Claudin-1 expression is elevated in colorectal cancer precursor lesions harboring the BRAF V600E mutation
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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Sessile serrated adenomas/polyps (SSA/P) are now recognised precursors of colorectal cancer (CRC) including cancers harbouring somatic BRAF (V600E) mutations. While the morphological diagnostic criteria of SSA/P have been established, distinguishing between small/early SSA/P and microvesicular hyperplastic polyps (MVHP) is challenging and may not be possible in routine practice.

METHODS: Gene expression profiling of MVHP (n=5, all BRAF V600E wild-type) and SSA/P (n=5, all BRAF V600E mutant) samples was performed. Quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and immunohistochemical analysis was performed to verify the expression of claudin 1 (CLDN1) in MVHP and SSA/P.

RESULTS: Gene expression profiling studies conducted between MVHP and SSA/P identified CLDN1 as the most statistically significant differentially expressed gene (p<0.05). Validation with qRT-PCR confirmed an up-regulation of CLDN1 in BRAF V600E mutant polyps regardless of polyp type (p<0.0005). Immunohistochemical analysis of CLDN1 expression in BRAF V600E mutant SSA/Ps (n=53) and MVHPs (n=111) and BRAF wild-type MVHPs (n=58), demonstrated a strong correlation between CLDN1 expression and the BRAF V600E mutation in both SSA/P and MVHP samples when compared to wild-type polyps (p<0.0001).

CONCLUSION: This study demonstrates an up regulation of CLDN1 protein in serrated colorectal polyps including MVHP harbouring the BRAF V600E mutation. Our results demonstrated an apparent heterogeneity on the molecular level within the MVHP group and suggest that MVHP with somatic BRAF V600E mutation and up-regulated expression of CLDN1 are closely related to SSA/P and may in fact represent a continuous spectrum of the same neoplastic process within the serrated pathway of colorectal carcinogenesis.
**Introduction**

While the majority of colorectal cancer (CRC) is believed to evolve through the conventional adenoma to carcinoma sequence, initially proposed by Vogelstein [1], it has become apparent that as many as 30% of CRCs may arise through an alternate route, known as the serrated pathway [2]. The sessile serrated adenoma/polyp (SSA/P) has been recently accepted as the most common precursor lesion for this pathway and its correct identification in clinical and pathologic practice is of critical importance. Currently, pathologic diagnosis of SSA/P is based on a constellation of cytoarchitectural histopathologic features including the degree of crypt dilation and serration, the horizontal crypt configuration, number of branched crypts and nuclear features [3,4]. However, SSA/Ps, particularly when small, have overlapping microscopic features with other serrated polyps, including microvesSEL hyperplastic polyps (MVHP), and distinction between these lesions may not always be possible in routine pathology practice. On a molecular level, the serrated pathway is characterized by the V600E somatic mutation in the BRAF proto-oncogene (BRAF V600E), cytosine guanine dinucleotide island methylator phenotype (CIMP), and microsatellite instability (MSI) [4,5]. The BRAF V600E mutation is hypothesized to be an early event in this pathway that potentially drives tumorigenesis [5], whereas in the conventional pathway, mutations in the adenomatous polyposis coli gene and aberrant Wnt signaling are widely accepted as initiating events [6].

Despite the growing data on molecular features of the serrated pathway, our understanding of the key biologic events involved in the development of polyps in this pathway and their progression to carcinoma is still not complete. Molecular studies including gene expression profiling comparing different subsets of CRC precursor lesions have advanced our knowledge on the molecular events occurring during the neoplastic progression in these lesions, providing additional support for distinct molecular pathways involved in tumorigenesis of this subset of CRC [7–10]. Recent gene expression analysis of serrated and conventional colorectal carcinomas has also suggested that CRCs developing through the serrated pathway may require different chemotherapy treatment regimes [11–13]. This is further supported by recent studies that demonstrated colorectal adenocarcinomas characterized by the BRAF V600E mutation have significantly worse overall survival when compared to BRAF wild-type or KRAS mutated adenocarcinomas [3,14–16]. Additionally, SSA/Ps have been reported to be of higher risk of progression [17,18].

