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The effectiveness of mindfulness-based programs on physical symptoms and psychological distress in patients with fibromyalgia: A systematic review

Miriam Henke · Anna Chur-Hansen

Abstract: Objective: Research into and the clinical use of mindfulness as a therapeutic intervention have increased in recent years and the results have been promising in a range of illness populations. One area in which mindfulness has been trialled is fibromyalgia, a chronic pain condition currently with poor treatment outcomes. The aim of this systematic review was to examine the effectiveness of mindfulness-based interventions on physical symptoms and psychological distress in patients with fibromyalgia. Methods: Systematic review: PubMed, PsycINFO, Embase and Scopus were searched for randomised controlled trials and prospective and retrospective studies. A quality assessment and synthesis of the quantitative data (based on guidelines from the Joanna Briggs Institute) was completed on studies using a mindfulness-based intervention with patients with fibromyalgia on outcomes related to physical symptoms and psychological distress and wellbeing. Results: Ten studies met the inclusion criteria. All included studies used a mindfulness-based group program design. Although outcome measures and data presentation varied, making statistical pooling impossible, the narrative synthesis resulted in overall positive evidence for the efficacy of mindfulness-based interventions for patients with fibromyalgia on a range of physical symptom and psychological distress outcome measures. Conclusion: Mindfulness is a favourable treatment option for patients with fibromyalgia. Whilst demonstrated efficacy was generally positive, the number of studies addressing this topic is small and there was wide variation in outcome measures and data presentation. More research is needed, particularly large-scale randomised controlled trials with consistent methodology, outcome measures and sufficient follow-up time periods.
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1. Introduction
Fibromyalgia is a chronic pain condition that is not fully understood and often misdiagnosed [1]. Symptoms include chronic pain, fatigue, sleep disturbances, somatic symptoms, psychological distress and morning stiffness [2,3]. Diagnosis is based on a combination of patient history, physical examination, laboratory evaluations, and exclusion of other conditions [4,5]. The majority of patients with fibromyalgia are women in midlife, and the prevalence increases with age [6]. Symptoms are exacerbated by stress [7].

The organic aetiology of fibromyalgia remains unclear, and thus it is a challenging disorder to diagnose and treat [4]. Patients find fibromyalgia emotionally and psychologically distressing,
and often report encountering a lack of support within health care systems [8]. Additionally, many patients do not expect conventional medical treatments to be effective [6].

Currently, conventional medical management does not lead to a cure [9]. Most pharmacological treatments aim to manage discrete symptoms, rather than the condition and its effects as a whole [10]. Goals of treatment are generally to control pain, increase functioning, facilitate adjustment and improve the well-being of patients [4]. Multi-disciplinary approaches, using both pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions are recommended as best practice in the treatment of fibromyalgia [4]. Mind-body therapies (MBT) are an example of psychological treatments that have been found to be clinically effective in various health-related settings, and they are often equally as effective as pharmacological interventions [11]. Studies have indicated moderate to strong efficacy for MBT for a range of illnesses, including fibromyalgia. It has been suggested that MBT-orientated treatment could generate stable and permanent changes that enable patients to experience a significant improvement in their fibromyalgia symptoms [12].

MBT interventions refer to therapies that underscore the fundamental links between cognitions, emotion and physical health. Such interventions that have demonstrated effectiveness with a range of fibromyalgia symptoms include guided imagery [13], cognitive behaviour therapy (stand alone and also combined with hypnosis) [14], affective self-awareness (ASA) [15], meditation [16], and mindfulness [17]. Mindfulness meditation has been theoretically and empirically associated with psychological well-being, and recently has gained momentum as an accepted form of ‘third wave’ psychotherapy [18]. Higher degrees of mindfulness in patients with chronic pain are related to lower self-reported pain, reduced emotional distress, decreased disability, and less use of pain medication [19].

An intervention developed for people with chronic pain, based on mindfulness, is the Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) model [20]. Given chronic pain is the central, and often most pervasive, symptom of fibromyalgia, a number of studies have investigated the effectiveness of mindfulness-based interventions such as MBSR. The MBSR program, developed by Kabat-Zinn and colleagues at the University of Massachusetts Stress Reduction Clinic, was designed to teach patients how to cope effectively with chronic medical conditions, reduce suffering by developing equanimity in the mind and body, and reduce stress and psychological distress [20].

