

Modifying sodium transport to improve salinity
tolerance of commercial rice cultivars (*Oryza sativa* L.)

Aris Hairmansis

A thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
School of Agriculture, Food and Wine
Faculty of Sciences
The University of Adelaide



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

February 2014

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
List of Figures	vii
List of Tables	x
List of Abbreviations	xii
Abstract	xv
Declaration	xvi
Acknowledgments	xvii
Chapter 1 : Literature Review and Research Aims	1
1.1. The importance of rice	1
1.1.1. Rice as a major staple food in the world.....	1
1.1.2. Rice as a model species of cereals	1
1.2. The salinity problem in agriculture with emphasis on rice production	1
1.3. Symptoms of salinity stress in plants.....	2
1.4. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance.....	4
1.4.1. Osmotic stress tolerance	5
1.4.2. Sodium exclusion.....	7
1.4.3. Tissue tolerance	13
1.5. Modification of Na ⁺ transport to increase salinity tolerance	15
1.6. Progress in the development of salt tolerant rice	16
1.7. Research aims	18
Chapter 2 : General Materials and Methods	20
2.1. Rice cultivars	20
2.2. Rice growth conditions	22
2.2.1. Growth facilities.....	22
2.2.2. Soil mix	22
2.2.3. Seed treatment and germination.....	22
2.2.4. Hydroponic systems	23
2.3. Destructive measurements of plant biomass and tissue ion concentration	24
2.4. Molecular Analysis	25
2.4.1. Genomic DNA extraction	25

2.4.2.	Primer design	26
2.4.3.	Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	26
2.4.4.	Gel electrophoresis.....	27
2.4.5.	DNA extraction from agarose gel	28
2.4.6.	DNA Sequencing	28
2.4.7.	Restriction enzyme digestion.....	29
2.4.8.	Southern blot.....	29
2.4.9.	RNA extraction	30
2.4.10.	Complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis	31
2.4.11.	Reverse Transcription (RT) PCR.....	31
2.4.12.	Gateway [®] cloning	32
2.4.13.	Plasmid DNA transformation into chemically competent <i>Escherichia coli</i> cells.	36
2.4.14.	Isolation of plasmid DNA.....	36
2.5.	Data analyses	37
Chapter 3 : Salinity Tolerance and Transformation Efficiency of Commercially Relevant Rice Cultivars from Indonesia		38
3.1.	Introduction.....	38
3.2.	Materials and methods	41
3.2.1.	Rice materials.....	41
3.2.2.	Salinity tolerance assay.....	41
3.2.2.1.	Rice growth conditions	41
3.2.2.2.	Salt screening	41
3.2.2.3.	Biomass and ion concentration measurement.....	42
3.2.3.	Plasmid construct	42
3.2.4.	Preparation of chemically competent <i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> cells strain AGL1	43
3.2.5.	Plasmid transformation into <i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> strain AGL1	43
3.2.6.	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> -mediated transformation.....	44
3.2.6.1.	Callus induction	44
3.2.6.2.	Inoculation and co-cultivation	45
3.2.6.3.	Selection of transformed calli	47
3.2.6.4.	Maturation of transformed calli	47
3.2.6.5.	Regeneration of transformed calli.....	47
3.2.6.6.	Root development	48

3.2.6.7.	Acclimation and cultivation of transgenic T ₀ plants.....	48
3.2.7.	Molecular confirmation of the transgene.....	48
3.3.	Results.....	50
3.3.1.	Salinity tolerance of Indonesian rice cultivars.....	50
3.3.2.	<i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation of Indonesian rice cultivars	59
3.4.	Discussion	67
3.4.1.	Five rice cultivars selected from Indonesia for generation of salt tolerant transgenic rice	67
3.4.2.	Salinity tolerance of rice cultivars correlates with vigour	68
3.4.3.	Different mechanisms exist related to tissue Na ⁺ concentration	69
3.4.4.	Potential Na ⁺ transport modification strategies to improve salt tolerance in rice.	70
3.4.5.	Transformation efficiency of Indonesian rice cultivars	72
3.5.	Summary	74
Chapter 4 : Image-Based Phenotyping for Non-Destructive Screening of Different Salinity Tolerance Traits in Rice		75
Chapter 5 : Constitutive Expression of Candidate Genes for Improving Salt Tolerance.....		98
5.1.	Introduction.....	98
5.2.	Methods.....	101
5.2.1.	Rice materials.....	101
5.2.2.	Salt tolerance assay	101
5.2.3.	<i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated rice transformation.....	102
5.2.4.	Molecular characterization.....	106
5.3.	Results.....	108
5.3.1.	Generation of transgenic rice constitutively expressing candidate genes for salt tolerance	108
5.3.2.	Characterization of transgenic Fatmawati constitutively expressing <i>AtAVP1</i> and <i>OsOVP4</i>	110
5.3.3.	Characterization of transgenic Fatmawati transformed with <i>PpENAI</i> and <i>AtCIPK16</i> under the control of constitutive promoters	117
5.3.4.	Characterization of transgenic IR64 transformed with <i>AtAVP1</i> and <i>PpENAI</i> under the control of constitutive promoters	128
5.4.	Discussion	136
5.4.1.	Limitation of the number of independent transformation events.....	136
5.4.2.	Rice response to salt stress differed between hydroponic and soil screening.	137

