

Multi-order Vector Finite Element Modeling
of 3D Magnetotelluric Data Including
Complex Geometry and Anisotropy

Aixa M. Rivera Ríos

Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in
The University of Adelaide
School of Earth and Environmental Sciences
June, 2014

For the life.

Contents

List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vi
List of Symbols and Acronyms	x
Abstract	xi
Statement of Originality	xiii
Acknowledgments	xiv
Introduction	xv
1 Magnetotelluric Method	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Energy Sources and Measurements	1
1.2.1 Sources	1
1.2.2 Measurements	2
1.3 EM Induction in the Earth	3
1.3.1 EM Induction in the Air	5
1.3.2 EM Induction in a Homogeneous Earth	6
1.3.3 EM Induction in a Layered Earth	8
1.3.4 2D Earth EM Induction	11
1.3.5 3D Earth EM Induction	13
1.4 Galvanic Distortion	14
1.5 Dimensionality Analysis	15
1.5.1 WAL Invariants	15
1.5.2 Phase Tensor	16
1.5.3 WALDIM	16
1.6 Electrical Anisotropy	17
2 3D MT Modeling and Inversion	18
2.1 Introduction	18
2.2 Problem Statement	19
2.3 Finite Difference Method	21
2.4 Integral Equation Method	22
2.5 Finite Element Method	23
2.5.1 Galerkin Method	24

2.5.2	Domain Discretization	24
2.5.3	Nodal FEM	25
2.5.4	Vector FEM	26
2.6	System of Equations Solvers	28
2.7	3D Inversion	29
2.7.1	Unconstrained Nonlinear Optimization	29
2.7.2	Newton Iterations	30
2.7.3	Constrained Optimization	31
3	Multi-order Vector Finite Element Method	32
3.1	Introduction	32
3.2	Magnetotelluric Problem	33
3.2.1	Secondary Field Formulation	33
3.2.2	Primary Fields	35
3.2.3	Boundary Conditions	35
3.3	Vector Finite Elements	39
3.3.1	Domain Discretization	39
3.3.2	Nodal Basis Functions	41
3.3.3	Vector Basis Functions	42
3.3.4	Local Problem: Numerical Integration	44
3.3.5	Assembly and Solution	46
4	Validation: Homogeneous Earth	49
4.1	Introduction	49
4.2	Resistive Earth	50
4.2.1	Input Model and MT Problem	50
4.2.2	hp-Convergence Analysis	50
4.2.3	Model Discretization	52
4.2.4	Dirichlet Results	53
4.2.5	GPML (Fang, 1996) Results	56
4.2.6	GPML (Zhou et al., 2012) Results	58
4.2.7	Frequency Range Validation	60
4.3	Conductive Earth	62
4.3.1	Input Model and MT Problem	62
4.3.2	hp-Convergence Analysis	62
4.3.3	Model Discretization	63
4.3.4	Dirichlet Results	64
4.3.5	GPML (Fang, 1996) Results	65
4.3.6	GPML (Zhou et al., 2012) Results	67
4.3.7	Frequency Range Validation	67
4.4	General Remarks	69
5	Applications: Complex Models	71
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	COMMEMI 3D-1A	72
5.3	COMMEMI 3D-2	75
5.4	Topography Effect for Homogeneous Earth	78
5.5	Anisotropic Homogeneous Earth	81

5.6	Three Layers with Complex Interfaces: Isotropic Case	83
5.7	Three Layers with Complex Interfaces: Anisotropic Case	86
5.8	General Remarks	88
6	Conclusions	90
6.1	Future Work	91
A	Finite Element Method	93
A.1	Sobolev Space	93
A.2	Isoparametric Elements	95
A.2.1	Coordinate Transformation	95
B	MoVFEM Algorithm	97
B.1	MT Problem	97
B.1.1	Maxwell's Equations with Secondary Field Formulation	97
B.1.2	Homogeneous Earth	97
B.1.3	Layered Earth	98
B.1.4	MT Governing Equations with GPML	98
B.2	Complete Nodal Basis Functions	100
B.3	Complete Multi-Order Vector Basis Functions	101
B.4	Covariant Basis Vectors	104
B.5	Curl of Basis Vector	105
B.6	Assembly	106
C	Fortran Program	108
	References	112