Our study attempted the further investigation of underlying molecular alterations in serrated colorectal polyps through gene expression profiling. In validation studies, we employed quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and routine immunohistochemical techniques available in most pathology laboratories using samples from surgical resections and polypectomies. We have identified claudin-1 (CLDN1) as significantly upregulated in polyps bearing the BRAF V600E somatic mutation both on a gene expression level and a protein level, regardless of polyp type. Our results indicate that CLDN1 up-regulation occurs early in the development of SSA/P and its overexpression in a proportion of MVHP suggests a close relation between these two lesions of the serrated pathway.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patients and Polyp Samples**

Polyp samples used in microarray gene expression profiling and qRT-PCR were obtained from surgical resection specimens. The fresh resection specimens were examined and sampled in a hospital immediately after resection or in the pathology laboratory within 30 minutes of resection. Each polyp was divided into equal portions. Portions were either immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen or formalin fixed and paraffin embedded (FFPE). The frozen sections selected for the study were further verified histologically before analysis.

The diagnostic criteria for SSA/P and MVHP are based on published criteria relying mainly on polyp architecture [9]. The architectural features assessed included crypt branching, horizontal dilatation of basal crypt compartments, and presence of serration at the base of the crypts. Polyps were classified as SSA/P when at least two of these features were present, and only lesions with a sessile configuration and a diameter of 10 mm or more from the right colon (up to the splenic flexure) were classified as SSA/P; MVHP were obtained from the left colon and were <5 mm in diameter. None of the polyps used in microarray gene profiling or RT-PCR contained pericryptal stromal spindle cells, had a conventional adenoma component, or had dysplasia. In addition to morphology, polyps were also characterized by BRAF and KRAS mutation analyses. No polyps that carried both the BRAF and KRAS mutations were identified.

Informed consent was obtained from patients before the use of their samples, and the study was approved by the Royal Adelaide Ethics Committee (RAH Protocol No. 001201).

**KRAS and BRAF Mutation Screening**

DNA was prepared from polyp tissue macro-dissected from FFPE slides using the QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Screening for mutations in codons 12 and 13 of the KRAS gene was performed using a multiplex assay as described by Di Fiore et al. [19]. The V600E mutation in the BRAF gene was detected using a single nucleotide primer extension assay comparable to the KRAS assay. The portion of exon 15 of the BRAF gene encompassing the V600E mutation was amplified, and the V600E mutation was detected using a SNaPshot Multiplex Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and a specific primer (C,TGATTTTGTCTAGCTACG). All reactions were run on a 3730 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems), and results were analyzed using GeneMapper software version 4.0 (Applied Biosystems).

**Microarray Analysis**

Frozen samples were sectioned at 6 μm using a cryostat (−25°C) and were immediately stored at −80°C. Before use, the slides were fixed with ice-cold 100% methanol for 10 minutes and then washed with Diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water on ice for 30 seconds and stained with RNase-free hematoxylin solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) for 1 minute. Finally, the slides were dehydrated with 100% ethanol for 30 seconds and air-dried.

The stained slides were placed onto a PALM Laser Capture dissecting microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The serrated crypt epithelium of the polyp was catapulted and captured into 50 μl of lysis/binding buffer (Qiagen) using ultraviolet laser cutting according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. The captured cells were centrifuged, vortexed, and stored at −80°C until RNA isolation. Total RNA was prepared, including column DNase digestion, using the QIAGEN RNasy<sup>®</sup>Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen). The RNA integrity and concentration for each sample were assessed using the Agilent BioAnalyzer. Only those samples with RNA integrity greater than 5 were used for analysis.
Human Gene 1.0ST arrays (Affymetrix Inc, Santa Clara, CA) were used for gene expression analysis. Extracted RNA from each tissue sample was amplified, fragmented, and biotinylated before hybridization to individual arrays. The hybridized arrays were then loaded onto the Affymetrix Gene Chip Fluidics 450 station, washed, and then stained with a fluorescently labeled antibody. Arrays were scanned using a high-resolution scanner (Affymetrix 3000 7G) by the Adelaide Microarray Centre (Adelaide, Australia).

### Statistical Analysis of Microarray Data

Analysis of microarray data was performed using the Partek Genomics Suite (v 6.6; Partek Inc, St Louis, MO). Raw data files were imported using robust multichip averaging background correction, quantile normalization, and median polish probe set summarization. Raw intensity values were adjusted for base-pair (GC) content and probe-specific effects. Differential gene expression was assessed by analysis of variance using the multiple test correction to control for false discovery rate [20]. Gene expression changes between polyp types were considered significant when adjusted P values were less than .05. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (Ingenuity Systems, Inc, Redwood City, CA) was used to identify potential relationships between differentially expressed genes.

