

SEAGRASS ECOLOGY AND PHYSIOLOGY IN A  
LOCALLY AND GLOBALLY CHANGING ENVIRONMENT



Owen W. Burnell

Presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

The University of Adelaide, South Australia

January 2014



Cover Image: A short-spined sea-urchin (*Amblypneustes pallidus*) within a seagrass meadow (*Posidonia* sp.). Photo credit: Owen Burnell

## **THESIS DECLARATION**

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (of which permission to reproduce is listed in Appendix C) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Owen Burnell

January 2014

# CONTENTS

<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 – GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>1.1 Seagrasses and anthropogenic loss</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>1.2 Changing abiotic conditions of global origin</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>1.3 Changing biotic interactions of global origin</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>1.4 Southern Australian seagrasses</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>1.5 Changing biotic interactions of local origin</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>1.6 The study of multiple stressors</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>1.7 Scope and outline of the thesis</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2 – ASYMMETRIC PATTERNS OF RECOVERY IN TWO HABITAT FORMING SEAGRASS SPECIES FOLLOWING SIMULATED OVERGRAZING BY URCHINS</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Preamble</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>2.1 Abstract</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>2.2 Introduction</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>2.3 Materials &amp; methods</b>	<b>41</b>

2.3.1 Study region	41
2.3.2 Field sampling	41
2.3.3 Urchin grazing (Experiment 1)	42
2.3.4 Grazing experiment statistical analyses	44
2.3.5 Recovery from simulated grazing (Experiment 2)	44
2.3.6 Partial removal treatment	45
2.3.7 Recovery from simulated grazing statistical analyses	46
<b>2.4 Results</b>	<b>47</b>
2.4.1 Field sampling	47
2.4.2 Grazing experiment (Experiment 1)	47
2.4.3 Seagrass recovery from simulated grazing (Experiment 2)	50
<b>2.5 Discussion</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>2.6 Conclusion</b>	<b>62</b>

## **CHAPTER 3 – NUTRIENT ENRICHMENT MODERATES TOP-DOWN**

<b>CONTROL OF SEAGRASS BY SEA-URCHIN GRAZING</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>Preamble</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>3.1 Abstract</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>3.2 Introduction</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>3.3 Materials and methods</b>	<b>72</b>
3.3.1 Study site	72
3.3.2 Experimental design	72
3.3.3 Experimental sampling	74
3.3.4 Seagrass parameters	74
3.3.5 Leaf growth rates	75

3.3.6 <i>Epiphyte cover</i>	75
3.3.7 <i>Biochemical and physical properties of seagrass</i>	76
3.3.8 <i>Statistical analyses</i>	77
<b>3.4 Results</b>	<b>78</b>
3.4.1 <i>Seagrass habitat</i>	78
3.4.2 <i>Epiphyte cover</i>	81
3.4.3 <i>Biochemical and physical properties of seagrass</i>	81
3.4.4 <i>Water column nutrients</i>	86
<b>3.5 Discussion</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>3.6 Supplementary data</b>	<b>95</b>

## **CHAPTER 4 – CONTEMPORARY RELIANCE ON BICARBONATE**

### **ACQUISITION PREDICTS INCREASED GROWTH OF SEAGRASS**

#### ***AMPHIBOLIS ANTARCTICA* IN A HIGH CO<sub>2</sub> WORLD** 101

#### **Preamble** 103

#### **4.1 Abstract** 104

#### **4.2 Introduction** 105

#### **4.3 Materials and methods** 108

##### *4.3.1 Plant material* 108

##### *4.3.2 C<sub>i</sub> acquisition mechanisms* 109

##### *4.3.3 Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> on photosynthesis and growth* 110

##### *4.3.4 Chlorophyll fluorescence* 111

##### *4.3.5 Photosynthesis and respiration* 112

##### *4.3.6 Growth* 113

##### *4.3.7 Chlorophyll determination* 113

<b>4.4 Results</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>4.5 Discussion</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>4.6 Supplementary data</b>	<b>128</b>

