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Figure 3.1
The distribution of 12 *N. gouldi* study sites across Victoria and South Australia. *N. gouldi* were sampled in native vegetation (light grey) embedded within a matrix of hardwood (mid grey) and softwood plantations (dark grey) and agricultural land (white).
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Individual assignment plots from STRUCTURE (a), BAPS (b) and TESS (c). Two hundred and twenty-nine *N. gouldi* individuals are represented along the *x*-axis by a vertical line representing the posterior probability of membership (*Q*), indicated along the *y*-axis, to genetic clusters (K) defined in the respective legend adjacent to each plot. Three white lines in Figure 3.2c indicate additional clusters that have been ignored due to underrepresentation (see text regarding BAPS results). Nine sampled populations are defined below the *x*-axis: Nan = Nangwarry, Hon = Honans, Dry = Dry Creek, Ann = Annya, Otw = Otways, Gra = Grampians, MtE = Mt Eccles, Hot = Hotpur, Str = Strathdownie.

Figure 3.3
Spatial plot displaying the membership of *N. gouldi* individuals to three genetic clusters identified in TESS. Clusters are mapped via a Voronoi tessellation with black circles representing sampling locations and clusters defined as: Cluster 1 (dark grey), Cluster 2 (light grey) and Cluster 3 (mid grey). Nine sampled populations are represented: Nan = Nangwarry, Hon = Honans, Dry = Dry Creek, Ann = Annya, Otw = Otways, Gra = Grampians, MtE = Mt Eccles, Hot = Hotpur, Str = Strathdownie.

Figure 3.4
GENELAND results for *N. gouldi* illustrating the geographic distribution of four identified genetic clusters based on the posterior probability of individuals belonging to Cluster 1 (a), Cluster 2 (b), Cluster 3 (c) and Cluster 4 (d). White indicates a high probability of assignment to a given cluster while dark orange indicates low probability of assignment. Nine sampled populations are represented: Nan = Nangwarry, Hon = Honans, Dry = Dry Creek, Ann = Annya, Otw = Otways, Gra = Grampians, MtE = Mt Eccles, Hot = Hotpur, Str = Strathdownie.
Figure 3.5
Results of spatial autocorrelations performed in GENALEX illustrating mean r (---) with 95% upper and lower confidence levels (-----). Distance classes are displayed along the x-axis in km. Figures represent: (a) Sites connected by continuous habitat, and (b) Sites fragmented by agricultural land.

Figure 4.1
The distribution of 14 *N. geoffroyi* and *N. gouldi* study sites across Victoria and South Australia. *Nyctophilus* were sampled in native vegetation (light grey) embedded within a matrix of hardwood (mid grey) and softwood plantations (dark grey) and agricultural land (white).
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GENELAND results illustrating the geographic distribution of two identified genetic clusters based on the posterior probability (Q) of 502 *N. geoffroyi* individuals belonging to Cluster 1 (a) and Cluster 2 (b). Colours represent a gradient of proportional assignment ranging from high (white>0.9) to low (red<0.1). The sampling location of each individual is represented by a black circle at one of fourteen study sites across south-eastern South Australia and western Victoria.

Figure 4.3
Results of spatial autocorrelations performed in GENALEX illustrating mean r (---) along the Y axis with 95% upper and lower confidence levels (-----). Distance classes are displayed along the X axis in km. Figures represent: (a) all sites, (b) sites connected by continuous habitat, and (c) sites fragmented by agricultural land.

Figure 5.1
The distribution of 14 *N. geoffroyi* and *N. gouldi* study sites across Victoria and South Australia. *Nyctophilus* were sampled in native vegetation (light grey) embedded within a matrix of hardwood (mid grey) and softwood plantations (dark grey) and agricultural land (white). The location of three *N. geoffroyi* roosts in farm buildings is displayed in the lower expansion showing their proximity to Warreanga NFR.
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Results of spatial autocorrelations performed in GENALEX illustrating mean r (---) with 95% upper and lower confidence levels (-----). Distance classes are displayed along the x-axis in km. Figures represent: (a) *N. gouldi* males, (b) *N. gouldi* females, (c) *N. geoffroyi* males, and (d) *N. geoffroyi* females.
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Social structure based on parent-offspring, full siblings, half siblings and cousins identified using KINGROUP for populations of *N. gouldi* (■) and *N. geoffroyi* (▲), and within three artificial *N. geoffroyi* roosts (▲). Females (■■) and males (▲▲) are compared in terms of the percentage of individuals with a relative in each category.
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Comparison of social structure based on parent-offspring, full siblings, half siblings and cousins identified using KINGROUP. Three groups are compared: populations of *N. gouldi* (■) (n=256) and *N. geoffroyi* (▲) (n=502), and three *N. geoffroyi* roosts (▲) (n=157). Light shades indicate female-female relatives (■■■), dark shades male-male (▲▲▲), and striation of light and dark shades indicates female-male relatives (■▲▲). Figure (a) compares the total number of female-female, male-male, and female-male related pairs. Figure (b) compares the number of related pairs assigned to each class of sexual dyad and within each of the four relative categories.
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Mean (—) population and roost relatedness (*r*) for (a) *N. gouldi*, and (b) *N. geoffroyi*. Upper and lower confidence limits (95%) (—) that there is no difference between the populations and roosts based on 9999 permutations. Error bars based on bootstrap re-sampling (9999 bootstraps).
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Thesis Abstract