### qRT-PCR of CLDN1

RNA was isolated, and its integrity was assessed as for the microarray study. In addition, normal colonic mucosa samples (n = 10) obtained from colorectal resections for non-neoplastic conditions were used for the preparation of a pooled, normal reference RNA. The samples were collected, processed, and histologically verified in a similar manner to the polyp tissues.

RNA (10 ng) was converted to cDNA using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) as per the manufacturer’s instructions using the iQ5 Bio-Rad real-time instrument. Briefly, a 20 μl reaction (containing 5 μl of reaction mixture, 10 ng of RNA, and 5 μl of nuclease-free water) was cycled as follows: 5 minutes at 25°C, and then 30 minutes at 42°C, 5 minutes at 85°C, cooled to 4°C, and then again heated to 85°C for 5 minutes.

qRT-PCRs were carried out in triplicate using the iQ SYBR GREEN Supermix (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, a 20 μl reaction (containing 10 μl of iQ SYBR GREEN Supermix, 200 nM each of forward and reverse primers, 2 μl of cDNA template, and nuclease-free water) was cycled on the iQ5 Bio-Rad real-time instrument as follows: 95°C for 3 minutes, then 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds, 58°C for 30 seconds, and 72°C for 30 seconds.

To avoid amplification of genomic DNA, primers were designed to span across two exons. Primers were optimized, and a melt curve analysis was performed to ensure specificity. The cycle threshold value was used to calculate the normalized expression of the selected genes for each sample using the software provided with the iQ5 Bio-Rad real-time instrument. The following primer pairs were used: Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase ( GAPDH) (as a control gene) forward primer, 5′CAAGGCTTGGGCCAAGGT3′ and reverse primer, 5′GAAGGGCATGCCAGTGA3′; CLDN1 forward primer, 5′CTGGCCCAGTGAGGATTTA3′ and reverse primer, 5′GACATCACCAGCCCCCTCGTA3′.

### Immunohistochemical Analysis of CLDN1

Sections (4 μm) of paraffin wax–embedded tissue were mounted on coated slides, dewaxed, and rehydrated using standard techniques. Pressure cooker antigen retrieval was performed in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6) for 20 minutes. After cooling to 30°C, the sections were incubated for 60 minutes at room temperature with primary CLDN1 monoclonal antibody (1:2500 dilution; Zytomed Systems GmbH, Berlin Germany). The polymer system ADVANCE HRP (Dako Australia Pty Ltd, Victoria, Australia) employing DAB as the detection system was used. Counterstaining was performed using Mayer’s hematoxylin. Samples were scored as positive if staining was detected in any of the polyp crypts and negative if there was no staining present. A negative control was performed by omitting the primary antibody.

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (v5.0; GraphPad Software Inc, La Jolla, CA). For qRT-PCR, the Mann-Whitney U test was employed to determine if CLDN1 mRNA expression differed between polyp types. For immunohistochemical analysis, the chi-squared test was used to determine if the differences in CLDN1 staining between each polyp type was statistically significant.

### Results

Gene expression analysis was performed on SSA/P (n = 5) and MVHP (n = 5) samples using Affymetrix Human Gene 1.0ST arrays. The SSA/P samples were obtained from three males and two females (average age 79 years, range 75-82 years). All five polyps were positive for BRAF V600E mutation. Among the MVHP samples, two polyps were positive for KRAS mutation in codon 12 or 13 and the remaining three lesions were wild-type for both BRAF and KRAS. All MVHP samples were obtained from male subjects (average age 62 years, range 24-79 years).

Analysis of variance of gene expression profiles indicated that 744 genes were differentially expressed between SSA/P and MVHP samples (adjusted P < .05, fold change ≥ 2). Furthermore, cluster analysis (hierarchical analysis and principle component analysis) revealed that there was no overlap in the transcriptional profiles of these polyp types, indicating that SSA/P and MVHP have distinct molecular profiles (Figures 1 and W1). The list of differentially expressed genes is shown in Table W1. Bioinformatic network analysis of differentially expressed genes (Ingenuity Pathway Analysis) identified four potential genes as being upstream regulators, i.e., genes that regulate the expression of other genes (either upregulate or downregulate) in a manner consistent with published findings. These upstream regulators include fibrillin-1, SAM pointed domain containing ETS transcription factor, WNT1 inducible signaling pathway protein 2, and synovial apoptosis inhibitor 1. Each of these genes were predicted to be activated (z-score > 2) on the basis of the direction of the fold change of their downstream targets. The network representing the regulation of expression of these downstream targets is shown in Figure W2.

