

ACCEPTED VERSION

Ee Ling Ng, Antonio Frank Patti, Michael Timothy Rose, Cassandra Rae Scheffe, Kevin Wilkinson, Timothy Richard Cavagnaro

Functional stoichiometry of soil microbial communities after amendment with stabilised organic matter

Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 2014; 76:170-178

©2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

[10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.016)

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Soil Biology and Biochemistry. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 2014; 76:170-178, [10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.05.016)

Source:

<http://www.elsevier.com/journal-authors/policies/open-access-policies/article-posting-policy>

Elsevier's Policy: An author may use the preprint for personal use, internal institutional use and for permitted scholarly posting.

...

Elsevier's AAM Policy: Authors retain the right to use the accepted author manuscript for personal use, internal institutional use and for permitted scholarly posting provided that these are not for purposes of commercial use or systematic distribution.

Elsevier believes that individual authors should be able to distribute their AAMs for their personal voluntary needs and interests, e.g. posting to their websites or their institution's repository, e-mailing to colleagues. However, our policies differ regarding the systematic aggregation or distribution of AAMs to ensure the sustainability of the journals to which AAMs are submitted. Therefore, deposit in, or posting to, subject-oriented or centralized repositories (such as PubMed Central), or institutional repositories with systematic posting mandates is permitted only under specific agreements between Elsevier and the repository, agency or institution, and only consistent with the publisher's policies concerning such repositories. Voluntary posting of AAMs in the arXiv subject repository is permitted.

...

Permitted scholarly posting: Voluntary posting by an author on open websites operated by the author or the author's institution for scholarly purposes, as determined by the author, or (in connection with preprints) on preprint servers.

April 21, 2015

<http://hdl.handle.net/2440/86352>

1 **Title:** Functional stoichiometry of soil microbial communities after amendment with
2 stabilised organic matter

3

4 **Authors:** ^{a, b}*Ng, Ee Ling; ^bPatti, Antonio Frank; ^{a, b}Rose, Michael Timothy; ^{c1}Scheffe,
5 Cassandra Rae; ^cWilkinson, Kevin; ^{a, d}Cavagnaro, Timothy Richard

6

7 ¹*School of Biological Sciences, ²School of Chemistry, Monash University, Victoria 3800,*
8 *Australia. ³Department of Environment and Primary Industries, Victoria, Australia.*

9 ⁴*School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, University of Adelaide Waite Campus, Urrbrae,*
10 *South Australia 5064, Australia*

11 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +613 99051660; Fax: +613 9905 5613.

12 *E-mail address:* eeling.ng@monash.edu

13 Co-author emails: tony.patti@monash.edu, mick.rose@monash.edu,

14 cassandra.scheffe@gmail.com, kevin.wilkinson@depi.vic.gov.au,

15 timothy.cavagnaro@monash.edu

16

17 *Keywords:* soil enzymes; nutrient cycling; soil microbial community; ecological
18 stoichiometry; functional stoichiometry

19

20

¹ present address: Riverine Plains Inc. PO Box 214, Mulwala, NSW 2647

1 **Abstract**

2 The transformation of organic matter amendments in the soil is regulated by soil microbial
3 communities. We examined the utility of ecological and functional stoichiometry theories to
4 explain microbial transformation of organic amendments in the soil and examined the key
5 relationships between soil microbial community composition, biomass and activity with
6 resource elemental composition (soil and organic input) and nutrient availability. Using two
7 contrasting soils amended with raw green waste, its compost or biochar, we found that
8 microbial PLFA composition was distinct for each soil and organic amendment. Microbial
9 activity was strongly influenced by organic amendment. Further, we observed that changes in
10 the soil stoichiometry with inputs were accompanied by changes in total PLFA and bacteria:
11 fungal ratio, but the relationships between them were inconsistent and changed over time.
12 Microbial activities involved in C, N and P cycling were generally correlated, but the
13 relationship between hydrolase β -glucosidase (BGL) and microbial N and P activities was
14 stronger and more consistent than that between oxidases (phenol oxidase PPO, peroxidase
15 POX) and microbial N and P activities. These microbial activity relationships translated to a
16 consistent relationship between $\log(\text{BGL}):\log(\text{nutrient})$ and soil C:nutrient but a weaker and
17 inconsistent relationship between $\log(\text{PPO}+\text{POX}):\log(\text{nutrient})$ and soil C:nutrient. Our
18 analyses indicate that microbial composition can be different, but stoichiometric invariance of
19 microbial activity constrained microbial community response to organic input.

20

1 1. INTRODUCTION

2 In a time of dwindling global fertilizer resources (Cordell et al., 2009) and rising food
3 demand, there is growing interest in the development of an agricultural paradigm based
4 around sustainable biologically regulated nutrient supply systems. The addition of organic
5 amendments, such as nutrient rich plant residues and composts, to soils can deliver nutrients
6 and organic matter that improve soil structure and plant productivity (Hargreaves et al.,
7 2008). In Victoria, Australia, municipal waste comprises approximately 1.6 million tonnes or
8 36 % of solid waste going to landfill in 2002 (Nolan-ITU, 2002). The use of such waste as
9 organic amendment allows large quantities of waste to be diverted from landfills. In recent
10 years, incorporation of such organic amendment in its stabilised form is emerging as
11 management option due to its added potential for long-term carbon sequestration (Lal, 2011;
12 Lehmann, 2007). If widespread use of organic amendments to supply nutrients for plant
13 productivity is to become a viable option to offset the use of inorganic fertilisers in
14 agriculture, and potentially improve soil carbon content, their use must be predicated upon a
15 complete understanding of the soil ecological processes that govern their fate in soil (Jackson
16 et al., 2008).

17 Soils contain arguably the most diverse terrestrial communities on the planet (Wardle,
18 2006), and vary considerably on scales ranging from the microsite (α -diversity) to landscape
19 (γ -diversity) (Ettema and Wardle, 2002). While the importance of soil microbial communities
20 in organic matter decomposition is well recognised, the factors that regulate microbial-
21 mediated carbon transformation and nutrient release are still being debated (e.g. Dungait et
22 al., 2012; Schmidt et al., 2011). If we are to discover the factors regulating the fate of organic
23 amendment in the soil, a theoretical framework that effectively deals with the tremendous
24 structural and functional complexity in soils must guide our work.

1 Ecological stoichiometry, that is, the balance of chemical elements and the concept of
2 inflexible elemental ratios (particularly of C, N and P), has been proposed to explain
3 ecosystem processes (Sterner and Elser, 2002). In a study on plant litter – microbe system,
4 C:nutrient ratios of the soluble litter fraction have been linked to shifts in microbial
5 community composition (Fanin et al., 2013). The ratios of C:N:organic P:S in the humus
6 fraction of soil organic matter was reported to be constant for a wide range of soils from
7 Australia and elsewhere under different land uses (Kirkby et al., 2011). These results suggest
8 that while microbial community composition varies from ecosystem to ecosystem, and in
9 response to organic amendment addition, the overall stoichiometry of soil organic matter
10 proceeds toward a constant equilibrium ratio.

