

Fault and fracture reactivation in the Penola Trough, Otway Basin

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of
Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology

Bradley Thomas Grosser
November 2012



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

FAULT REACTIVATION IN THE PENOLA TROUGH

ABSTRACT

The Penola Trough, onshore Otway Basin, is a failed rift structure trending NW-SE on the South Australian-Victorian border. Following its formation during the Late Jurassic, the trough has been subjected to alternating periods of extension and compression, leading to the reactivation under compression of many normal faults associated with the trough's formation during the rifting of Australia and Antarctica. Deposition of carbonaceous shales, fluvial and lacustrine clastics and coals formed a hydrocarbon system, which has accounted for several successful plays to date. Several palaeo-hydrocarbon columns have also been drilled, with the absence of any oil or gas attributed to the reactivation of normal faults breaking the sealing mechanism present and allowing trapped hydrocarbons to migrate elsewhere. This project aimed to locate the fault segments that were most likely to dilate, slip and fracture and consequently the areas where hydrocarbons were unlikely to remain trapped. In contrast to this, geothermal exploration is targeted on the fault segments where reactivation is prone. Seismic interpretation and subsequent fault modelling was undertaken, and stress profiles containing stress and lithology data were applied to the interpreted faults, revealing reactivation likelihoods. Fault segments striking NW-SE at shallow depths (<2000m deep) were found to be the most prone to dilation. Shallow fault segments were also found most likely to fracture and slip. This correlates with current data showing known economic gas accumulations to be dominant on E-W trending fault traps. Carbon dioxide sequestration efforts would also be most successful on these sealing traps, while geothermal energy plays should target NW-SE striking faults and their associated fracture networks for optimal permeability.

KEYWORDS

Otway Basin, in-situ stress, fault reactivation, Penola Trough, fault, seismic

FAULT REACTIVATION IN THE PENOLA TROUGH

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	1
Keywords.....	1
List of Figures and Tables	4
Introduction	7
Geological Setting/Background.....	12
Geological History.....	12
Late Jurassic Graben Formation	12
Late Jurassic - Early Cretaceous Rifting, Barremian Uplift.....	12
Mid Cretaceous Inversion.....	13
Late Cretaceous Extension and Sag.....	13
Early Eocene Inversion.....	14
Eocene-Miocene Sag	14
Oligocene-Recent Inversion	15
Geomechanical Background.....	15
Cementation.....	16
Lithologies and Juxtaposition.....	16
Pore Pressures.....	17
Stresses and Faults.....	18
Stress Orientations.....	18
Stress Magnitudes.....	21
Fault Activation and Reactivation	22
Seismic Interpretation Methods.....	23
Structural Geometries from Seismic Interpretation.....	24
Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D Seismic Interpretation.....	24
Fault Reactivation Modelling	27
Fault Reactivation Model Results.....	30
Present-Day Stress Profile Models	30
Dilation Tendency Models	31
Fracture Stability Models	32
Slip Tendency Models	33
Palaeostress Models.....	33
Dilation Tendency Palaeo-Models	33

FAULT REACTIVATION IN THE PENOLA TROUGH

Fracture Stability Palaeo-Models	34
Slip Tendency Palaeo-Models	34
Discussion.....	41
Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D Seismic Interpretation.....	41
3D Fault Reactivation Modelling	45
Relevance to hydrocarbon exploration.....	48
Relevance to geothermal exploration.....	51
Relevance to carbon dioxide sequestration	53
Data Limitations	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Potential Advances	54
Conclusions	55
Acknowledgments	56
References	56
Appendix A: Extended Methods	62
Gathering data.....	62
Importing SEG-Y	62
Interpreting the survey.....	63
Interpreting using well data	64
Using TrapTester	65

