

**AN INVESTIGATION INTO
MATHEMATICAL MODELLING
OF INTEGRATED BIOSYSTEMS
FOR OPERATIONAL CONTROL
AND MANAGEMENT**

By
Khalid Shamim

2014

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Animal & Veterinary Sciences/
School of Chemical Engineering
The University of Adelaide
Australia

ABSTRACT

The South Australian Research & Development Institute (SARDI) and the Environmental Biotechnology Cooperative Research Centre (EBCRC) undertook a project *“Commercial Scale Integrated Biosystems for Organic Waste and Wastewater Treatment for the Livestock and Food Processing Industries”*, for which this research forms a part. The Integrated Biosystems (IBS) project laboratory was set up at the Roseworthy campus of The University of Adelaide, South Australia. The major objective of this project was to develop an Integrated Biosystems (IBS) on a commercial scale for the treatment of wastewater by applying the stages of anaerobic digestion and bioconversion stages involving algae, zooplankton and fish. The IBS developed could be used in both rural and urban settings for efficient waste disposal and generation of energy in the process. The overall aim of this research was to develop a mathematical model of an IBS for operational control and management.

The objectives of this research were to:

1. Develop a mathematical model for the anaerobic digestion system.
2. Use an existing model to simulate the aquaculture stages of the IBS and to test its suitability for a commercial scale IBS for effective control and management.
3. Conduct a sensitivity analysis on the parameters of the aquaculture model.
4. Develop an automatic calibration program to validate the aquaculture model with real time field data.

The major contribution from this research was to elucidate the key parameters required to simulate the integrated IBS model. The data was collected through a series of rigorous experimentation for both the anaerobic digestion and aquaculture modules of the IBS. The data obtained was used to parameterise a coupled anaerobic digestion-hydrodynamic ecological

model. The resulting model adequately simulated key processes within the IBS, which was further improved with a novel auto calibration algorithm.

The primary contribution of this work has been to develop an automatic parameter calibration for the aquaculture component of the model. Parameter calibration in aquaculture models has been time consuming as it is basically a “trial and error” procedure. This thesis presents a significant contribution in this area.

A literature review conducted on the models developed for the IBS and automatic calibration revealed certain gaps in the previous research conducted, which formed a basis for further work in this PhD study. A significant proportion of research time was invested in set up, installation and commissioning of the pilot plant two-stage anaerobic digestion system and the Integrated Aquaculture (mesocosm) facility. This was done to understand the mechanism of the IBS. Both the systems were run for a period of 12 months for data collection. During this time, operational glitches and troubleshooting provided the researcher an opportunity to implement engineering skills in the IBS context and understand the behaviour of this complex system. Batch scale experiments were conducted in the laboratory for data collection to develop a model for the anaerobic digestion system using microbial kinetics. The aquaculture model DYRESM CAEDYM was used to simulate the aquaculture stages of the IBS. The model source code was altered to run the model for the IBS set up. Real time field data could not be obtained as the commercial scale IBS was not constructed due to administrative hurdles which were beyond the researcher’s control. A sensitivity analysis was conducted on selected parameters of the model to determine the behaviour of model outputs on controlled changes to those parameters. Finally an automatic model calibration and validation program was written in FORTRAN 90 to automatically validate the model with field data as opposed to the conventional methods of manual parameter validation. Pseudo field data was used for demonstration purposes.

The primary contributions from this research have been to assess the suitability of DYRESM CAEDYM as the modelling software for the commercial scale IBS, run a sensitivity analysis on selected parameters of the model and execute an automatic model calibration and validation program.

The phytoplankton ponds had a domination of cyanobacteria growth for the maximum part of the year, due to thermal stratification in the summer months, which otherwise would not happen in an IBS with proper control and management. There was negligible zooplankton and fish growth due to diminished chlorophyte concentrations.

Results from similar IBS studies in India and France were used to compare results with the proposed commercial IBS. The comparative IBS examples sourced from sites in India and France show that IBS has been successfully implemented in different parts of the world comprising tools for better control and management of ponds. The use of mixing (agitation) and aeration assist in mixing the ponds and the effluent uniformly which minimises stratification in ponds and thus reduces the growth of cyanobacteria, and in turn improves the growth of phytoplankton, zooplankton and fish. The model DYRESM CAEDYM could incorporate the use of mixers and aeration in the IBS ponds to overcome the problems of algal crashes in summer.

