

Postmarketing Vaccine Safety Surveillance Using Data Linkage: The Issue Of Consent

Jesia G. Berry

BHSc(Hons), MPH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Medicine

February 2013

**Discipline of Public Health
School of Population Health
Faculty of Health Sciences
The University of Adelaide
Australia**

Contents

Abstract.....	iv
Declaration	vii
Publications during candidature.....	viii
Conference presentations during candidature.....	ix
Awards arising out of this thesis	xi
Acknowledgements	xii
Abbreviations.....	xiv
List of Figures	xviii
List of Tables.....	xix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Thesis objective.....	4
1.2 Thesis outline	5
2 Literature review	7
2.1 Population health surveillance using data linkage	7
2.1.1 Developments internationally and in Australia	8
2.1.2 Best practice protocol and privacy considerations	11
2.1.3 Linkage methods	14
2.1.4 Benefits and limitations of data linkage.....	15
2.2 Vaccine safety surveillance	18
2.2.1 Changes to the immunisation schedule in Australia.....	19
2.2.2 Prelicensing vaccine safety testing in Australia.....	20
2.2.3 Postlicensing vaccine safety surveillance in Australia.....	21
2.2.4 Developments internationally and in Australia	23
2.2.5 Study designs to detect adverse reactions to vaccines.....	27
2.2.6 Rationale for developing data linkage capacity for vaccine safety surveillance in Australia.....	27
2.2.7 Challenges in the implementation of data linkage in Australia.....	31
2.3 The feasibility of consent for data linkage	32
2.3.1 Australia’s legislative framework and consent waivers.....	32
2.3.2 Legislative requirements of the Australian Childhood Immunisation Register (ACIR).....	36
2.3.3 Translation of legislation into practice: experience of two vaccine safety studies...38	
2.3.4 Consent options for epidemiological studies.....	39
2.3.5 The ethical principles of consent.....	41
2.3.6 Practical considerations of consent.....	43
2.3.7 Community preferences for consent	46
2.3.8 Cost-benefit evaluation of consent options.....	50

2.3.9	Selection bias and consent options.....	54
2.3.10	Randomised controlled trials of consent options.....	57
2.3.11	Research justification	58
3	Publication — A randomised controlled trial to compare opt-in and opt-out parental consent for childhood vaccine safety surveillance using data linkage: study protocol.....	61
3.1	Preface.....	61
3.2	Statement of authorship	62
3.3	Article	64
3.3.1	Abstract.....	64
3.3.2	Background.....	65
3.3.3	Methods and design	71
3.3.4	Discussion.....	79
3.4	Additional discussion	82
4	Publication — A randomised controlled trial to compare opt-in and opt-out parental consent for childhood vaccine safety surveillance using data linkage.....	83
4.1	Preface.....	83
4.2	Statement of authorship	84
4.3	Article	86
4.3.1	Abstract.....	86
4.3.2	Introduction.....	87
4.3.3	Methods.....	89
4.3.4	Results	92
4.3.5	Discussion.....	98
4.3.6	Online appendix A	103
4.4	Additional discussion	111
5	Publication — Parent perspectives on consent for the linkage of data to evaluate vaccine safety: a randomised trial of opt-in and opt-out consent	113
5.1	Preface.....	113
5.2	Statement of authorship	114
5.3	Article	116
5.3.1	Abstract.....	116
5.3.2	Introduction.....	117
5.3.3	Methods.....	120
5.3.4	Results	125
5.3.5	Discussion.....	136
5.3.6	Conclusion.....	139
5.3.7	Online appendix A	140
5.4	Additional discussion	144

6	Publication — Public perspectives on consent for the linkage of data to evaluate vaccine safety	145
6.1	Preface	145
6.2	Statement of authorship.....	146
6.3	Article	148
6.3.1	Abstract.....	148
6.3.2	Introduction	149
6.3.3	Methods	152
6.3.4	Results	154
6.3.5	Discussion	163
6.4	Additional discussion	167
7	Generalisability of the findings	169
7.1	The internal and external validity of the RCT	169
7.2	A comparison of findings in the RCT and population survey.....	171
7.3	Summary	176
8	Findings and conclusion.....	181
8.1	Key findings and contributions	182
8.1.1	An RCT of the opt-in and opt-out approach to gain parental consent	182
8.1.2	Parent and public perspectives on consent for the linkage of data to evaluate vaccine safety	187
8.2	Limitations and future directions	189
8.3	Concluding remarks.....	191
9	References	195
Appendix 1	Vaccine Assessment using Linked Data (VALiD) Working Group .	213
Appendix 2	Ethical approval for the Randomised Controlled Trial.....	215
Appendix 3	Ethical approval for maternal and infant death screening.....	219
Appendix 4	Study invitation material: opt-in arm	225
Appendix 5	Study invitation material: opt-out arm	233
Appendix 6	Telephone survey.....	241
Appendix 7	Preliminary results distributed to parents	257
Appendix 8	Health Monitor survey 2011 — March.....	263

