AC1) = 80%	164
5.4.21	<i>Scenario 2</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): TCP Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	165
5.4.22	<i>Scenario 3</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): DL = 80%, (B): (AC1*) = 80% and (C): (AC1) = 80%	168
5.4.23	<i>Scenario 3</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): TCP Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	169
6.2.1	Transitioning between Positive (A) and Negative Level (B) Crossings . .	174
6.2.2	Updated Transitioning between Positive (A) and Negative Level (B) Crossings	176
6.2.3	Direct Increase of DL Proportion Allocation	179
6.3.1	Network Configuration - Scenario 1: DL AC1* and AC0* Saturated Load	182
6.3.2	Network Configuration - Scenario 2: DL AC1* Non-saturated Load and AC0* Saturated Load	183
6.3.3	Network Configuration - Scenario 3: DL AC1* and AC0* Non-saturated Load	184
6.3.4	<i>Scenario 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): DL = 80%, (B): (AC1*) = 80% and (C): (AC1) = 80%	186
6.3.5	<i>Scenario 1</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): TCP Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	187
6.3.6	<i>Scenario 2</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): DL = 80%, (B): (AC1*) = 80% (20%) and (C): (AC1) = 80%	189
6.3.7	<i>Scenario 2</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): TCP Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	190

6.3.8	<i>Scenario 3</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 80\%$ (45%), (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	193
6.3.9	<i>Scenario 3</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	194
7.4.1	Transmission Characteristics - Saturation Load	204
7.4.2	Transmission Characteristics - Non Saturation Load	205
7.5.1	Network Configuration	212
7.5.2	UL Saturation Percentage - Ground Truth, Approach (A), Approach (B) and Approach (C)	215
7.5.3	UL Saturation Percentage - Ground Truth, Approach (A), Approach (B) and Approach (C)	218
7.5.4	UL Saturation Percentage - Ground Truth, Approach (A), Approach (B) and Approach (C)	220
8.3.1	Overall UL Control Region Location	224
8.3.2	Internal UL Control Region Location	225
8.3.3	Basic Block Diagram of the three periodic operation components.	238
8.5.1	Network Configuration - Scenario 1: UL $AC1$ and $AC0$ Saturated Load .	243
8.5.2	Network Configuration - Scenario 2: UL $AC1$ Non-saturated Load and $AC0$ Saturated Load	244
8.5.3	Network Configuration - Scenario 3: UL $AC1$ and $AC0$ Non-saturated Load	245
8.5.4	<i>Scenario 1</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 20\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	248
8.5.5	<i>Scenario 1</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	249
8.5.6	<i>Scenario 1</i> , UL Classification Trace Log and <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window Log - (A): Overall UL Classification, (B): UL $AC1$ to $AC0$ Classification, (C): $AC1$ <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window and (D): $AC0$ <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window	250
8.5.7	<i>Scenario 2</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): $DL = 20\%$, (B): $(AC1^*) = 80\%$ and (C): $(AC1) = 80\%$	253
8.5.8	<i>Scenario 2</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): <i>TCP</i> Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	254

8.5.9	<i>Scenario 2</i> , UL Classification Trace Log and TCP Congestion Window Log - (A): Overall UL Classification, (B): UL AC1 to AC0 Classification, (C): AC1 TCP Congestion Window and (D): AC0 TCP Congestion Window	255
8.5.10	<i>Scenario 3</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): DL = 20%, (B): (AC1*) = 80% and (C): (AC1) = 80%	257
8.5.11	<i>Scenario 3</i> , DL Trace Log and Buffer Occupancy Log - (A): DL to UL Proportion, (B): DL Network Load Classification Regime, (C): TCP Congestion Window and (D): Buffer Occupancy Information	258
8.5.12	<i>Scenario 3</i> , UL Classification Trace Log and TCP Congestion Window Log - (A): Overall UL Classification, (B): UL AC1 to AC0 Classification, (C): AC1 TCP Congestion Window and (D): AC0 TCP Congestion Window	259
9.2.1	Network Topology	265
9.2.2	<i>Control Scheme-3</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): <i>Real-time</i> and <i>EDCA</i> Traffic, (B): <i>EDCA</i> DL and UL Traffic	274
9.2.3	<i>Control Scheme-3</i> , Throughput Performance - (C): <i>EDCA</i> DL Traffic and (D): <i>EDCA</i> UL Traffic	275
9.2.4	Reference <i>EDCA</i> implementation with <i>HCCA</i> , Throughput Performance - (A): <i>Real-time</i> and <i>EDCA</i> Traffic, (B): <i>EDCA</i> DL and UL Traffic . . .	276
9.2.5	Reference <i>EDCA</i> implementation with <i>HCCA</i> , Throughput Performance - (C): <i>EDCA</i> DL Traffic and (D): <i>EDCA</i> UL Traffic	277

Signed Statement

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, an partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

SIGNED: DATE:

Acknowledgements

This PhD work would not have been possible without the much needed support from the people around me. I would like to, first and foremost express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors at the University of Adelaide, Dr. David Green, Prof. Nigel Bean, Dr. Michael Rumsewicz and Prof. Lang White. Without their patient guidance and support, I could not have envisioned overcoming the countless difficult times I endured over the last few years. I am truly grateful and thankful for their continuous efforts towards motivating and inspiring me throughout my research work. It has been both a privilege and honour for me, to have been given the opportunity to work with and be supervised by them.

