Master of Clinical Science

Venous Thromboembolism (VTE) Risk Assessment and Prophylaxis: A Comprehensive Systematic Review of the Facilitators and Barriers to Healthcare Worker Compliance with Clinical Practice Guidelines in the acute care setting

Sherryl Gaston RN BN CF-JBI

Student ID 1063383

The Joanna Briggs Institute

Faculty of Health Sciences

The University of Adelaide

Sherryl.Gaston@unisa.edu.au

Date: January 24th 2013





Venous Thromboembolism (VTE) Risk Assessment and Prophylaxis: A Comprehensive Systematic Review of the Facilitators and Barriers to Healthcare Worker Compliance with Clinical Practice Guidelines in the acute care setting

Sherryl Gaston RN BN CF-JBI

University of South Australia, Centre for Regional Engagement & Masters of Clinical Sciences Candidate, The Joanna Briggs Institute, Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Adelaide

sherryl.gaston@unisa.edu.au

Dr Sarahlouise White BSc (Hons), MSClinSc, PhD

The Joanna Briggs Institute, Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Adelaide

Sarahlouise.white@adelaide.edu.au

Dr Gary Misan BPharm PhD

Associate Research Professor, Centre for Rural Health and Community Development University of South Australia

gary.misan@unisa.edu.au

Dr David Tivey BSc (Hons), PhD

The Joanna Briggs Institute, Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Adelaide david.tivey@adelaide.edu.au

Table of Contents

Master of Clinical Science	0
Table of Contents	2
Table of Figures and Tables	5
Abstract	6
Student Declaration	8
List of Abbreviations	9
Chapter 1: Introduction	10
1.1 Situating the review	10
1.2 Structure of the thesis	11
1.3 Systematic reviews in historical context	11
1.4 Overview of the science of evidence synthesis in healthcare	12
1.5 What are systematic reviews?	16
1.5.1 Systematic Review's in guideline development	17
1.5.2 How do systematic reviews compare with traditional literature reviews?	18
1.6 Use of systematic reviews in healthcare	21
1.7 Guidelines	23
1.8 Compliance with clinical practice guidelines of venous thromboembolism risk assessing prophylaxis initiation	
1.8.1 Venous Thromboembolism (VTE) Background	26
1.8.2 VTE guidelines	34
1.9 Rural Health Disparities	35
Chapter 2: The Systematic Review Protocol	37
2.1 Review Question/Objectives	37
2.2 Background	37
2.3 Criteria for Considering Studies for this Review	41
2.3.1 Types of Studies	41
2.3.2 Types of Participants	41
2.3.3 Phenomena of Interest	41
2.3.4 Types of Outcomes Measures	41
2.4 Review Methods	41
2.4.1 Search Strategy	41
2.4.2 Critical Appraisal	42
2.4.3 Data Extraction	43

	2.4.4 Data Synthesis	43
Ch	apter 3: Results	44
	3.1 Description of Studies	44
	3.2 Methodological quality of included studies	47
Ch	apter 4 Findings from Individual Studies	51
	4.1 Review Findings/Results	51
	4.1.1 Compliance with VTE guidelines	51
	4.2 Quantitative evidence included in the review	51
	4.2.1 Findings from Al-Tawfiq & Saadeh ⁽⁷²⁾	51
	4.2.2 Findings from Baroletti et al ⁽⁷⁹⁾	52
	4.2.3 Findings from Bullock-Palmer, Weiss and Hyman ⁽⁶⁹⁾	53
	4.2.4 Findings from Collins et al ⁽⁴⁾	54
	4.2.5 Findings from Dobesh & Stacey ⁽⁷⁷⁾	55
	4.2.6 Findings from Duff, Walker & Omari ⁽⁶⁴⁾	56
	4.2.7 Findings from Gaylis et al ⁽⁶⁷⁾	57
	4.2.8 Findings from Janus et al ⁽⁷⁴⁾	58
	4.2.9 Findings from Kent et al ⁽⁷⁸⁾	59
	4.2.10 Findings from Lees & McAuliffe ⁽⁶⁵⁾	60
	4.2.11 Findings from Li et al ⁽⁷¹⁾	61
	4.2.12 Findings from Maynard et al ⁽⁸⁰⁾	61
	4.2.13 Findings from Moote et al ⁽⁷⁶⁾	62
	4.2.14 Findings from Novis et al ⁽⁷⁵⁾	63
	4.2.15 Findings from Schiro et al ⁽⁷⁰⁾	64
	4.2.16 Findings from Sharif-Kashani et al ⁽⁶⁶⁾	65
	4.2.17 Findings from Shedd et al ⁽⁷³⁾	65
	4.2.18 Findings from Sobieraj ⁽⁶⁸⁾	66
	4.3 Qualitative evidence included in the review	67
	4.3.1 Findings from Chapman et al ⁽⁸¹⁾	67
	4.3.2 Findings from Cook et al ⁽⁸²⁾	68
Ch	apter 5: Synthesis of Findings	72
	5.1 Identification of Barriers and Facilitators to Compliance with VTE Clinical Practice Guidelines	s 72
	5.2 Barriers to compliance with VTE guidelines identified from quantitative studies	72
	5.3 Barriers to compliance with VTE guidelines identified from qualitative studies	76
	5.3.1 Synthesised findings: Synthesis 1: Barriers to compliance with VTE guidelines	77
	5.3.2 Category 1: Costs and priority	
	5.3.3 Category 2: Lack of an identified role	