Statistical and bioinformatic analyses of the gene expression data identified CLDN1 as the most significant differentially expressed gene (based on adjusted P value) and also as a downstream target of WNT1 inducible signaling pathway protein 2 (Figure W2). The expression of CLDN1 was found to be 9.5-fold upregulated in SSA/P samples when compared with MVHP (P = .003). Accordingly, we undertook further analysis of this gene in a larger cohort of patient samples to determine its possible use as a marker of the serrated pathway.

### qRT-PCR Validation of CLDN1

qRT-PCR was used to investigate CLDN1 expression changes in SSA/P (n = 18) and MVHP (n = 11) samples with values normalized to GAPDH. Of the 18 SSA/P samples, 10 were males (average age 76 years, range 66-82 years) and 8 were females (average age 74 years,
Of the MVHP samples, eight were males (average age 72 years, range 54-78 years) and three were females (average age 54 years, range 49-56 years). Of the SSA/P group, 15 were positive for **BRAF V600E** mutation (9 males, average age 74 years; 6 females, average age 68 years), 1 was positive for **KRAS** mutation (female, age 75 years), and 2 were wild-type for both the **KRAS** and **BRAF** genes (1 male, age 82 years; 1 female, age 79 years). Of the MVHP group, six were positive for **BRAF V600E** mutation (four males; average age 70 years; two females, average age 52 years), three were positive for **KRAS** mutation (two males, average age 72 years; one female, age 56 years), and two samples were wild-type for both the **KRAS** and **BRAF** genes (two males, average age 73 years).

A nonparametric approach (Mann-Whitney U test) was employed to determine if **CLDN1** expression was statistically different between the two polyp groups. When based on morphologic classification alone, **CLDN1** expression was significantly upregulated in SSA/P ($n = 18$) when compared to MVHP ($n = 11$) ($P < .0001$; Figure 2A). When these polyps were classified according to **BRAF V600E** mutation status, **CLDN1** expression was significantly elevated in **BRAF** V600E mutant polyps ($n = 23$) when compared to those with no mutation ($n = 6$; $P < .0005$; Figure 2B).

### Immunohistochemical Analysis of **CLDN1** Expression

Serrated polyps displaying the morphology of traditional MVHP were found to be a heterogeneous group differing in an underlying gene mutation and also in the mRNA expression of **CLDN1** (Figure 2). Hence, for immunohistochemical analysis, samples ($n = 222$) were divided into four groups: SSA/P (characterized by **BRAF V600E** mutation, $n = 53$), MVHP with the **BRAF V600E** mutation ($n = 111$), MVHP with mutations in codon 12 or 13 of the **KRAS** gene ($n = 23$), and MVHP without mutation in either the **BRAF** or **KRAS** gene ($n = 35$). Specific patient and polyp characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Representative **CLDN1** immunostaining in SSA/P that is either **BRAF** V600E mutant or wild-type is shown in Figure 3. Analysis of these immunohistochemical data showed that the majority of **BRAF V600E** mutant SSA/P and MVHP were positive for **CLDN1** expression (89% and 81%, respectively). This is in contrast to MVHP with **KRAS** mutations where only 35% were found to be positive for **CLDN1** expression (Table 2). Furthermore, in those MVHP where no mutation was detected in either the **KRAS** or **BRAF** gene, 54% of these were positive for **CLDN1** expression.

---

**Figure 1.** Heat map of the differentially expressed genes between MVHPs and SSA/Ps. The heat map is a representation of statistically significant (adjusted $P < .05$) differentially expressed genes with fold change greater than ±2 (i.e., 744 genes) between SSA/Ps ($n = 5$, **BRAF** mutant) and MVHPs ($n = 5$, **BRAF** wild type). Hierarchical clustering analysis indicates that SSA/Ps and MVHPs have distinct gene expression patterns. Green indicates decreased expression, and red indicates increased expression.

