



THE UNIVERSITY  
*of* ADELAIDE

**Quantifying Australian atmospheric properties  
for a Gamma-ray Observatory to operate  
at energies greater than 10 TeV**

**Tristan William Sudholz**

For the degree of Masters of Science (Astrophysics)

Principal Supervisor: Prof. B.R. Dawson

Co-Supervisors: Dr. G.P. Rowell & EProf. R.W. Clay

April, 2014



# Declaration

I, Tristan William Sudholz, certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

---

Tristan William Sudholz



# Abstract

Newly proposed  $\geq 10$  TeV ( $1 \text{ TeV} = 10^{12} \text{ eV}$ ) imaging atmospheric Cherenkov technique (IACT)  $\gamma$ -ray experiments will need optimal sites for their construction and operation at energies above 10 TeV. Quantifying the optical properties of Australian atmospheres will be part of a site survey to determine the viability of possible IACT Australian sites. Atmospheric optical properties need to be quantified as a TeV IACT  $\gamma$ -ray array detects Cherenkov radiation that has propagated through the atmosphere within the visible spectrum. For the optimum collection of Cherenkov radiation, sites with the highest optical atmospheric transmission are ideal. Another optical property of concern is the night sky background (NSB) level. NSB photons are a form of background noise that can cause accidental triggering, therefore sites with the lowest levels of NSB are desired. Further information on IACT and the NSB is presented in Chapter 2.

The optical properties of the Australian atmosphere which I quantified for the site surveys were the optical transmission and the NSB. The optical transmission was measured through the star extinction coefficient. The star extinction coefficient and the NSB were found through the method of photometry using a Pentax K10D digital single lens reflex (DSLR) camera. Because a Pentax K10D DSLR camera has not previously been used for this purpose, I initially conducted viability tests on its ability to measure the NSB and star extinction coefficients. I will present these results in Chapter 5. Data collected from multiple trips to Fowlers Gap in New South Wales, Australia, were used for this purpose. I will present the results of star extinction coefficient and NSB level measurements in Chapter 6.

To further help understand the effects of atmospheric conditions, I have investigated the effects of differing levels of aerosols and the effects of cirrus clouds on the performance of a simulated  $\geq 10$  TeV IACT  $\gamma$ -ray array. I give more information about simulated IACT  $\gamma$ -ray array's in Chapter 3 and present the results in Chapter 4. The effects of cirrus clouds were investigated as these clouds occur at a similar height to the maximum emission of Cherenkov photons for  $\gamma$ -ray shower energies around 10 TeV.



# Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the support and encouragement I received while completing this research. I would like to thank my supervisors, Bruce Dawson, Gavin Rowell, and Roger Clay, for all of their guidance, advice and support. I would like to thank my fianc for her unending patience, understanding and belief that I could complete this thesis. I would like to thanks my parents for their encouragement and support, both financial and emotional. I would like to thank Kathy's parents for their encouragement and support. Finally, I would like to thank the rest of the High Energy Group's members who I have had lively discussions with and shared a drink with at the Adelaide Uni Staff Club.





# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	3
1.2	Present Work . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>The Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Technique (IACT)</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	IACT Telescopes . . . . .	7
2.1.1	Night Sky Background . . . . .	9
2.2	Extensive Air Showers (EAS) . . . . .	11
2.2.1	Electromagnetic Showers . . . . .	11
2.2.2	Hadronic Showers . . . . .	13
2.3	Cherenkov Radiation . . . . .	14
2.4	The Earth's Atmosphere . . . . .	16
<b>3</b>	<b>Simulations of a <math>\geq 10</math> TeV IACT Array</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1	An IACT Array . . . . .	19
3.1.1	Image Parameterisation and Stereoscopic Reconstruction . . . . .	19
3.2	Performance Simulation . . . . .	23
3.2.1	IACT Array Configuration . . . . .	25
3.2.2	Cosmic-ray Background Rejection and Angular Resolution	25
<b>4</b>	<b>Performance Simulation of an IACT Array with Differing Atmo- spheres</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1	MODTRAN Models . . . . .	27
4.2	Aerosol Simulation Result . . . . .	30
4.3	Effects of Cirrus Cloud . . . . .	33
4.4	Summary . . . . .	37
<b>5</b>	<b>Photometry with a Pentax K10D DSLR Camera</b>	<b>39</b>
5.1	Background . . . . .	39
5.1.1	Equipment . . . . .	39
5.1.2	Method of Photometry . . . . .	41
5.1.3	Photometry: Star Extinction Coefficient . . . . .	42
5.1.4	Photometry: Night Sky Background (NSB) . . . . .	45
5.1.5	Gain: Method . . . . .	45
5.2	Camera Suitability Results . . . . .	46
5.2.1	Linearity . . . . .	46
5.2.2	Dark-Field . . . . .	48
5.2.2.1	Simulation . . . . .	54

5.2.3	Gain . . . . .	59
5.2.4	Flat-Field . . . . .	60
5.2.5	Bayer Filter . . . . .	67
5.3	Summary . . . . .	69
<b>6</b>	<b>Study of Fowlers Gap and its Night Sky</b>	<b>71</b>
6.1	Location . . . . .	71
6.2	Star Extinction Coefficients Results . . . . .	72
6.3	Star Extinction Coefficient Simulation . . . . .	78
6.4	Night Sky Background Results . . . . .	88
6.5	Summary . . . . .	96
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>99</b>
7.1	Future Work . . . . .	100
<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>Extra Camera Suitability Plots</b>	<b>103</b>
A.1	Dark-field and Star-field image separation . . . . .	103
A.2	Extra Gain Histograms . . . . .	112
A.3	Extra Flat-field Plots . . . . .	116
<b>Appendix B</b>	<b>Star Extinction Coefficient Plots</b>	<b>119</b>
B.1	15/02/2010: Southern Cross . . . . .	119
B.2	11/08/2010: Scorpio . . . . .	139
B.3	13/12/2010: Southern Cross . . . . .	149
B.4	13/12/2010: Taurus . . . . .	160
<b>Appendix C</b>	<b>Coordinate Conversion and Plate Constant</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>Appendix D</b>	<b>NSB Uncertainty Plots</b>	<b>181</b>