5.4.3.	Constitutive expression of <i>AtAVP1</i> increased the biomass of transgenic Fatmawati and IR64	139
5.4.4.	Constitutive expression of <i>OsOVP4</i> negatively affected rice growth	142
5.4.5.	Constitutive expression of <i>AtCIPK16</i> increased both shoot Na ⁺ and K ⁺	143
5.4.6.	Lack of expression in transgenic Fatmawati and IR64 transformed with <i>PpENAI</i> under the control of 35S promoter	145
5.5.	Summary	146
Chapter 6 : Cell Type-Specific Expression of Candidate Genes for Improving Salinity Tolerance		148
6.1.	Introduction.....	148
6.2.	Methods.....	151
6.2.1.	Plant Materials	151
6.2.2.1.	Rice cultivars	151
6.2.2.2.	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>	151
6.2.2.	Cloning of putative cell type-specific promoters.....	151
6.2.2.1.	Identification of putative cell type-specific promoters	151
6.2.2.2.	Primer design	152
6.2.2.3.	Amplification of promoter regions	153
6.2.2.4.	Generation of Gateway [®] entry vectors	156
6.2.2.5.	Modification of a Gateway [®] destination vector for the cell type-specific expression system	156
6.2.2.6.	LR cloning	156
6.2.2.6.1.	Promoter-reporter gene constructs	156
6.2.2.6.2.	Promoter-gene of interest expression constructs.....	158
6.2.3.	Plasmid DNA transformation into chemically competent <i>E. coli</i> cells	159
6.2.4.	Transformation of expression vector plasmids into <i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	159
6.2.5.	<i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated rice transformation.....	159
6.2.6.	Molecular analysis of the transgenic rice.....	159
6.2.7.	Salinity tolerance assay.....	160
6.2.2.1.	Salinity stress assay for transgenic rice expressing candidate genes for salt tolerance driven by a cell type-specific promoter.....	160
6.2.2.2.	Salinity stress assay for transgenic rice expressing reporter genes driven by a cell type-specific promoter	160
6.2.8.	Analysis of the promoter activity in promoter-reporter gene lines.....	161
6.2.2.1.	Histochemical GUS staining.....	161