It has been demonstrated that mindfulness interventions produce positive results, in particular for symptoms associated with fibromyalgia [21]. Sleep, for example, has been shown to be important in the management of fibromyalgia symptoms [22]. Mindfulness-based interventions have been shown to improve sleep and decrease sleep-interfering cognitive processes (e.g. rumination, worry), as concluded in a systematic review [23]. Some researchers, however, remain unconvinced of the efficacy of mindfulness interventions for fibromyalgia [17,24] because of the weak methodologies characterising some research, including small sample sizes, uncontrolled variables and a lack of standardized interventions.

1.1 Systematic review

The aim of this systematic review was to explore the existing international literature [25] in order to assess the evidence for the effectiveness of mindfulness-based interventions on the subjective experience of physical symptoms and psychological distress outcomes in patient populations with fibromyalgia.

Reporting of this systematic review follows PRISMA [26] and Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) guidelines [27].
2. Method

2.1 Data sources and search strategy

Any experimental study design including randomised controlled trials, non-randomised controlled trials, quasi-experimental or prospective or retrospective cohort studies, published in English, was included for review. Inclusion criteria were: a confirmed diagnosis of fibromyalgia, with no restriction on how the diagnosis was confirmed; outcome measures related to physical symptoms and/or psychological distress; separating reporting for patients with fibromyalgia where studies included heterogeneous samples; and sufficient information on the effectiveness of a mindfulness-based intervention, expressed as effect sizes, or mean differences.

The search strategy aimed to locate both published and unpublished studies. A three-step search was utilised. An initial limited search of PUBMED and PsycINFO was undertaken to identify potential keywords, followed by analysis of the text words contained in the title and abstract, and of the index terms used to describe the article. A second search using all identified keywords and index terms was then undertaken across all included databases. Thirdly, the reference lists of all identified reports and articles were searched for additional studies. Search terms are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PubMed</th>
<th>PsycINFO</th>
<th>Embase</th>
<th>Scopus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
The search was performed in PubMed, PsycINFO, Embase and Scopus and focused on randomised controlled trials and prospective and retrospective studies. Each database was searched from its onset until May 2013. There were no publication date restrictions. Only articles written in English were included. Multiple keywords and medical subject headings (MESH terms) for mindfulness and fibromyalgia were combined.

2.2 Study selection, critical appraisal, and risk of bias assessment

The first author retrieved all relevant titles and abstracts (N = 132). Full-text publications of studies that were potentially eligible for inclusion (N = 21) were read and checked against the criteria for eligibility for inclusion in the review. Ten papers met the eligibility criteria and were included in the review.

The included studies were assessed according to a list of predefined criteria (MAStARI critical appraisal tool) as outlined in the JBI guidelines [27]. The list of criteria appears in the first column of Tables 2 and 3 below. Randomised controlled trials and cohort studies were separately evaluated with the appropriate criteria sets and a quality score produced (%) for each individual study. A quality score was assigned to studies according to the degree to which they met the relevant criteria set: ‘high quality’ (low risk of bias, high confidence in estimate of effect) was indicated by a score of 70% or more; ‘average quality’ (moderate risk of bias, moderate confidence in estimate of effect) was indicated by a score of 50-69%; and ‘low quality’ (high risk of bias, low confidence in estimate of effect) was indicated by a score of less than 50%.

2.3 Data extraction and analysis

Data were extracted from included papers on study population, design, intervention, duration of follow-up, and measurement and outcomes of physical symptoms and/or psychological distress. A brief summary of the reported results from each study is included in the final column of Table 4 below. Studies were grouped into the categories based on their design (randomised controlled trial or cohort study). Quality of evidence, outcomes measured, reported results, study strengths and limitations are all discussed.

3. Results

The results of the search are shown in Figure 1 below. Five studies were randomised controlled trials [28,29,30,31,32], two were controlled cohort studies [33,34] and three were non-controlled cohort studies [35,36,37]. Characteristics of each study are outlined in Tables 2 and 3 below.

3.1 Study characteristics

Data of the quality assessment (based on JBI guidelines) of the included studies are provided in Table 2 (randomised controlled trials) and Table 3 (cohort studies) below.

The mean quality score was 70% (high quality), ranging from 33% to 100%. Six studies had a high quality score (>70%) [28-30,33,34,35], three studies had a moderate quality score (50 – 69%) [32,36,37], and one study had a low quality score (<50%) [34].

