

LINKING THE HETERO-CHEMISTRY OF NANOPOROUS
CARBONACEOUS MATERIALS TO THEIR
PERFORMANCE

Saeid Sedghi

A thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

School of Chemical Engineering

The University of Adelaide

Australia

April 2015

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Saeid Sedghi

Date: 02/01/2015

Abstract

Nanoporous carbonaceous materials are used in many varied applications, including adsorption (e.g. CO₂ capture and hydrogen storage) and catalysis (e.g. oxidation and dehydration). The non-carbon atoms (or heteroatoms) of carbonaceous materials profoundly affects their character and performance in such applications. For example, the level of oxygen within the predominately carbon skeleton of these materials is known to dictate their mechanical and thermal stability. The performance of nanoporous carbons in CO₂ adsorption is, on the other hand, known to be a strong function of the nitrogen (and other basic) functionalities on their pore surfaces.

There are a wide variety of experimental methods available for determining the bulk and pore surface chemistry of carbonaceous materials. Due to the different underlying fundamentals of these methods, they can yield inconsistent results for what are nominally the same characteristics. This makes interpretation of experimentally observed behaviour of carbon materials challenging. The first aim of the research reported in this thesis is to distinguish the source of inconsistencies between the major methods for characterizing the oxygen chemistry of carbons. It is shown that differences in the results of the methods have a range of origins including spatial heterogeneity within carbon particles induced by diffusion-controlled activation, the differences in the probed volumes (e.g. bulk vs. surface), and in handling protocols prior to analysis.

The second major aspect of the thesis is focused on better understanding the effect that pore structure and surface nitrogen chemistry have on the CO₂ capture performance of nanoporous carbons. Hence, samples with different porous structures are modified with amination which is believed to have enhancing effect on the surface basicity without diminishing the structure. The simultaneous effects of nitrogen content and pore structure on the low, atmospheric and high pressure CO₂ capture performance of nanoporous carbons are analysed. It is shown that for low and atmospheric CO₂ capture, both N content and microporous structure have positive impact. However, at high pressures, nitrogen content seems to lose its enhancing effect and porous structure determines the capture performance.

Acknowledgements

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Prof. Mark Biggs for the continuous support of my PhD study and research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. Adelaide Scholarship International is gratefully acknowledged for providing the financial support during my PhD studies.

I would also like to thank my co-supervisor Dr Philip Kwong who has always assisted me with the progress of my research. Special thanks to the rest of my thesis committee A/Prof. Phillip Pendleton and Dr Ronald Smernik for their encouragement, insightful comments, and hard questions.

My sincere thanks also goes to Prof. Rodríguez-Reinoso for providing my visit to his lab at the University of Alicante. Special thanks to Dr Silvestre-Albero at the University of Alicante for her helps with the analysis of TPD experiments. I would also like to thank Prof. Skinner for his support and assistance with XPS analysis. I am also thankful to Prof. Katsumi Kaneko and Prof. Teresa Bandoz for their suggestions in improving my papers. I also thank the technical staff of workshop, Mr Jason Peak, Mr Michael Jung and Mr Jeffrey Hiorns for their endless supports with the lab set ups.

I thank my labmates, Mr Hadi Madani and Mr Cheng Hu, for the stimulating discussions and cooperation we have had in the last several years.

Last but not the least, I would like to thank my wife, Masumeh, for her love and supporting me spiritually throughout my life. I would also like to thank my parents for their endless support and love.

Contents

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Contents	iv
List of Tables	x
Nomenclatures	xii
CHAPTER 1	1
Introduction.....	1
1.1. Surface chemistry of activated carbons	1
1.2. Importance of surface chemistry in performance of nanoporous carbons	3
1.3. Research objectives.....	5
CHAPTER 2	11
Literature Review.....	11
2.1. Methods of characterising the oxygen chemistry of nanoporous carbons	11
2.1.1. Boehm Titration.....	11
2.1.2. Infrared Spectroscopy	12
2.1.3. Temperature-Programmed Desorption (TPD).....	13
2.1.4. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).....	15
2.1.5. Elemental Analysis.....	16
2.1.6. Low Pressure Water Adsorption.....	16
2.1.7. Comparison of different chemistry characterization techniques..	17
2.2. Modification of carbonaceous materials for CO ₂ adsorption.....	18
2.2.1. Pore surface engineering to enhance CO ₂ capture	20
2.2.2. Outlook and Summary	38
CHAPTER 3	51
Control of the Spatial Homogeneity of Pore Surface Chemistry in Particulate Activated Carbon	51
Abstract	51
3.1. Introduction	52
3.2. Experimental Details.....	52
3.2.1. Carbon preparation.....	52
3.2.2. Protocol for assessing the radial variation of carbon chemistry.....	53
3.2.3. Radial variation of pore structure.....	56