List of Figures

1.1	MT field layout	2
1.2	Faraday's and Ampere's Law	3
1.3	Skin-depths for different resistivities and periods	7
1.4	Apparent resistivity and phase plots for a layered Earth	10
1.5	2D conductivity model with vertical contact	11
2.1	Staggered grid	22
2.2	Multigrid scheme	22
2.3	IE discretization	23
2.4	Tetrahedral edge-elements	26
2.5	Hexahedral edge-elements	27
3.1	GPML scheme	37
3.2	GPML stretching factor graphs	39
3.3	Computational domain discretization	40
3.4	Nodal numbering in element	41
4.1	Convergence plots of resistive model	51
4.2	Domain discretization for resistive Earth model	52
4.3	MT responses of Dirichlet boundaries	53
4.4	Surface resistivities from Dirichlet boundaries	55
4.5	MT responses from GPML (Fang) boundaries	56
4.6	Surface resistivities from GPML (Fang) boundaries	58
4.7	MT responses from GPML (Zhou) boundaries	59
4.8	Surface resistivities from GPML (Zhou) boundaries	60
4.9	MT responses on frequency range with linear elements	61
4.10	MT responses on frequency range with quadratic elements	61
4.11	MT responses on frequency range with Lagrangian elements	62
4.12	Convergence for conductive half-space	63
4.13	Conductive half-space discretization grids	64
4.14	MT responses of Dirichlet boundaries for conductive half-space	65
4.15	Conductive model: MT responses from GPML (Fang) boundaries	66
4.16	Conductive model: MT responses from GPML (Zhou) boundaries	67
4.17	Conductive model: MT responses on frequency range with linear-order edge-elements	68
4.18	Conductive model: MT responses on frequency range with quadratic elements	68

4.19	Conductive model: MT responses on frequency range with Lagrangian elements	69
5.1	COMMEMI model 3D-1A	72
5.2	COMMEMI model 3D-1A computational grid	73
5.3	Apparent resistivity and phase results for the model COMMEMI 3D-1A	74
5.4	Surface resistivity values for the model COMMEMI 3D-1A	75
5.5	Input model of COMMEMI 3D-2	75
5.6	Computational grid for the model COMMEMI 3D-2	76
5.7	Apparent resistivity and phase results for the model COMMEMI 3D-2	77
5.8	Apparent resistivity on the surface of the COMMEMI 3D-2 model	78
5.9	2D topography input model	79
5.10	2D topography computational grid	79
5.11	Apparent resistivity and phase results for the homogeneous model with 2D topography	80
5.12	Surface resistivities from the homogeneous model with 2D topography	81
5.13	Anisotropic homogeneous computational grid	82
5.14	Apparent resistivity and phase plots for the anisotropic homogeneous model	82
5.15	Apparent resistivity on the surface of the homogeneous model with transverse anisotropy	83
5.16	Input model for the layered model with complex interfaces	83
5.17	Computational grid for the layered model with complex interfaces	84
5.18	Apparent resistivity and phase results for the layered model with complex interfaces: isotropic case	85
5.19	Surface resistivity for the layered model with complex interfaces: isotropic case	86
5.20	Apparent resistivity and phase results for the layered model with complex interfaces: anisotropic case	87
5.21	Surface resistivity for the layered model with complex interfaces: anisotropic case	88
A.1	De Rham Complex	94
A.2	Tonti diagram	94
A.3	Hexahedral isoparametric element	95
B.1	Linear edge-elements numbering scheme.	101
B.2	Quadratic edge-elements numbering scheme	102
B.3	Lagrangian edge-elements numbering scheme	103
C.1	Fortran program flowchart	108
C.2	Fortran program sub-flowcharts	110

List of Tables

2.1	Interface conditions in MT modeling	20
2.2	EM fields in staggered grids	22
4.1	Resistive model: time & memory with Dirichlet boundaries	54
4.2	Resistive model: Time & memory with GPML (Fang) boundaries . . .	56
4.3	Resistive model: time & memory with GPML (Zhou) boundaries	59
4.4	Conductive model: time & memory with Dirichlet boundaries	65
4.5	Conductive model: time & memory with GPML (Fang) boundaries . .	66
4.6	Conductive model: Time & memory with GPML (Zhou) boundaries . .	67

