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ABSTRACT

This portfolio of research aimed to investigate the learning and teaching of Greek in Adelaide secondary schools, from the perspective of the students studying the language and to a lesser extent their parents and teachers. It sought to follow up the previous studies of Smolicz, Tamis and Papademetre, by studying the latest generation of young people of Greek background in relation to maintaining Greek language and culture.

A longitudinal mixed research approach (qualitative and quantitative), influenced by humanistic sociological principles, was adopted. Data were collected through questionnaires, using both fixed and open-ended questions, as well as follow-up interviews and research observations over a ten year period. Respondents were drawn from St George College, established by the Greek Orthodox Community and Parish of St George, as the only independent school in Adelaide committed to maintaining Greek language and culture at high school level, and from three state high schools which are considered specialised in languages and offered Greek in their curriculum. The description of the data collected and the analysis of the findings are presented in three projects within this portfolio of research.

Project 1 was based on data collected from ten parents whose children attended St George College and five teachers, on the one hand, and from 23 parents and six teachers associated with the three state high schools on the other. Most spoke in Greek ethnolect to their immigrant parents, but mainly English to their spouses and children. Although many had achieved upward mobility into professional careers, most felt both Greek and Australian. St George parents were more oriented towards the Greek community and valued the college’s Greek ethos, while state school parents associated more with mainstream Australian society and gave highest priority to their children’s academic success. Teachers
were concerned at the decline in students’ competence and interest in Greek. Those in state schools were also fearful for the future of their subject.

Project 2 studied the learning experiences, attitudes and language activation of 82 students from St George College. Many spoke a little Greek with grandparents, if they were still alive. Although most were positive to learning Greek and responded enthusiastically to the Greek ethos of the school, a small proportion were opposed to learning the language or regarded it as irrelevant. All but a few considered they were Greek, which they linked particularly with family, religion, historicity as well as music and character.

Project 3 was a parallel study of 214 students studying Greek in state school contexts which were multicultural in orientation, not Greek. The students’ activation of Greek within the family was rather less than for the St George respondents. Far more of the students were indifferent (rather than opposed) to Greek, with some senior students being more positive. Their friendships and career aspirations oriented them toward mainstream Australian society. In identity, they felt Australian, but almost always with some sense of Greekness as well.

In conclusion, the new knowledge this dissertation offers to the Greek language and culture research was mapped out and the participants were taxonomised into participant’s types attracted to one or other or both cultural groups. Only a few were monadic, attracted to either the Australian or the Greek group. Even fewer were dyadic, balanced in their activation of both Australian and Greek language and culture. The great majority were pseudo-dyadic, where the language and culture of one group predominated, but there was some evidence of the activation of the other. Finally, the implications of these findings for the teaching of Greek at secondary level, and the possible effects of a potential new wave of immigrants from Greece are discussed.
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GLOSSARY

PART A: Terms Used in Previous Studies

Accommodation: The act of adapting language or culture in order to be understood or accepted by others.

Acculturation: The process by which individuals or groups learn a culture other than their own.

Ambi-lingualism: The ability to function equally well in two or more languages across a wide range of domains.

Assimilation: The process by which individuals or groups replace their own language and culture with that of another, usually more powerful group.

Bicultural: Identifying with and knowing the culture of two different groups and being able to participate in the life of both. Being bicultural is often synonymous with being bilingual, but is not necessarily the case.

Bilingualism: This term has been defined in various ways by different linguists.

Bloomfield (1936:56) defined bilingualism as the native-like control of two languages,
Haugen (1953:6) argued that bilingualism referred to any degree of accomplishment in two languages;
Weireich (1953:1) defined bilingualism as “alternatively using two languages”;
Christophersen (1958:4) claimed that the term bilingualism presupposed “some degree of competence in both languages”.

Diebold (1961:97-112) argued that the term should be applied also in cases where proficiency in one of the languages was minimal.

*See also other forms of bilingualism in the Glossary marked with an asterisk (*).

**Biliteracy:** The ability to read and write in two languages.

**Code:** A more neutral and inclusive term used instead of language or speech, dialect or linguistic variant.

**Code-mixing:** The mixing of two languages within a sentence or across sentences.

**Code-switching:** Moving from one language to another, inside a sentence or across sentences.

**Codification:** A systematic description of a variety of a language (e.g. vocabulary, grammar). This may occur when a language is being standardized, or when an oral language is being written down for the first time.

**Community Language:** A language used by a particular regional or minority ethnic community. Clyne (1988:22) introduced the term Australian community languages to refer to languages spoken by immigrant groups who had settled in Australia.