The specific outcomes measured across studies were highly variable; both in type and the way they were measured. Outcomes of all studies assessed subjective experiences of the symptomology of fibromyalgia (e.g. pain, functioning) or psychological distress (e.g. depression, anxiety). All except one of the included studies used outcomes that relied on self-reporting by participants; the exception used a body scanner to record skin conductance levels. Intervention design was described in all studies. Selection bias was present in four studies [33,34,36,37].
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Figure I. Flowchart of study selection

- Potentially relevant papers identified by literature search ($n = 132$)
  - Papers retrieved for detailed examination ($n = 25$)
    - Papers assessed for methodological quality ($n = 13$)
      - Trials included in systematic review ($n = 10$)
        - Outcomes measured:
          - Pain ($n = 7$)
          - Pain-related threat ($n = 1$)
          - Physical symptoms ($n = 4$)
            - Functioning ($n = 5$)
            - Depression ($n = 8$)
            - Anxiety ($n = 5$)
          - Total myalgic score ($n = 1$)
          - Walk time ($n = 1$)
          - Coping strategies ($n = 3$)
          - Quality of life ($n = 3$)
          - Sleep ($n = 2$)
          - Basal sympathetic activation ($n = 1$)
          - Sense of coherence ($n = 1$)
  - Papers excluded after evaluation of abstract as clearly not relevant ($n = 107$)
    - Papers excluded after review of full paper ($n = 12$)
      - Reasons for exclusion:
        - Qualitative design ($n = 3$)
        - Non-experimental design ($n = 4$)
        - Review paper ($n = 3$)
        - Non-English paper ($n = 1$)
        - Diagnosis unseparated ($n = 1$)
Table 2. Results of quality assessment for RCTs (Based on JBI MASTARI Checklist Criteria)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Assignment to treatment group truly random</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Participants blinded to treatment allocation</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Allocation to treatment groups concealed from allocator</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Outcomes of people who withdrew described &amp; included in analysis</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Researchers assessing outcomes blind to treatment allocation</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Control and treatment group comparable at entry</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Groups treated identically other than for named interventions</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Outcomes measured in the same way for all groups</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Outcomes measured in a reliable way</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Appropriate statistical analysis used</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Score per Study (%)</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All included studies used a mindfulness-based group program design. The outcome measures and data presentation varied too much to make statistical pooling possible. Therefore a narrative analysis (evidence synthesis) was completed to summarise the value of the prognostic indicators on common key outcome measures.

Three studies had interventions based on the MBSR program, with some variation from the protocol set by Kabat-Zinn et al. [20]. One study’s intervention was delivered over 10 weeks rather than the usual 8 weeks [35]. One study incorporated Qigong, a Chinese movement therapy, into its intervention design [28]. One study used a mindfulness-based meditation training (MMT) intervention design (based on MBSR and Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy), which the authors explained was designed to accommodate the physical limitations of the fibromyalgia population [34].

3.3 Effectiveness on physical symptoms

Seven studies reported on the effect of mindfulness on physical symptoms. Definition and measurement of physical symptoms across studies varied, and included pain, condition-specific symptoms, functionality, and sleep quality. Two studies reported an overall positive improvement in measures of physical symptoms in MBSR participants [33,35]. Two studies reported significant improvement in measures of physical symptoms for MBSR participants but
demonstrated no significant between-group differences with the active controls [28,30]. Two studies reported no significant change in measures of physical symptoms in MBSR participants [32,37].

Table 3. Results of quality assessment for Cohort studies (Based on JBI MASTARI Checklist Criteria)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Grossman et al. [33]</th>
<th>Vago et al. [34]</th>
<th>Kaplan et al. [35]</th>
<th>Lush et al. [36]</th>
<th>Rozenzweig et al. [37]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sample is representative of patients in population as a whole</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Patients are at a similar point in the course of their condition/illness</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Bias minimised in relation to selection of cases and controls</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Confounding factors identified and strategies to deal with them stated</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Outcomes assessed using objective criteria</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Follow up carried out of a sufficient time period</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Outcomes of people who withdrew described and included in analysis</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Outcomes measured in a reliable way</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Appropriate statistical analysis used</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Score per Study (%)</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Six studies reported on the effect of mindfulness-based programs on pain-related measures. Of these six, four studies reported significant pre- to post-intervention improvement in pain-related scores. However, only one of these studies (with control group) reported significant between-group differences in favour of the MBSR intervention group [28]. For the other three studies reporting positive changes, there were no significant between-group differences. Two studies [29,36] reported no significant change in pain-related measures for the mindfulness-based intervention participants. Two studies measured and reported on pain-related coping (patient’s perception of ability to control and decrease pain), both finding the MBSR intervention produced a positive or significant improvement in the treatment group [28,36].