The sensitivity analysis conducted on the parameters of the model show that the model results for phytoplankton growth is highly sensitive to those parameters that directly affect the growth rates e.g. maximum phytoplankton growth rate, phytoplankton respiration coefficient and phytoplankton temperature multiplier. The automated calibration routine incorporated a novel methodology to calibrate and validate DYRESM CAEDYM automatically without having to manually adjust parameters. This procedure is a significant improvement over the conventional methodology of validating the model by “trial and error” which was time consuming and to a certain extent inaccurate. The simulations ran successfully to validate the model parameters with the pseudo field data. This calibration program could

be also used to validate other outputs from the model and is a significant contribution in this research.

The parameters which can be controlled for managing the commercial scale IBS in an effective way would be parameters related to inflow and outflow volumes and flow rates of effluent, retention time of the effluent, nutrient loads, rates of mixing and aeration within the ponds and control of biomass conversion for primary, secondary and tertiary productions. These management strategies could also be used to operate an IBS with a variety of different effluents to its maximum capacity and construct an IBS with better module design.

The use of automated calibration of parameters has high applicability in the development of mathematical models for managing the performance of wastewater recycling technology, which is in high demand in the modern world in order to reduce the dependence on limited water resources. The calibration routine developed in this PhD study has demonstrated that for a complex aquaculture model like DYRESM CAEDYM where manually validating the parameters is a tedious task, automatic calibration routine using GLUE methodology is an effective way to validate the model which minimises the risks of computational errors.

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed:

KHALID SHAMIM

Dated:

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to sincerely thank my Principal Supervisor, Professor Martin S Kumar and Co-Supervisor, Associate Professor David Lewis for their continuous support and guidance throughout the entire project. I feel honoured to have been supervised by these outstanding academics and thank them for their input, encouragement and undivided attention. I would also like to thank Environmental Biotechnology Cooperative Research Centre (EBCRC) for awarding me a full postgraduate scholarship, and in particular I would like to thank Dr. David Garman for his support and giving me an opportunity to be part of the Project 6 (P6) group, for which this thesis forms a part.

I would also like to take this opportunity to thank the many people who assisted me in my research. Firstly, Dr. Stephen Carr for assisting me in compiling computer programs and setting up simulations on The University of Adelaide Server; Mr. Paul Harris for guiding me through the anaerobic digestion process; Mrs. Sandy Wyatt and Mrs. Belinda Rodda for laboratory support and Mr. Andrew Ward for helping me understand aquaculture concepts.

I have also had the privilege to collaborate with several interstate and overseas researchers that gave invaluable suggestions and scope for exploration of novel ideas. I would like to express my gratitude to Dr. Matt Hipsey, Dr. Daniel Botelho and Dr. Jason Antenucci from the Centre for Water Research, University of Western Australia; Dr. Pratap Pullammanappallil from University of Florida, USA and Mr. Dennis Trolle from the University of Waikato, New Zealand

Finally I would like to thank my wife Afreen, my parents and my extended family for their patience and encouragement throughout my PhD studies.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Shamim, K., Kumar, M.S., Lewis, D.M., (2014), “Automated Parameter Estimation and Calibration”, *Hydrological Processes* (In review).

Shamim, K., Kumar, M.S., Lewis, D.M., (2008), “Development of DYRESM CAEDYM as an aquaculture model for an Integrated Biosystems”, Environmental Biotechnology CRC Annual Conference, 8-10 December 2008, Adelaide, Australia (Poster Presentation).

Shamim, K., Kumar, M.S., Lewis, D.M., (2007), “A mathematical model for the anaerobic digestion of raw piggery effluent”, 11th World Congress on Anaerobic Digestion, 23-27 September 2007, Brisbane, Australia (Poster Presentation).

Shamim, K., Kumar, M.S., Pullammanappallil, P., Ho, G (2006), “Mathematical model development for anaerobic digestion system in an Integrated Biosystems”, Environmental Biotechnology CRC Annual Conference, 28-29 November 2006, Sydney, Australia (Poster Presentation).