11 Soil microbial communities are regulated by both the supply of energy and nutrients
12 (especially N and P) (Hessen et al., 2004). In Ng *et al.* (2014), we found that carbon
13 composition of the organic input explained at least 50% of the variation in microbial
14 community composition and activity in soils treated with different organic inputs. While
15 previous studies have shown that the chemical nature of the organic amendment affects
16 microbial community composition and activity; few studies have specifically examined the
17 underlying mechanisms that regulate these responses (Bowles et al., 2014; Fanin et al., 2013;
18 Güsewell and Gessner, 2009; Kallenbach and Grandy, 2011). Microbial demand and use of
19 resources is driven by the elemental stoichiometry of their biomass, but is regulated by the
20 elemental stoichiometry of the resources (Cleveland and Liptzin, 2007; Sterner and Elser,
21 2002). The balance of these competing constraints is hypothesised to be captured by the
22 functional stoichiometry of the microbial community (Sinsabaugh et al., 2009); that is, the
23 ratio of the activity of microbial processes involved in the cycling of C, N and P (i.e. C:N:P
24 activity ratios). Specific ecoenzyme activities (e.g. β -glucosidase, amidase, peroxidase, and
25 phosphatase) provides a reliable measure of functional stoichiometry which integrates the

1 stoichiometric and metabolic theories of ecology, and links microbial metabolic efficiency
2 to microbial biomass and the elemental composition of their food resources (Allen and
3 Gillooly, 2009; Sinsabaugh et al., 2009; Sinsabaugh et al., 2008). Past studies have generally
4 examined functional stoichiometry at landscape and global scales (Sinsabaugh et al., 2009;
5 Sinsabaugh et al., 2008; Sinsabaugh and Shah, 2011). These studies have identified a
6 constrained functional stoichiometry in response to climatic and edaphic variables. While
7 studies have shown broad global patterns in functional stoichiometry, the relevance of this
8 theoretical framework to farm scale variations due to differences in management inputs has
9 not yet been explored.

10 In this study, we explore the utility of ecological and functional stoichiometry
11 theories to understand the microbial transformation of three organic amendments differing in
12 elemental stoichiometry as a result of composting and pyrolysis processes, upon addition to
13 soil. Our goal is to examine the key relationships between soil microbial community
14 composition, biomass and activity, with resource elemental composition and nutrient
15 availability. To do so, we examined the effects of green waste (raw, composted or pyrolysed)
16 addition on nutrient availability and soil microbial community composition and activity in
17 two contrasting soils. We measured the activity of two oxidases (peroxidase and phenol
18 oxidase) that are known for their role in stable organic matter breakdown, and the more
19 commonly measured hydrolase, β -glucosidase. We also measured potentially mineralisable
20 nitrogen and alkaline phosphatase activity as proxies for/indicators of N and P cycling. We
21 hypothesised that the functional stoichiometry of the microbial community would correlate
22 well with input stoichiometry initially, and as the added organic amendments decomposed,
23 the functional stoichiometry would evolve to better reflect the overall soil stoichiometry. We
24 expect this relationship to be consistent across ecosystems, i.e. the distinct soil microbial
25 communities in both soils experience similar stoichiometric constraints.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental set-up

This paper is the second arising from an experiment in which we assessed the fate of green waste-derived OA in soils. Whereas the first paper dealt with the fate and cycling of C present in the soil in different forms (Ng et al., 2014), this paper focuses on the soil N and P cycling. A microcosm-based incubation study was conducted. Organic matter derived from municipal green waste was added to two soils, in either its raw state, or following composting or pyrolysis of the green waste (see Ng et al. (2014) on preparation of the organic amendments).

The Cranbourne soil, which was collected from a horticultural farm in Cranbourne, Australia (38°11' S 149°19' E), was a semiaquic Podosol, loamy sand, pH 7.79 (H₂O); C:N:P (73.8:10.5:1); organic matter (1.3 %); water holding capacity (20.8 %). The Werribee soil, which was collected from a horticultural farm in Werribee, Australia (37°53' S, 144°40' E), was a strongly dispersive (basaltic) red Sodosol (Isbell 1996), slightly sodic light clay topsoil, pH 7.79 (H₂O), C:N:P (26.5:2.5:1); organic matter (3.9 %); water holding capacity (49.5 %). Both soils were collected from the top 10 cm soil layer, air dried and sieved to 2 mm. The organic amendments added to the soils were a raw green waste or its composted or pyrolysed forms, which are referred to as green waste, compost and biochar, hereafter (see supplementary table S1 for properties). For details on the composting and pyrolysis, see Ng *et al.* (2014). Before they were applied, the green waste and compost were sieved to 12.5 mm. The green waste was additionally passed through a garden mulcher before sieving. The biochar did not require further pre-processing prior to application due to its small particle size. The organic amendments were added to 300 g of either soil; these application rates correspond to an increase in total soil C of ca. 1%. Unamended controls were also included.

1 The soils were wet up to between 30 and 40 kPa and incubated at 25 °C. Soil moisture
2 content was maintained during incubation by the addition of water after weighing the soils
3 every 4 to 7 days. Each treatment was replicated four times and sampled at 4 weeks and 12
4 weeks. Samples were air dried for chemical analysis, kept at 4 °C for enzyme analysis or -20
5 °C for all other analyses.

6

7 *2.2 Microbial analysis*

8 Soil microbial structure was assessed by phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis.
9 PLFA was extracted using a method modified from Bligh and Dyer (1959) with addition of
10 citrate buffer (Nielsen & Petersen 2000) followed by alkaline methanolysis of phospholipids
11 (Bossio and Scow, 1998). PLFA determination was done using a Varian CP 38/00 gas
12 chromatograph fitted with 5 % phenyl:95 % methylsiloxane column (Varian, Walnut Creek
13 CA, USA). The fatty acids i15:0, a15:0, 15:0, i16:0, 16:1 ω 7, i17:0, a17:0, 17:0cy, 17:0, and
14 19:0cy were chosen as bacterial biomarkers and linoleic acid (18:2 ω 6,9) was chosen as the
15 biomarker for decomposer fungi (see Frostegård and Bååth, 1996 and references therein). We
16 did not include 18:1 ω 9 as a fungal marker in our analysis, as this marker is also found in
17 plants and bacteria and it is a poor indicator of fungi in agricultural soils (Frostegård et al.,
18 2011). Concentrations of fatty acids less than 0.1 ppm were treated as 0 and only fatty acids
19 detected in > 4% of treatments were included in the analysis which included 21 PLFAs. Total
20 PLFA was used as a relative measure of soil microbial biomass (Frostegård and Bååth, 1996).