	5.3.4 Category 3: Practice culture	. 78
	5.4 Facilitators to compliance with VTE guidelines identified from quantitative studies	
	5.5 Facilitators to compliance with VTE guidelines identified from qualitative studies	
	5.5.1 Synthesised findings: Synthesis 2: Facilitators to compliance with VTE guidelines	
	5.5.2 Category 1: Allocation of person/group	
	5.5.3 Category 2 Audit and feedback	
	5.5.4 Category 3 system development	
	5.6 Summary of systematic review findings	
Cl	napter 6: Discussion	
	6.1 Guidelines, practice and compliance	
	6.2 Type of healthcare professional	
	6.3 Type of location	
	6.4 Types of Intervention	
	6.5 Study Designs	
Cl	hapter 7 Conclusion	
	7.1 Summary	
	7.2 Implications for Practice	
	7.3 Implications for Research	
	7.4 Limitations of the review	
	Conflict of interest	
	Acknowledgements	
Cl	hapter 8 References	
	napter 9 Appendices	
	Appendix I - Search strategy	108
	Appendix II – Critical appraisal instruments	109
	Appendix III - Data extraction instruments	
	Appendix IV – Table of included studies	
	Appendix V – Table of excluded studies	
	Appendix VI – JBI Levels of Evidence FAME	
	Appendix VII – Table Included Data and Compliance Improvement	142

Table of Figures and Tables

Table 1 Main differences between a systematic review and a literature review	18
Table 2 Overview of identified Risk Factors for developing VTE	27
Figure 1 Example of a Thrombosis Risk Assessment Tool ⁽⁴⁵⁾	31
Figure 2 Flowchart detailing the study identification process	46
Table 3 Results of critical appraisal of included quasi-experimental studies using JBI-MAStARI	48
Table 4 Results of critical appraisal of Comparable Cohort/Case control studies using JBI-MAStARI.	49
Table 5 Results of critical appraisal of Comparable Descriptive/Case series using JBI-MAStARI	49
Table 6 Results of critical appraisal of qualitative studies using JBI-QARI	50
Table 7 Barriers identified from the included quantitative studies	74
Table 8 Barriers identified from the included qualitative studies, by category, finding and illustrati	ions
	79
Table 9 Facilitators identified from the included quantitative studies	83
Table 10 Facilitators identified in the included qualitative studies with Findings and Illustrations	87

Abstract

Background: Even though guidelines for venous thromboembolism (VTE) risk assessment and prophylaxis are available, patients with identifiable risk factors admitted to acute hospitals are not receiving appropriate prophylaxis. The incidence of VTE in hospitalised patients is higher than that of people living in the community who have similar demographics. Knowledge of barriers to clinician compliance with clinical practice guidelines and facilitators to improve compliance will aid appropriate use of VTE clinical practice guidelines.

Objectives: The objective of this review was to identify the barriers and facilitators to healthcare professional compliance with clinical practice guidelines for VTE assessment and prophylaxis.

Inclusion criteria

Types of participants: Studies were considered for inclusion regardless of the designation of the healthcare professional involved in the acute care setting.

Focus of the review: The focus of the review was compliance with VTE clinical practice guidelines and identified facilitators and barriers to clinical use of these guidelines in the acute care setting.