Table of Contents

1	<i>Introduction</i>	1
1.1	Integrated Biosystems	1
1.2	IBS Project	4
1.3	Aim of the Research.....	6
1.4	Background	7
1.5	Commercial Scale Integrated Biosystems	9
1.5.1	Anaerobic Digestion.....	10
1.5.2	Microalgal Ponds	10
1.5.3	Zooplankton and Fish Ponds.....	11
1.6	Organisation of the Thesis	12
1.7	Research Program.....	13
2	<i>Literature Review</i>	14
2.1	Anaerobic Digestion	14
2.1.1	Hydrolysis and Fermentation.....	15
2.1.2	Acetogenesis and Homoacetogenesis	16
2.1.3	Methanogenesis	16
2.2	Mathematical Models in Anaerobic Digestion.....	19
2.3	Primary and Secondary Production	22
2.4	Models developed for Primary and Secondary Production	24
2.4.1	The Hydrodynamic Model DYRESM.....	24
2.4.2	The Aquatic Ecological Model CAEDYM.....	35
2.5	Techniques used in Water Quality Models Calibration	47
2.5.1	GLUE	49
2.6	Summary	52
3	<i>Experimental Methods and Data Collection</i>	54
3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Set up of the Pilot Scale Two-Stage Anaerobic Digestion System.....	55

3.2.1	Equipment: Reactors and Digesters.....	55
3.2.2	Analytical techniques.....	57
3.3	Pilot Plant Stage 1 Experiments	59
3.3.1	Acidogenesis	59
3.3.2	Methanogenesis	59
3.3.3	Results	60
3.4	Pilot Plant Stage 2 Experiments	65
3.4.1	Methods.....	65
3.4.2	Results	67
3.4.3	Conclusions.....	80
3.5	Set up of the Pilot Scale Integrated Aquaculture System	82
3.6	Bioconversion of piggery effluent to algae (280 L working volume).....	84
3.6.1	Objective.....	84
3.6.2	Materials and Methods	84
3.6.3	Results	85
3.6.4	Key findings.....	88
3.7	Bioconversion of piggery effluent to algae (180 L working volume).....	88
3.7.1	Objective.....	88
3.7.2	Materials and Methods	89
3.7.3	Results	89
3.7.4	Key findings.....	92
3.8	Lab Scale Anaerobic Digestion Experiments	93
3.8.1	Introduction.....	93
3.8.2	Materials & Methods.....	93
3.9	Conclusions	95
4	<i>Development of an Anaerobic Digestion Model</i>	<i>97</i>
4.1	Introduction	97
4.2	Methods.....	98
4.2.1	Development of Model Equations for the Anaerobic Digestion Process.....	101
4.3	Results.....	103
4.3.1	Methane Model	103
4.3.2	TAN Model.....	105
4.3.3	P Model.....	107

4.4	Comparison of model data vs. measured data	108
4.5	Discussion.....	112
4.6	Further Work	113
5	<i>Modelling Commercial Scale Integrated Biosystems</i>	115
5.1	Introduction	115
5.1.1	Model Description	117
5.2	Methods.....	118
5.2.1	Research Site	118
5.2.2	Alterations to the original source code of DYRESM CAEDYM.....	119
5.2.3	Input Data	121
5.3	Results.....	125
5.3.1	Temperature	125
5.3.2	Phytoplankton growth in algal pond 1.....	126
5.3.3	Phytoplankton growth in algal pond 2.....	130
5.3.4	Zooplankton growth	134
5.3.5	Fish growth	136
5.4	Discussion.....	139
5.4.1	Limitations of the model.....	142
5.4.2	Comparison with algal data obtained from Bolivar Wastewater Treatment Plant	143
5.5	Typical Outputs from established IBS.....	145
5.5.1	Central Institute of Freshwater Aquaculture, India	145
5.5.2	IBS set up in France.....	147
5.6	Conclusions	149
6	<i>Sensitivity Analysis</i>	152
6.1	Introduction	152
6.2	Methods.....	154
6.3	Results.....	157
6.3.1	Maximum Phytoplankton Growth Rate (P_{max})	157
6.3.2	Phytoplankton Respiration Coefficient (k_r).....	158
6.3.3	Phytoplankton Temperature Multiplier (v_R)	159
6.3.4	Minimum Phytoplankton Internal P (IP_{min})	160
6.3.5	Maximum Phytoplankton Internal P (IP_{max}).....	161