6.2.2.2.	Cross section analysis of GUS-stained plant material	161
6.2.2.3.	GFP analysis using a stereomicroscope	162
6.3.	Results	163
6.3.1.	Identification of putative root cell type-specific promoters from literature and rice databases	163
6.3.1.1.	Putative root epidermal promoters	163
6.3.1.2.	Putative root cortical promoters	163
6.3.1.3.	Putative root stelar promoter	166
6.3.1.4.	Putative root specific promoter	166
6.3.2.	Cloning of putative cell type-specific promoters and vector constructions....	169
6.3.3.	Generation of transgenic rice with cell type-specific expression system	175
6.3.4.	Expression of reporter genes under the control of putative cell type-specific promoters	176
6.3.4.1.	Promoter <i>pOs10g0552200</i> drove <i>Uida</i> expression in root phloem	176
6.3.4.2.	Promoter <i>pOsRCc3</i> drove <i>Uida</i> expression mainly in the root cortex and endodermis	178
6.3.4.3.	The putative epidermal promoters <i>pAtPHT1;1</i> and <i>pOsAnt1</i> drove <i>GFP</i> expression both in shoot and root	179
6.3.4.4.	The putative epidermal promoter <i>pOsAnt1</i> drove <i>GFP</i> and <i>Uida</i> expression both in shoot and roots	180
6.3.4.5.	The putative stelar promoter <i>pOs06g0250600</i> drove <i>Uida</i> expression mainly in the shoot and less in the root	182
6.3.4.	Characterization of transgenic rice expressing candidate genes for salt tolerance in specific cell types	184
6.3.4.1.	Molecular analysis of transgenic IR64 expressing <i>PpENAI</i> driven by the promoter <i>pAtPHT1;1</i>	184
6.3.4.2.	Molecular analysis of transgenic IR64 expressing <i>OsHKT1;5</i> driven by <i>pOs06g0250600</i>	187
6.3.4.3.	Salt screening of transgenic IR64 expressing <i>PpENAI</i> and <i>OsHKT1;5</i> driven by putative cell type-specific promoters	189
6.3.4.4.	Expression of <i>PpENAI</i> driven by <i>pAtPHT1;1</i> increased the biomass of IR64 under control and salt stress	189
6.3.4.5.	Expression of <i>OsHKT1;5</i> driven by <i>pOs06g0250600</i> had minimal effects on the biomass of IR64 under salt stress	189
6.3.4.6.	Tissue ion concentration of transgenic IR64 expressing <i>PpENAI</i> and <i>OsHKT1;5</i> driven by cell type-specific promoters	191
6.3.4.6.1.	Expression of <i>PpENAI</i> driven by <i>pAtPHT1;1</i> reduced root Na^+ in IR64 ..	191

6.3.4.6.2.	The expression of <i>OsHKT1;5</i> driven by <i>pOs06g0250600</i> reduced shoot Na ⁺ and increased root Na ⁺ concentration of IR64.....	192
6.4.	Discussion	196
6.4.1.	Availability of a suitable promoter as the prerequisite for the utilization of a cell type-specific expression system.....	196
6.4.2.	Cell type-specific expression of <i>PpENAI</i> increased the biomass of salt stressed IR64.....	200
6.4.3.	Cell type-specific expression of <i>OsHKT1;5</i> reduced shoot Na ⁺ concentration of IR64.....	201
6.4.4.	Limitation of the number of independent transgenic events.....	202
6.5.	Summary	203
Chapter 7 : General Discussion		204
7.1.	Summary of accomplished work	204
7.1.1.	Variation in salinity tolerance mechanisms in rice	204
7.1.2.	Transformation efficiency of commercially relevant rice cultivars.....	205
7.1.3.	Non-destructive image based phenotyping for salt screening	205
7.1.4.	Altered phenotypes as the results of modification of Na ⁺ transport	206
7.1.5.	Identification of novel cell type specific promoter	207
7.2.	Future work.....	208
7.2.1.	Improvement of the salinity tolerance screening protocol, transgenic generation and the number of independent lines	208
7.2.2.	Investigation of the tissue tolerance mechanism in rice	209
7.2.3.	Alternative strategies for targeting the expression of Na ⁺ transporter	211
7.2.4.	Phenotyping transgenic rice constitutively expressing <i>AtAVPI</i> for other abiotic stresses	212
7.2.5.	Improving Na ⁺ transport by expressing multiple genes in different cell types.....	212
7.2.6.	Planning for a confined field trial of transgenic rice lines.....	213
7.3.	Conclusion	214
Supplementary Materials		215
References		229