**Creole:** A pidgin language which has been adopted as the native language in a region. A creole tends to be more complex in grammar with a wider range of vocabulary than a pidgin language. There are for example, English-based, French based Creoles.

**Creolization:** The process by which a pidgin becomes a creole by the expansion of vocabulary and the development of a more complex linguistic structure.

**Cultural Pluralism:** Refers to the situation of two or more cultural groups being side by side in a community, a region or a society. Baker and Prys define it as “the ownership of two or more sets of cultural beliefs, values and attitudes” Usually it is not related to individuals, rather political decision. Multicultural education is often designed to encourage cultural pluralism in children.'
**Culture:** The set of meanings related to actions, beliefs, attitudes, customs, social relations in all areas of everyday behaviour and social life which are shared by members of a particular group, community or society.

**Diagonal Bilingualism (*)**: A form of bilingualism where a 'non-standard' language or a dialect co-exists with an unrelated 'standard' language.

**Dialect**: A language variety whose features identify the regional or social background of the user. The term is often used in relation to a standard variety of a language (e.g. a dialect of English).

**Diglossia**: Two languages or language varieties existing together in a society in a stable arrangement whereby each language is used in specific and different context.

**Domain**: Particular contexts where a certain language is used. For example, there is the family domain where a minority language may be used. In the work domain, the majority language is used.

**Dominant Language**: The language which a person has greater proficiency in or uses more often.

**Elective Bilingualism (*)**: A form of bilingualism where individuals have chosen to become bilingual, usually through learning a second language.

**Ethnic Identity**: Those aspects of an individual’s thinking, feelings, perceptions and behaviour that are due to ethnic group membership, as well as a sense of belonging and pride in the ethnic group.

**Ethnolect**: A non-standard variety of language used by an ethnic group in a static or dynamic bilingual situation.

**Ethnolinguistics**: The study of a set of cultural ethnic and linguistic features shared by a cultural, ethnic, or subcultural social group.

---

2 Greek state has used diglossia during several periods: most common was the period of katharevousa—the language used by the state services, versus dimotiki—the everyday language supported by rich literature tradition.

3 In the present dissertation, since the majority of the participants predominantly refer to their use of spoken and not written Greek, the term Ethnolect applies to the spoken Greek in a bilingual environment without Diglossia.
First Language: This term is used in different, overlapping ways and can mean (a) the first language learnt (b) the stronger language (c) the 'mother tongue ' (d) the language most used.

Foreign Language: Language taught in school which is not normally used as a means of instruction in schools or as a language of communication within the country, in the community or in bureaucracy.

Grammar: The structure of a language; the way in which elements are combined to make words and the way in which words and phrases are combined to produce sentences.

Horizontal Bilingualism (*): A form of Bilingualism where two languages have similar or equal status.

Incipient Bilingualism (*): A form of Bilingualism where one language is much weaker than the other or not strongly developed; however the potentiality for further development is always there. It is like beginning to acquire the second language.

Language Attrition: The loss of a language within a person or a language group, gradually over time.

Language Acquisition: The process of acquiring a first or second language. Some linguists distinguish between language acquisition and language learning' of a second language, using the former to describe the informal development of a person's second language, and the latter to describe the process of formal study of a second language. Other linguists maintain that no clear distinction can be made between informal acquisition and formal learning.

Language Decline: or Language Loss. Refers to the use of a language within an individual or within a group. Language loss is particularly studied amongst in-migrants to a country where their mother tongue has little or no status, little economic value or use in education, and where language loss subsequently occurs.

Language Dominance: One language being the stronger or preferred language of an individual, or the more prestigious language within a particular region.
**Language Maintenance:** The continued use of a language, particularly amongst language minorities (for example through bilingual education). The term is often used with reference to policies that protect and promote minority languages.

**Language Minority:** A language community (or person) whose first language is different from the dominant language of the country. A group who speaks a language of low prestige, or low in power, or with low numbers in a society.

**Language Transfer:** The effect of one language on the learning of another. There can be both negative transfer, sometimes called interference, and more often positive transfer, particularly in understandings and meanings of concept s.

**Language Vitality:** The extent to which a language minority vigorously maintains and extends its everyday use and range of functions. Language vitality is said to be enhanced by factors such as language status, institutional support, economic value and the number and distribution of its speakers.

**Linguistic Purism:** A deliberate attempt to rid a language of perceived undesirable elements (e.g. dialect forms, slang, foreign loan words).