FAULT REACTIVATION IN THE PENOLA TROUGH

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Outline of the western portion of the Otway Basin, showing the location and trend of the main structural features. The Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D seismic survey used for the study is included as an enlargement, along with wells within and in close proximity to the survey. Wells marked with a red circle indicate their use in the depth conversion and formation interpretation processes in Badleys TrapTester. The study area is outlined by the dashed red polygon within the inset map. (ST. C = St. Claire, BPT = Beachport).....	8
Figure 2. Chronostratigraphy and summary of tectonic events of the Penola Trough, Otway Basin (after Lyon <i>et al.</i> 2005, modified from Lovibond <i>et al.</i> 1993)	10
Figure 3: Cross-section of a borehole illustrating the position of borehole breakout and DITFs relative to in-situ horizontal maximum stress (σ_H) in a vertical wellbore (after Reynolds and Hillis (2000)).	19
Figure 4. a) Vertical seismic time section without structural interpretation of the NE corner of the reprocessed Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D seismic survey. b) Vertical seismic time section showing an interpretation of the geometry of the main normal faults used for 3D stress-profile modelling. From L-R the faults are herein termed Ladbroke Grove Fault, NE Fault 1, Pyrus Fault, NE Fault 2, and NE Fault 3. c) Vertical seismic time section showing a full interpretation of faults within the NE Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D seismic survey, Penola Trough. The listric nature of the faults is exaggerated due to the effects of interpretation in the time domain. See Figure 5 for line location.....	25
Figure 5. Time slice at 1.78 seconds, showing the focus of interpretation on the northeast section of the survey. The “+” symbols indicate fault cuts at 1.78seconds. The green circles show borehole locations. The red and blue line shows the location of the vertical section in Figure 4. Intersections with the 5 modelled faults (Figure 4b) are indicated by colour coded Xs.	26
Figure 6. Mohr circle demonstrating the calculations involved with the three different stress attributes modelled in Trap Tester; Dilation Tendency, Fracture Stability and Slip Tendency. T represents shear stress, while σ represents normal stress. The white circle is an example pole to a fault plane. Green arrows indicate the current normal stress value, and the double headed green arrows show the ratio between the normal stress value and the maximum principal stress (σ_1) and the ratio between σ_1 and the minimum principal stress (σ_3), used to determine dilation tendency. The blue arrow shows the Griffith-Coulomb failure criterion, which indicates the change from current pore pressure (ΔP_p) at the pole to the plane needed to cause shear or extensional failure. Pink arrows show the values of shear and normal stresses exerted at that fault location, as well as the current slip tendency (stable) and the slip tendency at which beyond the pole would be unstable (σ_2 represents the intermediate principal stress) (Figure after Cosgrove (1998)).....	29
Figure 7: Comparison of present-day fracture stabilities of unfaulted reservoir rock at different depths. Top stereogram and Mohr Circle Diagram show fracture stabilities at 460m depth. Bottom stereogram and Mohr Circle Diagram show fracture stabilities at 2500m depth. SHmin and SHmax show the orientations of the minimum and maximum horizontal stresses respectively. The colour scale is showing the change in pore pressure	

FAULT REACTIVATION IN THE PENOLA TROUGH

(in megapascals, MPa) needed to move the fault plane into failure. The Y axis of the Mohr Circle Diagrams shows the shear stress, T.	32
Figure 8. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of present-day unfaulted cataclasite rock coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres.	35
Figure 9. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of present-day unfaulted reservoir rock coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres.	36
Figure 10. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of present-day resheared cataclasite rock coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres.	36
Figure 11. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of present-day resheared reservoir rock coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres.	37
Figure 12. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of resheared reservoir rock from 11-6Ma coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres.	38
Figure 13. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of unfaulted reservoir rock from 23-11Ma coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres.	39
Figure 14. 3D model and related Mohr Circle of unfaulted reservoir rock from 55Ma coloured for a) Dilation tendency b) Fracture stability c) Slip tendency. Green lines on modelled fault planes show the position of Pebble Point Formation hanging and footwall locations. Blue lines show the position of Laira Formation hanging and footwall locations. Orange lines show the position of Pretty Hill Formation hanging and footwall locations. 3D model grid increments are every 2 kilometres.	40

FAULT REACTIVATION IN THE PENOLA TROUGH

Figure 15: Time slice comparisons of faults at 0.45 (top) and 1.75 (bottom) seconds, showing a focus on fault interpretation within the area chosen for modelling. Minor faults at 1.75 seconds appear to be preferentially oriented E-W, while at 0.45 seconds there is a higher likelihood of faults striking NW-SE as indicated.	43
Figure 16. Time slice at 1.78 seconds showing the location of known past and present hydrocarbon accumulations within the modelled area in relation to the interpreted faults (+) and boreholes (green circles). Red areas indicate commercial accumulations while yellow and green polygons represent breached and partially breached palaeocolumns respectively.	50
Figure 17. Horizontal slices at top Crayfish (top slice) and top Pretty Hill (bottom slice) levels showing the interpreted fault cuts (+) within the survey area, overlain with a map of heat flow (map units in degrees Celsius). Contours are at 100 degrees.	52
Table 1: Values used in the formation of stress attribute displays for each of the seven stress profiles (far-left column). Palaeostress models show age brackets in millions of years ago (Ma). σ_H , σ_v and σ_h represent the maximum horizontal, vertical and minimum horizontal stresses respectively, in megapascals per kilometre (MPa/km). (Jones et al. 2000, Dewhurst & Jones 2002, Dewhurst et al. 2002, Lyon et al. 2005b, Muller et al. 2012).	28
Table 2. Maturity modelling of areas in proximity to the Balnaves/Haselgrove 3D seismic survey, showing the maturities as classified by the depth in metres below kelly-bushing (m KM) and maximum vitrinite reflectance ($R_{v,max}$). The Sawpit area is located 10km to the north of the modelled faults (from Hill and Boulton (2002a)).	49