

**TOWARDS ESTABLISHING LONG-  
LASTING NEUROPLASTIC CHANGE  
IN THE HUMAN PRIMARY MOTOR  
CORTEX**

*A thesis submitted for the Degree of*

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**



*By*

**Mitchell Ryan Goldsworthy**

**B. Sc. (Biomedical Science), Hons.**

The Robinson Institute

Discipline of Obstetrics and Gynaecology

School of Paediatrics and Reproductive Health

The University of Adelaide

May 2013

ABSTRACT.....	i
DECLARATION.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
LIST OF FIGURES.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
AIMS & GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....	ix
<b>1. A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. THE NEURAL BASIS FOR HUMAN MOTOR CONTROL.....	1
1.1.1. The cerebral cortex.....	1
1.1.2. The corticospinal tract.....	6
1.1.3. Excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission within the human motor cortex.....	7
1.2. NON-INVASIVE STIMULATION OF THE HUMAN BRAIN.....	9
1.2.1. Stimulation of the human motor cortex through the intact scalp and skull.....	9
1.2.2. Origin of descending activity elicited by TES and TMS.....	11
1.2.3. Application of TMS to probe the excitability of the human motor system.....	13
1.3. NEUROPLASTICITY.....	17
1.3.1. Neuroplastic change through long-term potentiation and depression of synaptic transmission.....	17
1.3.2. The involvement of LTP in learning and memory: evidence from animal models. .....	20
1.3.3. Non-invasive brain stimulation as a means for neuroplasticity induction in the human cortex.....	22
1.3.4. The origin and mechanisms for rTMS-induced after-effects in humans.....	26

1.4.	THERAPEUTIC APPLICATION OF rTMS PROTOCOLS .....	30
1.4.1.	Therapeutic application of rTMS in treating psychiatric disorders.....	30
1.4.2.	Therapeutic application of rTMS in treating movement disorders .....	31
1.4.3.	The application of rTMS as an adjunctive treatment of motor dysfunction resulting from stroke .....	33
1.4.4.	Critical analysis of the therapeutic potential of rTMS protocols .....	34
1.5.	THE CONSOLIDATION OF NEUROPLASTIC CHANGE.....	36
1.5.1.	Increasing the persistence of LTP with repeated trains of stimulation in animal models .....	36
1.5.2.	Mechanistically distinct phases of synaptic modulation .....	38
1.5.3.	The consolidation of neuroplastic change within the human cortex .....	39
1.5.4.	Some considerations for the repeated application of rTMS to the human motor cortex.....	41
1.6.	OPTIMISING TBS APPLICATION .....	43
1.6.1.	Variability of the neuroplastic response to rTMS .....	43
1.7.	SUMMARY .....	45
<b>2.</b>	<b>THE APPLICATION OF SPACED THETA BURST PROTOCOLS INDUCES LONG-LASTING NEUROPLASTIC CHANGES IN THE HUMAN MOTOR CORTEX.....</b>	<b>46</b>
2.1.	ABSTRACT.....	46
2.2.	INTRODUCTION.....	47
2.3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	49
2.3.1.	Subjects .....	49

2.3.2.	Stimulation and recording .....	49
2.3.3.	TBS paradigm .....	50
2.3.4.	Sham stimulation.....	51
2.3.5.	Experiments.....	51
2.3.6.	Data analyses.....	55
2.4.	RESULTS .....	57
2.4.1.	Experiment 1 – single cTBS at AMT <sub>80</sub> vs. paired cTBS at AMT <sub>80</sub> .....	57
2.4.2.	Experiment 2 – single cTBS at RMT <sub>70</sub> vs. paired cTBS at RMT <sub>70</sub> .....	58
2.4.3.	Relationship between the responses to single and paired cTBS trains.....	59
2.4.4.	Stimulation intensity of paired cTBS trains at AMT <sub>80</sub> and RMT <sub>70</sub> .....	60
2.4.5.	Experiment 3 – paired cTBS trains at RMT <sub>70</sub> primed with an initial voluntary contraction.....	62
2.4.6.	Experiment 4 – paired cTBS trains at RMT <sub>65</sub> .....	62
2.4.7.	Experiment 5 – duration of paired cTBS-induced after-effects when applied at RMT <sub>70</sub> .....	63
2.4.8.	Experiment 6 – relationship between the response to the first train and the outcome to paired cTBS applied at RMT <sub>70</sub> .....	64
2.5.	DISCUSSION .....	66
2.5.1.	Single cTBS trains had no significant effect on corticospinal excitability .....	66
2.5.2.	Paired cTBS trains at RMT <sub>70</sub> induced a long-lasting suppression of corticospinal excitability.....	67
2.5.3.	Why are paired trains at RMT <sub>70</sub> more effective than paired trains at AMT <sub>80</sub> ? ...	68
2.5.4.	Other factors which may have influenced the response to paired cTBS trains ...	69
2.5.5.	Mechanisms by which paired cTBS increases neuroplasticity induction .....	72