Three studies [28,30,35] measured and reported on sleep. One study reported positive clinically significant changes as higher for the MBSR group (17%) as compared with the wait-list group (10%) and the active control group (7%) [30]. However, these results did not produce statistical significance in their comparison between-groups by analysis of covariance. One study reported that 60% of the patients with fibromyalgia who received the MBSR treatment...
experienced a positive (improvement) mean change of 2.6% [35]. One study reported the MBSR treatment group demonstrated significantly improved sleep at both the post-intervention and 3-year follow up time points [33].

Five studies used the Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ). The FIQ is a 10-item validated disease-specific scale that assesses physical functioning, pain, depression, anxiety, fatigue, morning tiredness, stiffness, job difficulty, and overall wellbeing. While two studies [29,35] reported a positive mean percentage change, a high-quality RCT reported the MBSR intervention and active control groups both had a significant improvement on the FIQ [28]. Two RCTs reported no significant results with regard to FIQ scores when compared to Sense of Coherence (SOC) as a variable [32] or between-group differences [30].

3.4 Effectiveness on psychological distress

All ten studies assessed and reported on various measures of psychological distress. Four studies reported significant improvement in the mindfulness groups on all measures of psychological distress [28,29,33,35], which included depression, anxiety, psychological distress (specific), and perceived helplessness. One study reported that the MBSR program produced a significant decrease in avoidance, and increase in engagement and efficient disengagement from pain-related threat [34].

Three studies reported mixed findings related to psychological distress in MBSR intervention participants. One study reported that SOC significantly increased in the MBSR group compared to the wait-list control group, and that higher SOC was significantly correlated with lower levels of perceived stress and depression [32]. However, SOC did not buffer the effects of fibromyalgia symptoms on psychological distress in the treatment group. One study found that while the MBSR group had a range of significant pre- to post-treatment changes, there were no significant differences between groups for anxiety or depression [30]. One study reported significant effects of MBSR intervention for somatic symptoms but not cognitive symptoms [31]. Two studies reported non-significant results for the MBSR interventions on psychological distress [36,37].

Three studies reported on measured and reported quality of life (QoL) outcome measures. One study reported significantly greater benefits on QoL in the MBSR group compared with the active control group, including sustained benefits at the 3-year follow up [33]. One study reported modest significant pre- to post-treatment improvement for the MBSR group, with no significant differences between groups, with the whole cohort improving significantly on the health-related quality of life (HRQoL) measure [30]. One study reported that the small fibromyalgia sample in their MBSR cohort who completed the program experienced statistically significant improvement on three of eight HRQoL measures (mean $d = .49$), including general health perception, vitality, and mental health [37].