21 β -glucosidase (BGL) and phosphatase (PHOS) are hydrolases involved in cellulose
22 degradation and mineralisation of organic phosphorus respectively (Shi, 2010). Phenol
23 oxidase (POX) and peroxidase (PPO) are oxidases associated with the degradation of
24 recalcitrant carbon forms (Sinsabaugh, 2010). The activities of BGL, PHOS and PPO were

1 determined according to methods modified from Allison and Jastrow (2006). POX was
2 determined according to Frey et al. (2000) and Johnsen and Jacobsen (2008). Briefly, we
3 incubated 0.5 ml of soil slurry (1 g soil in 50 ml sterile H₂O) with 0.5 ml of substrate solution
4 for 2 hr (BGL and PHOS), 1 hr (PPO) or 10 mins (POX). We used a buffer of pH 7 (3-(N-
5 morpholino)propanesulfonic acid or MOPS, 100 mM) where possible to match our soil pH,
6 except for POX assay for which acetate buffer (pH 5, 100 mM) was used to make up the
7 substrate solution. *p*NP-β-D-glucopyranoside (5 mM), *p*NP – phosphate (5 mM),
8 pyrogallol/EDTA (50 mM/ 50 mM) and 3,3',5,5'- tetramethylbenzidine (TMB; 1 mM) were
9 substrates for BGL, PHOS, PPO and POX respectively. A background soil control and a
10 substrate control were prepared for all enzymes. Colorimetric measurement of reaction
11 products was made at absorbance 405 nm except for POX which was measured at 450 nm.
12 The PPO standard was obtained by measuring the absorbance of completely oxidised
13 products of known amounts of pyrogallol with commercial mushroom tyroxinase (1mg/ mL).
14 A standard curve of absorbance versus *p*-nitrophenol was used for BGL and PHOS. The
15 extinction coefficient was obtained from the manufacturer for TMB. Changes in N activity
16 were measured using potentially mineralisable nitrogen (PMN) as a proxy measure in 5 g soil
17 using an anaerobic incubation at 37 °C for 7 days as described by Waring and Bremner
18 (1964). The ammonium (NH₄⁺) was extracted with 4 M KCl and measured colorimetrically
19 following Forster (1995) modified for 96-well microplate. Two reagent blanks and immediate
20 NH₄⁺ extracts were made using 2 M KCl.

21

22 *2.3 Chemical analysis of soils and organic amendments*

23 The organic amendment, unamended and amended soil samples were also analysed
24 for a suite of chemical properties. Mineral N (NO₃, NH₄) and CaCl₂-P (which is a measure of

1 labile P fraction) were determined as described in methods 7C2, and 9F1 of Rayment and
2 Higginson (1992). A high-frequency induction furnace (LECO Pty Ltd) was used to measure
3 total soil C and N. Total soil P was determined by perchloric acid digestion before analysis
4 by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (Spectro Analytical Instruments
5 Pty Ltd, Kleve, Germany).

6

7 *2.4 Statistical analysis*

8 C:nutrient stoichiometry was calculated using %N, %P and %C of soil dry weight.
9 Input stoichiometry is determined from the organic amendment at the start of the experiment.
10 Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), an unconstrained ordination analysis
11 (Legendre and Legendre, 2012), was performed to assess general trends in microbial
12 community composition and soil function with organic amendment and over time.
13 Redundancy analysis (RDA), a constrained ordination analysis (Legendre and Legendre,
14 2012), was used to identify the environmental variables that explain the trends in microbial
15 PLFA composition and activity (i.e. PHOS, PMN, BGL, PPO and POX). The ordination plots
16 display similar objects close to one another while dissimilar objects are farther apart. For
17 multivariate analysis, all data were standardized accordingly as follows: PLFA data was log
18 (n+1) transformed and standardised by chord transformation, microbial activity was
19 standardised by chord transformation and environmental variables (i.e. soil NH_4^+ , soil NO_3^- ,
20 soil C:N ratio, soil C:P ratio, initial C content upon addition of organic amendment or of
21 unamended soil, in the case of control) were standardised to zero mean and unit variance. For
22 the NMDS, Bray-Curtis distance matrix was calculated using the above standardised data and
23 NMDS performed using metaMDS() function in *vegan* package. The general trends observed
24 in NMDS agree with patterns observed in the RDA. NMDS is presented in the main text and

1 the RDA is provided in the supplements. NMDS and RDA were plotted as 95 % confidence
2 ellipses using the component scores for each of the four replicates.

3 Kendall τ is a nonparametric rank correlation method to quantify the relationship
4 between two variables (Legendre and Legendre, 2012). Kendall τ correlations were
5 calculated using log (n+1) transformed data for the amended and unamended soils' C:nutrient
6 ratios at sampling, input (organic amendment) C:nutrient ratios and functional C:nutrient
7 ratios. In the calculation of average ratios for functional stoichiometry, unrepresentable
8 (NaN) and infinite (Inf) values that appeared at 12 weeks due to undetectable activity level
9 were excluded. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out for total PLFA and
10 bacterial: fungal ratio to examine the effect of organic amendment and soil. Data was
11 checked for homogeneity of variance and normality. Where the assumptions were violated,
12 data was transformed and compared to the untransformed data. As the results were similar
13 with both transformed and untransformed data, we have retained all analysis using the
14 untransformed data. Where significant interaction between soil and organic amendment were
15 found, we compared differences between organic amendments within each soil using least
16 significant difference test with *p*-values adjusted using bonferroni.

17 Data analysis was carried out on R 2.15.1 (R Core Team 2012) using vegan package
18 (Oksanen et al., 2012) for ordinations and agricolae package (Mendiburu, 2012) for Kendall
19 correlations.

20

21

22

23

1 3. RESULTS

2 3.1 Stoichiometry of the resources

3 The input C:N ratio was highest in biochar (20.9) followed by green waste (14.2) and
4 compost (10.7). The input C:P ratio was highest in green waste (88.5) followed by biochar
5 (63.3) and compost (53.6). The initial C:N ratios of the Cranbourne and Werribee soils were
6 7.0 and 10.6 respectively; and the initial C:P ratios of the Cranbourne and Werribee soils
7 were 73.8 and 26.5. The total soil C, N and P were positively correlated at 4 and 12 weeks
8 (Table 1A, Fig. 1). Patterns in the total soil C, N and P were similar in both soils, i.e. compost
9 amended soils had the highest total C, total N and total P at 4 and 12 weeks. Green waste and
10 biochar amended soils also had higher total C, total N and total P than unamended soils at
11 both time points. Soil stoichiometry was inconsistently correlated with input stoichiometry
12 (Table 1B). There was a positive correlation between soil C:N with the input C:N ratio only
13 at 12 weeks and soil C:P ratio with input C:P ratio only at 4 weeks.