Abstract

Background: Linked electronic administrative health care databases are a valuable resource that can be used for postmarketing safety surveillance of medicines and vaccines. Australian legislation mandates that individual consent is required for the collection, use and dissemination of health information. However, the requirement for consent is not absolute; a waiver of consent may be granted by an appropriately constituted human research ethics committee, provided certain qualifying criteria are met and the research (or other activity) is deemed to be substantially in the public interest. In Australia, data linkage research projects are recommended to abide by a best practice protocol, whereby individual privacy is preserved as researchers only receive files of pre-linked data with no personal identifiers. Ethical approval of a waiver of consent is required for the disclosure of identifiable demographic information to an authorised data linkage unit for the purpose of creating a master linkage key. However, some ethics committees and data custodians still require informed consent.

Objective: The overall objective of this thesis was to examine the issue of consent in the context of postmarketing surveillance of vaccine safety using data linkage. A randomised controlled trial (RCT) was used for the primary aim of determining which method of obtaining parental consent (opt-in or opt-out) provided the highest participation rate. The secondary aims of the RCT were to examine reasons for participation and non-participation, socio-demographic factors, consent preferences and attitudes towards a data linkage study of vaccine safety. For this, a follow-up telephone interview of a parent from each family enrolled in the RCT was conducted. The generalisability of findings from the

follow-up telephone interview was examined by repeating selected questions in a population-based survey sample of South Australians.

Method: A total of 1129 families of children born at a South Australian hospital in 2009 were enrolled in a single-blind parallel group RCT of opt-in and opt-out consent at six weeks post-partum, with four weeks to respond by reply form, telephone or email. Interviews were conducted at 10 weeks post-partum (response rate 91%, $n=1026$). Computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) of rural and metropolitan South Australian residents was conducted in 2010 (response rate 56%, $n=2002$).

Results: The participation rate was 21% ($n=120/564$) in the opt-in arm and 96% ($n=540/565$) in the opt-out arm [χ^2 (1df) = 567.7, $P<0.001$]. Participants in the opt-in arm were more likely than non-participants to be older, married or in a de facto relationship, university educated and of higher socioeconomic status. Participants in the opt-out arm were similar to non-participants, except men were more likely to opt out.

Substantial proportions did not receive, understand or properly consider study invitations, and opting in or opting out behaviour was often at odds with parents' stated underlying intentions. Three-fifths of the parents in the opt-in and opt-out arms reported reading the information (63% vs 67%, $P=0.11$), but only two-fifths correctly identified the health records to be linked (43% vs 39%, $P=0.21$). Parents who actively consented (opted in) were more likely than those who passively consented (did not opt out) to correctly identify the data sources (60% vs 39%, $P<0.001$).

Data linkage for postmarketing surveillance of vaccines was widely supported by parents enrolled in the RCT and by the wider community (96% and 94% respectively) and there was trust in its privacy protections (84% and 75%). The majority also preferred minimal or no direct involvement: either opt-out consent (40% and 40%) or no consent (30% and 31%). Only a quarter preferred opt-in consent (24% and 25%). Over half gave higher

priority to rapid vaccine safety surveillance (61% and 56%) rather than first seeking parental consent (21% and 27%), while one in seven was undecided (15% and 15%).

Despite generally vaccinating their children (91% and 96%) and trusting vaccines as safe (90% and 92%), many were concerned that vaccines may be ineffective (42% and 40%) and may cause serious reactions (62% and 53%).

Conclusions: The opt-in approach resulted in low participation and a biased sample that would render any subsequent data linkage to be not feasible, whereas the opt-out approach achieved high participation and a representative sample.

Neither the opt-in nor opt-out approach was effective in achieving informed consent. The study's purpose was poorly understood, although comprehension was moderately better when parents actively rather than passively consented. Nonetheless, most parents and the general public supported data linkage for vaccine safety surveillance. A system utilising opt-out consent or no consent was preferred to one using opt-in consent.

These findings should inform public health policy and practice; the waiver of consent afforded under current privacy regulations for data linkage studies meeting all appropriate criteria should be granted by ethics committees, and supported by data custodians.

Declaration

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other institution and affirms that to the best of my knowledge, the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text of thesis.