<b>3. NEUROPLASTIC MODULATION OF INHIBITORY MOTOR CORTICAL NETWORKS BY SPACED THETA BURST STIMULATION .....</b>	<b>75</b>
3.1. ABSTRACT .....	75
3.2. INTRODUCTION.....	76
3.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	78
3.3.1. Subjects .....	78
3.3.2. Stimulation and recording .....	78
3.3.3. Continuous theta burst stimulation.....	79
3.3.4. Short-interval intracortical inhibition.....	80
3.3.5. Long-interval intracortical inhibition .....	80
3.3.6. Experiments.....	81
3.3.7. Data analyses.....	82
3.4. RESULTS .....	83
3.4.1. Experiment 1 – single cTBS protocol .....	83
3.4.2. Experiment 2 – paired cTBS protocols .....	85
3.4.3. Comparison of single and paired cTBS conditions .....	87
3.5. DISCUSSION .....	88
3.5.1. Effects of single cTBS on MEP amplitude and SICI .....	89
3.5.2. Effects of paired cTBS on MEP amplitude and SICI.....	90
3.5.3. Effects of single and paired cTBS protocols on LICI .....	91
3.5.4. Inferences regarding the mechanisms of paired cTBS-induced MEP suppression. .....	92

<b>4. DE-DEPRESSION &amp; CONSOLIDATION OF NEUROPLASTIC CHANGES IN THE HUMAN MOTOR CORTEX .....</b>	<b>95</b>
4.1. ABSTRACT .....	95
4.2. INTRODUCTION.....	96
4.3. MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	98
4.3.1. Subjects .....	98
4.3.2. Stimulation and recording .....	98
4.3.3. TBS for plasticity induction .....	99
4.3.4. TBS for plasticity reversal .....	100
4.3.5. Experiments.....	100
4.3.6. Data analyses.....	104
4.4. RESULTS .....	106
4.4.1. Experiment 1 – no cTBS .....	106
4.4.2. Experiment 2 – single cTBS .....	108
4.4.3. Experiment 3 – paired cTBS .....	109
4.4.4. Factors affecting MEP de-depression following contraction .....	110
4.4.5. Experiment 4 – single cTBS (control).....	112
4.4.6. Experiment 5 – de-depression by iTBS150.....	114
4.5. DISCUSSION .....	116
<b>5. A COMPARISON OF TWO DIFFERENT CONTINUOUS THETA BURST STIMULATION PARADIGMS APPLIED TO THE HUMAN PRIMARY MOTOR CORTEX.....</b>	<b>122</b>
5.1. ABSTRACT .....	122