3.3. Results and Discussion.....	56
3.4. Conclusion.....	58
References.....	59
Supplementary Information.....	61
CHAPTER 4.....	67
Comparison of nanoporous carbon heterochemistry determined by TPD & XPS	67
Abstract.....	67
4.1. Introduction.....	68
4.2. Experimental Details.....	69
4.2.1. Carbon material.....	69
4.2.2. XPS analysis.....	69
4.2.3. TPD analysis.....	70
4.2.4. Elemental analysis.....	72
4.2.5. Pore structure.....	72
4.3. Results and Discussion.....	73
4.3.1 XPS analysis.....	73
4.3.2 TPD analysis.....	74
4.3.3 Comparison of XPS and TPD.....	76
4.4. Conclusion.....	80
References.....	81
Supplementary Information.....	85
CHAPTER 5.....	90
Effect of porous structure and nitrogen content on the low and high pressure CO ₂ capture performance of porous carbonaceous materials.....	90
Abstract.....	90
5.1. Introduction.....	91
5.2. Experimental Details.....	91
5.2.1. Carbons.....	91
5.2.2. Carbon textural characterization.....	92
5.2.3. Carbon CO ₂ adsorption performance.....	93
5.3. Results and Discussion.....	93
5.3.1. Textural characterization.....	93
5.3.3. N-content dependency of CO ₂ uptake.....	95
5.3.4. Comparison with other carbons.....	97
5.4. Conclusion.....	98

References	98
Supplementary Information.....	101
CHAPTER 6	103
6.1. Conclusions.....	103
6.2. Future Works	104

List of Figures

Figure 1.1. acidic and basic oxygen-containing functionalities of carbon surface. basic and acid functionalities are indicated in blue and red, respectively (after de clippel [19]).....	2
Figure 2.1. Types of nitrogen-containing functionalities on the surface of carbonaceous materials (after de Clippel [62])	20
Figure 2.2. Proposed reactions for the preparation of amine-enriched carbons (after Gray [74])	22
Figure 2.3. Mechanism of CO ₂ reaction with sterically hindered amine on Activated Carbon surface (after Lee [76])	22
Figure 2.4. N1s core level spectra of unmodified Vulcan XC-72R, aminophenyl-, aminobenzyl- and aminoethyl-modified Vulcan XC-72R (after Grondein [79]) 27	
Figure 2.5. XPS survey spectra of (a) Raw-CMS, and fluorinated samples of F1N9-CMS (F ₂ :N ₂ 10:90), F3N7-CMS (F ₂ :N ₂ 30:70), and F5N5-CMS (F ₂ :N ₂ 50:50) (after Yu [106]), (b) Raw-CMS, and oxyfluorinated samples of FO37-CMS (F ₂ :O ₂ 30:70), FO55-CMS (F ₂ :O ₂ 50:50), and FO73-CMS (F ₂ :O ₂ 70:30) (after Cho [107])	36
Figure 2.6. CO ₂ sorption relative changes through different treatment methods	39
Figure 3.1. Protocol to obtain samples that allow assessment of the radial variation of the chemistry. The color scheme here is used in Fig. 3.2, Fig. 3.S1 and Fig. 3.S4.....	53
Figure 3.2. Schematic showing mappings between average radii and XPS and water adsorption results. The radial maps for the two sets of results for the peripheral sample, which are the same, are shown as an insert for clarity. The numerical values of the radii are provided in Table 3.3.....	55
Figure 3.3. Variation of XPS-derived atomic oxygen concentration for the C45O ₂ (square) and C45FCO ₂ (circle) carbons: (a) across the samples; and (b) radially (lines are linear fits weighted by the errors).	56
Figure 3.4. Variation of hydrophilic sites for the C45O ₂ (square) and C45FCO ₂ (circle) carbons with: (a) sample; and (b) radius (lines are the most appropriate fits weighted by the errors).....	57
Figure 3.5. Variation of O-function groups I (closed symbols) and II (open symbols) for the C45O ₂ (square) and C45FCO ₂ (circle) carbons with: (a) sample; and (b) radius (lines are linear fits weighted by the errors). The error bars are defined in Section 2.2. The sample codes are defined in Fig. 3.1. The mapping between the samples and the radius for XPS is defined in the text.	58