3.5 Results summary

Of the ten studies included in this systematic review, all claimed significant positive benefit, to some degree, of a mindfulness-based intervention for patients with fibromyalgia. While there was consistency in the design of the mindfulness-based interventions, the outcomes measured, sample sizes, and data presented varied extensively. Therefore, direct comparison of the studies is limited and reliable conclusions cannot be drawn.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Country</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Method of Recruitment</th>
<th>Type of Intervention</th>
<th>Comparison Group</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Data Measured</th>
<th>Description of Main Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Astin et al. [28] United States</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>Experimental Randomised Control Trial</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>- Radio/newspaper advertising - Networking with local physicians</td>
<td>- Group-based mindfulness-based meditation training and Qigong (n = 64) - 2.5 h per week for 8 weeks - No homework requirements noted</td>
<td>- Active control (n = 64)</td>
<td>- Tender point count - Total Myalgic Score - Pain and Functioning (FM Impact Questionnaire) - Pain (SF-36) - 6 minute walk time - Depression (BDI) - Medical care history - Coping Strategies Questionnaire</td>
<td>Baseline, 8, 16 and 24 weeks</td>
<td>- Both treatment and active control groups had significant improvements on FIQ, Total Myalgic Score, Pain and Depression - No difference in magnitude of changes between groups - Changes in both groups maintained a 6 month follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parra-Delgado et al. [29] Spain</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Experimental Randomised Control Trial</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>- Members of the Fibromyalgia Association of Almansa, Spain</td>
<td>- Group-based MBCT program (n = 17) - 8x 2.5 h sessions over 3 months - Homework</td>
<td>Treatment-as-usual control group (n = 16)</td>
<td>- Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) - Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire (FIQ) - Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) - Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)</td>
<td>Baseline, post-treatment, and 3 months post-intervention</td>
<td>- MBCT significantly improved both the impact of fibromyalgia and depressive symptoms at post-treatment (FIQ t = 6.79, p &lt; .001; BDI t = 5.50, p &lt; .001) and 3 month (FIQ t = 6.14, p &lt; .001; BDI t = 6.19, p &lt; .001) - A slight, non-significant decrease in intensity of pain observed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4b. Characteristics of studies and main results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Country</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Method of Recruitment</th>
<th>Type of Intervention</th>
<th>Comparison Group</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Data Measured</th>
<th>Description of Main Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schmidt et al. [30]</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>- Patient self-help groups</td>
<td>- Group-based MBSR program (n = 53)</td>
<td>2 Control Groups</td>
<td>- HRQoL (PLC)</td>
<td>Baseline, 8 weeks and short-term follow-up</td>
<td>- No significant difference between groups on HRQoL, patients overall improved at short-term follow-up (p = .004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td>Randomised</td>
<td></td>
<td>- News media</td>
<td>2.5 h per week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>(1) Active Control (n = 56)</td>
<td>- Pain and Functioning (FIQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Post hoc and multivariate analyses showed MBSR group had a modest significant pre-to-post intervention improvement in HRQoL (p = .02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control Trial</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Referrals from general practitioners, rheumatologists,</td>
<td>Additional 7 h all-day session on a weekend day</td>
<td>(2) Wait-list Control (no active treatment) (n = 59)</td>
<td>- Depression (CES-D)</td>
<td></td>
<td>- MBSR yielded significant pre-to-post-intervention improvements in 6 of 8 secondary outcome variables, the active control in 3, and the wait list in 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3-arm)</td>
<td></td>
<td>and University of Freiburg Medical Center</td>
<td>- Homework of 45-60 mins daily</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Anxiety (STAI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Interdisciplinary Pain Unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Quality of Sleep (PSQI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Pain Perception (PPS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Self-attribution of mindfulness (FMI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Physical symptoms (GCQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Pre- and post-intervention interviews (personal goals)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table Notes:**
- HRQoL: Health-related quality of life
- PLC: Patient-Level Change
- FIQ: Fibromyalgia Impact Questionnaire
- CES-D: Center for Epidemiologic Study Depression Scale
- STAI: State-Trait Anxiety Inventory
- PSQI: Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index
- GCQ: Generalized Chronic Pain Questionnaire
- MBSR: Mindfulness-based stress reduction
Table 4c. Characteristics of studies and main results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/ Country</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Method of Recruitment</th>
<th>Type of Intervention</th>
<th>Comparison Group</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Data Measured</th>
<th>Description of Main Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sephton et al. [31]</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Media (television broadcast and newspaper) advertisements</td>
<td>Group-based MBSR program (n = 51)</td>
<td>Wait-list control (n = 40)</td>
<td>Functional impairment (FIQ)</td>
<td>Baseline, 8 weeks, and 2 months post-intervention</td>
<td>- Depressive symptoms improved significantly in treatment versus control participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>Randomised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 2.5 h per week for 8 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pain (VAS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Participants who still meditated at end of study had greatest reduction of depressive symptoms (F[1,30] = 4.64, p = 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control Trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Home practice of 30-45 mins, 6 days per week</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sleep Quality (SSQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Significant effects of meditation practice were found for somatic (F[1,30] = 5.17, p = 0.05) but not cognitive symptoms of depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Depressive Symptoms (BDI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weissbeker et al. [32]</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Local television morning news broadcast - 2 newspaper advertisements</td>
<td>Group-based MBSR program (n = 51)</td>
<td>Wait-list control (n = 40)</td>
<td>Sense of Coherence (OLQ)</td>