14

15 3.2 Soil microbial community composition, size and structural responses to amendments

16 Soil microbial biomass, measured as total PLFA, differed markedly among the soils
17 and organic amendment at both time points (Fig 2A, 2C, see supplements for ANOVA table).
18 The Werribee soil generally had higher microbial biomass than the Cranbourne soil,
19 irrespective of sampling time or organic amendment. Whereas the addition of biochar to the
20 soils resulted in similar or even lower total PLFA than their respective unamended soils, the
21 addition of green waste and compost resulted in significant increases in total PLFA,
22 particularly at 4 weeks.

1 The bacterial:fungal ratio also changed with organic amendment. For each soil, the
2 bacterial:fungal ratio was consistently highest in unamended soils, and lowest in green waste
3 amended soils, at both time points (Figure 2B, 2D). Compost and biochar amended Werribee
4 soil had similar bacterial:fungal ratios, whereas the biochar amended Cranbourne soil had
5 higher bacterial:fungal ratio than the compost amended Cranbourne soil at both time points.

6 Based on NMDS, the variation between microbial compositions in the Cranbourne
7 soil with different amendments was greater than the variation between microbial
8 compositions among Werribee soils with different organic amendment at 4 weeks (Fig 3A).
9 The non-overlapping confidence ellipses in the ordination plot indicated that the microbial
10 composition was unique with each treatment. At 12 weeks, microbial composition in compost
11 and biochar amended Werribee soils diverged from unamended and green waste amended
12 Werribee soils. In contrast, in Cranbourne soils, the microbial composition of biochar
13 amended soil was more similar to the unamended soil and compost amended soil remained
14 least similar to other treatments.

15

16 *3.3 Relationships between microbial structure, resource stoichiometry and mineral nutrients*

17 Total PLFA was negatively correlated with soil C:N ratio at both 4 and 12 weeks, and
18 negatively correlated with soil C:P ratio only at 12 weeks (Table 1C). Total PLFA was not
19 correlated with input C:nutrient at any time point. Total PLFA was also positively correlated
20 with soil NH_4^+ at both time points but the relationship with NO_3^- was inconsistent (Table 1C).
21 The bacterial:fungal ratio was negatively correlated to soil C:N ratio at 12 weeks and
22 positively correlated to input C:nutrient ratios at 4 weeks (Table 1C). The bacterial:fungal
23 ratio was positively correlated with NH_4^+ at both time points but showed no relationship with
24 NO_3^- (Table 1C).

1 The shifts in the soil microbial composition with addition of organic input, at both
2 time points, were partly explained by soil type, soil C:N ratio, soil C:P ratio, initial C content,
3 soil NH_4^+ and soil NO_3^- (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Based on RDA, 46.7% of total variance in
4 the microbial composition was accounted for by these variables.

6 *3.4 Soil microbial activity responses to amendments*

7 The microbial C, N and P activities were generally positively correlated at 4 and 12
8 weeks except for the insignificant relationship of the oxidases (PPO and POX) with PHOS
9 and PMN at 4 weeks (Table 1D, Fig. 4, see supplementary Fig. 3 for 3D plots). At 4 weeks,
10 each of the soils showed similar patterns where green waste amended soils had the highest
11 hydrolase C activity, followed by compost or biochar and unamended soils. The pattern for
12 oxidase C activity was the opposite for amended soils, where compost or biochar amended
13 soils had higher oxidase C activity than green waste amended or unamended soils.

14 Based on NMDS, overall microbial activity in the green waste amended soils was
15 least similar to unamended soils, whereas overall microbial activity in compost and biochar
16 amended soils were more similar to unamended soils at 4 weeks (Fig. 3B). At 12 weeks,
17 overall microbial activity became more distinct among the differently treated soils in each
18 soil. At the same time, overall microbial activity in green waste amended soils became more
19 like that of the unamended soils as compared to the activity at 4 weeks, while overall
20 microbial activity in compost amended soils remained similar to that at 4 weeks (Fig 3B).

21 We analysed the mean ratio of microbial C:nutrient activities of controls and
22 treatments combined. The ratio of $\log(\text{BGL}):\log(\text{PMN})$ averaged 0.18 ± 0.03 (se); the
23 corresponding $\log(\text{BGL}):\log(\text{PHOS})$ averaged 0.38 ± 0.07 . The ratio of $\log(\text{PPO}+\text{POX})$:

1 log(PMN) averaged 2.09 ± 0.24 ; the corresponding log(PPO+POX):log(PHOS) averaged
2 13.4 ± 3.76 . By 12 weeks, hydrolase C activity has declined in all amended soils, with the
3 greatest decline found in green waste amended soils. At the same time, oxidase C activity has
4 increased in green waste amended soils but oxidase C activity remained about the same in
5 compost or biochar amended soils. Correspondingly, the ratio of log(BGL):log(PMN)
6 averaged 0.11 ± 0.03 (se); the log(BGL):log(PHOS) averaged 0.24 ± 0.06 . The ratio of
7 log(PPO+POX):log(PMN) averaged 4.47 ± 1.13 ; the corresponding
8 log(PPO+POX):log(PHOS) averaged 12.8 ± 5.70 .

9

10 *3.5 Relationships between functional stoichiometry, resource stoichiometry and mineral* 11 *nutrients*

12 The ratios of log(BGL):log(PMN) at both sampling times were negatively correlated
13 with soil C:N ratios and the ratios of log(BGL):log(PHOS) were negatively correlated with
14 soil C:P ratios at both sampling times (Table 1B). There were also some weaker positive
15 correlations between functional C:nutrient ratios to soil C:nutrient ratios when oxidase C
16 activity (PPO+POX) was examined instead of hydrolase C activity (BGL). Functional
17 C:nutrient ratios correlated only with input C:nutrient ratio for the ratios of
18 log(PPO+POX):log(PMN) at 4 weeks.

19 Based on RDA, the variations in microbial activity among the treatments were largely
20 due to differences in PMN and PPO activity at 4 and 12 weeks, in both soil types
21 (Supplementary Fig. 1B). At both times, soils amended with green waste were generally
22 characterised by higher PMN and lower PPO activity as compared to unamended soil or soil
23 amended with compost or biochar, which were generally characterised by higher levels of
24 PPO and lower PMN (Supplementary Fig 1B). RDA identified soil NO_3^- , soil NH_4^+ , initial C

1 content, soil C:P ratio and soil type as important for explaining 46.9% of total variance in
2 microbial activity among treatments (Supplementary Fig. 1B). Although the addition of all of
3 the organic amendments to both soils generally resulted in increase in available nutrients, the
4 increase due to compost was generally high and sustained over time compared to green waste
5 and biochar in both the Werribee and Cranbourne soils (see supplementary table S1).