Types of studies: Any experimental, observational studies or qualitative research studies evaluating healthcare professional compliance with clinical practice guidelines were considered for inclusion in this review.

Types of outcomes: The outcomes of interest were percentage of compliance with VTE guidelines and identified barriers and facilitators to that compliance.

Search strategy: A comprehensive, three-step search strategy was conducted for studies published from May 2003 to November 2011 due to a previous systematic review that overlaps this one, and aimed to identify both published and unpublished studies in the English language across six major databases (PubMed/MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, Scopus, ProQuest & MedNar).

Methodological quality: Retrieved papers were assessed by two independent reviewers prior to inclusion in the review using standardised critical appraisal instruments from the Joanna Briggs Institute. The critical appraisal tools used were MAStARI for the quantitative studies and QARI for the qualitative studies. There were no disagreements between the two reviewers.

Data collection: Both quantitative and qualitative data was extracted from included papers using the standardised data extraction tools MAStARI and QARI from the Joanna Briggs Institute.

Data synthesis: Quantitative data was pooled using narrative summary due to heterogeneity in the ways in which data was reported, using quasi-experimental pre and post studies, cohort study and descriptive/case series. Qualitative data was pooled using Joanna Briggs Institute QARI data synthesis

tool.

Results: In total, twenty studies were included in the review, eighteen quantitative and two qualitative with methodological quality ranging from low to high using the Joanna Briggs Institute appraisal tools MAStARI and QARI.

The lowest and highest reported compliance in the quantitative studies at baseline ranged from 6.25% to 70.4% and compliance post intervention ranged from 36% to 100%. Six of the twenty studies included multiple healthcare professionals in the study and of these only one compared the percentage of compliance between the groups. That study acknowledged that due to the variation of improvement between mechanical and pharmacological prophylaxis, and since nursing staff were responsible for mechanical and medical staff for pharmacological that the intervention was more effective for medical staff.

Nine main categories of barriers and nine main categories of facilitators to VTE guideline compliance were identified. Similar barriers and facilitators were highlighted by the quantitative and qualitative studies. The studies all had components of education as an intervention and this review found that passive dissemination or a single mode of intervention was not sufficient to affect and sustain change in clinical practice. The main barriers identified were 'lack of attention' and lack of awareness', with the main facilitator being 'education'.

Conclusions: This review identified eighteen quantitative studies and two qualitative studies that assessed compliance with VTE clinical practice guidelines, and identified barriers and facilitators to that compliance. The studies showed that many different forms of intervention can improve compliance with clinical practice guidelines. Interventions can be developed for the specific audience and setting they are being used for, keeping in mind that not all interventions are appropriate for all areas, such as computer applications not being suitable where system capacity is lacking.

Implications for practice: Healthcare professionals need to be aware of VTE clinical practice guidelines and improve patient outcomes by using them in the hospital setting. There are a number of interventions that can improve guideline compliance keeping in mind the barriers and adjusting practice to minimise them.

Implications for research: Venous thromboembolism compliance within rural hospital settings has not been determined, however as inequalities have been identified in other areas of healthcare between urban and rural regions this would be a logical area to research. Furthermore, the sustainability and cost effectiveness of VTE compliance programs should also be examined.

Student Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree

or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Sherryl Gaston and, to the best of my

knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except

where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the

future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or any other tertiary

institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner

institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available

for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the

copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the

University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines,

unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed:

Dated: 23 01 2013

8

List of Abbreviations

ACCP American College of Chest Physicians

AHRQ Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

AOR Adjusted Odds Ratio
CI Confidence Interval

COPE Computerized prescriber order entry

DVT Deep Vein Thrombosis

EBP Evidence-based practice

EOV Educational outreach visit

JBI The Joanna Briggs Institute

LDUH Low-dose unfractionated heparin

LMWH Low molecular weight heparin

LOS Length of Stay

MAStARI Meta Analysis of Statistics Assessment and Review Instrument

NHMRC National Health and Medical Research Council

NICE National Institute for Clinical Excellence

NOTARI Narrative, Opinion and Text Assessment and Review Instrument

NS Not significant

PA Physician Assistant
PE Pulmonary Embolism

QARI Qualitative Assessment and Review Instrument

RAM Risk assessment model

SEBMO Standardised evidence-based medical orders

SMPU Safe medication Practice Unit

UK United Kingdom

USA United States of America

VTE Venous Thromboembolism