5.2.	INTRODUCTION.....	123
5.3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	125
5.3.1.	Subjects.....	125
5.3.2.	Stimulation and recording.....	125
5.3.3.	Magnetic brainstem stimulation (BST).....	126
5.3.4.	TBS paradigms.....	126
5.3.5.	Experimental design.....	128
5.3.6.	Data analyses.....	129
5.4.	RESULTS.....	130
5.4.1.	Experiment 1 – comparison of cTBS <sub>std</sub> and cTBS <sub>mod</sub> paradigms.....	130
5.4.2.	Experiment 2 – site of action for the cTBS <sub>mod</sub> paradigm.....	135
5.4.3.	Experiment 3 – control for the intensity of stimulation.....	135
5.5.	DISCUSSION.....	137
5.5.1.	Comparison of the cTBS <sub>std</sub> and cTBS <sub>mod</sub> -induced after-effects with those observed in other studies.....	138
5.5.2.	Cortical site of cTBS <sub>mod</sub> -induced MEP suppression.....	140
5.5.3.	Using RMT instead of AMT to set the stimulation intensity.....	140
5.5.4.	Variations in inter and intra-burst frequencies.....	142
5.5.5.	Mechanisms responsible for the MEP suppression.....	143
<b>6.</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>146</b>
6.1.	THE REPEATED APPLICATION OF cTBS.....	146
6.2.	MOTOR NETWORKS TARGETED BY REPEATED cTBS.....	150
6.3.	CONSOLIDATION BY REPEATED cTBS.....	153

6.4.	OPTIMISING THE PARAMETERS FOR SINGLE cTBS .....	157
6.5.	CONCLUDING REMARKS .....	160
<b>7.</b>	<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>161</b>
7.1.	APPENDIX I: TRANSCRANIAL MAGNETIC STIMULATION (TMS) ADULT SAFETY SCREEN .....	161
7.2.	APPENDIX II: PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS THESIS .....	162
7.3.	APPENDIX III: CHAPTER 2 STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP .....	163
7.4.	APPENDIX IV: CHAPTER 3 STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP .....	164
7.5.	APPENDIX V: CHAPTER 4 STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP.....	165
7.6.	APPENDIX VI: CHAPTER 5 STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP .....	166
<b>8.</b>	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>167</b>



## ABSTRACT

Neuroplasticity is critical for learning, memory, and recovery of lost function following neurological insult. Whilst non-invasive brain stimulation techniques capable of inducing these neuroplastic changes within the human cortex could be therapeutically beneficial for a range of neurological and psychiatric conditions, the short duration, instability, and variability of induced effects limits their therapeutic potential. This thesis has investigated approaches to enhance the duration, stability, and consistency of the neuroplastic response to non-invasive brain stimulation protocols applied to the human primary motor cortex.

The neuroplasticity-inducing paradigm employed throughout this thesis was continuous theta burst stimulation (cTBS), a repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) paradigm shown to suppress human motor cortical excitability. Studies in animals have shown the repeated, spaced application of stimulation protocols to prolong the duration of experimentally-induced synaptic plasticity. Therefore, Chapter 2 examined whether the spaced application of repeated cTBS protocols enhanced the lifetime of induced neuroplastic effects within the human primary motor cortex. Whilst the neuroplastic response to a single cTBS protocol was minimal, paired cTBS protocols spaced 10 min apart induced a strong suppression of motor cortical excitability that lasted for at least 2 h. A further set of experiments were performed to determine the possible contribution of the inhibitory motor networks to this enhanced neuroplastic response (Chapter 3). Although paired cTBS reduced the excitability of GABA<sub>A</sub>-mediated inhibitory motor networks, this effect was only modest. Also, paired cTBS had no effect on GABA<sub>B</sub>-mediated inhibition. These findings suggest that the enhanced neuroplastic response to

paired cTBS was likely the result of greater suppression within excitatory motor networks rather than a facilitation of inhibitory motor networks.

In addition to prolonging the duration of experimentally-induced synaptic plasticity, the repeated application of stimulation protocols has also been shown to consolidate these plastic changes in animal models, making them resistant to reversal by subsequent behaviourally-relevant physiological activity. In Chapter 4, I investigated whether the neuroplastic response to paired cTBS was similarly resistant to reversal by behavioural engagement of the stimulated motor regions. Whilst a voluntary activation of the targeted hand muscles reversed the neuroplastic response to a single cTBS protocol, the long-lasting neuroplastic response to paired cTBS was resistant to the same reversal effects. These results suggest that, similar to animal models of synaptic plasticity, the neuroplasticity induced by cTBS may be consolidated when repeated protocols are applied in a spaced manner.