6.3.6	Maximum Rate of Phytoplankton P Uptake (UP_{max})	162
6.3.7	Minimum Phytoplankton Internal N (IN_{min})	163
6.3.8	Maximum Phytoplankton Internal N (IN_{max})	164
6.3.9	Maximum Rate of Phytoplankton N Uptake (UN_{max})	165
6.3.10	Half Saturation Constant for Phytoplankton P Uptake (K_P)	166
6.3.11	Half Saturation Constant for Phytoplankton N Uptake (K_N)	167
6.3.12	Parameter for initial slope of P-I curve (I_k)	168
6.4	Discussion.....	169
6.5	Conclusions and Further Work.....	171
7	<i>Automated Parameter Estimation and Calibration</i>	<i>172</i>
7.1	Introduction	172
7.2	Methods.....	173
7.2.1	Incorporating Monte Carlo and GLUE calibration in DYRESM CAEDYM	173
7.2.2	Analysis of the auto calibration program	174
7.2.3	Flowchart of the GLUE program	176
7.3	Results.....	177
7.3.1	GLUE Calibration for Chlorophyte growth	177
7.3.2	GLUE calibration for Cyanobacteria growth	181
7.4	Discussion and Conclusions.....	184
7.5	Further Work.....	186
8	<i>Summary and Conclusions</i>	<i>187</i>
8.1	General Discussions and Conclusions	187
8.2	Summary of the Research Results.....	187
8.2.1	Laboratory Experiments and Development of Anaerobic Digestion Model	187
8.2.2	Modelling the Aquaculture Component of the IBS.....	189
8.2.3	Sensitivity Analysis.....	191
8.2.4	Automated Parameter Estimation and Calibration	191
8.3	Summary	192
8.4	Recommendations for further studies	192
9	<i>References.....</i>	<i>195</i>

Appendix A	Anaerobic Digestion Models	219
Appendix B	Data from Batch Scale Anaerobic Digestion Experiments	229
Appendix C	DYRESM CAEDYM INPUT FILES	233
Appendix D	Nutrient Data in the IBS ponds.....	235
Appendix E	Program Code for Auto Calibration of DYRESM CAEDYM	250
Appendix F	GLUE Calibration Numerical Outputs.....	260

Table of Figures

Figure 1.1 Schematic of material flow within an Integrated Biosystems	3
Figure 1.2 Schematic of the IBS Project	5
Figure 1.3 Flowchart of the IBS process	11
Figure 2.1 Flow Chart of processes in anaerobic digestion (Pullammanappallil, Chynoweth et al. 2001).....	18
Figure 2.2 Schematic Flow Chart of DYRESM (Robson and Hamilton 2004).....	25
Figure 2.3 DYRESM simulation process (Imerito 2007)	26
Figure 2.4 Surface energy flux exchanges	29
Figure 2.5 Overview of CAEDYM state variables showing the water column, benthic and sediment components (Hipsey, Romero et al. 2006).....	36
Figure 2.6 Schematic of phytoplankton dynamics within CAEDYM (Hipsey, Romero et al. 2006)	38
Figure 2.7 Schematic of zooplankton dynamics within CAEDYM (Hipsey, Romero et al. 2006).....	42
Figure 2.8 Schematic of fish dynamics within CAEDYM (Hipsey, Romero et al. 2006).....	46
Figure 3.1 Schematic diagram of the pilot scale anaerobic digestion system.....	57
Figure 3.2 Thermophilic (stainless steel) digesters in the pilot scale research facility.....	58
Figure 3.3 Mesophilic (polybag) digesters in the pilot scale research facility.....	58
Figure 3.4 pH profile during acidogenesis in T1 and T2 treating piggery effluent	61
Figure 3.5 Ammonia (TAN) levels in T1 and T2 during acidification of raw piggery effluent	62
Figure 3.6 Soluble Phosphorus (SP) levels in T1 and T2 during the acidification of raw piggery effluent.....	62
Figure 3.7 Gas production during anaerobic acidification of piggery effluent in T1 (55 °C) and T2 (38 °C).....	63
Figure 3.8 Gas production during anaerobic digestion of acidified piggery effluent in polydigesters 1 and 2 operating under ambient conditions.....	65