Habitat fragmentation represents one of the greatest threats to biodiversity, yet for the second largest mammalian order Chiroptera we have only just begun to assess the impacts of this threatening process on population connectivity and genetic diversity. Many aspects of chiropteran ecology remain unknown due to their cryptic lifestyle and difficulties in applying traditional observational and field-based techniques. At the time of this PhD project’s conception there were no published studies utilising genetic techniques to address the influence of habitat fragmentation on any chiropteran species. Since that time two studies have been published, in 2009 and 2011. I add to this new body of literature by conducting genetic analyses to assess population connectivity and genetic diversity in two congeneric vespertilionids, *Nyctophilus gouldi* and *N. geoffroyi*. The study was conducted in western Victoria and south-eastern South Australia across a landscape comprising continuous and fragmented regions of native habitat. Populations within continuous forest provided a benchmark for parameters including gene flow, genetic diversity and social structure, for comparison with forest fragments. This thesis also capitalises on the underutilised potential of molecular techniques for the study of chiropterans. I applied molecular approaches to assess dispersal strategies and social structure in both species offering novel ecological insights. Four data chapters covering these topics are outlined below.

Chapter 2 describes the isolation and characterisation of 16 microsatellite markers developed to facilitate this research. I utilised next generation sequencing technology (454) to generate a microsatellite DNA library and employed Multiplex Ready Technology (MRT) as a flexible and cost effective method to test primers and design marker panels for screening. DNA was isolated from *N. gouldi* resulting in 15 loci, while cross amplification in *N. geoffroyi* produced 7 reliable loci.

Chapter 3 addresses the impact of habitat fragmentation on the forest and woodland specialist *N. gouldi*, which is listed as endangered in South Australia. Based on roosting requirements, rarity in the agricultural landscape and limited dispersal ability I predicted that *N. gouldi* populations would display reduced gene flow and signs of isolation as a result of habitat fragmentation. This prediction was confirmed by my analyses which identified reduced population connectivity, decreased genetic diversity, elevated measures of relatedness and
inbreeding, and altered demography within fragmented populations isolated by ≥27km of agricultural land. Agricultural distances <2km did not influence population connectivity providing a benchmark for habitat restoration to improve connectivity and mitigate population isolation in this species. Management recommendations include the enhancement of population connectivity between threatened SA populations, and recognition of a unique Management Unit at the Grampians National Park.

The forth chapter investigates the influence of habitat fragmentation on *N. geoffroyi* for comparison with *N. gouldi*. In contrast to *N. gouldi*, *N. geoffroyi* is a habitat generalist that occupies a diverse range of ecosystems and which is commonly recorded within agricultural landscapes. *N. geoffroyi*’s presence in modified habitat coupled with plastic ecology and roosting requirements led to the prediction that the species would display limited impacts from habitat fragmentation. My analyses again confirmed this prediction with *N. geoffroyi* displaying virtually no response to habitat fragmentation and a panmictic population structure across the study region. The comparison between *N. geoffroyi* and *N. gouldi* provided an opportunity to test the merit of several proposed predictors of bat vulnerability to habitat fragmentation, in particular wing morphology, matrix tolerance, specialisation and geographic range. The much touted predictor wing morphology failed to predict differing responses from the two species while the following three predictors listed above received further support from this study. I conclude that wing morphology may still be a useful predictor of bat vulnerability to habitat fragmentation when coupled with other indicators such as matrix tolerance and habitat specialisation.

The fifth and final data chapter utilises molecular analyses to assess several previously unknown aspects of *N. gouldi* and *N. geoffroyi* ecology, dispersal strategies, mating systems and social structure. *N. gouldi* displayed patterns consistent with female natal philopatry, male biased dispersal and a polygynous mating system, while no such evidence was found for *N. geoffroyi*. Results for *N. geoffroyi* may have been influenced by larger population sizes which, coupled with higher dispersal rates, may have masked any evidence of sex-biased dispersal. Both species displayed significant numbers of relatives at the population level, with *N. gouldi* displaying particularly high levels of related females. *N. geoffroyi* displayed higher numbers of relatives at the roost level indicating that kin selection may play an important role in social structure and cooperative roosting. Despite significant numbers of related *N. geoffroyi* at the roost level, the vast majority of pairwise comparisons indicated no
relationship between individuals suggesting that the dominant driver of sociality and cooperative behaviour may not be solely based on relatedness. Nevertheless, high incidence of related females at the population level for *N. gouldi*, and at the roost level for *N. geoffroyi*, suggests that the bonds between related females are an important aspect of *Nyctophilus* behavioural ecology and social structure.
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