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 The range in salinity tolerance of different plant species.....	5
Figure 1-2 Diagram of Na ⁺ transport in plants.....	8
Figure 2-1 Two types of mini hydroponic systems for rice experiments.	24
Figure 2-2 Schematic diagram of DNA transfer from agarose gel to Hybond N ⁺ membrane in Southern blot by capillary transfer.....	30
Figure 2-3 Map of Gateway [®] entry vector pENTR [™] /D-TOPO [®] (2580bp).....	34
Figure 2-4 Map of Gateway [®] entry vector PCR8/GW/TOPO (2817 bp).	34
Figure 2-5 Map of Gateway [®] destination vector pMDC32.....	35
Figure 3-1 Map of the expression vector pMDC32Ubi:AVP1 containing <i>AtAVP1</i>	42
Figure 3-2 Summary of <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation steps for Indonesian rice cultivars.	49
Figure 3-3 Representative examples of individuals from six rice cultivars grown in hydroponic experiment under (A) 0 mM NaCl and (B) 70 mM NaCl.....	51
Figure 3-4 (A) Shoot dry weight, (B) root dry weight and (C) total dry weight per plant of six rice cultivars grown in hydroponics under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl.	54
Figure 3-5 Na ⁺ concentration in the (A) shoot and (B) root of six rice cultivars grown in hydroponics under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl treatments.....	56
Figure 3-6 K ⁺ concentration in the (A) shoot and (B) root of six rice cultivars grown in hydroponics under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl treatments.....	57
Figure 3-7 Ratio of K ⁺ /Na ⁺ concentration in (A) the shoot and (B) root of six rice cultivars grown in hydroponics under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl treatments.....	58
Figure 3-8 Calli developed from scutellum of five Indonesian rice cultivars.....	60
Figure 3-9 Calli of five Indonesian rice cultivars after proliferation in callus induction medium with MS for 2 weeks.	61
Figure 3-10 Calli of five Indonesian cultivars after the 2 nd selection using selection medium with hygromycin and cefotaxime.....	62
Figure 3-11 Calli of four Indonesian cultivars after the 4 th selection using selection medium with hygromycin and cefotaxime.....	63
Figure 3-12 Examples of the steps to go from Fatmawati calli to plants.....	65
Figure 3-13 Southern blot analysis of T ₀ plants of transgenic Fatmawati constitutively expressing <i>AtAVP1</i> (Line 1-11) and transgenic IR64 constitutively expressing <i>AVP1</i> (Line 12).....	66
Figure 5-1 Map of the expression vector pAJ55 containing <i>PpENA1</i>	103
Figure 5-2 Map of the expression vector pMDC32Ubi:OVP4 containing <i>OsOVP4</i> (<i>Os02g0802500</i>).....	104
Figure 5-3 Map of the expression vector Ubi:CIPK16 containing <i>AtCIPK16</i>	105
Figure 5-4 Expression analysis using RT-PCR of <i>AtAVP1</i> in the shoot of T ₁ transgenic Fatmawati constitutively expressing <i>AtAVP1</i> (R1 and R38) and wild type Fatmawati 111	
Figure 5-5 Expression analysis using RT-PCR of <i>OsOVP4</i> in the shoot of T ₁ transgenic Fatmawati constitutively expressing <i>OsOVP4</i> (R36) and wild type Fatmawati.....	111