Figure 3.9 pH profile during acidogenesis in reactors T1 and T2 treating raw piggery effluent	71
Figure 3.10 VFA profile during acidogenesis in reactors T1 and T2 treating raw piggery effluent	72
Figure 3.11 TKN profile during acidogenesis in reactors T1 and T2 treating raw piggery effluent	72
Figure 3.12 Ammonia nitrogen profile during acidogenesis in reactors T1 and T2 treating raw piggery effluent.....	73
Figure 3.13 TP profile during acidogenesis in reactors T1 and T2 treating raw piggery effluent	73
Figure 3.14 SP profile during acidogenesis in reactors T1 and T2 treating raw piggery effluent	74
Figure 3.15 Biogas production and methane composition during acidogenesis in reactors T1 and T2 treating raw piggery effluent	74
Figure 3.16 pH profile during anaerobic digestion in polydigesters D1, D2, D3 & D4 treating acidified piggery effluent from the acidogenic reactors.....	77
Figure 3.17 VFA profile during anaerobic digestion in polydigesters D1, D2, D3 & D4 treating acidified piggery effluent from the acidogenic reactors	78
Figure 3.18 TKN profile during digestion in polydigesters D1, D2, D3 & D4 treating acidified piggery effluent from the acidogenic reactors	78
Figure 3.19 Ammonia profile during digestion in polydigesters D1, D2, D3 & D4 treating acidified piggery effluent from the acidogenic reactors	79
Figure 3.20 Soluble P profile during digestion in polydigesters D1, D2, D3 & D4 treating acidified piggery effluent from the acidogenic reactors	79
Figure 3.21 Biogas production and methane composition during digestion in polydigesters D1, D2, D3 & D4 treating acidified piggery effluent from the acidogenic reactors	80
Figure 3.22 Schematic diagram of the pilot scale Integrated Aquaculture System	83
Figure 3.23 Clear Perspex tanks set up at differential heights for micro-algal culture while blue fibre glass tanks are used for fish culture. These tanks are part of the indoor integrated aquaculture system (mesocosm) at Roseworthy Laboratory	83

Figure 3.24 Mean cell density in 280 L algal culture.....	86
Figure 3.25 Mean TAN concentration in 280 L algal culture.....	87
Figure 3.26 Mean SP concentration in 280 L algal culture.....	87
Figure 3.27 Mean pH in 280 L algal culture	88
Figure 3.28 Mean cell density for 180 L algal culture	90
Figure 3.29 Mean TAN for 180 L algal culture	91
Figure 3.30 Mean SP for 180 L algal culture.....	91
Figure 3.31 Mean pH for 180 L algal culture.....	92
Figure 3.32 Experimental apparatus for the laboratory study of anaerobic digestion.	95
Figure 4.1 Decrease in COD of the raw piggery effluent	98
Figure 4.2 Cumulative methane output.....	99
Figure 4.3 Increase in TAN of the raw piggery effluent.....	99
Figure 4.4 Increase in soluble P of the raw piggery effluent	100
Figure 4.5 Scaled/Normalized Plot for Anaerobic Digestion of Piggery Effluent.....	101
Figure 4.6 Modelled methane data at 55 °C.....	104
Figure 4.7 Temperature Response for Growth and Death Rates in CH ₄ modelling.....	105
Figure 4.8 Modelled Total Ammonia Nitrogen (TAN) data at 55 °C.....	106
Figure 4.9 Temperature Response for Growth and Death Rates in TAN modelling.....	106
Figure 4.10 Modelled Soluble P data at 55 °C	107
Figure 4.11 Temperature Response for Growth and Death Rates in P modelling.....	108
Figure 5.1 Schematic of the proposed commercial scale IBS.....	119
Figure 5.2 Meteorological data comprising short wave radiation, air temperature, rainfall, wind speed, cloud cover and vapour pressure (clockwise, starting from left)	123
Figure 5.3 Simulated pond temperature (all ponds are the same temperature)	125
Figure 5.4 Simulated chlorophyte growth in algal pond 1	126
Figure 5.5 Simulated cyanobacteria growth in algal pond 1.....	127
Figure 5.6 Simulated freshwater diatoms growth in algal pond 1.....	128