- I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.
- The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed on the next page) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.
- I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed.....

Jesia Berry (Candidate)

Date

Publications during candidature

- Berry JG, Ryan P, Braunack-Mayer AJ, Duszynski KM, Xafis V, Gold MS, the Vaccine Assessment using Linked Data (VALiD) Working Group. A randomised controlled trial to compare opt-in and opt-out parental consent for childhood vaccine safety surveillance using data linkage: study protocol. *Trials* 2011;12:1, doi: 10.1186/1745-6215-12-1.
- Berry JG, Ryan P, Gold MS, Braunack-Mayer AJ, Duszynski KM, the Vaccine Assessment using Linked Data (VALiD) Working Group. A randomised controlled trial to compare opt-in and opt-out parental consent for childhood vaccine safety surveillance using data linkage. *J Med Ethics* 2012;38(10):619-25.
- Berry JG, Ryan P, Duszynski KM, Braunack-Mayer AJ, Carlson J, Xafis V, Gold MS, the Vaccine Assessment using Linked Data (VALiD) Working Group. Parent perspectives on consent for the linkage of data to evaluate vaccine safety: a randomised trial of opt-in and opt-out consent. *Clinical Trials* (accepted 1/2/13).
- Berry JG, Gold MS, Ryan P, Duszynski KM, Braunack-Mayer AJ, the Vaccine Assessment using Linked Data (VALiD) Working Group. Public perspectives on consent for the linkage of data to evaluate vaccine safety. *Vaccine* 2012;30(28):4167-74.

Conference presentations during candidature

- Berry JG, Ryan P, Gold MS, Duszynski KM, Braunack-Mayer AJ, Carlson J, Xafis V. *Parent and public perspectives on consent for the linkage of data to evaluate vaccine safety*. International Data Linkage Conference 2012; 2012 May 2-4; Perth.
- Berry JG, Ryan P, Gold MS, Braunack-Mayer AJ, Duszynski KM, Xafis V, White J. *A randomised controlled trial to compare opt-in and opt-out parental consent for childhood vaccine safety surveillance using data linkage*. Australasian Epidemiological Association (AEA) of Australia Conference ‘Combining Tradition and Innovation’; 2011 Sep 19-21; Perth.
- Berry JG. *A study of opt-in and opt-out consent for checking the safety of vaccines using data linkage*. Expert presentation for the ‘Vaccine Safety Data Linkage Community Forum’ Citizens’ Jury; 2011 Mar 26; Adelaide.
- Berry JG, Ryan P, Gold MS, Braunack-Mayer AJ, Duszynski KM, Xafis V, Carlson-White J. *A randomised trial of consent options in data linkage for vaccine surveillance*. Public Health Association of Australia (PHAA) 12th National Immunisation Conference; 2010 Aug 17-19; Adelaide.
- Berry JG. *Using multiple imputation to fill in missing data for a randomised controlled trial of opt-in and opt-out consent to data linkage*. University of Adelaide, School of Population Health Seminar Series; 2011 Apr 7; Adelaide.
- Berry JG. *A randomised trial of consent options in data linkage for vaccine safety surveillance*. University of Adelaide, School of Population Health, Higher Degree by Research Symposium; 2010 Oct 1; Adelaide.

- Berry JG. *The feasibility of data linkage using routine administrative datasets for vaccine safety surveillance in Australia*. University of Adelaide, School of Population Health Seminar Series; 2009 Apr 24; Adelaide.

Poster presentations:

- Berry JG, Ryan P, Gold MS, Braunack-Mayer AJ, Duszynski KM, for the VALiD Working Group. *Is it feasible to obtain consent for data linkage studies of vaccine safety?* PHAA (SA branch) Conference ‘Population Health: Working across sectors, settings and ages’; 2011 Oct 29; Adelaide. (Also presented at the University of Adelaide, Faculty of Health Sciences Postgraduate Research Conference; 2011 Aug 25; Adelaide.)

Awards arising out of this thesis

Student travel bursary award. \$400 award given in recognition of exemplary abstracts.

Australasian Epidemiological Association (AEA) of Australia Conference ‘Combining Tradition and Innovation’; 2011 Sep 19-21; Perth.

Awarded best PhD thesis in 2012. School of Population Health, University of Adelaide.

Acknowledgements

'There's more to life than books, you know. But not much more.'

Morrissey

I would like to sincerely thank the Australian Research Council for funding this work. A collective thank you to all the members of the Vaccine Assessment using Linked Data (VALiD) Working Group who provided continual advice and feedback, as well as funding support.