**Loan Word:** An item of vocabulary borrowed by one language from another. A loan blend occurs when the meaning is borrowed but only part of the form is borrowed; loan shift when the form is nativized; and loan translation when the components of a word are translated (e.g. 'skyscraper' into 'gratteciel' in French)

**Language Retention:** The opposite of Language Attrition. Language retention refers to an individual or a group who continue to use (or retain their ability) in a language

**Language Shift:** A change from the use of one language -usually minority- to another

**Lexeme:** is a unit of lexical meaning that exists regardless of the number of inflectional endings it may have or the number of words it may contain. It is a basic unit of meaning, and the headwords of a dictionary are all lexemes. Put more technically, a lexeme is an abstract unit of morphological
analysis in linguistics that roughly corresponds to a set of forms taken by a single word. For example, in the English language, *run, runs, ran* and *running* are forms of the same lexeme, conventionally written as RUN.

**Lexical Competence:** Competence in vocabulary.

**Lexis/Lexicon:** The vocabulary or word stock of a language, their sounds, spelling and meaning.

**Mainstreaming:** Language mainstreaming occurs when children are no longer given special support (e.g. English as a Second Language classes) and take their subjects through the majority language.

**Maintenance Bilingual Education:** A program that uses both languages of students to teach curriculum content.

**Majority Language:** A high status language usually (but not always) spoken by a majority of the population of a country.

**Multilingual:** A person who typically knows and/or uses three or more languages.

**Minority Language:** A language of low prestige and low in power. Also used to mean a language spoken by a minority of the population in a country.

**Monoglot or Monolingual:** A person who knows and/or uses one language.

**Mother Tongue:** The term is used ambiguously. It variously means (a) the language learnt from the mother (b) the first language learnt, irrespective of 'from whom' (c) the stronger language at any time of life (d) the 'mother tongue' of the area or country (e.g. Irish in Ireland) (e) the language educational equity for language minority students.

**Official Language:** The language used in a region or country for public, formal and official purposes (e.g. government, administration, education, media)

**Orthography:** Spelling.
Passive Bilingualism (*): A form of Bilingualism where the person is able to understand (and sometimes read) in a second language without speaking or writing in that second language.

Phonetics: The study of speech sounds.

Phonics: A method of teaching reading based on recognizing the sounds of letters and combinations of letters.

Phonology: The sound system of a language.

Pidgin: A language that develops as a means of communication when different language groups are in regular contact with one another. A pidgin usually has a small vocabulary and a simplified grammatical structure. Pidgins do not usually have native speakers although there are expanded pidgins (for example, in Papua New Guinea) where a pidgin is the primary language of the community. If a pidgin language expands to become the native language of a group of speakers, with a larger vocabulary and a more complex structure, it is often called a creole.

Pidginization: (1) The evolution of a pidgin language. (2) In second and foreign language learning, the development of a simplified form of the target language (also called Interlanguage). This intermediate stage is usually temporary, but according to the pidginization hypothesis, it may become permanent when learners remain socially apart from native speakers, or when the target language is infrequently used.

Plurilingual: Someone competent in more than two languages.

Polyglot: Someone competent in more than two languages.

Semantics: The study of the meaning of language.

Pragmatics: The study of the use of language in communication, with a particular emphasis on the contexts in which the language is used.

Primary Bilingualism (*): A form of Bilingualism where the two languages have been learnt 'naturally' through family and community rather than formal teaching at school.
Prosody: The study of the melody, loudness, speed and rhythm of spoken language; apart from intonation it includes the transmission of meaning that can be understood from different emphases.

Receptive Bilingualism (*): The ability to understand and read a second language, without speaking or writing it.

Secondary Bilingualism (*): A form of Bilingualism where the second language has been formally learned through school. (See also Primary Bilingualism).

Second Language: This term is used in different overlapping ways and can mean (1) the second language learnt (chronologically); (2) the weaker language; (3) a language that is not the 'mother tongue'; (4) the less used language. The term is sometimes used to cover third and further languages. The term can also be used to describe a language widely spoken in the country of the learner (as opposed to a foreign language).

Semi lingual: A controversial term used to describe people whose two languages are at a low level of development.

Sequential Bilingualism or Successive Bilingualism (*): A case of achieving Bilingualism via learning a second language later than the first language. This is distinct from Simultaneous Bilingualism where two languages are acquired concurrently. When a second language is learnt after the age of three, sequential bilingualism is said to occur.

Sociolinguistics: The study of language in relation to social groups, social class, ethnicity and other interpersonal factors in communication.