List of Symbols and Acronyms

List of Symbols	This list presents the definition of most used symbols in this thesis.
(ξ, η, ζ)	Isoparametric coordinates
(x, y, z)	Cartesian coordinates in m or km
ν, κ	General 3×3 EM model tensors
δ	Skin-depth in m
Γ	Surface boundary of a domain
$\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \rangle$	Vector inner product
$\hat{\mathbf{n}}$	Unit normal vector
$\tilde{\mathbf{s}}^F$	Modified source field with GPML
$\mathbf{B} = (B_x, B_y, B_z)$	Magnetic induction in T
\mathbf{B}_0	Magnetic induction on a reference point on the surface
\mathbf{D}	Displacement currents in Cm^{-2}
$\mathbf{E} = (E_x, E_y, E_z)$	Electric field in Vm^{-1}
\mathbf{E}_0	Electric field on a reference point on the surface
$\mathbf{F} = (F_x, F_y, F_z)$	General field
\mathbf{F}_0	General field on a reference point on the surface
$\mathbf{H} = (H_x, H_y, H_z)$	Magnetic field in Am^{-1}
\mathbf{I}	3×3 Identity matrix
\mathbf{j}	Current density in Am^{-2}
\mathbf{r}	$\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$ is a point with Cartesian coordinates
\mathbf{s}	General source vector
$\mathbf{v}_i(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$	Vector basis function (edge-element)

\mathbf{w}	Weighting vector function for the Galerkin method
$\mathcal{C}(\Omega)$	Differentiability class of continuous and differentiable functions
$\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$	Sobolev space
$\mathcal{H}_0(\Omega)$	Sobolev space in terms of the boundary of the domain
C	Schmucker-Weidelt transfer function in km
$D(\mathbf{m})$	Differential operator with an EM model \mathbf{m}
$e^x = \exp(x)$	Exponential function
Ω	A 3D domain
$\omega = 2\pi f$	Angular frequency in Hz
Ω_e	Hexahedral element of the domain Ω
ϕ	MT phase in degrees
$\rho = 1/\sigma$	Resistivity in Ωm
ρ_a	Apparent resistivity in Ωm
a_0, b_0	GPML stretching factor parameters of Fang (1996)
$a_{min}, a_{max}, b_{min}, b_{max}$	GPML stretching factor parameters of Zhou et al. (2012)
$c(\omega)$	MT transfer function for layered Earth
C_Γ	Defines the boundary integration in the Galerkin method
$e(z)$	Plane wave propagation within layers
f	Frequency in Hz
$f(z)$	Plane wave characteristic of EM fields in a homogeneous Earth
$h_{x_m} = h_{x_m}(x_m)$	GPML stretching factor
$i = \sqrt{-1}$	Imaginary number
$k = \sqrt{i\omega\mu\sigma}$	Propagation constant or wave number
m_e	Number of edges in the hexahedral element
n_e	Number of nodes in the hexahedral element
$N_i(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$	Nodal basis function
t	Time in s
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$	3×3 Conductivity tensor in Sm^{-1}
σ	Scalar conductivity in Sm^{-1}

$\mu = \mu_0 \mu_r$	Scalar magnetic permeability in Hm^{-1}
$\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$	Magnetic permeability of a vacuum in Hm^{-1}
$\mu_r \approx 1$	Relative magnetic permeability of a medium
$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r$	Electric permittivity in Fm^{-1}
$\varepsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12}$	Electric permittivity of a vacuum in Fm^{-1}
ε_r	Relative electric permittivity of a medium
$\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_y, \partial_z)$	Nabla operator
$\partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$	Partial derivative with respect to x variable
\mathbf{Z}	2×2 Impedance tensor in VA^{-1}
Z	1D MT impedance in VA^{-1}
$\partial_{\tilde{x}_i} = \frac{1}{h_{x_i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$	GPML modified partial derivative
$\tilde{\nabla} = (\partial_{\tilde{x}_1}, \partial_{\tilde{x}_2}, \partial_{\tilde{x}_3})$	Modified differential operator with GPML
q	Electric charge
\Im	Imaginary part
\Re	Real part
Acronyms	This list presents the definition of most used acronyms in this thesis.
1D	One-Dimensional
2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
EM	Electromagnetic
FD	Finite Difference
FEM	Finite Element Method
GPML	Generalized Perfect Matched Layers
IE	Integral Equation
MoVFEM	Multi-order Vector Finite Element Method Algorithm
MT	Magnetotelluric
PDE	Partial Differential Equation
PML	Perfect Matched Layer

TE	Transverse Electric
TM	Transverse Magnetic
VFEM	Vector Finite Element Method

Abstract

This thesis presents the development of a computational algorithm in Fortran, to model 3D magnetotelluric (MT) data using a Multi-order Vector Finite Element Method (MoVFEM) to include complex geometry (such as topography, and subsurface interfaces). All the modules in MoVFEM have been programmed from the beginning, unless specified by referencing the libraries used. The governing equations to be solved are the decoupled electromagnetic (EM) partial differential equations for the secondary electric field, or the secondary magnetic field, with a symmetric conductivity tensor to include anisotropy. The primary fields are the solution of a plane-wave within the air domain.