## **CHAPTER 5 – SEAGRASS RESPONSE TO CO<sub>2</sub> CONTINGENT ON EPIPHYTIC**

### **ALGAE: INDIRECT EFFECTS CAN OVERWHELM DIRECT EFFECTS 133**

<b>Preamble</b>	<b>135</b>
<b>5.1 Abstract</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>5.2 Introduction</b>	<b>137</b>
<b>5.3 Materials and methods</b>	<b>140</b>
<i>5.3.1 Collection site and biology</i>	140
<i>5.3.2 Experimental design</i>	141
<i>5.3.3 CO<sub>2</sub></i>	143
<i>5.3.4 Light</i>	143
<i>5.3.5 Biomass and growth parameters</i>	144
<i>5.3.6 PAM Fluorometry</i>	145
<i>5.3.7 Statistical Analyses</i>	146
<b>5.4 Results</b>	<b>147</b>
<i>5.4.1 Seagrass biomass</i>	147
<i>5.4.2 Leaf growth</i>	147
<i>5.4.3 Seagrass epiphytes</i>	153
<i>5.4.4 Seagrass physiology</i>	156
<i>5.4.5 Seagrass biochemistry</i>	158
<b>5.5 Discussion</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>5.6 Supporting information</b>	<b>166</b>

<b>CHAPTER 6 – EUTROPHICATION OFFSETS INCREASED SEA- URCHIN GRAZING ON SEAGRASS CAUSED BY OCEAN WARMING AND ACIDIFICATION</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>Preamble</b>	<b>174</b>
<b>6.1 Abstract</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>6.2 Introduction</b>	<b>176</b>
<b>6.3 Materials and methods</b>	<b>179</b>
<i>6.3.1 Temperature, pH and nutrient manipulation</i>	182
<i>6.3.2 Statistical analyses</i>	183
<b>6.4 Results</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>6.5 Discussion</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>CHAPTER 7 – GENERAL DISCUSSION</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>7.1 Changing biotic interactions of local origin</b>	<b>200</b>
<b>7.2 Changing abiotic conditions of global origin</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>7.3 Changing biotic interactions of global origin</b>	<b>205</b>
<b>7.4 Future research</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>7.5 Conclusions</b>	<b>212</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>214</b>

## **APPENDIX A – PREDICTING THE DISTRIBUTION OF**

### **COMMERCIALLY IMPORTANT INVERTEBRATE STOCKS**

#### **UNDER FUTURE CLIMATE 257**

#### **Preamble 258**

#### **A.1 Abstract 259**

#### **A.2 Introduction 259**

#### **A.3 Materials and methods 260**

##### *A.3.1 Species distribution models 260*

##### *A.3.2 Sea surface temperature projections 260*

##### *A.3.3 Abalone range projections 261*

##### *A.3.4 Juvenile mortality – experimental methods 261*

#### **A.4 Results 261**

#### **A.5 Discussion 262**

#### **A.6 Supporting information 266**

#### **A.7 Acknowledgements 266**

#### **A.8 Author contributions 266**

#### **A.9 References 266**

## **APPENDIX B – SUSTAINABILITY IN NEAR-SHORE MARINE**

### **SYSTEMS: PROMOTING NATURAL RESILIENCE 268**

#### **Preamble 269**

#### **B.1 Abstract 270**

#### **B.2 Introduction 270**

#### **B.3 Synergistic effects and accelerated degradation 271**

<b>B.4 Promoting natural system resilience</b>	<b>272</b>
<b>B.5 Conclusions</b>	<b>273</b>
<b>B.6 Acknowledgements</b>	<b>274</b>
<b>B.7 References</b>	<b>274</b>

## **APPENDIX C – PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE PUBLISHED**

<b>MATERIAL</b>	<b>278</b>
-----------------	------------

## THESIS ABSTRACT

Human beings can modify the abiotic conditions and biotic interactions that shape natural ecosystems. The primary aim of my thesis was to elucidate local- and global-scale anthropogenic changes of importance in shaping seagrass habitats, by examining how a number of both established and forecasted conditions can modify biotic interactions between seagrass and their consumers (i.e. grazing herbivores), as well as interactions between seagrass and their competitors (i.e. algal epiphytes). I approached this from both a top-down (i.e. consumer driven) and bottom-up (i.e. resource limitation) perspective.

I begin by examining how urchin-epiphyte-seagrass interactions can modify habitat loss in local seagrass beds. This was motivated by the observation that the seagrass species *Amphibolis antarctica* appeared to suffer disproportionately under the influence of herbivore expansions. To this end, I found the recovery rate of the seagrass *Amphibolis antarctica* was much slower following grazing damage than contiguous meadows of *Posidonia sinuosa*, which appeared to result from the distinct morphological differences between these two seagrasses (i.e. elevated vs. basal meristems, respectively). Given these emerging urchin effects and the legacy of seagrass loss from nutrient enrichment, next I investigated how these processes (i.e. top-down vs. bottom-up) might interact to shape the maintenance or loss of seagrass habitats. I found that the independent negative effects of urchins and nutrients do not combine in an intuitive manner, but rather eutrophic conditions reduce the *per capita* grazing of urchins on seagrass, as they appear to acquire greater nutrition from increasing food quality.