6

7 **4. DISCUSSION**

8 In this study, we explored the utility of ecological and functional stoichiometry
9 theories for understanding soil microbial transformation of organic amendments. We also
10 examined key relationships between soil microbial community composition, biomass and
11 activity with resource elemental composition and nutrient availability. We found that the
12 addition of organic amendment lead to a distinct microbial composition with similar constant
13 ratios of C:N:P activities. We observed generally consistent negative correlations between the
14 functional ratio of $\log(\text{BGL}):\log(\text{nutrient})$ and soil C:nutrient, and inconsistent weak positive
15 correlations between the functional ratio of $\log(\text{PPO}+\text{POX}):\log(\text{nutrient})$ and soil C:nutrient
16 at both sampling times. The constancy of the functional stoichiometry indicates that
17 microbial composition can be different but there is a limit to their response. We found that
18 functional stoichiometry correlates well with soil stoichiometry and poorly with input
19 stoichiometry. These findings were further supported with results of a redundancy analysis
20 that identified variations in initial C content, mineral N, ratios of soil C:nutrient and site as
21 drivers of variations in microbial community composition and activity under different organic
22 amendments.

23

1 *4.1 Relationship between microbial community composition, structure and resource*
2 *stoichiometry*

3 By analysing PLFA profiles of the treated soils, we found that microbial community
4 composition and structure were distinct with different organic amendments and these
5 variations in composition and structure with organic amendment persisted over time. The
6 bacteria:fungal ratio was positively correlated to input C:nutrient ratio at 4 weeks and
7 negatively correlated to soil C:N ratio at 12 weeks, suggesting a turnover in the microbial
8 community composition as the resource base changed. For example, there were shifts in the
9 bacteria:fungal ratio in the compost amended soils from 4 to 12 weeks but this shift in
10 bacteria:fungal ratio occurred in opposite directions for Cranbourne and Werribee soils. It is
11 possible that these shifts in relative abundances are associated with competitive interactions
12 between the different microbial guilds present in each soil (Fontaine et al., 2003; Moorhead
13 and Sinsabaugh, 2006). It is also important to consider the changes in microbial community
14 composition due to inclusion of microbes originating from the organic amendment. Based on
15 NMDS analysis, we found that the PLFA composition of the compost or raw green waste
16 amended soils clusters with the PLFA composition of the original input, particularly at 4
17 weeks (data not shown). Additionally, the negative relationship between bacteria:fungal ratio
18 and soil C:N ratio at 12 weeks generally agrees with previous findings that soils with higher
19 C:N ratio tend to become increasingly dominated by fungi (Fierer et al., 2009; Waring et al.,
20 2013). As bacteria generally require more N per unit biomass and have lower carbon use
21 efficiency than fungi at higher resource C:nutrient stoichiometry, stoichiometric and
22 physiological constraints may favour fungi over bacteria in soils with higher C:N (De Deyn et
23 al., 2008; Keiblinger et al., 2010). Given that we have not measured microbial elemental C
24 content, this remains to be confirmed.

1 Interestingly, we found that resource stoichiometry better accounted for variations in
2 soil microbial community composition than soil microbial activities. Based on the RDA,
3 ratios of soil C:N and soil C:P were important variables in explaining the variations in soil
4 microbial community composition as shown by the length of the vector (see supplementary
5 data). These ratios of soil C:nutrient act on different axes and are associated with the change
6 in microbial composition from 4 to 12 weeks. Resource quality is proposed to affect
7 microbial element use efficiency (Manzoni et al., 2012; Mooshammer et al., 2014), and our
8 results suggest the microbial community element use efficiency is flexible in response to
9 resource dynamics due to shifts in microbial community composition. These results support
10 Fanin and colleagues' (2013) hypothesis that the changes in resource ratio leads to changes in
11 community composition rather than changes in stoichiometry of the same community. This
12 view on the role of resource competition in the organisation of soil microbial community is
13 reminiscent of Hutchinson's (1961) paradox of the plankton and Tilman's (1982) resource
14 competition and resource-ratio theory, which describe how it is possible for many competing
15 species to co-exist. On the other hand, the ratio of soil C:P or soil C:N explained less than one
16 percent of the overall variations in soil microbial activities (data not shown). Additionally, its
17 importance relative to the other explanatory variables (initial C content and mineral N) was
18 lower as seen in the length of the vector (see supplementary data). It is likely that microbial
19 activities are more dynamic and reflects the immediate conditions while microbial
20 composition reflects longer term soil organic matter dynamics (Grandy et al., 2007).

21

22 *4.2 Relating functional stoichiometry to resource stoichiometry*

23 Our measures of microbial C, N and P activities showed similar relationships where
24 the increase in one is generally correlated with the increase in the other. Such relationships

1 were strong between hydrolase BGL with N and P activities but inconsistent and weaker in
2 the oxidases PPO and POX with N and P activities. The positive correlations observed
3 between the functional ratios of $\log(\text{PPO}+\text{POX}):\log(\text{nutrient})$ and soil C:nutrient, and the
4 negative correlations between functional ratio of $\log(\text{BGL}):\log(\text{nutrient})$ and soil C:nutrient
5 indicate that microbes respond to differences in resource quality by shifting their activities
6 accordingly. This coupling of microbial activities makes sense as enzyme production is
7 energetically costly and mechanisms to synchronise optimal enzyme activity is crucial to
8 minimise entropy. These results also indicate that there is great capacity to response to the
9 different organic amendments in both soils, but this response is constrained by stoichiometry,
10 as proposed by Sinsabaugh and colleagues (2009; Sinsabaugh et al., 2008). Based on these
11 results and our findings in Ng et al. (2014), measures of microbial activity may serve to
12 extend functional stoichiometry from nutrient availability to carbon composition as proposed
13 by Sinsabaugh and Shah (2011). Previous studies have found oxidases PPO and POX to be
14 more variable compared to hydrolase BGL (Sinsabaugh et al., 2008; Sinsabaugh and Shah,
15 2011). Although we found that PPO and POX activity inconsistently associate with that of
16 other hydrolases, it is possible that the sequential breakdown of more recalcitrant C sources
17 by oxidases followed by increased hydrolysis of more labile products leads to a temporal
18 coupling of microbial oxidative and hydrolytic enzyme activities (Moorhead et al., 2013a;
19 Šnajdr et al., 2011). This is a subject for further investigation.

20 We had expected functional stoichiometry to relate better to the input stoichiometry
21 initially and, as the organic amendment decomposed, that functional stoichiometry would
22 correlate better with soil stoichiometry, reflecting the change in resource for the soil
23 microbial community. However, we found that the functional stoichiometry was more
24 consistently correlated with the stoichiometry of the soil (i.e. soil C: nutrient ratios) than with
25 the input stoichiometry, at both 4 weeks and 12 weeks after addition of the organic

1 amendment. As functional stoichiometry is a function of resource quality and the elemental
2 requirements of the microbial biomass, these results suggest on one hand that the organic
3 input was not necessarily the primary resource for the soil microbial community 4 weeks
4 after input application. Microbial necromass has been found to be a substantial resource at
5 any given time during litter decomposition (Kaiser et al., 2014). On the other hand, it could
6 also indicate that the elemental requirements of the microbial biomass had changed, which is
7 supported by the observed changes in the microbial community composition.