Standard Language: The prestigious variety of language that has official, formal use (e.g. in government and schooling). A standard language usually has norms for orthography, syntax, grammar and vocabulary. The standard variety is most often used in literature and other forms of media (e.g. radio, television), in school text books, in centralized policies of the curriculum.
**Standardization:** The attempt to establish a single standard form of a language particularly in its written form, for official purposes, literature, and the school curriculum.

**Streaming:** The use of homogeneous groups in teaching (also called tracking, setting, streaming, banding, ability grouping).

**Submersion Education:** The teaching of minority language pupils solely through the medium of a majority language, often alongside native speakers of the majority language. In this way minority language pupils are left to sink or swim in the mainstream curriculum.

**Subtractive Bilingualism (•):** A form of Bilingualism in which a second language is learned at the expense of the first, and gradually replaces the first language (e.g. in migrants to a country or minority language pupils in submersion education).

**Syntax:** The study of how words are combined into sentences; rules governing the ways words are combined and organized.

**Target Language:** A second or foreign language being learned or taught.

**Trade Languages in Australia:** Languages of Australia’s trade partners i.e. Chinese, Japanese, Arabic, German, and French as compared to Community or Aboriginal languages.
GLOSSARY

PART B: Terms Developed by the Researcher or Used in a Specific Sense

AUSTRALIAN VALENCY: Refers to the cultural valuation of participants in this study who were competent in and committed to the culture of the mainstream Anglo-Australian group.
(Adapted from Klokowska, 1996:467, English edition 2001:118)

BICULTURAL: Is used to refer to a person who participates in and "identifies" with the cultures of two different groups equally well. See also BIVALENT

BILINGUAL: The term is used in the study in the sense of balanced bilingual. A person who has strong and equal command of two languages in both oral and written form. However, it should be noted that Tamis (2009:20) considered a bilingual to be a person who knew and used Greek and English, irrespective of the degree of competence, range of skills and social use, including the Australian Greek Ethnol ect form. See also other forms of bilingualism in the Glossary marked with an asterisk (*).

BIVALENCY: Refers to the cultural valuation of participants in this study who were competent in and committed to two cultures, that of the mainstream Anglo-Australian group and that of the Greek community in South Australia (Adapted from Klokowska, 1996:467, English edition 2001:118). See also Dyadic

CULTURAL VALENCY: Refers to the cultural valuation of individuals who are competent in and committed to the culture of the given group. (Adapted from Klokowska, 1996:467, English edition 2001:118)

DYADIC: Refers to individuals who are Bivalent, but the duality of the cultural attraction permits them to activate equally and simultaneously both languages and cultures in an interchangeable way.

GREEK VALENCY: Refers to the cultural valuation of participants in this study who were competent in and committed to the culture of the Greek community in SA.
(Adapted from Klokowska, 1996:467, English edition 2001:118)

MONADIC: Refers to individuals who chose to activate the culture of only one group, or have only learned the culture of one group so is unable/not willing to function in more than one cultures.

MONOCULTURAL: Refers to the person who opts for, or is able to participate in only one culture.

MONOLINGUAL: a person who opts for or is able to use, only one language.

POLYVALENT: Refers to participants in this study who were competent in and committed to the cultures not only of the mainstream Australian and South Australian Greek community, but also to another culture. (i.e. children of mixed marriages).
**PSEUDO-**: not actual, but having the appearance of; almost achieving or approaching, or trying to achieve

**PSEUDO-BILINGUAL**: Refers to participants in this study who saw themselves as bilinguals because they were trying to communicate in Greek as well as English, but their competence in one or both languages was quite low.

**PSEUDO-BICULTURAL**: Refers to participants in this study who considered that they were activating Greek cultural patterns learned in their family alongside mainstream Anglo-Australian cultural patterns, without realising how their Greek cultural activation had become residual and modified through contact with the more dominant Australian cultural patterns.

**TAXONOMY**: A classification into ordered categories in order to describe, identify and name phenomena. In this study it relates to classifying participants in the study on the basis of their activation of and attitudes towards the Greek language and culture in the South Australian context.

**TYPOLOGY**: The study or systematic classification of types that have characteristics or traits in common. In Linguistics it refers to the study and classification of languages according to structural features, especially patterns of phonology, morphology, and syntax, without reference to their histories. In this study, it refers to classifying participants on the basis of their use of the Greek language in the context of South Australia.

**UNIVALENT**: Refers to individuals who are competent in, and committed to the culture of only one group. (Klokowska, 1996:467)

**VALENCY**: Attraction and bonding to a certain social phenomenon or action that occurs subconsciously or after a specific social choice or the social actor. (Klokowska, 1996:469).