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Thesis summary

Yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) plays a key role in the completion of several fermentations including those used for beverage and bioethanol production. In the wine industry, slow or incomplete alcoholic fermentation is still a challenging problem and often results in increased costs of production and decreased wine quality. One of the reasons for the persistence of this problem could be the trend towards rising sugar concentrations in grape musts. What is already a high sugar concentration fermentation (~200 g L\(^{-1}\) or more) has increased by some 20 – 40 g L\(^{-1}\) due to climate warming and winemaker pursuit of ripeness. In this project we aim to gain a better understanding of how wine yeast cope in high sugar fermentations (HSF) to help develop strategies for managing these types of grape musts.

With the availability of collections of laboratory yeast including gene deletion and overexpression libraries and the development of techniques used for whole genome analysis, it is now possible to investigate yeast biology under oenological conditions with a systems biology approach. A number of genome-wide studies of yeast have previously been conducted to identify yeast genes involved in sensitivity to individual stresses present during fermentation. However, in reality many of these stresses are often present at the same time, or sequentially throughout the phases of fermentation. This highlights an important gap in current research, that being identification of those genes important for maintenance of fermentation efficiency throughout a complete cycle of fermentation, and in particular an environment which has high initial sugar content such as that found in grapes used to make quality wines. We expected these genes to be related to wine yeast adaption, survival and maintenance of fermentative metabolism.

In this study 93 genes were identified as important for the successful completion of high sugar fermentation as deletants of these resulted in either protracted or incomplete fermentation. We have named this gene set the Fermentation Essential
Genes (FEGs). A gene ontology (GO) analysis of these revealed that vacuolar acidification (VA) is an important biological process required for efficient completion of a high sugar fermentation: 20 of the 93 FEGs annotate to this GO term (vacuolar acidification). Also, this gene set is highly represented in the FEGs since these 20 FEGs represent 77% of all genes annotated to this same GO term. In this study we also report 18 genes (also all FEGs), not previously associated with VA, of which deletants have VA defects. This was achieved through examination of the VA of 93 FEGs using the vacuolar specific probe 6-carboxyfluorescein diacetate (6-CFDA), microscopic and Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) analysis.

It was shown that, nine FEGs were seen to be particular critically to fermentation progression and completion. Their deletion result in the extreme phenotype of arrested or ‘stuck’ fermentation. Amongst these, featured two genes involved in trehalose biosynthesis. The disaccharide trehalose is an enigmatic compound accumulated in *Saccharomyces* and known to be associated with survival under environmental stress conditions. Deletion of either *TPS1* or *TPS2*, encoding enzymes involved in trehalose biosynthesis, resulted in incomplete fermentation. This phenotype could be reversed by the over-expression of *HXK2* (a paralog of *HXK1* encoding hexokinase isomer 2) in Δtps1 and introduction of the phosphotrehalase gene (TreA), from *Bacillus subtilis*, in Δtps2. *HXK2* over-expression increased the fermentation rate of Δtps1 and the parent BY4743 which actually demonstrated a shorter fermentation duration than the parent having blank plasmid.

To further investigate fermentation of yeast in HSF we sought to examine the fermentation performance of a gene overexpression library, which was constructed in this study by transformation of a Yeast Genomic Tiling Collection into a haploid wine yeast strain; ISOC9dΔleu2. The construction of this library was performed in collaboration with two other PhD students (Mrs Jade Haggerty and Ms Jin Zhang). The clonal identity, degree of plasmid retention and development of methodologies to allow fermentation in high sugar chemically defined grape juice medium (CDGJM) were achieved. However, due to time constraints further evaluation of this library was not possible within the current project.
The collective findings from this project have provided greater insight into the mechanism by which yeast cope with HSF as well as providing direction if not specific gene targets for exploitation in strain improvement programs.
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AGRF  Australian Genome Research Facility
ATP  adenosine triphosphate
bp  base pairs of DNA
°C  degrees centigrade
CDGJM  chemically defined grape juice medium
CDGJM+PP  CDGJM enriched with polyphenol extract
DNA  deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP  deoxynucleotide triphosphate
FACS  Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting
FAN  free amino acid nitrogen
GO  Gene Ontology
HOG  high osmolarity glycerol
HPLC  high performance liquid chromatography
HSE  heat shock elements
HSF  high sugar fermentation
kb  kilo bases of DNA
LB  Luria-Bertani
LiAc  lithium acetate
M  molar
mL  millilitre
mM  millimolar
mol  mole
NAD+  nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NADH  nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide reduced form
NADP  nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NADPH  nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate reduced form
NOPA  o-Pthaldialdehyde/N-acetyl-L-cysteine
OD  optical density
OE  over-expression
ORF  open reading frame
PBS  phosphate buffered saline
PCR  polymerase chain reaction
PEG  polyethylene glycol
RI  refractive index
RNA  ribonucleic acid
rpm  revolutions per minute
SDS  sodium dodecyl sulphate
STRE  stress responsive element
TAE  tris acetate EDTA
TCA  tri-carboxylic acid
TE  tris EDTA
Tre6P  trehalose-6-phosphate
IPTG  isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside
UDP-Glucose  uridine diphosphate glucose
UTR  untranslated region
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>URS</td>
<td>upstream regulatory sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>vacuolar acidification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µL</td>
<td>microliter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µM</td>
<td>micromolar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/v</td>
<td>volume per volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/v</td>
<td>weight per volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YAN</td>
<td>yeast assimilable nitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEPD</td>
<td>yeast extract peptone dextrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-Gal</td>
<td>5-bromo-4-chloro-indolyl-galactopyranoside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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