8 It has been proposed that that the C storage potential of a system depends on its ability
9 to sequester more nutrients (Fontaine et al., 2004; Kirkby et al., 2011). This is supported by
10 our observation that the amounts of C, N and P in the soils were highly correlated. As the
11 stoichiometry indicates no saturation in the nutrient cycling in this experiment (i.e. there was
12 no apparent levelling off in terms of functional or soil C: nutrient ratios), there is the
13 possibility to further increase C, N and P cycling through increasing the quantity and quality
14 of organic amendments added to the soil (see Ng et al. 2014).

15

16 *4.3 Soil microbial biomass dynamics*

17 The addition of chemically different organic amendments resulted in clear differences
18 to the growth dynamics (measured as total PLFA) of the soil microbial community. Microbial
19 biomass rapidly increased in both soils receiving input of raw green waste, but the population
20 was not sustained over the 12 week incubation period. In contrast, compost amended soil
21 showed a lower, but sustained, increase in total microbial biomass over the 12 weeks, whilst
22 biochar actually inhibited growth in the Werribee soil at 4 weeks. The fact that no correlation
23 was observed between the input stoichiometry and the overall microbial biomass indicates
24 that the size of the microbial biomass is not strongly dependent on the stoichiometry of the

1 input at 4 or 12 weeks after the incorporation of the organic inputs. It is proposed that
2 microbial growth is limited by C:N ratio of the bioavailable dissolved organic matter, which
3 is derived from a mixture of complex plant-derived and microbial-biomass derived pools
4 (Kaiser et al., 2014). In this study, we found a good correlation between microbial biomass
5 and NH_4^+ or NO_3^- , which indicated the influence of available nutrients from the overall input-
6 soil mix on microbial biomass.

7

8 *4.4 Study limitations and considerations for future work*

9 Although this study demonstrates the potential for stoichiometry theories to contribute
10 to understanding organic matter dynamics, limitations to both this study and the framework
11 are apparent. First, redundancy analysis indicated that as much as 47% of microbial
12 community composition and activity can be explained by variation in the initial C content,
13 mineral N (NO_3^- , NH_4^+), site (or soil type) and ratios of soil C:nutrient. However,
14 unmeasured properties of the organic amendment and/or the environment still account for
15 another 50% of the variation. In Ng *et al.* (2014), we also found that carbon composition of
16 the organic amendment and subsequent changes in bulk soil carbon composition due to the
17 organic input are also important drivers of microbial community composition and activity. As
18 shown previously, management practices not related to organic matter stoichiometry also
19 account for a large proportion of the variation in microbial composition (De Vries et al.,
20 2012; Kallenbach and Grandy, 2011) and may be even more dominant in other soils not
21 explored here. Additionally, the spatial scale and time scale of our study is relatively narrow.
22 Future work needs to integrate these aspects to improve predictions and determine their
23 relative importance.

1 Second, variations in technical and statistical methodologies between published
2 studies may give rise to slightly different or contrasting results, hindering a consistent
3 interpretation. For example, Moorhead et al. (2013b) found that the dynamics of soil mineral
4 nutrients provided little explanation for the dynamics of their system's microbial biomass or
5 enzyme activities, whereas our study suggested the opposite, particularly with regard to
6 NH_4^+ . We used PMN as a proxy measure of microbial N activity rather than the fluorescence-
7 based β -N-acetyl-glucosaminidase assay used by other authors including Moorhead et al
8 (2013b). PMN is an integral measure of organic-N-cleaving enzymes over the period of one
9 week, rather than an activity "snapshot", and probably contributed to the greater association
10 we observed between microbial activity and nutrients. Our use of a multivariate approach,
11 compared to the individual correlations used by Moorhead and colleagues may have further
12 reinforced these differences. Finally, microbial biomass C was measured by fumigation
13 extraction in Moorhead et al. while we used total PLFA as a measure of microbial biomass.
14 PLFA turnover rate is determined by environmental conditions and therefore interpretation of
15 microbial abundance is complex (Frostegård et al., 2011; Zelles et al., 1992). There is need
16 for research to identify the best approaches and standards developed for ease of cross-study
17 comparisons.

18

19 *Conclusions*

20 In conclusion, we observed that organic amendments can alter the soil microbial
21 community size, composition and structure. Microbial community PLFA composition was
22 distinct for each soil and organic amendment. Microbial activity was also strongly influenced
23 by organic amendment. Furthermore, functional ratios of C:nutrient were generally constant,

1 indicating that microbial composition can be different but that there are stoichiometric
2 limitations to their responses.

3 The results presented here highlight the potential for organic amendments to play a
4 major role in sustainable biologically regulated nutrient supply systems, and the potential to
5 monitor these changes using a stoichiometric approach. By linking functional stoichiometry
6 to resource stoichiometry and soil microbial composition, we have gained new insights into
7 key relationships and constraints between regulators of the transformation of organic matter
8 in the soil. Much of the information used to develop enzyme-based models to date was
9 gleaned from multiple studies and result in uncertainty about key relationships (Moorhead et
10 al., 2013b). This study provides a form of “ground truthing” to examine the key relationships
11 between soil microbial community composition, biomass and activity with resource
12 elemental composition and nutrient availability. The results from our study provide
13 indications that some key features of the soil system are robust and can be captured by cross-
14 system analyses. Given the time and spatial scale of our study, similar studies with longer
15 time scale and across larger spatial scales will confirm if this pattern is effectively transferred
16 across hierarchies.

17

18 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

19 This work was supported by funding from the Monash Sustainability Institute Australia,
20 Brown Coal Innovation Australia and the Australian Research Council (ARC). Experimental
21 set-up, monitoring and chemical analysis was funded by the Department of Environment and
22 Primary Industries, Victoria. The green waste used in this study was kindly supplied by SITA
23 Australia. TRC also thanks ARC for the award of a Future Fellowship (FT120100463).

1

2 **REFERENCES**

3 Allen, A.P., Gillooly, J.F., 2009. Towards an integration of ecological stoichiometry and the
4 metabolic theory of ecology to better understand nutrient cycling. *Ecology Letters* 12, 369-
5 384.

6 Allison, S.D., Jastrow, J.D., 2006. Activities of extracellular enzymes in physically isolated
7 fractions of restored grassland soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 38, 3245-3256.

8 Bossio, D.A., Scow, K.M., 1998. Impacts of carbon and flooding on soil microbial
9 communities: Phospholipid fatty acid profiles and substrate utilization patterns. *Microbial*
10 *Ecology* 35, 265-278.