Although Chapters 2, 3, and 4 show a long-lasting and robust response to repeated cTBS protocols, the neuroplastic response to a single cTBS was highly variable between subjects. This may have been due, in part, to non-optimal stimulation characteristics. Therefore, the experiments described in Chapter 5 compared the efficacy of the standard cTBS paradigm (cTBS<sub>std</sub>) to that of a slightly modified variant (cTBS<sub>mod</sub>). Compared to cTBS<sub>std</sub>, cTBS<sub>mod</sub>-induced neuroplasticity was highly consistent between subjects, suggesting that this may be the more effective neuroplasticity-inducing paradigm.

This thesis demonstrates approaches for inducing long-lasting neuroplastic changes within the human primary motor cortex. These findings have important implications for the therapeutic application of rTMS.

## DECLARATION

I, Mitchell Ryan Goldsworthy, certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Goldsworthy MR, Pitcher JB, Ridding MC (2012) The application of spaced theta burst protocols induces long-lasting neuroplastic changes in the human motor cortex. *Eur J Neurosci* 35:125-134.

Goldsworthy MR, Pitcher JB, Ridding MC (2012) A comparison of two different continuous theta burst stimulation paradigms applied to the human primary motor cortex. *Clin Neurophysiol* 123:2256-2263.

Goldsworthy MR, Pitcher JB, Ridding MC (2013) Neuroplastic modulation of inhibitory motor cortical networks by spaced theta burst stimulation protocols. *Brain Stimul* 6:340-345.

SIGNED.....

DATE.....

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to sincerely thank my supervisors Associate Professor Michael Ridding and Dr Julia Pitcher. Their constant support and willingness to help at a moment's notice has been invaluable throughout this process, and I am extremely grateful to have had such excellent supervision. This thesis certainly would not have been possible without them.

I would like to thank all members of the Neuromotor Plasticity and Development (NeuroPAD) group, past and present, for their assistance over the years. Their friendship and encouragement have meant the world to me. I would also like to extend thanks to Professor Ulf Ziemann and Dr Florian Müller-Dahlhaus for their guidance and supervision during my research stay in Frankfurt, and also to the entire Motor Cortex Group from the Department of Neurology at the Goethe-University Frankfurt for their friendship and support.

I am incredibly grateful to my amazing family. I would like to thank my parents for their unconditional support over the years (and their willingness to feed me!), as well as my brother and sister for putting up with me! I would especially like to thank Mal for her patience and loving support.

Last, but not least, I would like to thank each and every subject who gave up their time to participate in my experiments. Without their help this thesis would not have been possible.

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure 2-1:</b> Schematic overview of experimental design. ....	53
<b>Figure 2-2:</b> The time course of change in MEP amplitudes following a single train and paired trains of cTBS applied at $AMT_{80}$ (Experiment 1). ....	58
<b>Figure 2-3:</b> The time course of change in MEP amplitudes following a single train and paired trains of cTBS applied at $RMT_{70}$ (Experiment 2). ....	60
<b>Figure 2-4:</b> Correlations between the MEP responses to single and paired cTBS trains. ....	61
<b>Figure 2-5:</b> The time course of change in MEP amplitudes following paired cTBS trains at $RMT_{70}$ primed with an initial voluntary contraction and $RMT_{65}$ (Experiment 4). ....	64
<b>Figure 2-6:</b> Duration of paired cTBS-induced after-effects and the relationship between cTBS trains. ....	65
<b>Figure 3-1:</b> Experimental timelines. ....	81
<b>Figure 3-2:</b> The effect of a single cTBS protocol on RMT, MEP amplitude, SICI, and LICI. ....	84
<b>Figure 3-3:</b> The effect of paired cTBS protocols on RMT, MEP amplitude, SICI, and LICI. ....	86
<b>Figure 4-1:</b> Schematic overview of experimental design. ....	102
<b>Figure 4-2:</b> The influence of a sub-maximal voluntary contraction on MEP responses to no cTBS (Experiment 1), single cTBS (Experiment 2), and paired cTBS (Experiment 3). ....	107
<b>Figure 4-3:</b> Factors affecting the de-depression of single cTBS-induced MEP suppression by a voluntary contraction (Experiment 2). ....	111