Figure 3.S1. QSDFT-based pore size distribution (PSD) for the samples P (red), B (black), C1 (orange) and C2 (green) for: (a) C45O₂; (b) C45FCO₂..... 61

Figure 3.S2. Example survey XPS scans of carbon samples: (a) B-C45O₂; and (b) B-C45FCO₂. The survey scans for the remaining samples of both carbons and their repeats are qualitatively similar..... 61

Figure 3.S3. Example water isotherms of carbon samples: (a) full adsorption (solid lines) and desorption (broken lines) isotherms for B-C45O₂; (b) low-pressure region of the adsorption isotherms used for determining the hydrophilic sites of the P (red), B (black), C1 (orange) and C2 (green) samples of C45O₂; (c) full adsorption (solid lines) and desorption (broken lines) isotherms for B-C45FCO₂; and (d) low-pressure region of the adsorption isotherms used for determining the hydrophilic sites of the P (red), B (black), C1 (orange) and C2 (green) samples of C45FCO₂..... 62

Figure 3.S4. Example high resolution XPS scans (black lines) and associated deconvolution into groups from Table 3.2 (red=I, blue=II, orange=III, green=IV, cyan=V) of carbon samples: (a) P-C45O₂; (b) B-C45O₂; (c) C1-C45O₂; (d) C2-C45O₂; (e) P-C45CO₂; (f) B-C45CO₂; (g) C1-C45CO₂; and (h) C2-C45CO₂. The high resolution scans and associated deconvolutions for their repeats are quantitatively similar..... 63

Figure 4.1. Summary of temperature ranges associated with the CO₂ and CO components of the TPD profiles as found in the literature..... 71

Figure 4.2. Porosity characterisation of C45O₂ (solid line) and O-C45O₂ (broken line) carbons: (a) N₂ adsorption isotherms at 77 K; and (b) QSDFT-based [40] pore size distribution (PSD). 72

Figure 4.3. High resolution O1s spectra (black line) and their deconvolution into the 5 groups shown in Table 4.1 for: (a) C45O₂; and (b) O-C45O₂. Line color key for O1s groups (see Table 4.1): I = red; II = blue; III = orange; IV = green; V = cyan. The sum of the deconvoluted curves is shown in grey..... 73

Figure 4.4. TPD profile (black line) and their deconvolution into the groups discussed in the text: (a) CO₂ profile for C45O₂; (b) CO profile for C45O₂; (c) CO₂ profile for O-C45O₂; and (d) CO₂ profile for O-C45O₂. Line colors are the same as used in Fig.4.1. The sum of the deconvoluted curves is shown in grey. 75

Figure 4.5. The oxygen content of the two carbon samples and the difference between them as obtained from XPS (dark grey), TPD (medium grey) and elemental analysis (light grey); the oxygen content associated with the water has been removed from the XPS data so as it is directly comparable with the elemental and TPD data. 77

Figure 4.6. Molecular oxygen concentration radial profiles predicted by Fick's Law for 5, 10, 20 and 30 minutes after exposure of the carbon particles to

ambient air at 298 K on the basis of an intra-particle diffusion coefficient of 10^{-15} m²/s (solid line) and 10^{-14} m²/s (broken line). 77

Figure 4.7. XPS (dark grey) and TPD (medium grey) contributions to the four propositions defined in the text, and the outcome from these propositions (light grey). 80

Figure 4.S1. Survey XPS scans of carbon samples: (a) C45O₂; and (b) O-C45O₂. 85

Figure 4.S2. High resolution C1s scans and their deconvolution based on the results of Table 4.3 for: (a) C45O₂; and (b) O-C45O₂. Line color key for the groups shown in Table 4.S1 are: I = red; II = blue; III = orange; IV = green; V = cyan; VI = pink. The sum of the deconvoluted curves is shown in grey. 85

Figure 5.1. Adsorption-based characteristics of the C9 (solid line) and C22 (dashed line) carbons: (a) Nitrogen adsorption isotherms at 77 K ; (b) PSDs obtained via the QSDFT method [32]. 93

Figure 5.2. The deviation of the PSDs of CX-200 (red), CX-400 (blue), CX-600 (magenta), CX-800 (olive) carbons from that of the CX (i.e. related base carbon) for: (a) C9 carbons; and (b) C22 carbons. 94