<td>Baseline, 8 weeks</td>
<td>- Sense of Coherence (SOC) was not a significant moderator of symptom effects on psychological distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td>Randomised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 2.5 h per week for 8 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pain and Functioning (FIQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td>- MBSR group had significant increase in SOC (d = 139.54, SD = 21.34) post-treatment, controls had maintained stable SOC (d = 130.08, SD = 23.42).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Control Trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Home practice daily (6 days/week)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perceived psychological stress – (PSS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Depressive symptoms (BDI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 4d. Characteristics of studies and main results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Country</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Method of Recruitment</th>
<th>Type of Intervention</th>
<th>Comparison Group</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Data Measured</th>
<th>Description of Main Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grossman et al. [33]</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental Prospective Cohort (with control)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>- Referral from local physicians - Fibromyalgia self-help groups in two cities</td>
<td>- Group-based Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction (MBSR) program (n = 39) - 2.5 h per week for 8 weeks plus all-day retreat on weekend day after 5th week - Homework for 45 minutes per day</td>
<td>- Active control (n = 13) for nonspecific elements of the MBSR curriculum - 2.5 h per week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>- Quality of Life (QoL) - Hospital Anxiety &amp; Depression Scale (HADS, German Version) - Pain Perception (PPS) - Coping with Chronic Pain (IPR) - Physical symptoms (SSI)</td>
<td>Baseline, 8 weeks, and 3-year</td>
<td>MBSR intervention provided significantly greater benefits than control intervention on VAS, QoL subscales, coping with pain, anxiety, depression and somatic complaints (Cohen’s d effect size, 0.40-1.10) - 3-year follow up of MBSR participants indicated sustained benefits on same measures (effect size, 0.50-0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vago et al. [34]</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>Quasi-experimental Retrospective Cohort (with control)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>- Recent graduates of 8-week MMT program with FM symptoms were recruited - Control participants recruited independently through advertised flyer investigating cognitive and emotional processing in FM</td>
<td>- Group-based Mindfulness-based meditation training (MMT) (n = 13) - 2.5 h per week for 8 weeks - Home practice of 30-45 min/day</td>
<td>Non-Active Control (n = 11)</td>
<td>Pain-Related Threat - Dot-probe task using Eevoke software stimulation package. Measures reaction times (RTs). Pain words taken from McGill Pain Questionnaire.</td>
<td>Post-intervention, and 6 months</td>
<td>Preliminary results suggest that MMT reduces avoidance of pain-related threat at early levels of processing, and facilitates disengagement from threat at later stages of processing,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4e. Characteristics of studies and main results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Country</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
<th>Type of Study</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Method of Recruitment</th>
<th>Type of Intervention</th>
<th>Comparison Group</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
<th>Data Measured</th>
<th>Description of Main Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaplan et al. [35]</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>Experimental Prospective Cohort (no control)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Diagnosed patients of a rheumatologist were mailed a letter outlining program, asked to respond by phone or mail</td>
<td>Group-based MBSR program (n = 77)</td>
<td>No control group</td>
<td>- Visual Analog Scales (VAS)</td>
<td>Baseline, and 10 weeks</td>
<td>- Mean scores of all patients completing MBSR program showed improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 2 h per week for 10 weeks</td>
<td>- Homework and 2x day medications included</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Medical Symptom Checklist (MSCL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>- 51% showed moderate to marked improvement (&quot;responders&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- General Severity Index (SCL-90)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Coping Strategies Questionnaire (CSQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Pain and Functioning (FIQ)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Fibromyalgia Attitude Index (FAI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Overall Assessment of Outcome Questionnaire (OA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Psychological Distress (SCL-90-R)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lush et al. [36]</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>Experimental Prospective Cohort (no control)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>- Television news appearance by investigators one-year prior to current study - Radio &amp; newspaper ads</td>
<td>Group-based MBSR program (n = 24)</td>
<td>No control group</td>
<td>- Brief Symptom Inventory (BSI)</td>
<td>Baseline, and 8 weeks</td>
<td>- MBSR treatment significantly reduced basal electrodermal activity ($t = 3.298, p = .005$) and SCL activity during meditation ($t = 4.389, p = .001$), consistent with reduced basal sympathetic (SNS) activation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 2.5 h per week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>- Home practice of 45 min, 6 days per week</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Anxiety &amp; Depressive symptoms (BAI, BDI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Psychophysiological recordings (SCL, HR, and PT) using a J&amp;J I-330 system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Psychophysiological recordings (SCL, HR, and PT) using a J&amp;J I-330 system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rozenzwieg et al. [37]</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Experimental Prospective Cohort (no control)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>- Part of larger prospective study (n = 450) on MBSR from 1997 to 2003 - Heterogeneous sample of chronic pain patients</td>
<td>Group-based MBSR program (n = 11)</td>
<td>No control group</td>
<td>- HRQoL (SF-36)</td>
<td>Baseline, and 8 weeks</td>
<td>- Patients with fibromyalgia had a small to medium reduction in psychological distress ($mean \ d = .39$) and experienced improvement on three of eight HRQoL measures ($mean \ d = .49$), including general health perception, vitality and mental health.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 2.5 h per week for 8 weeks</td>
<td>- Homework of 20-25 min formal meditation daily, 6 days per week</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Psychological Distress (SCL-90-R)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