Figure 5-6 Projected shoot area of T ₁ transgenic rice Fatmawati constitutively expressing vacuolar H ⁺ -PPases and nulls grown under 0 mM NaCl (blue bar) and 100 mM NaCl (red bar).....	114
Figure 5-7 Concentration of (A) shoot Na ⁺ , (B) shoot K ⁺ and (C) ratio of shoot K ⁺ /Na ⁺ of T ₁ transgenic rice Fatmawati constitutively expressing vacuolar H ⁺ -PPases and nulls grown under 0 mM NaCl (blue bar) and 100 mM NaCl (red bar).....	116
Figure 5-8 Southern Blot analysis of five T ₀ transgenic rice transformed with <i>PpENAI</i> using a probe against the <i>Nos</i> terminator.	117
Figure 5-9 Molecular analyses of T ₁ transgenic Fatmawati carrying <i>35S:PpENAI</i> insert.	118
Figure 5-10 Expression analysis using RT-PCR of <i>AtCIPK16</i> in the shoot and roots of T ₁ transgenic Fatmawati constitutively expressing <i>AtCIPK16</i> (R50) and wild type Fatmawati.....	119
Figure 5-11 Southern Blot analysis of T ₁ and T ₀ plants of transgenic rice Fatmawati constitutively expressing <i>AtCIPK16</i>	120
Figure 5-12 (A) Shoot dry weight, (B) root dry weight and (C) total dry weight of transgenic Fatmawati carrying <i>35S:PpENAI</i> and <i>Ubi:AtCIPK16</i> and the nulls grown under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl.	123
Figure 5-13 Concentration of Na ⁺ in (A) shoot and (B) root of transgenic Fatmawati carrying <i>35S:PpENAI</i> and <i>Ubi:AtCIPK16</i> and the nulls grown under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl.	125
Figure 5-14 Concentration of K ⁺ in (A) shoot and (B) root of transgenic Fatmawati carrying <i>35S:PpENAI</i> and <i>Ubi:AtCIPK16</i> and the nulls grown under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl.	126
Figure 5-15 Ratio of (A) shoot K ⁺ /Na ⁺ and (B) root K ⁺ /Na ⁺ of transgenic Fatmawati carrying <i>35S:PpENAI</i> and <i>Ubi:AtCIPK16</i> and the nulls grown under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl.	127
Figure 5-16 Expression analysis using RT-PCR of <i>AtAVP1</i> in the shoot and roots of T ₁ transgenic IR64 constitutively expressing <i>AtAVP1</i> (R4) and wild type IR64.	128
Figure 5-17 Shoot dry weight (A), root dry weight (B) and total dry weight (C) of transgenic IR64 carrying <i>Ubi:AtAVP1</i> and <i>35S:PpENAI</i> and the nulls grown under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl.	130
Figure 5-18 Concentration of Na ⁺ in (A) shoot and (B) root of transgenic IR64 carrying <i>Ubi:AtAVP1</i> and <i>35S:PpENAI</i> and the nulls grown under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl. ..	133
Figure 5-19 Concentration of K ⁺ in (A) shoot and (B) root of transgenic IR64 carrying <i>Ubi:AtAVP1</i> and <i>35S:PpENAI</i> and the nulls grown under 0 mM and 70 mM NaCl. ...	134
Figure 5-20 (A) and (B) ratio shoot K ⁺ /Na ⁺ , (C) and (D) ratio root K ⁺ /Na ⁺ of transgenic IR64 carrying <i>Ubi:AtAVP1</i> and <i>35S:PpENAI</i> and the nulls grown under 0 mM (blue bar) and 70 mM NaCl (red bar).....	135
Figure 6-1 Map of the destination vectors (A) pMDC162 containing the β-glucuronidase gene (<i>Uida</i>) and (B) pMDC204 containing the <i>green fluorescent protein</i> gene (<i>mgfp6</i>)	157
Figure 6-2 The spatio-temporal expression profile of <i>Os10g0552200</i> in specific tissues and organs at different growth stages of rice.	164

Figure 6-3 The gene expression profile of <i>Os10g0552200</i> in rice roots in the cultivar Nipponbare.....	165
Figure 6-4 The spatio-temporal profile of <i>Os06g0250600</i> in specific tissues and organs at different growth stages of rice.....	167
Figure 6-5 The gene expression profile of <i>Os06g0250600</i> in rice roots in the cultivar Nipponbare.....	168
Figure 6-6 Map of Gateway® entry vector pENTR/D-TOPO+ProAtPHT1;1	170
Figure 6-7 Map of the destination vector pMDC32_AtPHT1;1	171
Figure 6-8 Histochemical GUS staining of <i>pOs10g0552200:Uida</i> seedlings.....	177
Figure 6-9 Histochemical GUS staining <i>pOsRc3:Uida</i> seedlings	178
Figure 6-10 Expression analysis using RT-PCR of <i>GFP</i> driven by putative epidermal promoters <i>pAtPHT1;1</i> and <i>pOsAnt1</i>	179
Figure 6-11 Expression analysis using RT-PCR of <i>Uida</i> driven by putative epidermal promoter <i>pOsAnt1</i>	181
Figure 6-12 Expression analysis using RT-PCR of <i>Uida</i> driven by putative stelar promoter <i>pOs06g0250600</i>	182
Figure 6-13 Southern Blot analysis of one T ₀ transgenic IR64 <i>pAtPHT1;1:PpENAI</i> (line R32).....	185
Figure 6-14 Expression analysis using RT-PCR of <i>PpENAI</i> in the shoot and root of T ₁ transgenic IR64 expressing <i>PpENAI</i> driven by <i>pAtPHT1;1</i> and the wild type IR64... ..	186
Figure 6-15 Southern Blot analysis of one T ₀ transgenic IR64 <i>pOs06g0250600:OsHKT1;5</i> (line R48).	187
Figure 6-16 Expression analysis using RT-PCR of <i>OsHKT1;5</i> in the shoot and root of T ₁ transgenic rice IR64 expressing <i>OsHKT1;5</i> driven by the promoter <i>pOs06g0250600</i> and the wild type IR64.	188
Figure 6-17 Biomass measurements of transgenic IR64 with cell-type specific expression of salinity tolerance genes.....	190
Figure 6-18 Na ⁺ concentration in shoots and roots of transgenic lines R32 and R48 ..	193
Figure 6-19 K ⁺ concentration in shoots and roots of transgenic lines R32 and R48	194
Figure 6-20 Ratio of K ⁺ /Na ⁺ concentration in shoots and roots of transgenic lines R32 and R48	195