**Figure 2.** Expression levels of **CLDN1** by qRT-PCR. (A) Expression levels of **CLDN1** in SSA/P and MVHPs based on morphology compared to normal colonic mucosal tissue (mean value of 1). The median expression levels for **CLDN1** was significantly upregulated in SSA/P ($n = 18$) when compared to MVHP ($n = 11$) ($P < .0001$; Figure 2A). When these polyps were classified according to **BRAF V600E** mutation status, **CLDN1** expression was significantly elevated in **BRAF V600E** mutant polyps ($n = 23$) when compared to those with no mutation ($n = 6$; $P < .0005$; Figure 2B). (B) Expression levels of **CLDN1** in polyps stratified by **BRAF** mutation status. **CLDN1** expression was significantly ($P < .0005$) elevated in polyps positive for the **BRAF V600E** mutation ($n = 23$) when compared to polyps where the mutation was not detected ($n = 6$). Abbreviations: **BRAF** +ve, **BRAF V600E** mutant; **BRAF** −ve, **BRAF** wild type.
Abbreviations: BRAF mutant, positive for V600E mutation; KRAS mutant, positive for mutation
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Caruso et al. [23] subdivided the group of hyperplastic polyps into three categories: microvesicular, goblet cell rich, and mucin poor, with the microvesicular type being the most prevalent [3]. MVHP and SSA/P have overlapping morphologic features and distinction between these polyp types in routine practice may be difficult or impossible, particularly when the polyps are small or when dealing with biopsies rather than excised lesions. While SSA/Ps are well known to harbor the somatic BRAF V600E mutation, this molecular alteration is also present in a significant proportion of MVHPs [23–26]. The presence of overlapping morphology with SSA/P and molecular alterations, including the somatic BRAF V600E mutation, raised the possibility of MVHP to have the ability to progress to more advanced lesions of the serrated pathway [25,27,2].

In addition to mutations in the BRAF gene, lesions of the serrated pathway are also characterized by high frequency of MSI and CIMP [28–30]. Our gene expression analysis has identified 744 genes that were differentially expressed between MVHP and SSA/P stratified by BRAF V600E mutation status (adjusted \( P < .05 \), fold change \( \geq 2 \)), providing convincing evidence that these polyp types are most likely derived from distinct molecular pathways, which is consistent with other published reports [9,11–13,31]. Several studies have attempted to identify biomarkers of SSA/P to develop a diagnostic tool to assist the pathologist to correctly diagnose this polyp type or to expand our knowledge on biology and underlying molecular events involved in malignant transformation of these lesions [8–10]. A recently published study that employed microarray gene expression profiling with RT-PCR validation on a similar number of MVHPs and SSA/Ps revealed a strong association of the annexin A10 gene with SSA/P but not with MVHP making it a potential biomarker of SSA/P [10]. Mapping of the most differentially expressed genes in the same study onto 12 core cancer signaling pathways demonstrated a significant up-regulation of the CLDN1 gene in SSA/P. Interestingly, both genes were in the top six of the most differentially expressed genes in our study (sixth and first, respectively). The fact that CLDN1 was found to be upregulated in SSA/P in our microarray and not in the previous work may reflect the stratification of our polyps based on BRAF mutation status and/or sampling differences as our samples were obtained with assistance of a laser capture microscope.

Interestingly, the same study demonstrated overexpression of a trefoil factor family gene, TFF2, in SSA/P and not in MVHP. These results and our previous observation of overexpression of the TFF1 gene in SSAs indicate the likely involvement of trefoil factor family genes in serrated pathway neoplasia [9]. A recently published investigation of immunohistochemical detection of claudin-18 protein (like CLDN1, a component of tight junctions) in serrated pathway lesions demonstrated a higher expression of this protein in SSA/P than in hyperplastic polyps or conventional adenomas [8].

In CRC, reports of CLDN1 expression have been contradictory. For example, overexpression of CLDN1 in adenocarcinoma tissue in comparison to normal mucosa has been reported [32–34], and more recently, Bezdekova et al. demonstrated elevated CLDN1 expression in a cohort of 42 adenomas relative to normal epithelium [35]. In these studies, cytoplasmic CLDN1 was correlated with disease progression. However, low CLDN1 tumor expression has also been observed and a link between metastasis and poor patient prognosis has been proposed [36–38]. These studies, however, did not report on molecular characterization of the patient samples tested, and it is possible that these opposing results can be explained by molecular features such as BRAF mutation status, MSI, or CIMP. Further studies on our patient cohort exploring the association between mutations in the BRAF gene, CLDN1 staining, and patient outcome are warranted to better understand their use for prognosis.

The dysregulation of CLDN1 expression has also been postulated as a contributor to colon cancer progression and its up-regulation has been shown to be associated with the disorganization of tight junction fibrils, leading to an increase in paracellular permeability [32]. CLDN1 expressing xenograft tumors have been demonstrated to have increased potential for invasion and metastatic behaviour [39]. In addition, a positive correlation of CLDN1 expressing CRC cells and their resistance to anoikis also suggests that CLDN1 may influence tumor growth and evolution [40]. The role of CLDN1 in the progression of SSA to cancer has not been investigated and is unknown. However, the evolution of serrated lesions to CRC appears to be accelerated and faster than conventional adenomas [18,41] and may be related to resistance to anoikis and cellular discohesion. As CLDN1 is associated with both processes, the serrated polyps showing CLDN1 overexpression may have increased potential for progression to higher grade lesions through the serrated pathway neoplasia.