4.1 Main results
Despite research that has been conducted in the area of mindfulness on chronic pain populations, this is the first systematic review of the literature that focuses on the effectiveness of mindfulness interventions on patients with fibromyalgia, regarding physical symptoms and psychological distress outcomes. Consistent with the previous literature, most of the results indicate improvement in fibromyalgia-related symptoms in patients who participated in a mindfulness-based intervention as compared with a control group.

Generally, the included studies were of moderate to high quality, with one exception. However, the heterogeneity between studies regarding numbers enrolled in the cohort, duration of follow-up, loss to follow-up, and outcome measures used is considerable. This limits direct comparability of the studies and makes it difficult to draw reliable conclusions about the efficacy of mindfulness-based interventions for fibromyalgia.

4.2 Presence and impact of limitations of included studies
There are a number of limitations applicable to the available studies as a whole, and others specific to individual studies. All included studies used a similar MBSR- or MBCT-based intervention, which is a strength in terms of intervention consistency. However, the commitment and energy requirements of these intervention programs are significant [30,36], and patients with fibromyalgia are often functionally impaired, with fatigue a common symptom. This leads to the conclusion that any significant results reported are generalisable only to patients without severe functional impairment, who have the interest, time and ability to participate in such meditation-based group interventions.

Nine of ten included studies included only women participants (and the other study had only one male participant in its MBSR group). While fibromyalgia is most common in women, the male to female incidence ratio is about 9:1 [38]; therefore the samples of each study are not truly generalisable to the population.

Across the board there was little attempt to separately evaluate the individual components of the mindfulness-based programs on the outcomes in any of the studies. This was of particular concern in the one study’s intervention, which included a large Qigong component in the program design [28].

Small samples, high attrition rates, inconsistent follow-up periods, high loss to follow-up, and high use of subjective self-report measures were common limitations found in most of the studies. Finally, three of the included studies did not have control groups for direct comparison. These limitations are important to note, and pose a high risk of bias affecting the conclusions reached. Fortunately, consistent acknowledgement of study limitations by the authors avoided intervention effects being over estimated.

The randomised controlled trials using active control groups receiving comparable programs (controlling for the nonspecific effects of the mindfulness programs) [28,30] both found the control intervention provided some therapeutic benefit. Both studies found non-significant differences when comparing the mindfulness-based and active control groups. Thus the researchers were unable to conclude that mindfulness-based intervention was superior to the active control (education/support-based).
4.3 Directions for future research

Ideally, to reach a definitive conclusion on the efficacy of mindfulness-based interventions for patients with fibromyalgia, consistent intervention designs, standardised measures and consistent follow-up measures are required. Given the variability found in the small number of available studies, a need for further research is evident. Such research requires carefully conceived and executed randomised controlled trials that take into account the necessity for both methodological stringency and specific condition-related needs. For example, one MBSR intervention should be tested using RCT methods across a range of participants with verified fibromyalgia, with Ns that allow for statistical power. Research of this nature requires longitudinal data collection.

4.4 Conclusions and implications for clinical practice

Mindfulness-based programs, as a mind-body therapy, may be a promising adjunctive treatment for a range of physical symptoms and psychological distress in patients with fibromyalgia. However, the findings of this systematic review are varied and inconclusive regarding the efficacy of mindfulness for fibromyalgia patients. The claimed positive results from the studies included in this review, however, are consistent with the stronger evidence on mindfulness in chronic pain and other illness population studies [39,40]. Whilst it is clear that mindfulness training can have positive psychological and physiologic effects, reliable conclusions cannot be reached at this time and further investigation is warranted.
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