<b>Figure 4-4:</b> The effect of the time-interval separating single cTBS and the voluntary contraction on MEP de-depression (Experiment 4). .....	113
<b>Figure 4-5:</b> The influence of iTBS150 on MEP responses to single cTBS and paired cTBS (Experiment 5). .....	115
<b>Figure 5-1:</b> The pulse configurations used for each of the cTBS paradigms. ....	127
<b>Figure 5-2:</b> Raw electromyographic data traces from one representative subject.....	131
<b>Figure 5-3:</b> The time course of change in MEP amplitudes following the cTBS <sub>std</sub> paradigm and the cTBS <sub>mod</sub> paradigm (Experiment 1).....	132
<b>Figure 5-4:</b> Comparison of the inter-individual variability in the response profiles to the cTBS <sub>std</sub> paradigm and the cTBS <sub>mod</sub> paradigm for 12 subjects. ....	133
<b>Figure 5-5:</b> Correlation between the ratio of the stimulation intensity used for the cTBS <sub>std</sub> paradigm to that used for the cTBS <sub>mod</sub> paradigm and the ratio of the average response to cTBS <sub>std</sub> and cTBS <sub>mod</sub> for each subject. ....	134
<b>Figure 5-6:</b> Raw electromyographic data traces from two subjects showing MEPs evoked by TMS of the left motor cortex in the resting and active conditions, and by BST in the active condition (Experiment 2).....	136
<b>Figure 5-7:</b> The time course of change in MEP amplitudes following the cTBS <sub>std</sub> paradigm and the cTBS <sub>mod</sub> paradigm applied at 80% of AMT (Experiment 3).....	137

## LIST OF TABLES

**Table 3-1:** Comparison of baseline measures for the single and paired cTBS conditions.

..... 88



## AIMS & GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Neuronal networks within the human brain undergo constant reorganizational changes throughout life in response to different experiences, a phenomenon termed neuroplasticity. Neuroplasticity is an essential property of the human nervous system and is critically important for an array of normal brain processes. The human motor system has a remarkable capacity for undergoing this neuroplastic change, enabling us to learn and continually refine the accuracy and efficacy of a large range of complex movements. Likewise, this motor cortical plasticity is important for the recovery of motor skills lost due to neurological injury. For instance, in the chronic stages following stroke, much of the recovery of motor function is likely to occur as a result of neuroplasticity. Accordingly, a central focus of neuroscientific research has been to develop therapeutic strategies which beneficially enhance this neuroplastic change. It is hoped that these strategies may someday be used either on their own or in conjunction with conventional rehabilitative therapies to drive neuroplasticity within the affected brain region and promote recovery of lost function. One such strategy exists in the form of non-invasive brain stimulation.

There is much promise of non-invasive brain stimulation techniques to be used as therapeutic agents in treating a range of neurological and psychiatric conditions. However, given the short lifetime and instability of their induced effects within the human motor system, as well as the high variability of individual responses, the implementation of these techniques in a clinical setting is, at present, far from established. Thus, the studies described in this thesis have aimed to optimise the

application of these non-invasive brain stimulation protocols such that they produce longer lasting and more robust neuroplastic effects within the human motor system.

Unlike the long-lasting synaptic plasticity induced experimentally in animal models using trains of electrical stimulation, the neuroplasticity induced within the human primary motor cortex using repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) has a very short lifetime that rarely persists for more than 1 h. This discrepancy may be due to differences in the approaches used to apply stimulation trains: whereas rTMS is applied as a single train in humans, the stimulation protocols used in animals are often applied repeatedly in a spaced manner.

Following a review of the literature in Chapter 1, the first three experimental chapters of this thesis will examine the possible benefits of applying repeated trains of an rTMS paradigm (continuous theta burst stimulation; cTBS) to the human primary motor cortex. Specifically, I will examine the lifetime of the induced neuroplastic changes (Chapter 2), the motor networks at which these changes are likely to occur (Chapter 3), and also the stability of these changes in the presence of behaviourally-relevant physiological activity (Chapter 4). The final experimental chapter will shift focus to the stimulation parameters used for cTBS, with the aim of optimising a single cTBS application such that the induced neuroplastic changes in the human primary motor cortex are less variable between individuals (Chapter 5). This thesis will close with a discussion of the main findings, with a focus on the implications of this research for the therapeutic application of non-invasive brain stimulation.