11 Bowles, T.M., Acosta-Martínez, V., Calderón, F., Jackson, L.E., 2014. Soil enzyme
12 activities, microbial communities, and carbon and nitrogen availability in organic
13 agroecosystems across an intensively-managed agricultural landscape. *Soil Biology and*
14 *Biochemistry* 68, 252-262.

15 Cleveland, C.C., Liptzin, D., 2007. C:N:P stoichiometry in soil: Is there a "Redfield ratio" for
16 the microbial biomass? *Biogeochemistry* 85, 235-252.

17 Cordell, D., Drangert, J.-O., White, S., 2009. The story of phosphorus: Global food security
18 and food for thought. *Global Environmental Change* 19, 292-305.

19 De Deyn, G.B., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Bardgett, R.D., 2008. Plant functional traits and soil
20 carbon sequestration in contrasting biomes. *Ecology Letters* 11, 516-531.

21 De Vries, F.T., Liiri, M.E., Bjørnlund, L., Bowker, M.A., Christensen, S., Setälä, H.M.,
22 Bardgett, R.D., 2012. Land use alters the resistance and resilience of soil food webs to
23 drought. *Nature Climate Change* 2, 276-280.

1 Dungait, J.A.J., Hopkins, D.W., Gregory, A.S., Whitmore, A.P., 2012. Soil organic matter
2 turnover is governed by accessibility not recalcitrance. *Global Change Biology* 18, 1781-
3 1796.

4 Ettema, C.H., Wardle, D.A., 2002. Spatial soil ecology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 17,
5 177-183.

6 Fanin, N., Fromin, N., Buatois, B., Hättenschwiler, S., 2013. An experimental test of the
7 hypothesis of non-homeostatic consumer stoichiometry in a plant litter-microbe system.
8 *Ecology Letters* 16, 764-772.

9 Fierer, N., Strickland, M.S., Liptzin, D., Bradford, M.A., Cleveland, C.C., 2009. Global
10 patterns in belowground communities. *Ecology Letters* 12, 1238-1249.

11 Fontaine, S., Bardoux, G., Abbadie, L., Mariotti, A., 2004. Carbon input to soil may decrease
12 soil carbon content. *Ecology Letters* 7, 314-320.

13 Fontaine, S., Mariotti, A., Abbadie, L., 2003. The priming effect of organic matter: A
14 question of microbial competition? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 35, 837-843.

15 Forster, J.C., 1995. 3 - Soil sampling, handling, storage and analysis, In: Kassem, A., Paolo,
16 N. (Eds.), *Methods in Applied Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry*. Academic Press,
17 London, pp. 49-121.

18 Frey, A., Meckelein, B., Externest, D., Schmidt, M.A., 2000. A stable and highly sensitive
19 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine-based substrate reagent for enzyme-linked immunosorbent
20 assays. *Journal of Immunological Methods* 233, 47-56.

21 Frostegård, A., Bååth, E., 1996. The use of phospholipid fatty acid analysis to estimate
22 bacterial and fungal biomass in soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 22, 59-65.

23 Frostegård, Å., Tunlid, A., Bååth, E., 2011. Use and misuse of PLFA measurements in soils.
24 *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 43, 1621-1625.

1 Grandy, A.S., Neff, J.C., Weintraub, M.N., 2007. Carbon structure and enzyme activities in
2 alpine and forest ecosystems. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 39, 2701-2711.

3 Güsewell, S., Gessner, M.O., 2009. N : P ratios influence litter decomposition and
4 colonization by fungi and bacteria in microcosms. *Functional Ecology* 23, 211-219.

5 Hargreaves, J.C., Adl, M.S., Warman, P.R., 2008. A review of the use of composted
6 municipal solid waste in agriculture. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 123, 1-14.

7 Hessen, D.O., Ågren, G.I., Anderson, T.R., Elser, J.J., De Ruiter, P.C., 2004. Carbon
8 sequestration in ecosystems: The role of stoichiometry. *Ecology* 85, 1179-1192.

9 Hutchinson, G.E., 1961. The paradox of the plankton. *The American Naturalist* 95, 137-145.

10 Jackson, L.E., Burger, M., Cavagnaro, T.R., 2008. Roots, nitrogen transformations, and
11 ecosystem services, *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, pp. 341-363.

12 Johnsen, A.R., Jacobsen, O.S., 2008. A quick and sensitive method for the quantification of
13 peroxidase activity of organic surface soil from forests. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40,
14 814-821.

15 Kaiser, C., Franklin, O., Dieckmann, U., Richter, A., 2014. Microbial community dynamics
16 alleviate stoichiometric constraints during litter decay. *Ecology Letters*, n/a-n/a.

17 Kallenbach, C., Grandy, A.S., 2011. Controls over soil microbial biomass responses to
18 carbon amendments in agricultural systems: A meta-analysis. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and*
19 *Environment* 144, 241-252.

20 Keiblinger, K.M., Hall, E.K., Wanek, W., Szukics, U., Hämmerle, I., Ellersdorfer, G., Böck,
21 S., Strauss, J., Sterflinger, K., Richter, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., 2010. The effect of
22 resource quantity and resource stoichiometry on microbial carbon-use-efficiency. *FEMS*
23 *Microbiology Ecology* 73, 430-440.

1 Kirkby, C.A., Kirkegaard, J.A., Richardson, A.E., Wade, L.J., Blanchard, C., Batten, G.,
2 2011. Stable soil organic matter: A comparison of C:N:P:S ratios in Australian and other
3 world soils. *Geoderma* 163, 197-208.

4 Lal, R., 2011. Sequestering carbon in soils of agro-ecosystems. *Food Policy* 36, S33-S39.

5 Legendre, P., Legendre, L., 2012. *Numerical Ecology*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 990 pp.

6 Lehmann, J., 2007. A handful of carbon. *Nature* 447, 143-144.

7 Manzoni, S., Taylor, P., Richter, A., Porporato, A., Ågren, G.I., 2012. Environmental and
8 stoichiometric controls on microbial carbon-use efficiency in soils. *New Phytologist* 196, 79-
9 91.

10 Mendiburu, F., 2012. *Agricolae: Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research*, R package
11 version 1.1-2 ed.

12 Moorhead, D.L., Lashermes, G., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Weintraub, M.N., 2013a. Calculating co-
13 metabolic costs of lignin decay and their impacts on carbon use efficiency. *Soil Biology and*
14 *Biochemistry* 66, 17-19.

15 Moorhead, D.L., Rinkes, Z.L., Sinsabaugh, R.L., Weintraub, M.N., 2013b. Dynamic
16 relationships between microbial biomass, respiration, inorganic nutrients and enzyme
17 activities: Informing enzyme-based decomposition models. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 4.

18 Moorhead, D.L., Sinsabaugh, R.L., 2006. A theoretical model of litter decay and microbial
19 interaction. *Ecological Monographs* 76, 151-174.