I would like to acknowledge the financial support of the Australian Research Council, and industry partner Tenix Australia, for funding me through the Linkage Project LP0453508. Special thanks are due to the kind, friendly and supportive staff at the Teletraffic Research Centre and School of Mathematical Sciences at the University of Adelaide.

I am very grateful to my fellow research students, Dr. Tze Wei Tang, Dr. Ashley Flavel, Dr. Jeremy MchMahon and Mr. Simon Knight. Their friendship and helpfulness in discussing my thoughts and ideas are very much appreciated. I also greatly appreciate the moral support offered by Dr. Gene Liew, who has always been there to listen and provide me with much needed advice and reassurance. In addition, I would like to thank all my friends for their continued support and company.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for their constant love and support throughout my time as a student. Without them my chances of completing this research work would not have been possible.

Dedication

I dedicate this thesis to my family. Without their loving care and support this thesis would not be possible.

Abstract

This thesis investigates the design and development considerations to introduce throughput differentiation and management capabilities into the Medium Access Control (MAC) layer of IEEE 802.11 Wireless Local Area Network (*WLAN*) systems, while also maximizing overall throughput performance.

The final control mechanism highlighted in this thesis requires only an initial user configuration to specify the required throughput differentiation and management rules prior to it operating in a fully autonomous manner. In order to maximize throughput performance the control mechanism is designed to seamlessly adjust the throughput differentiation and management rules to reflect the current network traffic load.

Throughout this thesis, we discovered and identified the great difficulty that is inherent in trying to create a control mechanism that is capable of operating autonomously in a broad range of possible traffic load conditions. The difficulties faced stemmed primarily from the design philosophy of a fully self-contained control mechanism within the MAC layer of the *AP* alone, and employing a completely passive/non-intrusive decision making procedure. Furthermore the idiosyncrasies of *TCP*-based traffic required special attention which could have otherwise been avoided if cross layer interaction was permitted.

The IEEE 802.11e MAC layer standard [1] is chosen to be the foundation for providing throughput management capabilities in a *WLAN*. In particular, the throughput management capability is provided using the IEEE 802.11e *Enhanced Distributed Channel Access (EDCA)*.

The *EDCA* mechanism supports service differentiation across 4 different Access Categories (*AC*). Each *AC* has specific tunable parameters associated to it, which affects the level of probabilistic medium access it obtains against other *AC*s. The implementation and control objective of tuning parameters within *EDCA* are left open in the IEEE 802.11e standard [1].

We describe the process of selecting appropriate settings for the tunable parameters associated with each *AC* such that a specified throughput proportion allocation can be achieved between each *AC*. The selection process is aided by an analytical model of IEEE 802.11e *EDCA* under *saturation* load conditions [2]. The model allows us to identify, when

under *saturation* load conditions, the parameter combinations that achieves a required throughput proportion allocation amongst *ACs* and at the same time maximizes overall throughput performance.

Based on this information, we define *Control Scheme-1* that resides within the access point (*AP*) and, as required, notifies all stations in the *WLAN* what required parameters should be associated with each *AC*. Through this process, regardless of dynamically changing active station counts transmitting a particular *AC* traffic, we demonstrate the control scheme's ability to maintain a required throughput proportion allocation regime between *ACs*.

We then proceed to specify throughput proportion allocations between Downlink (DL) and Uplink (UL) paths in a *WLAN* under *saturation* load. *EDCA* allows for differentiating the *AP* and wireless client stations through the use of independent tunable parameters specifically associated to the *AP*. We make use of this feature directly by describing an updated parameter selection process. Therefore based on the new selection of parameters, we describe the new *Control Scheme-2* and demonstrate its ability to manage the *WLAN*.

We then investigate a new objective of developing a control that can also operate in *non-saturation* load conditions. In doing this, we still aim to maintain, wherever possible, the requirements of managing the throughput proportion allocation between the DL and UL and its respective *ACs*, and all the while focussing on maximizing throughput performance.

In order to achieve this goal, we divided the modification of *Control Scheme-2* into two parts. The first being modifications required to handle *non-saturation* load conditions in DL and subsequently modifications required to handle *non-saturation* load conditions in UL.

With both DL and UL modifications in place we verify that the completed control mechanism *Control Scheme-3* is able to achieve the required performance results across a wide range of test case scenarios. In addition, we compare these performance results to that obtained when employing the standard reference implementation of IEEE 802.11e *EDCA* [1].