Two boundary conditions are implemented, namely the Generalized Perfect Matched Layers method (GPML) and Dirichlet boundary conditions. Three Dirichlet boundary schemes are applied, first considering zero EM fields at the boundaries of the computational domain; secondly, considering the boundaries as homogeneous Earth; and finally, considering the boundaries as a layered Earth. Two formulations of GPML are implemented in this algorithm, firstly the original GPML formulation and secondly, the GPML parameters are modified for the MT and Controlled Source Electromagnetic (CSEM) problem.

High-order edge-elements are defined based on covariant projections, and mixed-order edge-elements for hexahedra. The vector basis functions are defined for linear elements (12 edge-elements), quadratic elements (36 edge-elements), and Lagrangian elements (54 edge-elements). By this definition, the vector basis will have zero divergence in the case of rectangular elements and relatively small divergence in the case of distorted elements.

The validation of this computational algorithm is performed with a homogeneous Earth, where the analytic solution of the MT problem is known. In the validation, the convergence of the solution is analyzed for different grid spacing and for different element-orders with Dirichlet boundary conditions. High-order elements produce accurate solutions with larger spacing than the fine grid needed for linear-order elements.

After the convergence analysis, the solution obtained with all the proposed boundary conditions, and edge-element orders are compared for one frequency, and for a frequency range. In the homogeneous Earth, Dirichlet boundary condition presents backward reflections from the boundaries of the computational domain to the center of the model. Both GPML formulations produce more stable solutions, where no boundary reflections are present. However the MT responses fluctuate within a small range close to the values for the homogeneous Earth. The GPML formulation for MT and CSEM produce more accurate results and stabilize the MT responses over a frequency range.

This algorithm is applied to synthetic examples with complex conductivity struc-

tures. Some of these synthetic examples have been published previously, thus the results of this algorithm are compared qualitatively. In the case of anisotropy and complex geometry, the proposed synthetic examples have not been published, and a discussion of how the MT responses behave for these Earth examples is presented.

This computational algorithm could be extended with the use of an adaptive method, and it could be implemented in an algorithm for 3D inversion of MT data.

Statement of Originality

I certify that the work presented in this thesis is original and has not been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent for this thesis to be made available for loan and photocopying after it has been deposited in the University Library, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give consent for the digital version of the thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Aixa M. Rivera-Ríos

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my supervisors Bing Zhou, Graham Heinson and Stephan Thiel for their guidance during this time. Special thanks to Bing Zhou for helping me on the development of the computational algorithm, and giving me feedback about the methodology. Graham Heinson and Stephan Thiel, thanks for helping me understanding the theory behind the MT method.

I wish to thank my colleagues in the MT group, fellow PhD students and staff of Geology and Geophysics. I am grateful to Jenny Reiners for opening the doors of Australia for me, thanks for giving me a place to stay in the first weeks! Thanks to Jared Peacock for allowing me to be part of his field work, and gain experience applying the MT method. Special thanks to Lars Krieger, and Kate Robertson for taking the time to read my thesis to correct the English and writing format.

I am grateful to Andrew Hill and Paul Coddington of eResearch, SA for their support in the use of high performance computing facilities.

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council with the Discovery Project (DP20106713). Special thanks to Kinesis, PR Foundation for their scholarship to help pay for my studies in Adelaide. Thanks to the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences for providing a scholarship to live in Adelaide.

Personally, I wish to thank Adalexis, Pedro, Sasha, Alexis, Dorian, and Julia for their love, support and encouragement, and for being an awesome family! Thanks to Veronica for being there listening and helping me to get through the good and bad times. Marcelo and Alejandro, you have been like my brothers here, thanks for everything! Meryn, thanks for being there when I needed to clear my mind. Thanks Michael Juttner, your care and support over these years was necessary, thanks for reviewing my writing! Special thanks to Tim Wong, Lisa Farrell and Emma Watson for being great housemates, understanding my moments of stress and cloister, and being like a family.