After documenting these emerging local changes to seagrass, I examined how forecasted global changes, in particular increases in dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> could modify future seagrass meadows. From a resource limitation perspective I examined the carbon physiology of three seagrass species, consistently finding they were reliant on energetically costly bicarbonate acquisition under contemporary CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. Subsequent growth experiments at enriched CO<sub>2</sub> uncovered this contemporary limitation could translate to greater growth for seagrass under future CO<sub>2</sub> due to lower energetic requirements for photosynthesis. However, I also examined the implications of changing CO<sub>2</sub> resources on epiphyte-seagrass interactions, finding under certain abiotic conditions, such as high light, opportunistic epiphytes are strong competitors that could inhibit future seagrass growth. Finally using urchin-seagrass interactions as a simplified model ecosystem, I found global increases in both temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> could increase top-down grazing control on seagrass meadows, as it appears the physiological demands on some grazing herbivores (i.e. ectotherms) could be greater in a high CO<sub>2</sub> world.

In conclusion, I add to the growing evidence for top-down grazer effects on seagrass, highlighting population expansions of urchins can drive strong, but variable, effects on seagrass, dependent upon species vulnerability and nutrient status of meadows. In reference to global change, I highlight the importance of biotic interactions in modifying the response of seagrass habitats to forecasted CO<sub>2</sub> levels. Thus, the future of habitat-forming producers should not be inferred or assumed *a priori*, but requires rigorous tests of how anthropogenic change modifies the interactions between organisms across all trophic levels.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Thank you to all my supervisors for their guidance, expertise and enthusiasm, your willingness to contribute advice and invest your limited time was always appreciated. Prof. Sean Connell, for your honest and critical opinion, which always helped to develop my work. Dr Bayden Russell, for your attention to detail and thoughtful advice on all aspects of my research. Dr Andrew Irving, for your contribution of countless hours to assist with experimental ideas and manuscript writing, making the experience a truly collaborative one. A special thanks also to A/Prof. Jennifer Watling whom offered up her time and physiology expertise.

Members past and present of the Southern Seas Ecology Laboratories; especially Laura Falkenberg, who has been a great friend since day one, you're always the first and only person who understands, but also laughs, at my frustrations and failures. Sam Owen, Kingsley Griffin and Eric Drew for your help and friendship during field work, those days help maintain resolve when the water seems very far away. To all of those I have shared an office, lab or field site with over the years, especially Tom Barnes, Kayla Gilmore, Pete Fraser, Skye Woodcock and Chloe McSkimming.

My family; you support me in whatever I choose to do, for which I am truly grateful. In particular my father for providing extra incentive the day he declared the 1992 bottle of Grange Hermitage wine he was gifted would be consumed either the day I handed up or on his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday in November 2013. A challenge I narrowly lost.

## **CHAPTER ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

### **CHAPTER 2**

Thanks to Eric Drew, Samuel Owen and Kingsley Griffin for the many hours of diving assistance. The Field Naturalists Society of South Australia provided two Lirabenda Endowment Fund Grants to O.W.B. that helped fund the research. S.D.C. and B.D.R. were funded by an ARC grant and S.D.C. an ARC Future Fellowship.

### **CHAPTER 3**

We thank Eric Drew, Sam Owen and Kingsley Griffin for assistance in the field. Thanks also to Nenah Mackenzie from The University of Adelaide for operating the Mass Spectrometer. O.W.B. was awarded two Lirabenda Endowment Fund Grants from the Field Naturalists Society of South Australia that supported the research. S.D.C. and B.D.R. were funded by an ARC grant and S.D.C. an ARC Future Fellowship.

### **CHAPTER 4**

We would like to thank members of the Plant Ecophysiology Laboratory at The University of Adelaide for welcoming us into their laboratory, as well as Julie Francis for assistance with oxygen electrodes. S.D.C. and B.D.R. were funded by an ARC grant and S.D.C. an ARC Future Fellowship.

## **CHAPTER 5**

We would like to thank Nenah Mackenzie from The University of Adelaide for operating the Mass Spectrometer. S.D.C. and B.D.R. were funded by an ARC grant and S.D.C. an ARC Future Fellowship. We would also like to thank two anonymous reviewers and the Associate Editor whose comments improved an early draft of the manuscript.

## **CHAPTER 6**

Thanks to four anonymous reviewers, whose comments significantly improved the manuscript. We would also like to thank the Nature Foundation of South Australia for a grant awarded to O.W.B. that contributed funding for the project, as well as L. Falkenberg for assistance with analytical methods. S.D.C. and B.D.R. were funded by an ARC grant, and S.D.C. was funded by an ARC Future Fellowship.