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Thesis abstract

Background: A health check refers to the practice of comprehensive medical assessments to detect and manage risk factors and early chronic disease. Debate about the value of health checks has lasted for decades. A systematic review reported that general health checks in middle-aged populations did not reduce total mortality. Nevertheless, new government funded health check programs have recently been introduced in several developed countries. In 2006, Medicare Australia funded a 45-49 year old health check in Australian general practice for all people at risk of developing chronic disease. However, this program has not been fully evaluated. To date, research has taken the perspective of health care providers, investigating their perceptions about the feasibility and challenges in performing a health check. No study has yet investigated important questions arising from the perspective of patients or the government. Such research would provide a better understanding of which patients participate and why, and also the potential benefits and costs of this health check program.

Objectives: To investigate the effectiveness of general practice-based health checks; to understand patients’ perceptions about general health checks and the psychological determinants of their attendance at a GP invited health check; to compare the demographic characteristics, past health service use including preventive health care of attendees and non-attendees at the 45-49 year old health check; to examine the long-term health effects of this health check program and to quantify its economic impact on the health care system.
**Methods:** A systematic review and meta-analysis was performed to determine the effectiveness of general practice-based health checks, using both surrogate and final outcome indicators.

A prospective cohort study was conducted in two general practices in the Adelaide metropolitan area. Patients who were eligible for the 45-49 year old health check program were identified from the two practices. A structured questionnaire was developed and sent to all eligible participants. Questions about demographic characteristics, self-reported medical history and perceptions about general health checks were included. After the return of study questionnaires, an invitation letter was sent to all participants, whether they had returned the questionnaire or not. Attendance at the health check in the following 6 months was recorded. Then, relevant medical records of all study participants from one year prior to the invitation were extracted from the electronic medical record system in each practice. Extracted data included gender, age, residential postcode; the number of general practice visits, pre-existing prescriptions and the uptake of preventive health care.

Finally, a Markov chain model was constructed to simulate the health check effects on a hypothetical cohort of 10,000 ‘healthy’ Australians aged 45-49 years. The risk profiles of a baseline cohort were generated using data from the 2011 Australian National Health Survey. Intervention effects were simulated using data on risk factor changes after the health check (results from the systematic review). The Life-Years and Quality Adjusted Life Years (QALYs) gained over the cohort’s remaining lifetime after a health check was estimated. The maximum acceptable costs for this health check program, including the initial consultation
and subsequent interventions, was calculated using a cost-effectiveness threshold of $50,000 per QALY.

**Results:** The systematic review of general practice-based health checks demonstrated significant, albeit small improvement in most investigated surrogate outcomes (i.e. total cholesterol, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and body mass index) after the intervention, especially among high risk patients. No significant improvement in surrogate outcomes was observed in non-practice based health check studies. No difference in total mortality was found in either practice-based or non-practice based studies. However, most general practice-based studies were not originally designed or powered to evaluate mortality changes.

The cohort study recruited 515 eligible participants from two participating general practices. 293 of the 515 (56.9%) participants returned the study questionnaire and altogether 117 (22.7%) attended the health check within 6 months. In the questionnaire study, respondents who indicated a strong attendance intention (p<0.01), and self-reported no pre-existing biomedical risk factors (p<0.01) and less recent uptake of preventive health care (p<0.01) were significantly more likely to attend a health check. In the medical record analysis, no significant differences in age, gender or socio-economic status were observed between health check attendees and non-attendees. However, the questionnaire respondents were almost 3 times as likely to attend as non-respondents (31% vs 12%) and the characteristics that were associated with attendance were different in questionnaire respondents and non-respondents. Among the respondents, those with more pre-existing prescriptions and recent uptake of preventive health care
were slightly less likely to attend. Conversely, among non-respondents, individuals with two or more types of pre-existing prescriptions were significantly more likely to attend than those without (p=0.03).

The modelling study demonstrated that the 45-49 year old health check program would lead to 8.6 and 2.6 QALYs gained among 1,000 male and female attendees respectively in a lifelong projection (50 years). The threshold costs for the health check to be considered cost-effective were $465 for a male and $140 for a female patient using a threshold of $50,000 per QALY.

**Conclusions:** For health checks to be most effective, they should be undertaken in general practice as opposed to other settings (e.g. community or workplace). Tailored invitations could be employed to selectively invite patients who would most benefit from a health check (patients who are less proactive). Finally, the 45-49 year health check program is unlikely to be cost-effective among females in the current Australian context. Given these results, health policy changes such as delaying the health check by 5-10 years, introducing pre-screening procedures or targeting vulnerable patient groups should be considered to improve the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of this health check program.
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