List of Tables

Table 2-1 Major agronomic traits of the five Indonesian commercial rice cultivars used in this study (Suprihatno et al. 2010)	21
Table 3-1 Composition of media used in <i>Agrobacterium</i> - mediated rice transformation	46
Table 3-2 Summary of analysis of variance for biomass and ion concentration traits in salinity tolerance experiment	52
Table 3-3 Ratio of total dry biomass of six rice cultivars grown under 70 mM and 0 mM NaCl.	55
Table 3-4 Callus development rate of five Indonesian rice cultivars induced from scutellum using MS and N6 as basal salt	59
Table 3-5 Summary of <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation of five Indonesian cultivars using <i>Agrobacterium</i> strain AGL1 carrying expression vector pMDC32Ubi:AVP1	64
Table 3-6 Number of T-DNA insertion based on Southern blot and grain fertility of T ₀ transgenic rice Fatmawati and IR64 overexpressing <i>AVP1</i> gene.....	66
Table 5-1 Day length and daily global solar exposure in Adelaide during the experiments	101
Table 5-2 Primers used in genotyping of transgenic rice constitutively expressing candidate genes for salt tolerance improvement	107
Table 5-3 Summary of the results of <i>Agrobacterium</i> -mediated transformation of candidate genes for salt tolerance driven by constitutive promoters	109
Table 5-4 Selected T ₁ transgenic lines for salt tolerance screening	109
Table 5-5 Relative projected shoot area of T ₁ transgenic rice Fatmawati constitutively expressing vacuolar H ⁺ -PPases and nulls grown under 100 mM NaCl compared to the lines grown under 0 mM NaCl at 10, 20 and 30 d after salt applications.....	115
Table 5-6 Relative total dry weight of transgenic Fatmawati lines carrying <i>35S:PpENA1</i> , <i>Ubi:AtCIPK16</i> and nulls grown under 70 mM NaCl compared to the same lines grown under 0 mM NaCl	124
Table 5-7 Relative total dry weight of transgenic IR64 lines constitutively expressing <i>AtAVP1</i> , <i>PpENA1</i> and nulls grown under 70 mM NaCl compared to the lines grown under 0 mM NaCl	131
Table 6-1 Putative promoter regions selected to drive cell type-specific expression of candidate genes	153
Table 6-2 Primers for promoter region amplification and sequencing	154
Table 6-3 Primers for genotyping transgenic rice.....	155
Table 6-4 Promoter-gene combination for cell type specific expression.....	158
Table 6-5 Summary of the expression vectors generated for promoter localization studies.....	172
Table 6-6 Summary of the expression vectors generated for cell type-specific expression systems	174

Table 6-7 Summary of transgenic Fatmawati generated for cell type-specific expression	175
Table 6-8 Summary of transgenic IR64 generated for cell type-specific expression ...	176
Table 6-9 Summary of the reporter genes activity under the control of the putative cell type-specific promoters	183
Table 6-10 Relative total dry biomass of nulls and transgenic IR64 grown under 70 mM NaCl compared to 0 mM NaCl conditions.....	191

List of Abbreviations

%	percent
~	approximately
×	times
°C	degrees Celsius
3'	three prime, of nucleic acid sequence
35S	promoter of cauliflower mosaic virus 35S
5'	five prime, of nucleic acid sequence number
ABA	abscisic acid
ACPFPG	Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics
AGRF	Australian Genome Research Facility
ANOVA	analysis of variance
<i>At</i>	<i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i>
<i>AtAVP</i>	<i>Arabidopsis vacuolar pyrophosphatase</i>
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
ATPase	adenosine triphosphatase
BLAST	basic local alignment search tool
bp	base pairs, of nucleic acid
BSA	bovine serum albumin
Ca ²⁺	calcium ion
CaCl ₂	calcium chloride
CaMV	cauliflower mosaic virus
Cat. No	catalogue number
CBL	calcineurin like-B protein
cDNA	complimentary deoxyribonucleic acid
Chu N6	basal salt mixture Chu N6
CIPK	calcineurin like-B interacting protein kinase
Cl ⁻	chloride ion
cm	centimetre(s)
Col-0	<i>Arabidopsis</i> ecotype Columbia-0
d	day(s)
dATP	deoxyadenosine triphosphate
dCTP	deoxycytidine triphosphate
dGTP	deoxyguanosine triphosphate
dH ₂ O	deionised water
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxynucleotide triphosphate
dS	deciSiemens
ECe	electrical conductivity
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations
g	gram(s)
<i>g</i>	gravity
gDNA	genomic deoxyribonucleic acid
GFP	green fluorescent protein
GRiSP	Global Rice Science Partnership
GUS	β-glucuronidase protein
h	hour(s)