Figure 5.3. CO₂ adsorption isotherms at 298 K for the base carbons (black) and their variants aminated at 200 °C (red), 400 °C (blue), 600 °C (magenta) and 800 °C (green): (a) LP for C9 materials; (b) HP for C9 materials; (c) LP for C22 materials; (d) HP for C22 materials. 95

Figure 5.4. Results obtained by applying the re-scaling of Eq. (1) to the CO₂ uptakes in Table 5.2 at 0.1 bar (circle), 1 bar (square), and 40 bar (triangle) for: (a) C9 carbons; and (b) C22 carbons. To help elucidate the relative effect of the N-content, the results from Eq. (1) have also been normalised by the CX-200 uptake. The lines have been shifted vertically an arbitrary amount for clarity. The dashed lines are linear fits weighted by the errors; the adjacent values are the gradients of the fits. 97

List of Tables

Table 2.1. Bases used for measuring surface acidic groups in Boehm titration [2].....	11
Table 2.2. IR assignments of functional groups on carbon surface (after Fanning and Vannice [4])	13
Table 2.3. Comparison of different chemistry characterization methods	17
Table 2.4. Common amines used for impregnation onto porous carbonaceous materials	24
Table 2.5. Conditions and results of Impregnation of activated carbons (The units of CO ₂ adsorption capacity and N content are mentioned just for the untreated sample values).....	26
Table 2.6. Chemistry and Structure properties of Aminated and Amoxidized carbonaceous material [44, 48, 49, 88, 102].....	34
Table 3.1. Equivalent volume mean radius of the samples considered here [8].	53
Table 3.2. XPS energy ranges used to inform deconvolution of the O1s peak [18-21].....	54
Table 3.3. Mapping between chemistry-related results for the various samples and radius	56
Table 3.4. Porosity characteristics of carbons.....	56
Table 3.S1. Fraction ^a of oxygen functionalities for the four samples of both carbons as determined from the deconvolution of the high-resolution O1s peaks (see Fig. 3.S3 for an example of a deconvolution).....	64
Table 4.1. XPS energy ranges used to inform deconvolution of the O1s peak [20, 35-37].....	70
Table 4.2. Porosity and elemental characteristics of the carbons as determined from nitrogen adsorption at 77 K and elemental analyzer respectively.	73
Table 4.3. Oxygen functionality data from deconvolution of the O1s peak in the XPS spectra.....	74
Table 4.4. Oxygen functionality data from deconvolution of the CO ₂ and CO TPD profiles	75
Table 4.S1. XPS energy ranges used to inform deconvolution of the C1s peak.....	86

Table 4.S2. The percentage of CO and CO ₂ evolved that is associated with each functionality group as obtained from deconvolution of the respective species TPD profiles	86
Table 5.1. Characteristics of the carbons.....	94
Table 5.2. CO ₂ uptake capacities (in wt%) of the carbons at 0.1, 1 and 40 bar.	96
Table 5.3. Comparison of CO ₂ uptake (in wt%) at 1 bar and 298 K of the best carbon studied here with other N-doped carbon materials from the literature.	97

Nomenclatures

$R[4, 3]$	Particulate equivalent volume mean diameter (μm)
f_X	Relative fraction of element X in XPS analysis
A_X	Area under the peak of element X in XPS analysis
B_X	Sensitivity factor of element X in XPS analysis
$SGL(m)$	Sum of a Gaussian with a Lorentzian function
$G(100)$	$G(100)$ pure Gaussian function
$L(100)$	$L(100)$ pure Lorentzian function
ω_i^{TPD}	The fraction of functionality i obtained by TPD
ω_i^{XPS}	The fraction of functionality i obtained by XPS
$\hat{\omega}_i^{TPD}$	The fraction of functionality i obtained from TPD scaled to yield the XPS net Wt% of oxygen
ω_O^{XPS}	Wt% of oxygen indicated by XPS
ω_O^{TPD}	Wt% of oxygen indicated by TPD
MHTT	Maximum heat treatment temperature
$\rho_{CO_2}^*(P)$	CO_2 adsorption capacity at pressure P normalised by the corresponding effective volume
$\rho_{CO_2}(P)$	CO_2 adsorption capacity at pressure P
$V_C(P)$	Pore volume known to have most influence on CO_2 adsorption at pressure P