To Phil Ryan, thank you for being an encouraging mentor and supervisor over the years, and first sparking my interest in statistics and epidemiology. I will miss your wry, sharp-witted quirkiness and gruff (but secretly kind) manner.

To Mike Gold, whose vision and enthusiasm made my PhD possible and who provided thoughtful supervision and expert insight on all things vaccine related.

To Katherine Duszynski, whose dedication, patience, and intellect helped our team surmount many obstacles, and whose professionalism and friendship was unwavering.

To Annette Braunack-Mayer who provided valuable ethics expertise, as well as interjecting light humorous relief into our team meetings.

To Vicki Xafis, my fellow PhD candidate on the VALiD study, for helpful contributions.

A special thanks to Jill Carlson for her joviality, persistence and engaging interviewing manner, which helped make the randomised controlled trial a success. Also, Jill Edwards, Tina Evans, and Dorothy Galuszka from the Women's and Children's Hospital, as well as Catherine Leahy, who provided much appreciated support.

To my fellow PhD candidates who shared this journey with me, and occasionally a glass of wine: Lisa, Oana, Allison, Adriana, George, Emily, Tessa, Vicki, Marianne, and Derek. In particular, heartfelt thanks go to Lisa Yelland for her friendship and endless patience with explaining statistical concepts. Also, thanks to Adriana Parrella for lightening the experience with humorous chats and commiseration.

To my friends and their families who put up with my limited availability over the past four years but never forgot me: Jessica Broadbent, Michael Blake, Adam Wheeler, Melanie Bagg, Saskia Szivatz, Sue Pillion, and Julia Walman. Also, to my old school friends: Annemarie Holub and Judith Swithenbank.

I dedicate this thesis to the memory of Caroline MacIntosh (nee Cook), whose joyful smile, subtle mischievousness and steadfast friendship I miss constantly. How I wish you were still here to celebrate my turn at the Botanic Hotel, like we did for you.

Thanks goes to my family for their support — my brother and sisters, nieces and nephews, great-niece and great-nephews, my late grandparents who are sorely missed, my parents, parents-in-law, and sisters-in-law and their families.

Finally, but most importantly, my gratitude goes to my husband Shawn La Fou for his love, dedication and constancy. I hope the reward of a little one will make the sacrifices we both made all worthwhile!

Abbreviations

ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACIR	Australian Childhood Immunisation Register
ACSOM	Advisory Committee on the Safety of Medicines
ACT	Australian Capital Territory
ACTRN	Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry
AEA	Australasian Epidemiological Association
AEFI	Adverse Event(s) Following Immunisation
AIHW	Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
APSU	Australian Paediatric Surveillance Unit
ARC	Australian Research Council
ASGC	Australian Standard Geographical Classification
CATI	Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CDL	Centre for Data Linkage
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CHeReL	Centre for Health Record Linkage
CI	Confidence Interval
CONSORT	Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials
CYWHS	Children, Youth and Women's Health Service
DAEN	Database of Adverse Event Notifications
DEC	Departmental Ethics Committee
DLU	Data Linkage Unit
DTP	Diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis

ED	Emergency Department
EMR	Electronic Medical Record
FCS	Fully conditional specification
GP	General Practitioner
H1N1	Pandemic influenza A
Hep B	Hepatitis B
Hib	Haemophilus influenzae type B
HIPAA	Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Privacy Rule
HIPPO	Health Informatics, Policy and Performance Outcomes Unit
HMO	Health Maintenance Organization
HPV	Human papillomavirus
HREC	Human Research Ethics Committee
ICD	International Classification of Diseases
ID	Identification
IHDLN	International Health Data Linkage Network
IPV	Inactivated poliovirus vaccine
IQR	Interquartile range
IRR	Incidence rate ratio
IRSD	Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage
MACSS	Multipurpose Australian Comorbidity Scoring System
MAR	Missing at random
MCV4	Meningococcal conjugate vaccine
MenCCV	Meningococcal C conjugate vaccine
MMR(V)	Measles-mumps-rubella(-varicella)
MNAR	Missing not at random
MVNI	Multivariate normal distribution

NCIRS	National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance
NCRIS	National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
NHS	National Health Service
NICU	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
NIP	National Immunisation Program
NSW	New South Wales
NT	Northern Territory
OPR	Office of Product Review
OPV	Oral poliovirus vaccine
7vPCV	Seven-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine
13vPCV	Thirteen-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine
PAEDS	Paediatric Active Enhanced Disease Surveillance
PHAA	Public Health Association of Australia
PHRN	Population Health Research Network
PIAG	Patient Information Advisory Group
PRISM	Post-licensure Rapid Immunization Safety Monitoring system
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
RR	Relative Risk
SA	South Australia
SAEFVic	Surveillance of Adverse Events Following Vaccination in Victoria
SAVeS	South Australian Vaccine Safety Data Linkage Pilot Project
SCCS	Self-controlled case series
SCR	Summary care record
SEIFA	Socio-Economic Indexes For Areas
SURE	Secure Unified Research Environment