H ⁺	hydrogen ion
H ₂ O	water
HCl	hydrochloric acid
HKT	high affinity potassium transport
ICRR	Indonesian Centre for Rice Research
IRRI	International Rice Research Institute
K ⁺	potassium ion
kb	kilo base pairs, of nucleic acid
KCl	potassium chloride
kg	kilogram(s)
KOH	potassium hydroxide
L	litre(s)
LB	left border, of T-DNA sequence
LB media	luria betani media
M	molar
mg	milligram(s)
Mg ²⁺	magnesium ion
MgCl ₂	magnesium chloride
min	minute(s)
mL	millilitre(s)
mm	millimetre(s)
mM	millimolar
mol	mole
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid
MS	Murashige and Skoog media
n	sample size
N ₂	nitrogen
NA	not available
Na ⁺	sodium ion
NaCl	sodium chloride
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
NCBI	National Center for Biotechnology Information
ng	nanogram(s)
NHX	Na ⁺ /H ⁺ exchanger
NO ₃ ⁻	nitrate
<i>Nos</i>	bacterial nopaline synthase terminator sequence
OD ₆₀₀	optical density measured at 600 nm
<i>Os</i>	<i>Oryza sativa</i>
OsOVP	rice vacuolar pyrophosphatase
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
Pi	phosphate
PPase	pyrophosphatase
<i>PpENA</i>	Na ⁺ pumping ATPase from <i>Physcomitrella patens</i>
PPi	pyrophosphate
qRT-PCR	quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
QTL	quantitative trait loci
RB	right border, of T-DNA sequence
RIL	recombinant inbred line
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RO	reverse osmosis
RT	room temperature

RT-PCR	reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction
sec	second(s)
SOS	salt overly sensitive
SSC	saline sodium citrate
T ₀	primary rice transformant containing T-DNA
T ₁	progeny of T ₀ plant
T ₂	progeny of T ₁
TAE	tris-acetate-EDTA
T-DNA	transfer deoxyribonucleic acid
T _m	melting temperature, of primers
U	units
UAS	upstream activation sequence
<i>Ubi</i>	promoter of maize <i>Ubiquitin-1</i>
UC mix	University California soil mix
<i>UidA</i>	β-glucuronidase gene
UV	ultraviolet
V	voltage
v/v	volume per volume
w/v	weight per volume
WT	wild type
X-Gluc	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl-glucuronide
μL	microlitre(s)
μm	micrometre
μM	micromolar
μmol	micromole(s)

Abstract

Salinity tolerance in rice is negatively correlated with sodium accumulation in the shoot. Therefore, one approach to improve rice salinity tolerance is through the modification of sodium transport pathways within the plant, either by constitutive or cell type-specific expression of genes encoding proteins important for sodium homeostasis. In rice, work so far has predominately been limited to poorly adapted cultivars or has used technologies incompatible with future breeding programs. It is therefore important to transfer the knowledge obtained from the modification of Na⁺ transport processes in other plants and test the validity of this approach in commercially relevant rice cultivars, using compatible technologies for further application of the approach in the field.

Five candidate commercial rice cultivars were selected from Indonesia. The salt tolerance of these rice cultivars were studied in hydroponics. Variation existed in the salinity tolerance mechanisms among the rice cultivars, offering the potential to use different approaches for improving salinity tolerance. *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation efficiency of the cultivars was evaluated using calli derived from the scutellum of mature seeds. The study revealed only two cultivars, Fatmawati and IR64, could regenerate transgenics.