Figure 5.7 Nutrient Profile in Algal Pond 1	129
Figure 5.8 Simulated chlorophyte growth in algal pond 2	130
Figure 5.9 Simulated cyanobacteria growth in algal pond 2.....	131
Figure 5.10 Simulated fresh water diatoms growth in algal pond 2.....	132
Figure 5.11 Nutrient Profile in Algal Pond 2	133
Figure 5.12 Simulated zooplankton growth in zooplankton pond	134
Figure 5.13 Nutrient Profile in Zooplankton Pond	135
Figure 5.14 Simulated fish growth in fish pond.....	136
Figure 5.15 Simulated zooplankton growth in fish pond	137
Figure 5.16 Nutrient Profile in Fish Pond	138
Figure 5.17 Algal succession data obtained from Bolivar Wastewater Treatment Plant	144
Figure 5.18 Algal succession data obtained from Bolivar Wastewater Treatment Plant for the period 2000 - 2001	144
Figure 5.19 Diagram of the pilot-scale IBS for recycling swine manure in France	149
Figure 6.1 Chlorophyll-a response to change in P_{max} values	157
Figure 6.2 Chlorophyll-a response to change in k_r values	158
Figure 6.3 Chlorophyll-a response to change in v_R values.....	159
Figure 6.4 Chlorophyll-a response to changes in IP_{min} values	160
Figure 6.5 Chlorophyll-a response to changes in IP_{max} values.....	161
Figure 6.6 Chlorophyll-a response to changes in UP_{max} values	162
Figure 6.7 Chlorophyll-a response to changes in IN_{min} values	163
Figure 6.8 Chlorophyll-a response to changes in IN_{max} values.....	164
Figure 6.9 Chlorophyll-a response to changes in UN_{max} values	165
Figure 6.10 Chlorophyll-a response to changes in K_P values	166
Figure 6.11 Chlorophyll-a response to changes in K_N values.....	167
Figure 6.12 Chlorophyll-a response to changes in I_k values	168
Figure 7.1 FlowChart of the Monte Carlo & GLUE Calibration script	176
Figure 7.2 Nash Sutcliffe Coefficients for GLUE Calibration.....	177
Figure 7.3 Comparison between simulated (model) and field data before GLUE calibration for chlorophyte growth	179
Figure 7.4 Comparison between simulated (model) and field data after GLUE calibration for chlorophyte growth	179

Figure 7.5 Nash – Sutcliffe Coefficients for GLUE calibration for cyanobacteria growth	181
Figure 7.6 Comparison between simulated (model) and field data before GLUE calibration for cyanobacteria growth.....	183
Figure 7.7 Comparison between simulated (model) and field data after GLUE calibration for cyanobacteria growth.....	183

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Description of the seven phytoplankton groups configurable within CAEDYM	37
Table 3.1 Effluent characteristics in T1 and T2	60
Table 3.2 Effluent characteristics in the polydigesters operating at ambient temperature.....	64
Table 3.3 Performance parameters of acidogenic reactor T1 (38 °C) during period 1 (Days 1-65)	67
Table 3.4 Performance parameters of acidogenic reactor T2 (55 °C) during period 1 (Days 1-65)	67
Table 3.5 Performance parameters of acidogenic reactor T1 (38 °C) during period 2 (Day 65-112).....	69
Table 3.6 Performance parameters of acidogenic reactor T2 (55 °C) during period 2 (Day 65-112).....	70
Table 3.7 Performance parameters of Polydigesters D1 and D3 (ambient temperature) during Period 1 (Days 1-65)	75
Table 3.8 Performance parameters of Polydigesters D2 and D4 (ambient temperature) during Period 1 (Days 1-65)	75
Table 3.9 Performance parameters of Polydigesters D1 and D3 (ambient temperature) during Period 2 (Days 65-112)	76
Table 3.10 Performance parameters of polydigesters D2 and D4 (ambient temperature) during period 2 (Days 65-112).....	76
Table 3.11 Comparison of data between experiments 1 and 2.....	92
Table 3.12 Characteristics of the raw piggery effluent from the Roseworthy piggery.....	93
Table 3.13 Characteristics of the raw piggery effluent data.....	94
Table 3.14 Data from experiments to be used in IBS model development.....	96
Table 4.1 Fitted r^2 values for CH ₄	103
Table 4.2 Refitted Growth and Death Rates for CH ₄ with r^2 values.....	103
Table 4.3 Fitted r^2 values for TAN.....	105
Table 4.4 Refitted Growth and Death Rates for TAN with r^2 values.....	105
Table 4.5 Fitted r^2 values for Soluble P	107

Table 4.6 Refitted Growth and Death Rates for Soluble P with r^2 values	107
Table 4.7 Comparison of modelled CH ₄ and measured CH ₄ data.....	109
Table 4.8 Comparison of modelled TAN and measured TAN data.....	110
Table 4.9 Comparison of modelled P and measured P data.....	111
Table 5.1 Nutrient Concentrations in different stages of the IBS	141
Table 5.2 Nutrient and Plankton outputs from Central Institute of Freshwater Aquaculture system	146
Table 6.1 Parameters used in sensitivity analysis (Schladow and Hamilton 1997).....	155
Table 6.2 Parameters used in the sensitivity analysis study.....	156
Table 6.3 Comparison of percentage variation in input parameter and output Chl-a	170
Table 7.1 GLUE Calibration results for 10 random simulations	178
Table 7.2 Numerical Values of the Calibrated Parameters	180
Table 7.3 GLUE Calibration Results for 10 random simulations	182
Table 7.4 Numerical Values of the Calibrated Parameters	184