20 Mooshammer, M., Wanek, W., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Richter, A., 2014.

21 Stoichiometric imbalances between terrestrial decomposer communities and their resources:
22 Mechanisms and implications of microbial adaptations to their resources. *Frontiers in*
23 *Microbiology* 5.

1 Ng, E.L., Patti, A.F., Rose, M.T., Scheffe, C.R., Wilkinson, K., Smernik, R.J., Cavagnaro,
2 T.R., 2014. Does the chemical nature of soil carbon drive the structure and functioning of soil
3 microbial communities? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 70, 54-61.

4 Nolan-ITU, 2002. Solid Industrial Waste Plan Data Report. EcoRecycle Victoria Victoria.

5 Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F.G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P.R., O'Hara, R.B., Simpson,
6 G.L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M.H.H., Wagner, H., 2012. *Vegan: Community Ecology*
7 *Package*, R package version 2.0-4 ed.

8 R Core Team 2012. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation
9 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

10 Rayment, G.E., Higginson, F.R., 1992. *Australian Laboratory Handbook of Soil and Water*
11 *Chemical Methods*. Inkata Press, Melbourne.

12 Schmidt, M.W.I., Torn, M.S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I.A.,
13 Kleber, M., Kogel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D.A.C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D.P.,
14 Weiner, S., Trumbore, S.E., 2011. Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem
15 property. *Nature* 478, 49-56.

16 Shi, W., 2010. Agricultural and Ecological Significance of Soil Enzymes: Soil Carbon
17 Sequestration and Nutrient Cycling, In: Shukla, G., Varma, A. (Eds.), *Soil Enzymology*.
18 Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 43-60.

19 Sinsabaugh, R.L., 2010. Phenol oxidase, peroxidase and organic matter dynamics of soil. *Soil*
20 *Biology and Biochemistry* 42, 391-404.

21 Sinsabaugh, R.L., Hill, B.H., Follstad Shah, J.J., 2009. Ecoenzymatic stoichiometry of
22 microbial organic nutrient acquisition in soil and sediment. *Nature* 462, 795-798.

23 Sinsabaugh, R.L., Lauber, C.L., Weintraub, M.N., Ahmed, B., Allison, S.D., Crenshaw, C.,
24 Contosta, A.R., Cusack, D., Frey, S., Gallo, M.E., Gartner, T.B., Hobbie, S.E., Holland, K.,
25 Keeler, B.L., Powers, J.S., Stursova, M., Takacs-Vesbach, C., Waldrop, M.P., Wallenstein,

1 M.D., Zak, D.R., Zeglin, L.H., 2008. Stoichiometry of soil enzyme activity at global scale.
2 Ecology Letters 11, 1252-1264.

3 Sinsabaugh, R.L., Shah, J.J.F., 2011. Ecoenzymatic stoichiometry of recalcitrant organic
4 matter decomposition: The growth rate hypothesis in reverse. Biogeochemistry 102, 31-43.

5 Šnajdr, J., Cajthaml, T., Valášková, V., Merhautová, V., Petránková, M., Spetz, P.,
6 Leppänen, K., Baldrian, P., 2011. Transformation of *Quercus petraea* litter: Successive
7 changes in litter chemistry are reflected in differential enzyme activity and changes in the
8 microbial community composition. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 75, 291-303.

9 Sterner, R.W., Elser, J.J., 2002. Ecological stoichiometry : the biology of elements from
10 molecules to the biosphere. Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 439 pp.

11 Tilman, D., 1982. Resource competition and community structure. Princeton University
12 Press, Princeton, N.J.

13 Wardle, D.A., 2006. The influence of biotic interactions on soil biodiversity. Ecology Letters
14 9, 870-886.

15 Waring, B.G., Averill, C., Hawkes, C.V., 2013. Differences in fungal and bacterial
16 physiology alter soil carbon and nitrogen cycling: insights from meta-analysis and theoretical
17 models. Ecology Letters 16, 887-894.

18 Waring, S.A., Bremner, J.M., 1964. Ammonium production in soil under waterlogged
19 conditions as an index of nitrogen availability Nature 201, 951-952.

20 Zelles, L., Bai, Q.Y., Beck, T., Beese, F., 1992. Signature fatty acids in phospholipids and
21 lipopolysaccharides as indicators of microbial biomass and community structure in
22 agricultural soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 24, 317-323.

23

24

1 **Table**

2 Table 1. Kendall τ correlation of (A) soil C, N and P totals; (B) ratios of OA input
3 C:nutrients, soil C:nutrient and C:nutrient activity; (C) ratios of bacteria:fungal ratio and total
4 PLFA with ratios of OA input C:nutrient and soil C:nutrient; (D) microbial C activity (BGL
5 or PPO+POX) in relation with microbial N activity (PMN) and microbial P activity (PHOS)

6

1 **Figures**

2

3 Fig. 1 Total soil C in relation with total soil N and total soil P for 4 (A) and 12 (B) weeks.

4 Brown = Werribee soil, grey = Cranbourne soil. Units are in g/ 100g. Regression plane serves
5 only for illustration of the relationship among the C,N and P. Correlation between total soil
6 C, total soil N and total soil P analysed using Kendall τ (table 1A).

7 Fig. 2(A) Total PLFA and (B) bacteria to fungal biomass (mean \pm se) for 4 weeks. (C) Total
8 PLFA and (D) bacteria to fungal biomass (mean \pm se) for 12 weeks. Numbers in bracket
9 refer to bacteria:fungal ratio for each treatment in (B) and (D). . Significant levels are * $p < 0.05$,
10 ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$ (refer to supplementary table S3 for test statistics).See table 1C for
11 correlation between bacteria:fungal ratio and total PLFA with edaphic properties. Samples
12 refer to We = Werribee unamended soil, Cr = Cranbourne unamended soil, WeGw =
13 Werribee soil + green waste, CrGw = Cranbourne soil + green waste, WeCo = Werribee soil
14 + compost, CrCo = Cranbourne soil + compost, WeCh = Werribee soil + biochar, CrCh =
15 Cranbourne soil + biochar.

16 Fig. 3 NMDS ordination plot based on (A) microbial PLFA composition and (B) microbial
17 activity. Samples refer to 1 = We, Werribee unamended soil, 2 = Cr, Cranbourne unamended
18 soil, 3 = WeGw, Werribee soil + green waste, 4 = CrGw, Cranbourne soil + green waste, 5 =
19 WeCo, Werribee soil + compost, 6 = CrCo, Cranbourne soil + compost, 7 = WeCh, Werribee
20 soil + biochar, 8 = CrCh, Cranbourne soil + biochar.

21 Fig. 4 Microbial C activity for hydrolase BGL or oxidase PPO+POX in relation with
22 microbial N activity (PMN) and microbial P activity (PHOS) for 4 and 12 weeks. Correlation
23 between microbial C,N and P activities analysed using Kendall τ (table 1D). See
24 supplementary Fig. S3 for 3D plot equivalents.