A non-destructive image based phenotyping protocol was developed for screening rice undergoing salt stress and was used to further examine the salinity tolerance of Fatmawati and IR64. The two cultivars showed differences in both their salinity tolerance and in the salinity tolerance mechanisms they used. Due to the differences in their salinity tolerance and due to their amenability for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation, Fatmawati and IR64 were selected for transformation with salinity tolerance genes using constitutive and cell type-specific promoters.

The maize *Ubiquitin-1* and cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoters were used as constitutive promoters. Cell type-specific promoters were identified from either the literature or rice databases and used to drive the genes in specific cells in the root. The cell type-specific alterations are targeted to minimize net sodium influx into the root from the soil, maximise sodium retrieval from the xylem, or increase sodium compartmentalization in the root tissue.

Rice lines were generated which constitutively expressed the genes encoding the vacuolar H⁺-pyrophosphatases *AtAVP1* and *OsOVP4* and the protein kinase *AtCIPK16*. Transgenic rice lines were also developed which expressed Na⁺ transporter *OsHKT1;5* driven by a stelar specific promoter and Na⁺ pumping ATPase from *Physcomitrella patens* (*PpENA1*) driven by an epidermal specific promoter. The salinity tolerance of the transgenic rice lines was characterized in the T₁ generation using either non-destructive image based phenotyping or destructive analysis in hydroponic experiments. Results from this study showed that constitutive expression of *AtAVP1* lead to increased biomass of transgenic rice both under salt stress and non-stress conditions. The present study demonstrated the expression of *OsHKT1;5* in the root stele reduced shoot Na⁺ accumulation, while the expression of *PpENA1* in the root epidermis reduced root Na⁺ concentration. However, the effect of the alteration on the whole plant salinity tolerance of the transgenic rice still requires further characterization. Further assessment of these transgenic lines in later generations is necessary.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Aris Hairmansis and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below*) resides with the copyright holder/s of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

*Hairmansis A, Berger B, Tester M, Roy SJ. 2014. Image-based phenotyping for non-destructive screening of different salinity tolerance traits in rice. Manuscript submitted to Rice journal

.....

Aris Hairmansis

.....

Date

Acknowledgments

First of all, I would like to express my special appreciation and thanks to my supervisors Dr. Stuart Roy, Dr. Bettina Berger and Professor Mark Tester for the time, support and excellent supervision each have provided throughout my study.

I would like to acknowledge Australia Award Scholarships and the Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics for the financial support provided over my candidature, the Australian Federation of University Women South Australia for a travel grant to attend the Rice Genetic Symposium in Manila, and the Indonesian Centre for Rice Research for providing genetic materials for the project.

I would like to thank all people who have provided advices and technical support during my study. A special thanks to Dr. Darren Plett as my mentor and for providing plasmid vectors, Dr. Suwarno for providing advice on the project, Dr. Alexander Johnson as my external advisor, Ms. Melissa Pickering for instruction on rice transformation and rice growing, Dr. Andrew Jacobs and Ms Jodie Kretschmer for instruction on cloning and providing plasmid vectors, Ms Margaret Pallotta for assistance in Southern blot analysis, Dr. Inez Slamet-Loedin for advice on rice transformation, Dr. Gwen Mayo for assistance in microscopy, Dr. Yuri Shavrukov for assistance with the flame photometer assay, Mr. Supartopo for preparing and sending the rice seeds from Indonesia, Dr. Pauline Glocke for help throughout the rice quarantine process, Dr Christina Morris, Ms. Judith Ferrante and Ms. Ruth Harris for providing editorial English assistance on the thesis and Dr. Monica Ogierman for continuous advice and support throughout my candidature. I would also like to thank all staff of The Plant Accelerator for assistance in phenotyping.

My sincere thanks to all member of the Salt Focus Group for the friendship and all advice: Dr. Bo Li, Ms Sandra Schmöckel, Ms Jessica Bovill, Ms Rhiannon Schilling, Dr. Monique Shearer, Mr Gordon Wellman, Mr Nawar Shamaya, Ms Wenmian Huang, Dr. Aurelie Evrard, Ms. Lorraine Carruthers, Dr. Joanne Tilbrook and Dr. Julie Hayes. I also would like to thank Mr. Ivan Goenawan and Mr. Andreas Kolbeck for the assistance in genotyping and phenotyping.

Finally I would like to thank my parents, my wife and my daughters, Afifah and Hanifah, who have supported me throughout my study with their prayer and patience.