Tdap	Tetanus-diphtheria-acellular pertussis
TGA	Therapeutic Goods Administration
TIV	Trivalent influenza vaccination
TP	Thrombocytopenic purpura
UK	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
US	United States of America
VAESCO	Vaccine Adverse Event Surveillance and Communication
VALiD	Vaccine Assessment using Linked Data study
Vic	Victoria
VSD	Vaccine Safety Datalink
WA	Western Australia
WADLS	Western Australia Data Linkage System
WCH	Women's and Children's Hospital

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Well developed data linkage systems worldwide in 2008 ²⁸	9
Figure 2.2: The Population Health Research Network ²	10
Figure 2.3: How does data linkage work? An example illustrating data linkage of vaccination and hospital morbidity data	13
Figure 2.4: Four components of the VALiD study	30
Figure 2.5: Cost-benefit comparison for the different forms of consent according to study size ¹¹⁰	52
Figure 3.1: Flow diagram of opt-in compared with opt-out trial.....	75
Figure 4.1: Flow diagram of opt-in compared with opt-out trial.....	93
Figure 4.2: Parental responses when asked about the return or non-return of the reply form with missing data imputed by multiple imputation	97
Figure 4.3: A comparison of observed and imputed values for selected variables..	108
Figure 5.1: Flow diagram of opt-in compared with opt-out trial.....	122
Figure 7.1: Parent and public perspectives on consent for the linkage of data to evaluate vaccine safety	174
Figure 7.2: Parent and public opinions on the relative importance of obtaining consent or checking vaccine safety	174
Figure 7.3: Vaccination practices and safety concerns among parents in the two studies	176
Figure 7.4: Public perspectives on consent for the use of data from medical records	179

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Examples of data linkage studies for vaccine safety surveillance	25
Table 2.2: Survey results of available data linkage infrastructure in 2007 for monitoring childhood vaccine safety by country ^{20,87}	26
Table 3.1: RCTs of opt-in and opt-out consent	67
Table 3.2: Eligibility criteria and rationale	73
Table 4.1: Baseline characteristics of mothers at trial entry (complete cases)	93
Table 4.2: Demographic characteristics of participants and non-participants in data linkage for vaccine safety surveillance with missing data imputed by multiple imputation.....	95
Table 4.3: Imputation Model 1: variables used to impute sex for parents who were not interviewed	106
Table 4.4: Imputation Model 2: variables used to impute missing socio-demographic and interview responses about the topic of consent for all parents in the trial	107
Table 4.5: Patterns of missingness of data for variables in substantive analysis	109
Table 4.6: Examples of key phrases used to classify parental responses in Figure 4.2 of the main paper	110
Table 5.1: Baseline characteristics of mothers at trial entry (complete cases)	125
Table 5.2: Understanding of data linkage for childhood vaccine safety surveillance	127
Table 5.3: Opinions regarding consent to data linkage for childhood vaccine safety surveillance	129
Table 5.4: Opinions on the relative importance of obtaining consent or checking vaccine safety	131
Table 5.5: General views on vaccine safety and surveillance.....	132
Table 5.6: Vaccine safety concerns and parental compliance with two-month immunisations by the age of 10 weeks for babies.....	135
Table 5.7: Imputation Model 3: variables used to impute missing interview responses about the topics of data linkage, vaccine safety and effectiveness, and vaccination practices for all parents in the trial.....	141
Table 5.8: Patterns of missingness of data for variables in substantive analysis	143

Table 6.1: Household demographics of survey respondents (<i>n</i> =2002): South Australia, 2011.....	155
Table 6.2: Opinions regarding consent to data linkage for childhood vaccine safety surveillance (<i>n</i> =2002).....	157
Table 6.3: Opinions on the relative importance of obtaining consent or checking vaccine safety (<i>n</i> =2002).....	159
Table 6.4: General views on vaccine safety and surveillance (<i>n</i> =2002).....	161
Table 6.5: Vaccine safety concerns and vaccination practices among parents and guardians (<i>n</i> =601)	163
Table 7.1: Demographic characteristics of the interviewed parents and survey respondents	172
Table 7.2: Parent and public perspectives on vaccine safety and surveillance	175