In gastric epithelial cells, CLDN1 has also been described as a target of the RUNX3 transcription factor [42]. In intestinal tumors, RUNX3 can potentially inactivate Wnt signaling by interacting with the ß-catenin/TCF4 complex [43]. RUNX3 is one of the core genes used to classify CIMP high CRC [5] and it is possible that in this subset of tumors, promoter hypermethylation and subsequent loss of RUNX3 expression can attenuate ß-catenin/TCF signaling leading to elevated CLDN1 expression. Activation of Wnt signaling in SSA/P is controversial with evidence in the literature to both support and oppose this hypothesis. Abnormal ß-catenin staining has been shown
in a subset of SSA/P, and Yachida et al. have reported an association between nuclear β-catenin staining and BRAF V600E mutation [44–46]). In contrast, other studies have demonstrated the opposite or involvement of Wnt signaling only in SSA with neoplastic progression, implying that Wnt signaling may only be activated late in the progression of disease for SSA/P [47,48].

Interestingly, CLDN1 is expressed in recently described perineural-like stromal proliferations in a small fraction of serrated colorectal polyps including MVHP and SSA/P [49]. These stromal pericryptal

**Figure 3.** Representative immunostaining of CLDN1 protein in SSA/Ps. Two small serrated, non-dysplastic polyps measuring less than 2 mm, located 12 mm apart in rectosigmoid resection specimen are indicated by arrows (A; hematoxylin and eosin stain). The polyp on the left side (B1; hematoxylin and eosin stain) had a BRAF V600E somatic mutation and demonstrates a strong membranous expression of CLDN1 on immunohistochemistry (C1; original magnification, ×100). The diminutive polyp on the right side harbored KRAS mutation in codon 12 and showed no staining for CLDN1 on immunohistochemistry (C2; original magnification, ×100).

**Table 2.** CLDN1 Immunostaining in SSA/Ps and MVHPs with BRAF and KRAS Gene Mutation Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation Status</th>
<th>Positive CLDN1 staining</th>
<th>Negative CLDN1 staining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSA/P, BRAF mutant (n = 53)</td>
<td>47 (89%)</td>
<td>6 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVHP, BRAF mutant (n = 111)</td>
<td>90 (81%)</td>
<td>21 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVHP, KRAS mutant (n = 23)</td>
<td>8 (35%)</td>
<td>15 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVHP, no mutation (n = 35)</td>
<td>19 (54%)</td>
<td>16 (48%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BRAF mutant, positive for V600E mutation; KRAS mutant, positive for mutation in codon 12 or 13.
proliferations are usually focal and not exceeding 10% of polyp tissue; however, in rare cases, the spindle cells extensively populate the lamina propria to become a dominant cell population of the polyp. Previously reported colorectal lesions such as intestinal perineuriomas [50] and fibroblastic polyps [51] are most certainly exaggerated examples of these stromal proliferations and widen the spectrum of serrated colorectal polyps as the vast majority of these have the somatic BRAF V600E mutation [16].

This is the first report describing a strong correlation between CLDN1 expression and BRAF V600E mutation status in serrated colorectal polyps. CLDN1 mRNA and protein expression was found to be significantly elevated in SSA/P and MVHP with BRAF V600E mutation. To date, there is no established direct link between the oncogenic and activating BRAF V600E mutation and regulation of CLDN1 expression. Our results support the view of a close relationship between BRAF mutated MVHP and SSA/P, which may, in fact, represent a continuous spectrum of the same neoplastic process [27]. Precise subclassification of MVHP may require the use of additional ancillary techniques including CLDN1 immunohistochemistry to identify the lesions with different biologic potential for neoplastic progression to more advanced serrated pathway lesions.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2014.05.008.
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Table 3. Relationship between Positive CLDN1 Immunostaining and BRAF and KRAS Gene Mutation Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SSA/P</th>
<th>MVHP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRAF Mutant</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>P = .2246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAF Mutant</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>P &lt; .0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS Mutant</td>
<td>P = .0006</td>
<td>P = .0021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BRAF mutant, positive for V600E mutation; KRAS mutant, positive for mutation in codon 12 or 13; N/A, statistical comparison not applicable.


